
Springer Geophysics

The Springer Geophysics series seeks to publish a broad portfolio of scientific books, aiming at researchers, students, and everyone interested in geophysics. The series includes peer-reviewed monographs, edited volumes, textbooks, and conference proceedings. It covers the entire research area including, but not limited to, applied geophysics, computational geophysics, electrical and electromagnetic geophysics, geodesy, geodynamics, geomagnetism, gravity, lithosphere research, paleomagnetism, planetology, tectonophysics, thermal geophysics, and seismology.

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/10173>

Pavel G. Talalay

Thermal Ice Drilling Technology



Geological Publishing House



Springer

Pavel G. Talalay
College of Construction Engineering
Jilin University
Changchun, China

ISSN 2364-9119 ISSN 2364-9127 (electronic)
Springer Geophysics
ISBN 978-981-13-8847-7 ISBN 978-981-13-8848-4 (eBook)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-8848-4>

Jointly published with Geological Publishing House, Beijing, China
The print edition is not for sale in China. Customers from China please order the print book from: Geological Publishing House.

© Geological Publishing House and Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2020
This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.
The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.
The publishers, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publishers nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publishers remain neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd.
The registered company address is: 152 Beach Road, #21-01/04 Gateway East, Singapore 189721, Singapore

Any sufficiently advanced technology is indistinguishable from magic.
Arthur C. Clarke

Preface

This book is a continuation of the review of ice drilling technology published in 2016, where, in the beginning, a general introduction to ice drilling technology was given (Talalay 2016). The present book includes the design, parameters, and performance of various tools and drills for making holes in snow, firn, and ice via thermal methods. The melting point of ice at normal atmospheric pressure is quite low compared with that of common rocks and is close to 0 °C (Feistel and Wagner (2006) found that the melting point of purified ice is 0.002519 ± 0.000002 °C), and thus melting looks like the obvious solution for making boreholes in ice.

At first glance, thermal drills are simpler and more attractive than mechanical drills because they do not rotate and, for that reason, do not need driving downhole or surface units, anti-torque systems, slip rings, etc. On the other hand, the specific energy required for melting ice ($590\text{--}680$ MJ/m³) is much higher than the energy required by mechanical systems ($1.9\text{--}4.8$ MJ/m³) (Koci and Sonderup 1990). Thermal drills also need reliable heating elements that can work for long periods of time at high pressures and a wide temperature range. These drills are difficult to use for penetrating debris-rich ice and recovering subglacial bedrock samples because even though rock melting is possible, it is extremely power-consuming (Armstrong et al. 1962).

On April 1995, T. Folger published a remarkable paper in “Discover” about strange mole-like animals that melt ice holes in the Antarctic ice. Individuals of this newly discovered species were approximately 15 cm long and had a very high metabolic rate—their body temperature was 43 °C. Perhaps their most fascinating feature was a bony plate on their forehead. These animals radiated tremendous amounts of body heat through their “hot plates”, which they use to melt holes in ice. That is why they were named as *hotheaded naked ice borers*. News of the ice borers drew more letters from “Discover” readers than any other piece in the magazine’s previous 15-year history. Most readers were amused and elaborated on an April’s fools hoax.

Joking aside, several years later, hybrid drilling–melting drill heads were designed in Hong Kong Polytechnic University to penetrate through debris-laden ice based on the assumption that the particles would be pushed backward by the hot rotating blades in combination with a solid heating tip. Another “bionic” thermal probe IceMole, was developed in FH Aachen University of Applied Sciences, Germany. Like a mole, the probe is able to “dig” holes in ice horizontally and even vertically upwards (see Sects. 1.4.5 and 1.4.6).

A critical issue in thermal drilling technology is water refreezing in the open hole. The freezing of meltwater in boreholes drilled in temperate glaciers that are at their melting point throughout the year from their surface to their base is relatively slow. However, in polar glaciers, refreezing is very rapid (according to Humphrey and Echelmeyer 1990, 4–23 h with an ice temperature of -25 °C and an initial diameter of 100–240 mm). Thus, refreezing or the removal of meltwater is challenge No. 1.

The diameter of the borehole just above the melting tip is larger than the diameter of the melting tip. Therefore, knowing the refreezing and penetration rates allow for estimating the time for the safe removal of the thermal drill before the hole becomes smaller than the probe. When drilling deep holes in polar ice, the time for the safe removal of thermal drills (except for

hot-water drilling systems) is not enough, and the hole needs to be filled with antifreeze drilling fluid. In addition, the melted hole walls tend to be irregular, which could be a problem for some types of logging.

Depending on drilling ability and performance, thermal drilling tools can be divided into the following groups: (1) hot-point drills; (2) electric thermal coring drills; (3) hot-water drilling systems; (4) steam drills; and (5) unconventional thermal drilling systems. Hereinafter, the different drills and tools available for thermal drilling in ice are reviewed and discussed, focusing on particular aspects of drilling operations, drilling problems, and possible solutions. In each section, a description of each type of drill is given in chronological order. Although this review could be useful for learning about ice drilling technology, the experience will ultimately become the best guide, as happens with most equipment.

I am grateful to H. Ueda, V. Chistyakov, R. LeB. Hooke, L. Augustin, V. Zagorodnov, I. Allison, J. Wilson, D. Etheridge, A. Smith, J. Kelty, J. Cherwinka, P. Doran, K. Makinson, A. Pyne, A. Takahashi, D. Winebrenner, J. Goetz, F. Wilhelms, J. Schwander, X. Fan, G. Da, M. Sysoev, B. Liu, Y. Liu, D. Pomraning, and other experts for providing pictures, reports, and other materials. I would like to thank Y. Sun for his continuing support when preparing the book and Y. Yang, who helped me a lot with the publication procedure. The completion of this manuscript would not have been possible without the financial support of the Program for Jilin University Science and Technology Innovative Research Team (Project No. 2017TD-24), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, and the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (Project No. 2016YFC1400300).

Drilling in ice is often a nontypical and challenging process. The deepest hole drilled in ice via thermal methods was bored at Vostok station, East Antarctica, to a depth of 2755.3 m (Vasiliev et al. 2007). The deepest hole drilled in ice via mechanical methods was bored to a depth of 3769.3 m also at Vostok station (Lukin and Vasiliev 2014). However, the thickest Antarctic ice, which is at Terre Adélie (69° 54' S, 135° 12' E), is 4776-m deep (Riffenburgh 2007). Therefore, the deepest polar ice has not been drilled yet. Ice drills that worked perfectly in some ice intervals or sites failed under different conditions. Whenever this occurred, designers and engineers would have more questions than answers. I selected Clarke's third law from his book "Profiles of the Future", 1961, as an epigraph to this book because the separating line between state-of-the-art engineering and magic can frequently be blurred.

Changchun, China
December 2018

Pavel G. Talalay

References

- Armstrong DE, Coleman JS, McInteer BB et al (1962) Rock melting as a drilling technology. Los Alamos National Laboratory Report, LA-3243
- Feistel R, Wagner W (2006) A new equation of state for H₂O ice Ih. *J Phys Chem Ref Data* 35(2):021–1047
- Folger T (1995) Strange molelike animal melts ice tunnels with its head. *Discover* 16(4):14–15
- Humphrey N, Echelmeyer K (1990) Hot-water drilling and bore-hole closure in cold ice. *J Glaciol* 36(124):287–298
- Koci BR, Sonderup JM (1990) Evaluation of deep ice core drilling system. Polar Ice Coring Office, University of Alaska Fairbanks, PICO Tech. Rep. 90–1
- Lukin VV, Vasiliev NI (2014) Technological aspects of the final phase of drilling borehole 5G and unsealing Vostok Subglacial Lake, East Antarctica. *Ann Glaciol* 55(65):83–89
- Riffenburgh B (ed) (2007) *Encyclopedia of the Antarctic: Vol I (A–K)*, New York
- Talalay PG (2016) *Mechanical ice drilling technology*. Geological Publishing House, Beijing and Springer Science + Business Media Singapore
- Vasiliev NI, Talalay PG, Bobin NE et al (2007) Deep drilling at Vostok station, Antarctica: History and recent events. *Ann Glaciol* 47:10–23

Contents

1 Hot-Point Drills	1
1.1 Hot-Point Drills with a Fluid-Heating Medium	2
1.1.1 Calciati's Hot-Point Drill	3
1.1.2 ETH Lightweight Hot Point	3
1.1.3 Glacier Girl Recovery	4
1.1.4 IceCube Firm Drill	5
1.1.5 Coiled Hot Points for Drilling in Sea or Lake Ice	6
1.1.6 Summary	7
1.2 Electric-Heated Hot Points	7
1.2.1 NRC Ice Thickness Hot-Point Kit	8
1.2.2 Hot Point of the US Antarctic Service	9
1.2.3 Hot Point of the University of Cambridge	9
1.2.4 Nizery Hot Point	9
1.2.5 AINA Hot Points	13
1.2.6 E.P.F. Hot Point Drills	14
1.2.7 Hot Points of the Baffin Island Expedition	15
1.2.8 Caltech Hot Points	16
1.2.9 Hot Point of Oxford University	18
1.2.10 Granduc Mines Hot Point	18
1.2.11 Hot Points of the Cambridge Austerdalsbre Expedition	19
1.2.12 Hot Point Experiments in 4th–8th SAEs	20
1.2.13 Stacey Hot Point	21
1.2.14 Hot Point of Stockholm University	23
1.2.15 LaChapelle Silicon-Carbide Hot Point	23
1.2.16 Aamot Buoyancy-Stabilized Hot-Point Drill	24
1.2.17 Classen Hot Points	25
1.2.18 ETI-1 Hot Point and Modifications	26
1.2.19 Mikroteb-1 Hot Point	28
1.2.20 Speedograph and ETI Hot Point with Penetration Rate Recording	28
1.2.21 Taylor Hot Points	30
1.2.22 Hot Points of the Laboratoire de Glaciologie, CNRS	31
1.2.23 Hot Points of the University of Minnesota	32
1.2.24 The Simple Hot-Point of the Japanese Glaciological Expedition to Nepal	34
1.2.25 Hot Point of the Geological Survey of India	34
1.2.26 Grześ Hot Point	35
1.2.27 Climatopic Thermal Probe	35
1.2.28 Leningrad Mining Institute Hot Point	37
1.2.29 BGR Hot Point	38
1.2.30 Tohoku University Thermal Sonde	39

1.2.31	Hot Point of the Byrd Polar Research Center	40
1.2.32	Large-Diameter Electric-Heated Hot Points	41
1.2.33	Summary	41
1.3	Freezing-in Hot-Point Drills (Philberth Probes)	45
1.3.1	Subglacial Nuclear Autonomous Station	45
1.3.2	Philberth Probe	46
1.3.3	CRREL Pendulum Probe	49
1.3.4	Meltsonde Probe of the Australian Antarctic Division	49
1.3.5	PICO Thermal Probe (Hansen Probe)	50
1.3.6	Probes of the Snow and Ice Research Group	51
1.3.7	Sonde Under Shelf Ice (SUSI)	53
1.3.8	Recoverable Autonomous Sonde (RECAS)	55
1.3.9	Summary	56
1.4	Thermal Probes for Extraterrestrial Investigations	57
1.4.1	Cryobot	58
1.4.2	Subsurface Ice Probe (SIPR)	60
1.4.3	Modified SUSI Probe	61
1.4.4	Melting Probe of the Space Research Institute	61
1.4.5	Melting Probe of Hong Kong Polytechnic University and the Space Research Institute	63
1.4.6	IceMole	65
1.4.7	VALKYRIE	68
1.4.8	SPINDLE	70
1.4.9	Ice Diver	72
1.4.10	IceShuttle Teredo	73
1.4.11	Summary	74
	References	76
2	Electric Thermal Coring Drills	81
2.1	Thermal Coring Drills Without Meltwater Removal Systems	82
2.1.1	Caltech Thermal Drill	82
2.1.2	Thermal Core Drill of the University of Iceland	83
2.1.3	Thermal Core Drill of the University of Washington	85
2.1.4	ETB-1 and LKTBM-1 Thermal Drills	86
2.1.5	MTBS Thermal Drills	86
2.1.6	Electrothermal Drill of the University of Minnesota/PICO/IDDO	86
2.1.7	Icedrill.ch Thermal Drill	89
2.1.8	Hot Jay Drill	91
2.1.9	Inductive Electro-Thermal Under-Ice Corer	91
2.1.10	Summary	92
2.2	Electrothermal Coring Drills for Open-Hole Drilling	92
2.2.1	CRREL Deep Thermal Drill	93
2.2.2	CRREL Portable Thermal Drill	94
2.2.3	ANARE Thermal Drill Developments and Experience	97
2.2.4	CRREL Large-Diameter Thermal Drill	101
2.2.5	ANARE Large-Diameter Thermal Drill	102
2.2.6	JARE Thermal Drills	103
2.2.7	TELGA Thermal Drills	107
2.2.8	LGGE Thermal Drill	115
2.2.9	ETB-140 (ETB-130) Thermal Drills	117
2.2.10	Summary	117

2.3	Electrothermal Coring Drills for Drilling with Borehole Fluids	118
2.3.1	CRREL Fluid-Type Thermal Drill	118
2.3.2	TBZS-152 (132) Thermal Drills	118
2.3.3	TBS-112VCh Thermal Drill	124
2.3.4	LGGE Fluid-Type Thermal Drills	126
2.3.5	Antifreeze Thermal Eclectic Drills	130
2.3.6	Summary	138
	References	139
3	Hot-Water Ice Drills	145
3.1	Hot-Water Systems for Near-Surface Drilling in Glaciers and Making Access Holes Through Sea and Lake Ice	146
3.1.1	PICO Shallow Hot-Water Drill	146
3.1.2	DREP Hot-Water Shallow Drills	147
3.1.3	Soviet/Russian Portable Hot-Water Drills	149
3.1.4	Unmanned Autonomous Hot-Water Ice Drill of the University of New Hampshire	150
3.1.5	Exxon Hot-Water Ice Drill	152
3.1.6	CRREL Portable Hot-Water Drilling System	153
3.1.7	Kovacs Small Hot-Water Drill	154
3.1.8	Shallow Hot-Water Drills for Seismic Surveys	155
3.1.9	IDDO Sediment-Laden Drill	156
3.1.10	Ice Drills for Making Large Holes in Sea Ice	156
3.1.11	Summary	160
3.2	Shallow Hot-Water Ice-Drilling Systems	161
3.2.1	LGGE Shallow Hot-Water Drills	161
3.2.2	ETH Hot-Water Drill System	163
3.2.3	Hot-Water Drill of University of British Columbia, Canada	164
3.2.4	USGS Hot-Water Drill Systems	166
3.2.5	BAS Shallow Hot-Water Drill	169
3.2.6	Sweden Shallow Hot-Water Drill Systems	170
3.2.7	Hot-Water Drilling System of the Geological Survey of Greenland	171
3.2.8	Icefield Instruments Hot-Water Drilling System	172
3.2.9	Hot-Water Drilling System for Water Well Construction	172
3.2.10	Hot-Water Drill of Aberystwyth University, UK	174
3.2.11	Icelandic Hot-Water Drilling Systems	176
3.2.12	Shallow Hot-Water Drilling Systems of the University of Wyoming	180
3.2.13	ILTS Hot-Water Drilling Systems	182
3.2.14	ANDRILL Hot-Water Drill	184
3.2.15	ARA Hot-Water Drill System	187
3.2.16	JLU Shallow Hot-Water Drill	190
3.2.17	Summary	190
3.3	Intermediate Hot-Water Ice Drilling Systems	191
3.3.1	Browning Hot-Water Drill	191
3.3.2	PICO Intermediate Hot-Water Drilling System	191
3.3.3	ETH Intermediate-Depth Hot-Water Drilling System	193
3.3.4	AWI Hot-Water Drilling System	194
3.3.5	Caltech Hot-Water Drilling System	196
3.3.6	Norwegian Hot-Water Drilling System	199
3.3.7	BAS Intermediate-Depth Hot-Water Drilling Systems and Their Modifications	200

3.3.8	Hot-Water Drilling System of the University of Alaska-Fairbanks	208
3.3.9	AMISOR Hot-Water Drilling System	210
3.3.10	WISSARD Hot-Water Drill	212
3.3.11	Intermediate-Depth Hot-Water Drilling System of the University of Wyoming	218
3.3.12	Summary	219
3.4	Deep Hot-Water Ice-Drilling Systems	221
3.4.1	AMANDA Project, South Pole	221
3.4.2	IceCube Project, South Pole	224
3.4.3	RABID Project, Rutford Ice Stream, Antarctica	229
3.4.4	Clean Access to Subglacial Lake Ellsworth, Antarctica	232
3.4.5	BEAMISH Project, Rutford Ice Stream, Antarctica	235
3.4.6	Chinese Hot-Water Deep Drilling Project at Amery Ice Shelf	236
3.4.7	Summary	238
3.5	Coring Ice Drills with an Intermediate Heat-Transfer Agent	240
3.5.1	Browning Hot-Water Coring Drill	240
3.5.2	IGSFE Ice-Coring Device	240
3.5.3	Caltech Hot-Water Ice-Coring Drill and Its Modifications	241
3.5.4	SPSMI Hydrothermal Ice-Core Drill	244
3.5.5	Summary	244
	References	244
4	Steam Ice Drills	251
4.1	Portable Steam Ice Drills	251
4.1.1	Voyeykov's Steam Drill	251
4.1.2	Howorka and NVE Steam Drills	252
4.1.3	Steam Drill of the University of Washington	253
4.1.4	Steam Drill of the Laboratoire de Glaciologie	254
4.1.5	JARE Steam Drill	254
4.1.6	Heucke Steam Drill	254
4.1.7	Danish Steam Drill	257
4.2	Large-Diameter Steam Drills	257
4.2.1	Rodriguez Wells	257
4.2.2	JARE Large-Diameter Steam Drill	259
4.3	Steam Ice-Coring Drills	260
4.4	Summary	260
	References	261
5	Perspectives for Future Development of Thermal Ice-Drilling Technology	263
5.1	Unconventional Thermal Ice-Drilling Methods	263
5.1.1	Dissolution Drilling	263
5.1.2	Flame-Jet Drilling	264
5.1.3	Laser Ice Drilling	266
5.2	New Heating Technologies	269
5.3	Directional Thermal Drilling	270
5.4	Automated Thermal Drilling Systems and Power Supply	271
5.5	Summary	272
	References	273
	Appendix A: Records of Thermal Drilling in Ice	275
	Appendix B: Abbreviations of Institutes, Organizations and Projects	277