Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1989

FONDAZIONE CIME ROBERTO CONTI

CENTRO INTERNAZIONALE MATEMATICO ESTIVO
INTERNATIONAL MATHEMATICAL SUMMER CENTER

C.I.M.E. means Centro Internazionale Matematico Estivo, that is, International Mathematical Summer Center. Conceived in the early fifties, it was born in 1954 and made welcome by the world mathematical community where it remains in good health and spirit. Many mathematicians from all over the world have been involved in a way or another in C.I.M.E.'s activities during the past years.

So they already know what the C.I.M.E. is all about. For the benefit of future potential users and cooperators the main purposes and the functioning of the Centre may be summarized as follows: every year, during the summer, Sessions (three or four as a rule) on different themes from pure and applied mathematics are offered by application to mathematicians from all countries. Each session is generally based on three or four main courses ($24-30$ hours over a period of 6-8 working days) held from specialists of international renown, plus a certain number of seminars.

A C.I.M.E. Session, therefore, is neither a Symposium, nor just a School, but maybe a blend of both. The aim is that of bringing to the attention of younger researchers the origins, later developments, and perspectives of some branch of live mathematics.

The topics of the courses are generally of international resonance and the participation of the courses cover the expertise of different countries and continents. Such combination, gave an excellent opportunity to young participants to be acquainted with the most advance research in the topics of the courses and the possibility of an interchange with the world famous specialists. The full immersion atmosphere of the courses and the daily exchange among participants are a first building brick in the edifice of international collaboration in mathematical research.

C.I.M.E. Director
Pietro ZECCA
Dipartimento di Energetica "S. Stecco"
Università di Firenze
Via S. Marta, 3
50139 Florence
Italy
e-mail: zecca@unifi.it

C.I.M.E. Secretary
Elvira MASCOLO
Dipartimento di Matematica
Università di Firenze
viale G.B. Morgagni 67/A
50134 Florence
Italy
e-mail: mascolo@math.unifi.it

For more information see CIME's homepage: http://www.cime.unifi.it

CIME activity is carried out with the collaboration and financial support of:

– INdAM (Istituto Nationale di Alta Mathematica)

Immanuel M. Bomze · Vladimir Demyanov
Roger Fletcher · Tamás Terlaky

Nonlinear Optimization

Lectures given at the
C.I.M.E. Summer School
held in Cetraro, Italy
July 1–7, 2007

Editors:
Gianni Di Pillo
Fabio Schoen

Springer

Fondazione
CIME
Roberto Conti

Editors
Gianni Di Pillo
Sapienza, Università di Roma
Dipto. Informatica e Sistemistica
Via Ariosto, 25
00185 Rome
Italy
dipillo@dis.uniroma1.it

Fabio Schoen
Università degli Studi di Firenze
Dipto. Sistemi e Informatica
Via di Santa Marta, 3
50139 Firenze
Italy
fabio.schoen@unifi.it

Authors: see List of Contributors

ISSN: 0075-8434 e-ISSN: 1617-9692
ISBN: 978-3-642-11338-3 e-ISBN: 978-3-642-11339-0
DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-11339-0
Springer Heidelberg Dordrecht London New York

Library of Congress Control Number: 2010923242

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 90C30; 90C26; 90C25; 90C55

Cover design: WMXDesign GmbH

Printed on acid-free paper

springer.com

Preface

This volume collects the expanded notes of four series of lectures given on the occasion of the CIME course on *Nonlinear Optimization* held in Cetraro, Italy, from July 1 to 7, 2007.

The Nonlinear Optimization problem of main concern here is the problem of determining a vector of *decision variables* $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ that minimizes (maximizes) an *objective function* $f(\cdot): \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, when x is restricted to belong to some *feasible set* $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, usually described by a set of *equality and inequality constraints*: $\mathcal{F} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : h(x) = 0, h(\cdot): \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m;\ g(x) \le 0, g(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^p\}$; of course it is intended that at least one of the functions f, h, g is nonlinear. Although the problem can be stated in very simple terms, its solution may result very difficult due to the analytical properties of the functions involved and/or to the number n, m, p of variables and constraints. On the other hand, the problem has been recognized to be of main relevance in engineering, economics, and other applied sciences, so that a great lot of effort has been devoted to develop methods and algorithms able to solve the problem even in its more difficult and large instances.

The lectures have been given by eminent scholars, who contributed to a great extent to the development of Nonlinear Optimization theory, methods and algorithms. Namely, they are:

- Professor Immanuel M. BOMZE, University of Vienna, Austria
- Professor Vladimir F. DEMYANOV, St. Petersburg State University, Russia
- Professor Roger FLETCHER, University of Dundee, UK
- Professor Tamás TERLAKY, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada (now at Lehigh University, Bethlehem, PA - USA).

The lectures given by Roger Fletcher deal with a basic framework for treating the Nonlinear Optimization problem in the smooth case, that is the Sequential Quadratic Programming (SQP) approach. The SQP approach can be considered as an extension to constrained problems of Newton's method for unconstrained minimization. Indeed, the underlying idea of the SQP approach is that of applying Newton's method to solve the nonlinear equations given by the first order necessary conditions for optimality. In order to

fully develop the idea, the required optimality conditions for the constrained problem are recalled. Then the basic SQP method is introduced and some issues of the method are discussed: in particular the requirement of avoiding the evaluation of second order derivatives, and the occurrence of infeasibility in solving the QP subproblems. However the basic SQP method turns out to be only locally convergent, even if with a superlinear convergence rate. Therefore the need arises of some globalization strategy, that retains the good convergence rate. In particular, two classes of globalization strategies are considered, the first one using some merit function, the second one resorting to some filter method. Filter methods are of main concern in the context of the course, since they have been introduced and developed by Roger Fletcher himself. A last section of the lecture notes deals with the practical problem of interfacing a model of the nonlinear programming problem with a code for its solution. Different modelling languages are mentioned, and a short introduction to AMPL is provided.

The lectures given by Tamás Terlaky, whose chapter is co-authored by Imre Pólik, focus on the Interior Point Methods (IPM), that arose as the main novelty in linear optimization in the eighties of the last century. The interesting point is that the IPM, originally developed for linear optimization, is deeply rooted in nonlinear optimization, unlike the simplex method used until before. It was just the broadening of the horizon from linear to nonlinear, that allowed to describe for the first time an algorithm for linear optimization not only with polynomial complexity but also with competitive performances. The lecture notes first review the IPM for linear optimization, by introducing the self dual-model into which every linear optimization problem can be embedded; the basic notion of central path is defined, and its existence and convergence are analyzed; it is shown that, by a rounding procedure on the central path, a solution of the problem can be found in a polynomial number of arithmetic operations. On these bases, a general scheme of IP algorithms for linear optimization is provided, and several implementation issues are considered. Then, the more general problem of conic optimization is addressed, relying on the fact that most of theoretical results and algorithmic considerations valid for the linear case carry over to the conic case with only minor modifications. Moreover conic optimization represents a step in the pathway from linear to nonlinear optimization. The interest in conic optimization is motivated by important applications, like robust linear optimization, eigenvalue optimization, relaxing of binary variables. In particular, two special classes of conic optimization problems are considered, namely second order conic optimization and semidefinite optimization, and for each class a well suited IPM is described. Finally, the interior point approach is extended to nonlinear optimization, by employing the key of a reformulation of the nonlinear optimization problem as a nonlinear complementarity problem. In this way a central path can be defined also for the nonlinear case, even if its existence and convergence require stronger assumptions than in the linear or conic cases, and complexity results hold only in the convex case. The analytical and

algorithmic analysis of IPM is complemented by an overview of existing software implementations, pointing out that some of them are available in leading commercial packages. A challenging list of open questions, concerning mainly algorithmic issues, concludes these lecture notes.

The methods mentioned before are able to find only local solutions of the Nonlinear Optimization problem. In his lectures Immanuel Bomze considers the much more difficult problem of Global Optimization, that is the problem of finding global, rather than local, solutions. In order to fully explain how a gap in difficulty of the problem arises, he makes reference to the simplest nonlinear optimization problem, that is quadratic programming, minimizing a quadratic objective function under linear constraints. If the quadratic objective function is nonconvex, this problem may have so many local non global solutions that any enumerative strategy is not viable. A particular feature of the nonconvex quadratic programming is that necessary and sufficient global optimality conditions can be stated, which not only provide a certificate of optimality for a current tentative solution, but also an improving feasible point if the conditions are not satisfied. These conditions rely on the notion of copositivity, which is central in algorithmic developments. Moreover, additional optimality conditions can be stated in terms of nonsmooth analysis, thus establishing a link with the contents of the lectures by Vladimir Demyanov introduced below. A particular instance of a quadratic programming problem is the so-called Standard Quadratic Programming Problem (StQP), where the feasible set is the unitary simplex. StQP is used to illustrate the basic techniques available for searching global solutions; among these, the well known branch-and-bound approach borrowed from combinatorial optimization. Again, StQP is used to illustrate approaches by which the problem may be in some way reformulated, relaxed or approximated in order to obtain a good proxy of its exact global solution. Finally, a section deals with detecting copositivity, a problem known to be in general NP-hard.

In the Nonlinear Optimization problems considered up to now, the functions f, h, g, are assumed to be smooth, that is at least continuously differentiable. In his lectures, Vladimir Demyanov faces the much more difficult case of nonsmooth optimization. The smooth case can be characterized as the "kingdom of gradient", due to the main role played by the notion of gradient in establishing optimality conditions and in detecting improving feasible solutions. Therefore, a first challenge, when moving outside of that kingdom, is to provide analytical notions able to perform, in some way, the same role. To this aim, different definitions of differentiability and of set-valued subdifferential are introduced, where each element of the subdifferential is, in some sense, a generalized gradient. On these bases, it is possible to establish optimality conditions for nonsmooth optimization problems, not only when the decision variable belongs to the usual $\mathbb{R}^n$ finite dimensional space, but also when it belongs to a more general metric or normed space. More in particular, first the case of unconstrained optimization problems, and then the case of constrained optimization problems are considered. It is

remarkable the fact that a nonsmooth constrained optimization problem can always be transformed into a nonsmooth unconstrained optimization problem by resorting to an exact nondifferentiable penalty functions that accounts for the constraints. Therefore, an amazing feature of nonsmooth optimization is that, in principle, the presence of constraints does not add analytical difficulties with respect to the unconstrained case, as it happens if the same exact penalty approach is adopted in smooth optimization.

The course took place in the wonderful location of San Michele Hotel in Cetraro and was attended by 34 researchers from 9 different countries. The course was organized in 6 days of lectures, with each lecturer presenting his course material in 5 parts. The course was indeed successful for its scientific interest and for the friendly environment - this was greatly facilitated by the beauty of the course location and by the professional and warm atmosphere created by the organizers and by all of the staff of Hotel San Michele.

We are very grateful with CIME for the opportunity given of organizing this event and for the financial as well as logistic support; we would like to thank in particular CIME Director, prof. Pietro Zecca, for his continuous encouragement and friendly support before, during and after the School; we also would like to thank Irene Benedetti for her help and participation during the School, and all of the staff of CIME, who made a great effort for the success of this course. In particular we would like to thank Elvira Mascolo, CIME Scientific Secretary, for her precious work in all parts of the organization of the School, and Francesco Mugelli who maintained the web site.

Gianni Di Pillo and Fabio Schoen

Contents

Global Optimization: A Quadratic Programming Perspective 1
Immanuel M. Bomze
1 Global Optimization of Simplest Structure: Quadratic Optimization 3
1.1 Local Optimality Conditions in QPs 4
1.2 Extremal Increments and Global Optimality 6
1.3 Global Optimality and ε-Subdifferential Calculus 9
1.4 Local Optimality and ε-Subdifferential Calculus 11
1.5 ε-Subdifferential Optimality Conditions in QPs 15
1.6 Standard Quadratic Problems (StQPs) 21
1.7 Some Applications of StQPs 23
2 Some Basic Techniques, Illustrated by StQPs 25
2.1 Local Search and Escape Directions 26
2.2 Bounding and Additive Decompositions 29
2.3 Branch-and-Bound: Principles and Special Cases 32
3 Reformulation, Relaxation, Approximation 33
3.1 Quartic and Unconstrained Reformulations 34
3.2 Convex Conic Reformulations 35
3.3 Copositive Programming 37
4 Approaches to Copositivity 41
4.1 Copositivity Detection 41
4.2 Approximation Hierarchies 46
4.3 Complexity Issues 48
4.4 SDP Relaxation Bounds for StQPs, Revisited 49
References 50

Nonsmooth Optimization 55
Vladimir F. Demyanov
1 Introduction 55
1.1 The Smooth Case: The Kingdom of Gradient 60
1.2 In the Search for a Successor 62
1.3 Set-Valued Tools 68

1.4 The Approximation of the Directional Derivative by a Family of Convex Functions: Quasidifferentiable Functions 73
2 Unconstrained Optimization Problems 84
2.1 Optimization in a Metric Space 84
2.2 Optimization in a Normed Space 93
2.3 Directional Differentiability in a Normed Space 100
2.4 The Gâteaux and Fréchet Differentiability 103
2.5 The Finite-Dimensional Case 106
3 Constrained Optimization Problems via Exact Penalization 116
3.1 Optimization in a Metric Space in the Presence of Constraints 117
3.2 The Constrained Optimization Problem in a Normed Space 119
3.3 Penalty Functions 122
3.4 Exact Penalty Functions and a Global Minimum 129
3.5 Exact Penalty Functions and Local Minima 132
3.6 Exact Penalty Functions and Stationary Points 139
3.7 Exact Penalty Functions and Minimizing Sequences 145
3.8 Exact Smooth Penalty Functions 150
3.9 Minimization in the Finite-Dimensional Space 151
References 159

The Sequential Quadratic Programming Method 165
Roger Fletcher
1 Introduction 165
2 Newton Methods and Local Optimality 167
2.1 Systems of n Simultaneous Equations in n Unknowns 167
2.2 Local Convergence of the Newton-Raphson Method 168
2.3 Unconstrained Optimization 170
2.4 Optimization with Linear Equality Constraints 171
3 Optimization with Nonlinear Equations 172
3.1 Stationary Points and Lagrange Multipliers 173
3.2 Second Order Conditions for the ENLP Problem 177
3.3 The SQP Method for the ENLP Problem 178
4 Inequality Constraints and Nonlinear Programming 180
4.1 Systems of Inequalities 180
4.2 Optimization with Inequality Constraints 181
4.3 Quadratic Programming 183
4.4 The SQP Method 184
4.5 SLP-EQP Algorithms 186
4.6 Representing the Lagrangian Hessian $W^{(k)}$ 186
5 Globalization of NLP Methods 188
5.1 Penalty and Barrier Functions 189
5.2 Multiplier Penalty and Barrier Functions 190
5.3 Augmented Lagrangians with SQP 192

5.4 The l_1 Exact Penalty Function ... 194
5.5 SQP with the l_1EPF ... 196
6 Filter Methods ... 197
6.1 SQP Filter Methods ... 199
6.2 A Filter Convergence Proof ... 200
6.3 Other Filter SQP Methods ... 203
7 Modelling Languages and NEOS ... 204
7.1 The AMPL Language ... 204
7.2 Networks in AMPL ... 206
7.3 Other Useful AMPL Features ... 208
7.4 Accessing AMPL ... 210
7.5 NEOS and Kestrel ... 210
References ... 212

Interior Point Methods for Nonlinear Optimization ... 215
Imre Pólik and Tamás Terlaky
1 Introduction ... 215
1.1 Historical Background ... 215
1.2 Notation and Preliminaries ... 216
2 Interior Point Methods for Linear Optimization ... 218
2.1 The Linear Optimization Problem ... 218
2.2 The Skew-Symmetric Self-Dual Model ... 222
2.3 Summary of the Theoretical Results ... 237
2.4 A General Scheme of IP Algorithms for Linear Optimization ... 239
2.5 *The Barrier Approach ... 244
3 Interior Point Methods for Conic Optimization ... 245
3.1 Problem Description ... 245
3.2 Applications of Conic Optimization ... 248
3.3 Initialization by Embedding ... 249
3.4 Conic Optimization as a Complementarity Problem ... 250
3.5 Summary ... 260
3.6 *Barrier Functions in Conic Optimization ... 261
4 Interior Point Methods for Nonlinear Optimization ... 262
4.1 Nonlinear Optimization as a Complementarity Problem ... 262
4.2 Interior Point Methods for Nonlinear Complementarity Problems ... 263
4.3 Initialization by Embedding ... 266
4.4 *The Barrier Method ... 266
5 Existing Software Implementations ... 267
5.1 Linear Optimization ... 268
5.2 Conic Optimization ... 268
5.3 Nonlinear Optimization ... 269
6 Some Open Questions ... 269
6.1 Numerical Behaviour ... 270
6.2 Rounding Procedures ... 270

6.3 Special Structures 270
6.4 Warmstarting 270
6.5 Parallelization 271
References 271

List of Participants 277

Contributors

Immanuel M. Bomze Department of Statistics and Operations Research, University of Vienna, 1010 Wien, Austria, immanuel.bomze@univie.ac.at

Vladimir F. Demyanov Applied Mathematics Dept., St.-Petersburg State University, Staryi Peterhof, St.-Petersburg, 198504 Russia, vfd@ad9503.spb.edu

Roger Fletcher Department of Mathematics, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 4HN, fletcher@maths.dundee.ac.uk

Imre Pólik Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering, Lehigh University, 200 West Packer Avenue, 18015-1582, Bethlehem, PA, USA, imre@polik.net

Tamás Terlaky Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering, Lehigh University, 200 West Packer Avenue, 18015-1582, Bethlehem, PA, USA, terlaky@lehigh.edu