

# Mega Event Planning

Series Editor  
Eva Kassens-Noor  
Michigan State University  
East Lansing, MI, USA

The Mega Event Planning Pivot series will provide a global and cross-disciplinary view into the planning for the world's largest sporting, religious, cultural, and other transformative mega events. Examples include the Olympic Games, Soccer World Cups, Rugby championships, the Commonwealth Games, the Hajj, the World Youth Day, World Expositions, and parades. This series will critically discuss, analyze, and challenge the planning for these events in light of their legacies including the built environment, political structures, socio-economic systems, societal values, personal attitudes, and cultures.

More information about this series at  
<http://www.palgrave.com/gp/series/14808>

Francesc Solanellas · Alain Ferrand ·  
Andreu Camps

# Barcelona 92

A Legacy Case Study

palgrave  
macmillan

Francesc Solanellas  
GISEAFE-Research Group on Social  
and Educational Research on Physical  
Education and Sport  
INEFC-Institute of Physical Education  
of Catalonia  
Barcelona, Spain

Alain Ferrand  
Faculty of Sport Sciences-CEREGE  
(EA 1722)  
University of Poitiers  
Poitiers, France

Andreu Camps  
GISEAFE-Research Group on Social  
and Educational Research on Physical  
Education and Sport  
INEFC-Institute of Physical Education  
of Catalonia  
Lleida, Spain

#### Mega Event Planning

ISBN 978-981-13-9037-1

ISBN 978-981-13-9038-8 (eBook)

<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-9038-8>

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer  
Nature Singapore Pte Ltd., part of Springer Nature 2020

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the  
Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights  
of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction  
on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and  
retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology  
now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this  
publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are  
exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and  
information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication.  
Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied,  
with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have  
been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published  
maps and institutional affiliations.

Cover credit: © nemesis2207/Fotolia.co.uk

This Palgrave Pivot imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature  
Singapore Pte Ltd.

The registered company address is: 152 Beach Road, #21-01/04 Gateway East, Singapore  
189721, Singapore

# CONTENTS

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>The Olympic Games Influence</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>The Sport Dimension</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>The Economic Dimension</b>	<b>69</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>The Social Dimension</b>	<b>99</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>135</b>
	<b>References</b>	<b>161</b>

# LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 2.1	Olympic legacy is the result of a vision (Adapted from IOC 2017)	15
Fig. 2.2	Stakeholder groups' focus	15
Fig. 2.3	Stakeholders' value capture and value creation	17
Fig. 2.4	The four objectives of the IOC's legacy strategic approach (Adapted from IOC 2017)	19
Fig. 2.5	Dimensions shaping the management systems relating to the "legacy" of the Olympic Games	23
Fig. 2.6	Analytical tool for the evaluation of the Olympic Games legacy, developed by Professor Preuss (IOC 2017)	25
Fig. 2.7	Legacy measurement (Adapted from IOC 2017)	26
Fig. 3.1	Spanish medal ranking from 1988 in Seoul to 2016 in Rio	41
Fig. 3.2	Evolution of the number of medals, 1988–2016	41
Fig. 3.3	Evolution of the number of Spanish athletes participating in Olympic Games	42
Fig. 3.4	Percentage of medals related to the number of participant athletes	43
Fig. 3.5	Comparison between percentages of gold medals in relation to the number of participating athletes	44
Fig. 3.6	Evolution of the ADO budget, 2005–2017	46
Fig. 3.7	ADO subventions and % budget federation, 2008–2017	46
Fig. 3.8	Evolution dimension of the CAR, 1992–2018	48
Fig. 3.9	Number of sports and employees, 1992–2018	49
Fig. 3.10	CAR athletes' international medals, 1992–2018	50
Fig. 3.11	Countries that hosted the most important sports events between 1970 and 2017	54

Fig. 3.12	Comparison between continents in terms of organising top events, 1970–2017	54
Fig. 3.13	Spaniards at international federations, 2009–2017	56
Fig. 3.14	Percentage distribution of IBE (Barcelona Institute of Sports) constructions, 1900–2006	58
Fig. 3.15	Comparison of municipal management between Spanish cities, 2015	59
Fig. 3.16	Sant Jordi and Olympic Stadium spectators, 1992–2015	59
Fig. 3.17	Venue comparison between Olympic cities	60
Fig. 3.18	Capacity comparison between cities and their average	61
Fig. 3.19	Ratio capacity sporting facilities/population comparison among hosting cities	61
Fig. 3.20	Solanellas and Camps (2017), from the survey results of sporting habits conducted by ISA-Gallup, 1968–1975 (García Ferrando and Llopis 2011; Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte 2015)	65
Fig. 3.21	Evolution of the number of licences, based on INE/CSD data, 1944–2012	66
Fig. 4.1	Public participation vs private participation (Solanellas and Camps 2017, based on Preuss 2004a)	71
Fig. 4.2	Investment from public budget	73
Fig. 4.3	Commercial resources in Barcelona'92	74
Fig. 4.4	COOB'92 resources	75
Fig. 4.5	Revenues of organising Olympic Committees	75
Fig. 4.6	Resource distribution to main organisation	76
Fig. 4.7	Resources allocated to building work	76
Fig. 4.8	Spanish GDP evolution, 1984–2017	81
Fig. 4.9	Spanish GDP per capita evolution, 1984–2016	82
Fig. 4.10	Unemployment evolution in Barcelona, 1985–1993	84
Fig. 4.11	Evolution of COOB'92 employees	85
Fig. 4.12	Consumption increase, 1985–1992 (Brunet 1994)	88
Fig. 4.13	Price of housing in Barcelona, 1985–1993	89
Fig. 4.14	Evolution of the number of tenders, 1985–1992	89
Fig. 4.15	Tourist and overnights evolution in Barcelona, 1990–2015	90
Fig. 4.16	Evolution of passengers at Barcelona airport, 1985–1990 (Brunet 1994)	91
Fig. 4.17	European tourist evolution, 1990–2015	91
Fig. 4.18	Tourist distribution in 2012 by months	92
Fig. 5.1	Categorisation of dimensions used to assess the social value of major sporting events	102
Fig. 5.2	Evolution of the number of registrations in Spain, 1944–2012 ( <i>Source</i> Compiled by the authors using INE/CSD data)	107

Fig. 5.3	Comparative evolution of Olympic and non-Olympic sports registrations in Spain, 1944–2012 ( <i>Source</i> Compiled by the authors using INE/CSD data)	108
Fig. 5.4	Evolution of doing sport in Spain according to sports habits surveys ( <i>Source</i> Compiled by the authors using results of ISA Gallup sports habits surveys, 1968–1975 [García Ferrando and Llopis 2011; Ministry of Education, Culture and Sport 2015])	109
Fig. 5.5	Evolution of the number of athletes and volunteers in the Olympic Games ( <i>Source</i> Compiled by the authors using Moragas and Botella [2002], and the IOC website)	111
Fig. 5.6	Evolution and percentage of number of subscribers to public facilities, 1992–2016 ( <i>Source</i> Solanellas et al. 2017b)	112
Fig. 5.7	Evolution in the practice of school sport in the city of Barcelona, 1991–2014 ( <i>Source</i> Compiled by the authors with data from the statistical office of Barcelona City Hall)	113
Fig. 5.8	Potential social benefits of the initiatives identified by the IOC twenty-five years after the Barcelona Games	119
Fig. 5.9	Social benefits mentioned by Catalan actors twenty-five years after the organisation of the games	121
Fig. 5.10	The dual dynamic of social value creation ( <i>Source</i> Ferrand 2018)	129
Fig. 6.1	The three systems that form a territory (Adapted from Moine 2006)	140
Fig. 6.2	The three components of a management system (Adapted from Hatchuel and Weil 1992)	153
Fig. 6.3	Delivery structures for the 2024 Paris Games	154
Fig. 6.4	Moments in the construction of a management system designed to build the legacy defined by the joint vision	157



## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Barcelona 1992: goals of the various institutional agents	20
Table 2.2	Positive and negative legacies to various stakeholders	22
Table 2.3	Dimensions	24
Table 2.4	Sociocultural indicators	29
Table 3.1	Sports dimensions	40
Table 3.2	Comparison among hosting Olympic countries	42
Table 3.3	ADO Programme sponsorship categories	47
Table 3.4	International sports events held in Barcelona, 1992–2019	52
Table 3.5	Annual events in Barcelona (2013–2017)	53
Table 3.6	Most important international sports events in Spain after 1992	55
Table 4.1	The economic dimension	70
Table 4.2	Budget settlement, 1987–1993	77
Table 4.3	Revenue distribution: a comparison between Barcelona'92 and Rio de Janeiro'16	78
Table 4.4	Olympic Stadium comparison between cities	79
Table 4.5	Rapid waters comparison between Olympic cities	80
Table 4.6	Tennis centre comparison between Olympic cities	80
Table 4.7	Unemployment rate in Barcelona, Catalunya and Spain (31/12)	83
Table 4.8	Construction employment evolution in Barcelona, 1985–1992	84
Table 4.9	Costs and economic impact comparing Olympic cities	87
Table 4.10	Direct and indirect economic impact of the Olympic Games	88
Table 4.11	Key indicators of Barcelona tourism, 1990–2015	90
Table 5.1	Classification of sports cities, December 2016	109

Table 5.2	Evolution of participation and sports activities, School Sport Games, 1991–1996	113
Table 5.3	Legacy of the Barcelona Games in the social and educational sector—twenty-five years later	116
Table 5.4	Respondents’ backgrounds	120
Table 5.5	Categories and subcategories used to analyse the content of Catalan actors’ views	120
Table 6.1	Sporting dimension indicators	142
Table 6.2	Economic dimension indicators	144
Table 6.3	Social dimension indicators	145
Table 6.4	Representations regarding the legacy of the Olympic Games in Barcelona	146
Table 6.5	Benefits to respondents’ own organisations	147
Table 6.6	Influence of the Barcelona Olympic Games on other events	148
Table 6.7	Negative influence of the Barcelona Olympic Games	149
Table 6.8	Influence of the organisation of the Barcelona Olympic Games on management	150
Table 6.9	Confidence level among Barcelona citizens	150
Table 6.10	Confidence level among Barcelona citizens	151
Table 6.11	Respondents’ conclusions	152