

Lecture Notes in Mathematics

1900

Editors:

J.-M. Morel, Cachan

F. Takens, Groningen

B. Teissier, Paris

Erwin Bolthausen, Anton Bovier (Eds.)

Spin Glasses

 Springer

Editors

Erwin Bolthausen
Mathematics Institute
University of Zürich
Winterthurerstraße 190
8057 Zürich, Switzerland
e-mail: eb@math.unnizh.ch

Anton Bovier
Weierstraß Institute for Applied Analysis and Stochastics
Mohrenstraße 39
10117 Berlin
Germany

and

Institute for Mathematics
Berlin University of Technology
Straße des 17. Juni 136
10623 Berlin
Germany
e-mail: bovier@wias-berlin.de

Library of Congress Control Number: 2006932484

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 82B44, 82C44, 82B20, 60K35, 60K37

ISSN print edition: 0075-8434
ISSN electronic edition: 1617-9692
ISBN-10 3-540-40902-5 Springer Berlin Heidelberg New York
ISBN-13 978-3-540-40902-1 Springer Berlin Heidelberg New York

DOI 10.1007/3-540-40902-5

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilm or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Violations are liable for prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

Springer is a part of Springer Science+Business Media
springer.com
© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2007

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

Typesetting by the editors and SPi using a Springer L^AT_EX package
Cover design: WMXDesign GmbH, Heidelberg

Printed on acid-free paper SPIN: 11859840 VA41/3100/SPi 5 4 3 2 1 0

Preface

Spin glasses have become a paradigm for highly complex disordered systems. In the 1960's, certain magnetic alloys were found to have rather anomalous magnetic and thermal properties that seemed to indicate the existence of a new kind of phase transition, clearly distinct from conventional ferromagnetic materials. The origin of these anomalies was soon deemed to lie in two features: the presence of competing signs in the two-body interactions, and the disorder in the positions of the magnetic atoms in the alloy. This has led to the modelling of such materials in the form of spin-systems with random interactions. In the 1970ies, two principle models were proposed: the Edwards-Anderson model, which is a lattice spin system with random nearest neighbor interactions and as such is the randomized version of the classical Ising model; and the Sherrington-Kirkpatrick model, proposed as a mean field model, where all spins interact with each other on equal footing, which is a randomized version of the Curie-Weiss model. The SK-model was clearly intended to provide a simple, solvable caricature of the Edwards-Anderson model, that should give some insights into the nature of the spin glass transitions, just as the Curie-Weiss model allows a partial understanding of ferromagnetic phase transitions. The remarkable interest that the spin glass problem has received is largely due to the fact that neither of the two models turned out to be easily tractable. The Sherrington-Kirkpatrick model was solved on a heuristic level through the remarkable "replica symmetry breaking" ansatz of Parisi, which not only involved rather unconventional mathematical concepts, but also exhibited that the thermodynamic limit of this model should be described by an extraordinarily complex structure. The short-range Edwards Anderson model has been even more elusive, and beyond some rather rudimentary rigorous results, most of our insight into the model is based on numerical simulations, which in themselves prove to be a highly challenging task.

Mathematicians became interested in this problem in the late 1980ies, but on a larger scale in the 1990ies, starting with work of Pastur and Shcherbina, and the systematic programmes initiated by Guerra on the one hand and Talagrand on the other. In 1996 a workshop in Berlin brought together the

leading experts in the field. The state of the art at that time is to a large extent documented in the volume “Mathematical Aspects of Spin Glasses and Neural Networks”, edited by A. Bovier and P. Picco (Birkhäuser, 1997). Since then, the progress made in the field has exceeded all expectations. Even as we began planning for a new workshop on the mathematics of spin glasses that was finally held at the Centro Stefano Franscini on the Monte Verità, we did not anticipate that the timing of the event would allow to present for the first time some ground breaking progress. In 2002, Francesco Guerra published an upper bound on the free energy of the SK model that coincided with the Parisi solution. This was the first time that this remarkable construction was to be related to a mathematically rigorous result. Less than a year later, Michel Talagrand announced that he could prove the corresponding lower bound, thus establishing the Parisi solution in a fully rigorous manner.

The Monte Verità meeting thus fell into a most exciting period. It was attended by most of the leading experts on spin glasses, including David Sherrington, Giorgio Parisi, Francesco Guerra, Michel Talagrand, Michael Aizenman, Chuck Newman, and Daniel Stein, to name a few. Besides the reports on the progress mentioned above, the participants and invited speakers reported on a wealth of interesting new results around spin glasses, both on the static and dynamic aspect. As a result we decided to collect a number of invited review papers to document the state of the art in spin glass theory today. The result of this is the present book. It contains a general introduction to the spin glass problem, written by E. Bolthausen, that will serve in particular as a pedagogical guide to the description of the nature of the Parisi solution and the derivation of Guerra’s bound in the formulation of Aizenman, Sims, and Starr. A. Bovier and I. Kurkova shed light on the Parisi solution from another angle by deriving and describing the asymptotics of the Gibbs measure in another class of spin glass models, the Generalized Random Energy models, in full detail. D. Sherrington gives an account of the history of the spin glass problem from a more physical perspective. M. Talagrand’s contribution is a pedagogical presentation of his celebrated proof of the validity of the Parisi solution. Two articles by Ch. Newman and D. Stein discuss the latest developments in the ongoing dispute on the question, whether the predictions of the mean field Sherrington-Kirkpatrick model have any implications for the behavior of short range spin glasses. Finally, A. Guionnet gives an account of what has been achieved in the understanding of another outstanding issue about spin glasses, namely their non-equilibrium properties.

We hope that this volume will serve as a reference handbook for anyone wanting to get an idea of where we are in the theory of spin glasses, and what this subject is all about.

*Erwin Bolthausen
Anton Bovier*

Contents

Random Media and Spin Glasses: An Introduction into Some Mathematical Results and Problems

| | |
|--|----|
| <i>Erwin Bolthausen</i> | 1 |
| 1 Introduction | 1 |
| 2 The Basic Mathematical Models | 3 |
| 3 The Sherrington–Kirkpatrick Model | 6 |
| 3.1 Basic Properties of the SK-Model | 7 |
| 3.2 The Replica Computation | 9 |
| 3.3 The Parisi Ansatz | 12 |
| 4 Mathematically Rigorous Results for the SK-Model | 16 |
| 4.1 Guerra’s Interpolation Method | 16 |
| 4.2 Mathematical Variants of the Cavity Method | 20 |
| 5 The Random Energy Models | 23 |
| 5.1 The REM | 23 |
| 5.2 The Generalized Random Energy Model | 26 |
| 5.3 The Aizenman–Sims–Starr Proof of Guerra’s Bound of the SK Free Energy | 30 |
| 6 Some Open Problems: Ultrametricity and Chaos | 35 |
| 7 Other Models: Some Comments about the Perceptron | 39 |
| 8 Dynamic Problems and Aging | 41 |
| References | 43 |

Spin Glasses: A Perspective

| | |
|--------------------------------------|----|
| <i>David Sherrington</i> | 45 |
| 1 Introduction | 45 |
| 2 Random Magnetic Alloys | 45 |
| 2.1 More Details | 47 |
| 3 Discontinuous Transitions | 55 |
| 4 Beyond Magnetic Alloys | 56 |
| 4.1 Complex Many-Body Problems | 56 |

VIII Contents

| | | |
|-----|------------------|----|
| 5 | Dynamics | 58 |
| 5.1 | Examples | 59 |
| 6 | Conclusion | 60 |
| 7 | Acknowledgements | 61 |
| | References | 61 |

Mean Field Models for Spin Glasses: Some Obnoxious Problems

| | | |
|---|--|----|
| | <i>Michel Talagrand</i> | 63 |
| 1 | Introduction | 63 |
| 2 | Guerra's Replica-Symmetric Bound | 66 |
| 3 | Guerra's Broken Replica-Symmetry Bound | 70 |
| 4 | The Ultrametricity Conjecture | 75 |
| 5 | The Chaos Problem | 77 |
| | References | 80 |

Much Ado about Derrida's GREM

| | | |
|-----|--|-----|
| | <i>Anton Bovier and Irina Kurkova</i> | 81 |
| 1 | Introduction | 81 |
| 1.1 | History of the Models | 82 |
| 1.2 | Geometry of Gibbs Measures | 85 |
| 1.3 | Point Process of Extremes | 87 |
| 1.4 | Organisation of the Paper | 88 |
| 2 | Convergent Point Processes Associated to the GREM | 88 |
| 3 | GREM: Detailed Analysis | 92 |
| 3.1 | Fluctuations of the Partition Function | 92 |
| 3.2 | Gibbs Measure: Approach via Ruelle's Probability Cascades | 94 |
| 3.3 | Distribution of the Overlaps | 95 |
| 3.4 | Ghirlanda–Guerra Identities | 96 |
| 3.5 | Empirical Distance Distribution Function \mathcal{K}_β | 99 |
| 4 | CREM: Implicit Approach | 99 |
| 4.1 | Maximum of the Hamiltonian. Limit of the Free Energy | 99 |
| 4.2 | Two-Replicas Ultrametric Distance Distribution Function | 101 |
| 4.3 | Ghirlanda–Guerra Identities | 101 |
| 4.4 | Marginals of \mathcal{K}_β in Terms of Ruelle's Probability Cascades | 102 |
| 5 | Genealogies and Neveu's Branching Process | 103 |
| 5.1 | Problems with the Explicit Description of Limiting Gibbs Measures | 103 |
| 5.2 | Genealogical Map of a Flow of Probability Measures | 104 |
| 5.3 | Coalescent Associated with a Flow of Probability Measures | 106 |
| 5.4 | Finite N Setting for the CREM | 106 |
| 5.5 | Genealogy of a Continuous State Branching Process | 108 |
| 5.6 | Main Result | 109 |
| 5.7 | Coalescence and Ghirlanda–Guerra identities | 110 |
| | References | 113 |

Dynamics for Spherical Models of Spin-Glass and Aging

| | |
|---|-----|
| <i>Alice Guionnet</i> | 117 |
| 1 Introduction | 117 |
| 2 Spherical Sherrington–Kirkpatrick Model | 121 |
| 3 Spherical p -spins Model | 132 |
| 4 Future problems | 141 |
| References | 142 |

Local vs. Global Variables for Spin Glasses

| | |
|--|-----|
| <i>Charles M. Newman and Daniel L. Stein</i> | 145 |
| 1 Local Variables and Thermodynamic Limits | 145 |
| 2 Nearest-Neighbor Ising Ferromagnets | 148 |
| 3 Finite-Range and Infinite-Range Spin Glasses | 149 |
| 4 A New Global Order Parameter for Spin Glasses? | 151 |
| 5 What are Metastates, Anyway? | 155 |
| References | 157 |

Short-Range Spin Glasses: Results and Speculations

| | |
|--|-----|
| <i>Charles M. Newman and Daniel L. Stein</i> | 159 |
| 1 Introduction | 160 |
| 2 The Trinity of Scenarios | 161 |
| 2.1 Heuristic Description | 162 |
| 2.2 Description via the Metastate | 164 |
| 3 Replica Symmetry Breaking for Short-Range Models | 166 |
| 4 Wild Possibilities | 168 |
| 4.1 Phase Transition Without Broken Spin–Flip Symmetry | 169 |
| 4.2 Highly Chaotic Temperature Dependence | 172 |
| References | 174 |

| | |
|--------------------|-----|
| Index | 177 |
|--------------------|-----|