

# **Springer Theses**

Recognizing Outstanding Ph.D. Research

## **Aims and Scope**

The series “Springer Theses” brings together a selection of the very best Ph.D. theses from around the world and across the physical sciences. Nominated and endorsed by two recognized specialists, each published volume has been selected for its scientific excellence and the high impact of its contents for the pertinent field of research. For greater accessibility to non-specialists, the published versions include an extended introduction, as well as a foreword by the student’s supervisor explaining the special relevance of the work for the field. As a whole, the series will provide a valuable resource both for newcomers to the research fields described, and for other scientists seeking detailed background information on special questions. Finally, it provides an accredited documentation of the valuable contributions made by today’s younger generation of scientists.

### **Theses are accepted into the series by invited nomination only and must fulfill all of the following criteria**

- They must be written in good English.
- The topic should fall within the confines of Chemistry, Physics, Earth Sciences, Engineering and related interdisciplinary fields such as Materials, Nanoscience, Chemical Engineering, Complex Systems and Biophysics.
- The work reported in the thesis must represent a significant scientific advance.
- If the thesis includes previously published material, permission to reproduce this must be gained from the respective copyright holder.
- They must have been examined and passed during the 12 months prior to nomination.
- Each thesis should include a foreword by the supervisor outlining the significance of its content.
- The theses should have a clearly defined structure including an introduction accessible to scientists not expert in that particular field.

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/8790>

Daiki Yamaguchi

# Search for New Phenomena in Top-Antitop Quarks Final States with Additional Heavy-Flavour Jets with the ATLAS Detector

Doctoral Thesis accepted by  
Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan

*Author*

Dr. Daiki Yamaguchi  
Department of Physics  
Tokyo Institute of Technology  
Tokyo, Japan

*Supervisor*

Prof. Osamu Jinnouchi  
Department of Physics  
Tokyo Institute of Technology  
Tokyo, Japan

ISSN 2190-5053

Springer Theses

ISBN 978-981-15-0931-5

<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-0932-2>

ISSN 2190-5061 (electronic)

ISBN 978-981-15-0932-2 (eBook)

© Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2019

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. The registered company address is: 152 Beach Road, #21-01/04 Gateway East, Singapore 189721, Singapore

# Supervisor's Foreword

For the last half a century, the growth of science has been so rapid. We have witnessed magnificent discoveries each year over the diverse fields. Among all, the discovery in particle physics is distinguished from the others and has a special and profound meaning. Why is that? Because the elementary particles are so fundamental, and not known no more than seventeen species. In addition, only three fundamental forces are hitherto acknowledged and theoretically/experimentally confirmed. Therefore, adding a new element to the list of the particles, or finding a basic law of the fundamental forces, has an enormous impact on our current understanding of the universe.

The discovery of the new particle, i.e., Higgs boson, which were announced to be found at LHC in 2012, has a further unique meaning in its relation to the mass of the other elementary particles. Its discovery opened a new window to examine and explore the vacuum state and the space-time structure of our universe. A number of acknowledged elementary particle species turn out to be 17, and the interactions between them are described by the theoretical framework called the Gauge theory, or including all these together, the Standard Model. This is not a story of the past. After 6 years from the discovery, the precision measurements on the Higgs boson properties are in full swing at the LHC experiments now. The experimental confirmations over the correctness of the SM have been updated every moment ever since the start of LHC. Nonetheless, cracks of the SM are not yet seen.

This is a victory of the SM and the Quantum Field Theory which is a basis of the SM framework. The cross section calculations of the particle processes including the higher order quantum corrections are confirmed to be correct from the major processes like inclusive inelastic scattering of the protons, to the very minor processes like double gauge boson production, over a span of 14th orders of magnitude. Hence, it looks as if we have established the ultimate theory of everything.

The SM is correct and precise to every corner of phase space; however, it is beset by intrinsic problems. It has no components corresponding to Dark Matter. Also, the calculated Higgs boson mass with the quantum corrections is supposed to be extremely heavy, should the quantum theory is correct, which clearly contradicts to the experimental observation. We know the SM is correct with an outstanding

precision and that renders these issues even more problematic. This brings us to believe there must be a physics beyond the SM (BSM).

Many varieties of BSM models are proposed. Majorities of them predict an existence of massive new particles. A most effective way to search for such particles is to perform the proton-proton collisions at the energy frontier experiments such as LHC-ATLAS. Thoroughness and prudent systematic studies are required in searching for the BSM. Dr. Daiki Yamaguchi is an excellent researcher, who can conduct such exhaustive studies.

He performed the data qualifications of the Pixel detector in ATLAS, which is the core detector for the precision of the charged particle tracking, during the LHC Run-2 after the increased collision energy to 13 TeV. During 2015–2016, he contributed to the ATLAS Pixel detector operations and studies on the tracking performance in the high pile-up conditions.

Using the data from the LHC-ATLAS in the same period of time, Dr. Yamaguchi searched for the cracks of the SM. He performed studies on the search for the vector-like quarks (VLQ), which are predicted in the models, like composite Higgs model, RS-Extra dimension model. He summarized them in this thesis.

VLQs would manifest themselves in various signatures. Systematical and thorough works in various categories of signal spaces are needed in completing of these searches. Dr. Yamaguchi developed and introduced a new method for this thesis, namely, the jet tagger to distinguish the QCD jets from Higgs boson and top quark. He also optimized the categorization of the signal-like events using this tagger. Largely owing to the new tagger's performance, he obtained the better sensitivities for VLQ than the previous works.

In the thesis, he started from the introduction to the Standard Model and the target BSM models he pursuits, then he proceeds to the LHC and the ATLAS detector, followed by the deep explanations on his search for the VLQs. Each step of the analysis procedure is laid out in detail from the initial design of the analysis strategy to the final decisions of the systematic error treatments. Statistical treatment of the data is an important key for this analysis and is described in detail in this thesis.

I believe the value of this thesis grows and would not fade as long as the LHC continues its endeavors.

Tokyo, Japan  
November 2019

Prof. Osamu Jinnouchi

**Parts of this thesis have been published in the following journal articles**

M. Aaboud et al., *Search for pair production of up-type vector-like quarks and for four-top-quark events in final states with multiple b-jets with the ATLAS detector*, JHEP **07** (2018) 089, [arXiv:1803.09678](https://arxiv.org/abs/1803.09678)[hep-ex].

# Acknowledgements

I would like to sincerely thank my supervisor, Associate Professor Osamu Jinnouchi. His supervising over 6 years has driven my interest to particle physics. Numerous discussions with him about the physics and detectors help me to understand the physics experiments deeper. He has supported not only for the physics but also for important things to study at CERN especially about interactions with researchers at CERN. Thanks to all the support, I could smoothly progress my studies with actively having good discussions with numerous researchers at CERN.

Doctor Loic Valery, who worked as the convener of the ATLAS Exotic-HQT Ht+X analysis group, gave me good guidance of understanding the analysis detail step by step and had many discussions about my studies. In addition, he supported and corrected my presentations every time. Without his supervision, I could not progress my analysis. Moreover, I would like to thank all the members of the ATLAS Exotic-HQT Ht+X analysis group for discussions and advices, with special mention: Prof. Aurelio Juste Rozas, Trisha Farooque, and Mirkoantonio Casolino.

Assistant Professor Yosuke Takubo, who worked as the convener of the ATLAS Pixel Data Quality group, supervised me for the monitoring studies of the Pixel detector. Thanks to his support, I successfully developed and updated the monitoring system. And he taught me the detector operation, and I could understand the data acquisition and reconstruction. I would like to thank all the members of the ATLAS Pixel detector group for discussions and feedbacks, with special mention: Didier Ferrere, Mario Giordani, Kerstin Lantzsch, Hideyuki Oide, and Soshi Tsuno.

I would like to thank all the members of the ATLAS Inner Detector Tracking group, with special mention: Anthony Morley, Heather Gray, Shih-Chieh Hsu, and Simone Pagan Griso. Thanks to all the technical advices and discussions, I could measure the impact parameter resolutions in both the data and simulation and provide the performance for all the other ATLAS groups.

I would like to thank Prof. Masahiro Kuze for many discussions in all the seminars and lectures. The discussions are interesting and help me to understand particle physics.



I would like to thank all the people related with Jinnouchi Group, especially Minoru Hirose, Yohei Yamaguchi, Ryo Nagai, Nora Petterson, Kazuki Motohashi, Kazuki Todome, and all the other members for many interesting discussions. I would also like to thank people at CERN. Takuya Nobe supported me for my stay as well as discussions about the physics. I would like to thank one of my best friends, Mutsuto Hagihara, for mental supports and refreshing me. Thanks to good golf players, Naoki Ishijima, Satoshi Higashino, and Shohei Shirabe, I could refresh and concentrate on my studies. I would like to thank Masahiro Tanaka for his support of picking me up in his car.

I would like to thank my family for their financial and mental support. Without their help and support, my thesis would not have been possible. I also thank my puppy, Honey, for giving me a lot of happiness. I would like to thank Chika Ogasawara, who is my fiancée, for her support over the years.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	1
1.1	Standard Model	1
1.1.1	Electroweak Theory	3
1.1.2	The Higgs-Englert-Brout Mechanism	4
1.1.3	Quantum Chromo-Dynamics	7
1.1.4	Experiment Results on the Standard Model	8
1.2	Beyond the Standard Model	13
1.2.1	Extra Dimension	13
1.2.2	Compositeness	15
1.3	Signatures of the Physics Beyond the Standard Model	16
1.3.1	Vector-Like Quark	17
1.3.2	Four-Top-Quark Production	21
	References	22
<b>2</b>	<b>The ATLAS Experiment at the Large Hadron Collider</b>	25
2.1	Large Hadron Collider	25
2.1.1	Accelerators	26
2.1.2	The Design of the Large Hadron Collider	26
2.2	ATLAS Detector	29
2.2.1	Coordinate System	30
2.2.2	Magnet System	31
2.2.3	The Inner Detector	32
2.2.4	The Calorimeters	36
2.2.5	Muon Spectrometer	39
2.2.6	Trigger System	40
2.2.7	Luminosity Detector	42
2.2.8	Operation and Data Quality	43
2.3	Studies of Monitoring Pixel Detector	44
2.3.1	Hit Information	44
2.3.2	Pileup Dependency of Hit Occupancy	45

2.3.3	Synchronisation Error Rate . . . . .	48
2.3.4	Summary . . . . .	50
	References . . . . .	50
<b>3</b>	<b>Reconstruction of Physics Objects</b> . . . . .	<b>53</b>
3.1	Tracks . . . . .	53
3.1.1	Study of Impact Parameter Resolutions . . . . .	56
3.2	Primary Vertices . . . . .	61
3.3	Leptons . . . . .	62
3.3.1	Electrons . . . . .	62
3.3.2	Muons . . . . .	64
3.4	Jets . . . . .	66
3.5	Large Radius Jets . . . . .	68
3.6	<i>b</i> -Tagging . . . . .	69
3.7	Missing Transverse Energy . . . . .	73
3.8	Overlap Removal . . . . .	75
	References . . . . .	75
<b>4</b>	<b>Data and Event Simulations</b> . . . . .	<b>79</b>
4.1	Data Sample . . . . .	79
4.2	Simulation of <i>pp</i> Collisions . . . . .	80
4.2.1	Event Generation . . . . .	80
4.2.2	Detector Simulation . . . . .	82
	References . . . . .	82
<b>5</b>	<b>Signal and Background Modeling</b> . . . . .	<b>85</b>
5.1	Signal Modeling . . . . .	85
5.1.1	Vector-Like Quark Pair Production . . . . .	86
5.1.2	Four-Top-Quark Production . . . . .	88
5.2	Background Modeling . . . . .	88
5.2.1	$t\bar{t}$ + jets Background . . . . .	89
5.2.2	$W/Z$ + jets Background . . . . .	89
5.2.3	Single-Top Backgrounds . . . . .	90
5.2.4	Diboson Background . . . . .	90
5.2.5	$t\bar{t} + V$ and $t\bar{t} + H$ Backgrounds . . . . .	90
5.2.6	Multijet Background . . . . .	90
5.3	Common Modelling and Simulation to All the Signals and Backgrounds . . . . .	93
5.4	Tag-Rate-Function Method . . . . .	93
5.5	Appendix . . . . .	95

5.5.1	Signal Chirality Study . . . . .	95
5.5.2	Validation Plots for the Multijet Background Estimation . . . . .	108
5.5.3	TRF Closure Tests . . . . .	124
	References . . . . .	129
<b>6</b>	<b>Optimisations of Heavy Object Tagging and Event Categorisation</b> . . . . .	<b>133</b>
6.1	Methodology of the Optimisation . . . . .	133
6.2	Optimisation of Higgs Tagging . . . . .	134
6.2.1	Determination of Higgs Tagging Requirements . . . . .	135
6.2.2	Performance of the Higgs Boson Tagger . . . . .	140
6.3	Optimisation of the Top Quark Tagger . . . . .	148
6.3.1	Determination of Top Tagging Requirements . . . . .	148
6.3.2	Performance of the Top Tagger . . . . .	149
6.4	Summary for Determination of the Taggers . . . . .	154
6.5	Optimisation of Event Categorisation . . . . .	154
6.5.1	Search Regions . . . . .	155
6.5.2	Validation Regions . . . . .	159
6.5.3	Summary for Determination of the Event Categorisation . . . . .	162
6.6	Appendix . . . . .	165
6.6.1	Auxiliary Materials for the Optimisation . . . . .	165
6.6.2	Kinematic Variables in Validation Regions . . . . .	166
	References . . . . .	174
<b>7</b>	<b>Analysis Strategy</b> . . . . .	<b>175</b>
7.1	Preselection . . . . .	175
7.2	Event Classification . . . . .	178
7.3	Signal-to-Background Discrimination . . . . .	180
7.4	Blinding Strategy . . . . .	183
7.5	Data-to-MC Comparison in Blinded Regions . . . . .	186
7.6	Appendix . . . . .	187
7.6.1	Blind Cuts on $m_{\text{eff}}$ . . . . .	187
7.6.2	Data/MC Comparison in Blinded Regions . . . . .	187
	Reference . . . . .	197
<b>8</b>	<b>Systematic Uncertainties</b> . . . . .	<b>199</b>
8.1	Luminosity . . . . .	199
8.2	Pileup . . . . .	199
8.3	Physics Objects . . . . .	201
8.3.1	Lepton . . . . .	201
8.3.2	Jets . . . . .	201
8.3.3	Missing Transverse Energy . . . . .	202

8.3.4	Large- $R$ Jets . . . . .	203
8.3.5	Flavor Tagging . . . . .	203
8.4	$t\bar{t}$ + jets Background . . . . .	203
8.5	$W/Z$ + jets Background . . . . .	205
8.6	Single Top Backgrounds . . . . .	205
8.7	Other Backgrounds . . . . .	206
8.8	Multijet Background . . . . .	206
	References . . . . .	207
<b>9</b>	<b>Statistical Analysis . . . . .</b>	<b>209</b>
9.1	Likelihood Function and Profile Likelihood Ratio . . . . .	210
9.2	Confidence Level . . . . .	213
	References . . . . .	215
<b>10</b>	<b>Results . . . . .</b>	<b>217</b>
10.1	Fit Procedure . . . . .	217
10.2	Expected Fit Performance . . . . .	223
10.3	Fits to Blinded Dataset . . . . .	226
10.4	Fits to Unblinded Dataset . . . . .	227
10.5	Cross Section Limits for Pair Production of Vector-Like Top Quark . . . . .	233
	10.5.1 Overview of 0-Lepton Channels . . . . .	236
	10.5.2 Combination of 1-Lepton and 0-Lepton Channels . . . . .	237
10.6	Cross Section Limits for Four-Top Production . . . . .	241
10.7	Appendix . . . . .	244
	10.7.1 Plots in the Fit to the Asimov Dataset . . . . .	244
	10.7.2 Fit Stability Test . . . . .	245
	10.7.3 Impact on $\mu$ for Various Signal Models . . . . .	246
	10.7.4 Plots in the Fit to the Blinded Data . . . . .	248
	10.7.5 Plots in the Fit to the Partially Unblinded Data . . . . .	249
	10.7.6 Plots in the Fit to the Unblinded Data . . . . .	251
	Reference . . . . .	255
<b>11</b>	<b>Discussion . . . . .</b>	<b>257</b>
11.1	Categories Causing the Discrepancy . . . . .	257
11.2	Validity of the Asymptotic Approximation . . . . .	259
11.3	TRF Closure . . . . .	260
11.4	Fit with Combined Categories . . . . .	262
11.5	Correlation Scheme of the Systematic Uncertainties . . . . .	263
11.6	Post-fit Kinematic Variables at the Preselection Level . . . . .	264
11.7	Reweighting Leading Jet $p_T$ . . . . .	266
11.8	Summary . . . . .	269
	Reference . . . . .	269

<b>12 Prospect</b> .....	271
12.1 Sensitivity for High Luminosity .....	271
12.2 Performances of Higgs Boson and Top Quark Taggers .....	273
References .....	276
<b>13 Conclusion</b> .....	277
References .....	279

# Acronyms

2UED-RPP	2 Universal Extra Dimensions on the Real Projective Plane
AD	Antiproton Decelerator
ADD	Arkani-Hamed, Dimopoulos, and Dvali
AdS	Anti-de Sitter
ALFA	Absolute Luminosity for ATLAS
ATLAS	A Toroidal LHC Apparatus
BDT	Boosted Decision Tree
BR	Branching Ratio
BSM	Beyond the Standard Model
Cal	Calorimeter
CERN	European Organization for Nuclear Research
CL	Confidence Level
CMS	Compact Muon Solenoid
CSC	Cathode Strip Chamber
DT	Direct Tagging
ED	Extra-Dimensional models
EFT	Effective Field Theory
EM	Electromagnetic
EW	Electroweak
Fcal	Forward Calorimeter
GUT	Grand Unified Theory
GWS	Glashow-Weinberg-Salam theory
HLT	High Level Trigger
IBL	Insertable B-Layer
ID	Inner Detector
JVT	Jet Vertex Tagger
KK	Kaluza-Klein
L1	Level-1
LAr	Liquid Argon
LEP	Large Electron-Positron Collider

LHC	Large Hadron Collider
LINAC2	Linear Accelerator 2
LINAC3	Linear Accelerator 3
LS1	Long Shutdown 1
LUCID	Luminosity measurement using Cerenkov Integrating Detector
MBST	Minimum Bias Trigger Scintillators
MC	Monte Carlo
MCC	Module Controller Chip
MDT	Monitored Drift Tube chamber
MIP	Minimum Ionizing Particle
MPI	Multiparton Interaction
MS	Muon Spectrometer
NGB	Nambu-Goldstone bosons
NLO	Next-to-Leading Order
NNLL	Next-to-Next-to Leading Logarithm
NNLO	Next-to-Next-to Leading Order
NP	Nuisance Parameter
PDF	Parton Density Function
pdf	Probability Density Function
PMT	Photomultiplier tube
<i>pp</i>	Proton-Proton
PS	Proton Synchrotron
PV	Primary Vertex
QCD	Quantum Chromo-Dynamics
RF	Radio-Frequency
RMS	Root-Mean Square
ROD	Read Out Driver
RPC	Resistive Plate Chamber
RS	Randall and Sundrum
SCT	Semiconductor Tracker
SM	Standard Model
SPS	Super Proton Synchrotron
SR	Search Regions
SSB	Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking
TGC	Thin Gap Chamber
ToT	Time over Threshold
TRF	Tag-Rate-Function
TRT	Transition Radiation Tracker
UED	Universal Extra Dimensions
<i>vdM</i>	van der Meer
VEV	Vacuum Expectation Value
VLQ	Vector-Like quark
VLT	Vector-Like top quark
VR	Validation Regions
ZDC	Zero-Degree Calorimeter