

# Good Faith in Insurance and *Takaful* Contracts in Malaysia

Haemala Thanasegaran

# Good Faith in Insurance and *Takaful* Contracts in Malaysia

A Comparative Perspective

Haemala Thanasegaran  
Monash University  
Melbourne, VIC  
Australia

and

Monash University  
Subang Jaya, Selangor  
Malaysia

ISBN 978-981-10-0381-3      ISBN 978-981-10-0383-7 (eBook)  
DOI 10.1007/978-981-10-0383-7

Library of Congress Control Number: 2015960218

© Springer Science+Business Media Singapore 2016

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by SpringerNature  
The registered company is Springer Science+Business Media Singapore Pte Ltd.

# Foreword

The duty of utmost good faith is perhaps the single most important distinguishing characteristic of insurance contracts. In civil law jurisdictions, contractual good faith is a pervasive concept. In common law jurisdictions, by contrast, utmost good faith is confined to insurance law and extends to both pre-contractual and post-contractual dealings between the insurers and their policyholders. The law has undergone dramatic changes in recent times. In Australia, the *Insurance Contracts Act 1984* distinguishes between pre-contractual presentation of information and post-contractual conduct, rendering the latter an implied contractual term with both contractual and regulatory sanctions if the duty is broken. In the UK, exactly 250 years after the duty was laid down in the seminal case of *Carter v Boehm*, the *Insurance Act 2015*—which comes into force in 2016—has recast the duty of utmost good faith, reclassifying the pre-contractual duty as one of fair presentation and laying down a more general principle for post-contractual dealings.

Dr. Haemala Thanasegaran’s text, which is now in front of you, is the first major published work to demonstrate systematically how the principles of utmost good faith apply to *takaful*. Islamic insurance has a number of unique features not reflected in common law rules, and utmost good faith has been adapted from the common law so that it complies with principles of *takaful*. The focus in the text is on Malaysia and, in particular, on changes introduced in 2013, but scholars of both common law insurance rules and *takaful* will find this book an excellent and rewarding study of utmost good faith under both sets of rules. A particularly important feature of the book is its currency, taking in the most recent developments in all jurisdictions discussed. It will become a key point of reference for practitioners, academics and indeed, market professionals who wish to understand how the principles interact and how they differ, and indeed how they apply in practice.

Dr. Thanasegaran is to be congratulated on her excellent and timely scholarship.

Sidmouth, England

Rob Merkin QC

# Preface

The past 10 years or so have witnessed tremendous activity in the insurance industry in the UK and Malaysia, in terms of regulatory and infrastructural improvements. This has been a long-time coming, for an industry that has been regulated primarily by Lord Mansfield's 18th century common law pronouncement of utmost good faith as codified in the *Marine Insurance Act 1906* (UK).

The *Marine Insurance Act 1906* (UK)'s application to both insurance and *takaful* contracts in Malaysia has been by virtue of Malaysia's British colonial roots as reinforced by the *Civil Law Act 1956* (Malaysia). Although *takaful* or Islamic insurance is a relatively new entrant compared to its conventional insurance counterpart, it has shown great potential for growth in Malaysia within the dual mainstream mercantile law system of the country subject of course to *Sharia* requirements. This has been due to the Muslim majority population in the country, as well as its strategic positioning by the government as a regional and global Islamic financial hub.

Nevertheless, the insurance and *takaful* industry in Malaysia has been regulated by the *Insurance Act 1996* (Malaysia) and the *Takaful Act 1984* (Malaysia) that have both not explicitly nor adequately addressed the duty of utmost good faith, despite its acknowledged acceptance by case law as forming the cornerstone of such contracts which are *uberrima fidei* in nature.

The Malaysian government has however taken steps over the years to enhance the industry in terms of its infrastructure and education. In 2003, it launched a 10-year Consumer Education Programme to educate consumers of their rights and responsibilities arising under insurance and *takaful* contracts. This was followed by the amalgamation of the Insurance and Banking Mediation Bureaus into the highly effective Financial Mediation Bureau in 2005 which handles the majority of insurance and *takaful* complaints to date. The Law Harmonisation Committee was in turn established in 2010 to facilitate a more conducive legal system to achieve certainty and enforceability of Malaysian Islamic finance laws. This was followed in 2011 by the Central Bank's *Sharia Governance Framework* for Islamic Financial

Institutions in order to enhance the role of the key organs responsible for executing *Sharia* compliance.

Major regulatory reform of the Malaysian insurance and *takaful* industry in the form of the *Financial Services Act 2013* (Malaysia) and the *Islamic Financial Services Act 2013* (Malaysia) however came about following the substantial reforms undertaken in the UK. Both these statutes came into effect on 30 June 2013 following a much awaited repeal of their predecessors, the *Insurance Act 1996* (Malaysia) and the *Takaful Act 1984* (Malaysia).

It is important to note that prior to the Malaysian reforms taking place, the Law Commission of England and Wales and the Scottish Law Commission had, in 2006, commenced a major review of insurance law in the UK. This has since culminated in the enactment of the *Consumer Insurance (Disclosure and Representations) Act 2012* (UK) which came into effect on 6 April 2013, introducing substantial reform with respect to consumer insurance contracts. This in fact formed the basis of the Malaysian reforms undertaken in 2013.

The Law Commissions have since gone on to enact the *Insurance Act 2015* (UK) which is meant primarily but not exclusively for non-consumer insurance contracts. The *Act* received the royal assent on 12 February 2015 and will take effect in August 2016, following an 18-month lead-in period. This was inspired by the urgent need for reform of insurance contract law in the UK which has had numerous calls for reform dating back more than 50 years, as well as the progressive Australian insurance law reform package, the *Insurance Contracts Act 1984 (Cth)*, that has proven to be highly effective.

It is against this backdrop of development in the UK and Australia that this book proposes to evaluate the Malaysian reforms pertaining to utmost good faith in insurance and *takaful* contracts undertaken in 2013, with the aim of achieving reform that serves to strike a fair and workable balance between the competing interests of insurers/*takaful* operators and insureds/*takaful* participants.

Apart from offering a comparative analysis of the law in this area which is hoped would prove useful to its readers, this book serves to highlight the importance of undertaking law reform in a holistic sense. This in turn involves a sociocultural evaluation of Malaysian society as well as the economic efficiency of the reforms proposed, in order to further substantiate the need for and viability of such reform, as insurance and *takaful* contracts are underpinned by social and economic considerations. This is done in the firm belief that a balanced legal framework is crucial to achieving comprehensive and sustained improvement in the law of utmost good faith, like any other law of a commercial nature for that matter.

It remains to be seen what further reforms would be undertaken by the Malaysian government in this respect. It is hoped that some of what is contained herein proves useful in this regard.

I wish to take this opportunity to thank my publishers: Springer and the staff responsible for this project; my mentor and colleagues at Monash University Australia and Malaysia for their support; and Professor Robert Merkin for his gracious and insightful foreword to this book.

Finally, my sincere thanks go to my family—my husband Dinesh, daughters Marisha and Anoushka, son Dravid and my parents, for their love and encouragement.

The research undertaken for this book has been applied to a number of law review articles, and this book draws upon those works as indicated in the text. The law and legal issues analysed herein is as at 30 June 2015.

August 2015

Haemala Thanasegaran

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	1
1.1	Introduction.	1
1.2	Background.	3
1.3	Objective and Scope.	5
1.4	Structure.	7
	References	9
<b>2</b>	<b>Duty of Utmost Good Faith</b>	11
2.1	Introduction.	11
2.2	The Meaning of and Juridical Basis for the Duty	12
2.3	Continuing Nature of the Duty of Utmost Good Faith	15
2.4	Application of the Duty of Utmost Good Faith	18
2.5	Reciprocal Duties.	19
2.6	Scope of Insurer’s Duty of Utmost Good Faith	25
	2.6.1 Clear Warning in Proposal Forms	25
	2.6.2 Unduly Strict Construction of Policies and Exclusions	29
	2.6.3 Good Faith in Claims Settlement	29
	2.6.4 Tort of Bad Faith.	33
2.7	Scope of Insured’s Duty of Utmost Good Faith	34
	2.7.1 Pre-contractual Duty of Disclosure	34
	2.7.2 Misrepresentation.	41
	2.7.3 Fraudulent Claims	44
2.8	Remedies for Breach of the Duty of Utmost Good Faith.	44
2.9	Conclusion	45
	References	45
<b>3</b>	<b>Pre-contractual Duty of Disclosure and Misrepresentation</b>	47
3.1	Introduction.	47
3.2	Development and Application of the Pre-contractual Duties in the United Kingdom.	49
	3.2.1 What Must the Insured Disclose.	50



- 3.2.2 Exceptions to the Duty of Disclosure . . . . . 52
- 3.2.3 The Prudent Insurer Test of Materiality. . . . . 54
- 3.2.4 Criticism of the Prudent Insurer Test of Materiality . . . 56
- 3.2.5 The Inducement Element and Degree of Influence . . . . 59
- 3.2.6 Criticism of the CTI and Pan Atlantic Decisions . . . . . 64
- 3.2.7 Types of Information Requiring Disclosure . . . . . 69
- 3.2.8 Law Reform in the United Kingdom . . . . . 72
- 3.2.9 The Effect of Intermediaries on Non-disclosure  
and Misrepresentation. . . . . 80
- 3.2.10 The Effect of ‘Basis of Contract’ Clauses  
on Warranties . . . . . 81
- 3.3 Development and Application of the Pre-contractual  
Duties in Malaysia. . . . . 86
  - 3.3.1 The Prudent Insurer Test in Malaysia . . . . . 86
  - 3.3.2 Pre-contractual Disclosure Under the Insurance  
Act 1996. . . . . 88
  - 3.3.3 The Effect of Intermediaries on Non-disclosure  
and Misrepresentation. . . . . 94
  - 3.3.4 Statutory Warnings in Proposal Forms . . . . . 97
  - 3.3.5 Misrepresentation and ‘Basis of Contract’ Clauses . . . . 98
  - 3.3.6 Central Bank Guidelines. . . . . 101
  - 3.3.7 Pre-contractual Disclosure and Misrepresentation  
Under the Financial Services Act 2013 . . . . . 102
- 3.4 Conclusion . . . . . 106
- References . . . . . 107
- 4 Post-contractual Good Faith and Claims Settlement . . . . . 109**
  - 4.1 Introduction. . . . . 109
  - 4.2 Application of the Law in the United Kingdom . . . . . 111
    - 4.2.1 Construction of Policies . . . . . 112
    - 4.2.2 Exclusions and Proximate Cause . . . . . 115
    - 4.2.3 Claims Settlement Practice . . . . . 116
    - 4.2.4 English Proposals for Law Reform. . . . . 120
  - 4.3 Development and Application of the Law in Malaysia . . . . . 128
    - 4.3.1 Post Contractual Good Faith and Claims Settlement  
Under the Insurance Act 1996. . . . . 128
    - 4.3.2 Central Bank Guidelines . . . . . 137
    - 4.3.3 Post-contractual Good Faith and Claims Settlement  
Under the Financial Services Act 2013 . . . . . 140
  - 4.4 Conclusion . . . . . 141
  - References . . . . . 141
- 5 Utmost Good Faith and *Takaful* in Malaysia. . . . . 145**
  - 5.1 Introduction. . . . . 145
  - 5.2 The Juridical Basis of *Takaful* . . . . . 145

5.3	<i>Takaful</i> Models in Existence . . . . .	148
5.4	The Development and Regulation of <i>Takaful</i> in Malaysia . . . . .	150
5.4.1	Relevance of Utmost Good Faith to <i>Takaful</i> Contracts . . . . .	152
5.4.2	Utmost Good Faith Under the <i>Takaful</i> Act 1984 . . . . .	154
5.4.3	Utmost Good Faith Under the Islamic Financial Services Act 2013 . . . . .	157
5.5	Conclusion . . . . .	163
	References . . . . .	164
<b>6</b>	<b>Law Reform from a Social Science Perspective . . . . .</b>	<b>167</b>
6.1	Introduction. . . . .	167
6.2	Legal Culture . . . . .	168
6.3	Legal Structure . . . . .	171
6.4	Legal Substance. . . . .	173
6.5	Measuring Legal Culture. . . . .	176
6.5.1	Power Distance . . . . .	178
6.5.2	Individualism Versus Collectivism . . . . .	182
6.5.3	Assertiveness. . . . .	185
6.5.4	Uncertainty Avoidance . . . . .	188
6.6	Intra-National or Ethnic Culture. . . . .	191
6.7	Effect of Legal Culture on Structure and Substance . . . . .	196
6.8	Conclusion . . . . .	197
	References . . . . .	198
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusion. . . . .</b>	<b>201</b>
7.1	Introduction. . . . .	201
7.2	Proposed Reforms . . . . .	202
7.2.1	General Duty of Utmost Good Faith and Its Effect . . . . .	202
7.2.2	Pre-contractual Duty of Disclosure and Its Effect . . . . .	205
7.2.3	Pre-contractual Misrepresentation and ‘Basis of Contract’ Clauses. . . . .	208
7.2.4	Statutory Warnings and Matters of Form. . . . .	210
7.2.5	Role and Effect of Intermediaries . . . . .	211
7.2.6	Claims Settlement and Post-contractual Implications. . . . .	212
7.2.7	Structural and Miscellaneous Reforms . . . . .	217
7.3	The Role of Economic Efficiency. . . . .	218
7.4	Viability of Proposed Reforms. . . . .	221
7.5	Conclusion . . . . .	227
	References . . . . .	228
	<b>Appendix . . . . .</b>	<b>229</b>
	<b>Index . . . . .</b>	<b>231</b>

# Table of Cases

*Abu Bakar v Oriental Fire and General Insurance Co Ltd* [1974]  
 1 MLJ 149 ..... 57, 86, 87

*Abu Dhabi National Tanker Co v Product Star Shipping Ltd (The Product Star)* [1993] 1 Lloyd’s Rep 397 ..... 119

*Adams v Andrews* [1964] 2 Lloyd’s Rep 347 ..... 58

*Adamson & Sons v Liverpool & London & Globe Insurance Co Ltd* [1953] 2 Lloyd’s Rep 355 ..... 117

*Aetna Universal Insurance Sdn Bhd v Fanny Foo May Wan* [2001]  
 1 MLJ 227 ..... 19, 87

*Agapitos v Agnew (The Aegeon)* [2002] 2 Lloyd’s Rep 42 ..... 15, 44, 110

*Alder v Moore* [1961] 2 QB 57 ..... 50, 113

*Aldrich v Norwich Union Life Insurance Co Ltd* [2000]  
 Lloyd’s Rep IR 1 ..... 20, 64, 71, 82, 119

*Alexander Stenhouse Ltd v Austcan Investments Pty Ltd* (1993)  
 7 ANZ Ins Cas ¶161-166 ..... 28

*Allen v Robles* [1969] 2 Lloyd’s Rep 61 ..... 118

*Allis-Chalmers Co v Fidelity & Deposit Co of Maryland* (1916)  
 114 LT 433 ..... 34

*American Home Assurance Co v Nalin Industries Sdn Bhd* [1993]  
 2 MLJ 409 ..... 115, 129, 130

*American International Assurance Co. Ltd v Nadarajan a/l Subramaniam* [2013] 5 MLJ 195 ..... 100

*Anderson v Fitzgerald* (1853) 4 HLC 483 ..... 83, 84

*Aneco Reinsurance Underwriting Ltd v Johnson & Higgins* [1998]  
 1 Lloyd’s Rep 565 ..... 64

*Antico v Heath Fielding Australia Pty Ltd* (1997) 188 CLR 652 ..... 135

*Arasis Sdn Bhd v Pacific & Orient Insurance Co Bhd* [2013]  
 1 MLJ 784 ..... 131

*Arterial Caravans Ltd v Yorkshire Insurance Co Ltd* [1973]  
 1 Lloyd’s Rep 169 ..... 39, 54

<i>Asia Hotel Sdn Bhd v Malayan Insurance (M) Sdn Bhd</i> [1992] 2 MLJ 615 .....	40
<i>Asia Insurance Co Ltd v Tat Hong Plant Leasing Pte Ltd</i> [1992] 1 CLJ 330 .....	39
<i>Asean Security Paper Mills Sdn Bhd v Commercial Union Assurance (M) Sdn Bhd</i> [2003] 6 CLJ 505 .....	131
<i>Asean Securities Paper Mills Sdn Bhd v CGU Insurance Bhd</i> [2007] 2 MLJ 301 .....	32
<i>Aswan Engineering Establishment Co Ltd v Iron Trades Mutual Insurance Co Ltd</i> [1989] 1 Lloyd's Rep 289 .....	112
<i>Australian Associated Motor Insurers Ltd v Ellis</i> (1990) 6 ANZIC ¶60-957 .....	134
<i>AXA Affin Assurance Bhd v MTD Construction Sdn Bhd</i> [2013] 6 MLJ 323 .....	131
<i>Axa General Insurance Ltd v Gottlieb</i> [2005] Lloyd's Rep I R 369 .....	22
<i>Ayrey v British Legal and United Provident Assurance Company Ltd</i> [1918] 1 KB 136 .....	118
<i>Azizah bt Abdullah v Arab Malaysian Eagles Sdn Bhd</i> [1996] 5 MLJ 569 .....	99
<i>Bank of Nova Scotia v Hellenic Mutual War Risks Association (Bermuda) Ltd (The Good Luck)</i> [1991] 2 Lloyd's Rep 191 .....	21, 82
<i>Banque Financiere v Westgate Insurance Co Ltd</i> [1988] 2 Lloyd's Rep 513 .....	12, 13, 20
<i>Banque Keyser Ullmann SA v Skandia (UK) Insurance Co Ltd</i> [1987] 1 Lloyd's Rep 69 .....	12, 18, 19
<i>Banque Financiere v Skandia (UK) Insurance Co Ltd</i> [1990] 2 Lloyd's Rep 377, 389 .....	20, 37
<i>Barclay Holdings (Australia) Pty Ltd v British National Insurance Co Ltd</i> [1987] 8 NSWLR 514 .....	61, 63, 70
<i>Barrer v Metropolitan Life Ins Co</i> , 151 F Supp 2d 617 (ED Pa 2001) ...	113
<i>Barrett Bros (Taxis) Ltd v Davies, Lickiss &amp; Milestone Motor Policies at Lloyd's (Third Parties)</i> [1966] 1 WLR 1334 .....	118
<i>Bean v Stupart</i> (1778) 1 Doug 11 .....	83
<i>Becker v Marshall</i> (1922) 12 Ll L Rep 413 .....	69, 70
<i>Berger and Light Diffusers Pty Ltd v Pollock</i> [1973] 2 Lloyd's Rep 442 .....	59, 60, 61
<i>Beverley v Tyndall Life Insurance Co Ltd</i> (1999) 10 ANZ Ins Cas ¶61-453 .....	134, 135
<i>Bize v Fletcher</i> (1779) 1 Doug 284 .....	84
<i>Black King Shipping v Massie (The Litsion Pride)</i> [1985] 1 Lloyd's Rep 437 .....	15, 17, 109
<i>Bolands Ltd v London &amp; Lancashire Fire Insurance</i> [1924] AC 836 .....	124

<i>Bonner v Cox Dedicated Corporate Member Ltd</i> [2006] Lloyd's Rep I R 385 . . . . .	17
<i>Borhanuddin Bin Haji Jantara v American International Assurance Co Ltd</i> [1987] 1 MLJ 22 . . . . .	130
<i>Braun v Australian Associated Motor Insurers Ltd</i> (1999) 10 ANZ Ins Cas 61–419 . . . . .	32
<i>Britton v Royal Insurance Company</i> (1866) 4 F & F 905 . . . . .	3, 18, 116
<i>Browning v Phoenix Assurance Co Ltd</i> [1960] 2 Lloyd's Rep 360 . . . . .	115
<i>Btsh v Royal Insurance Co Ltd of Liverpool</i> 49 F 2d 720 (1931) . . . . .	65
<i>Burns v MMI – CMI Insurance Ltd</i> (1995) 8 ANZ Ins Cas ¶61-287 . . . . .	91
<i>Butcher v Dowlen</i> [1981] 1 Lloyd's Rep 310 . . . . .	92
<i>Carter v Boehm</i> (1766) 3 Burr 1905 . . . . .	3, 14, 15, 20, 21, 38, 47, 63
<i>Cassel v Lancashire &amp; Yorkshire Accident Insurance Company</i> (1885) 1 TLR 495 . . . . .	117
<i>CE Heath Underwriting &amp; Insurance (Australia) Pty Ltd v Edwards Dunlop &amp; Co Ltd</i> [1993] 176 CLR 535 . . . . .	27
<i>Cementation Piling and Foundations Ltd v Aegon Insurance Co Ltd</i> [1995] 1 Lloyd's Rep 97 . . . . .	112
<i>Central Lorry Service Co Sdn Bhd v American Insurance Co</i> [1981] 2 MLJ 40 . . . . .	130
<i>CGU Insurance Bhd v Asean Security Paper Mills Sdn Bhd</i> [2006] 3 MLJ 1 . . . . .	32
<i>CGU Insurance Ltd v AMP Financial Planning Pty Ltd</i> (2007) 235 CLR 1 . . . . .	13
<i>CGU Insurance Ltd v Porthouse</i> [2008] HCA 30 . . . . .	89
<i>Chan Yoke Lain v Pacific &amp; Orient Insurance Co Sdn Bhd</i> [1999] 1 CLJ 179 . . . . .	96
<i>Chapman v Pole P O</i> (1870) 22 L T 306 . . . . .	31
<i>Cheong Heng Loong Goldsmiths (KL) Sdn Bhd v Capital Insurance Bhd</i> [2004] 1 MLJ 353 . . . . .	19, 132, 213
<i>Cheong Heng Loong Goldsmiths (KL) Sdn Bhd v Chan Kim Swi</i> [1997] 5 MLJ 191 . . . . .	131
<i>Chiew Swee Chai v British American Insurance Co Sdn Bhd</i> [1987] 1 MLJ 53 . . . . .	29, 114, 129
<i>China Insurance Co Ltd v Ngau Ah Kau</i> [1972] 1 MLJ 52 . . . . .	131
<i>Chong Kok Hwa v Taisho Marine &amp; Fire Insurance Co Ltd</i> [1977] 1 MLJ 244 . . . . .	81, 131
<i>Commercial Union Assurance (M) Sdn Bhd v Pilihan Megah Sdn Bhd</i> [1998] 7 MLJ 33 . . . . .	130
<i>Commonwealth Insurance Co of Vancouver v Group Sprinks SA</i> [1983] 1 Lloyd's Rep 67 . . . . .	63
<i>Container Transport International Inc v Oceanus Mutual Underwriting Association Ltd</i> [1982] 2 Lloyd's Rep 178 . . . . .	59, 63, 71

<i>Container Transport International Inc v Oceanus Mutual Underwriting Association Ltd</i> [1984] 1 Lloyd's Rep 476 . . . . .	60, 62, 64–68
<i>Cook v Financial Insurance Co</i> [1998] 1 WLR 1765 . . . . .	114
<i>Cornish v Accident Insurance Co Ltd</i> (1889) 23 QBD 453 . . . . .	113
<i>Cory v Patton</i> (1872) LR 7 QB 304 . . . . .	15
<i>Cox v Bankside Members' Agency Limited</i> [1995] 2 Lloyd's Rep 437 . . . . .	23
<i>Danepoint Limited v Allied Underwriting Insurance Limited</i> [2005] EWHC 2318 . . . . .	32
<i>Davidson v Guardian Royal Exchange Assurance</i> [1979] 1 Lloyd's Rep 406 . . . . .	119
<i>Dawsons v Bonnin</i> [1922] 2 AC 413 . . . . .	84, 99
<i>De Hahn v Hartley</i> (1786) 1 TR 343 . . . . .	83
<i>Delphine v Lunley General Insurance</i> [1990] 6 ANZ Ins Cas ¶60-986 . . . . .	94
<i>De Maurier (Jewels) Ltd v Bastion Insurance Co</i> [1967] 2 Lloyd's Rep 550 . . . . .	81
<i>Derry v Peek</i> (1889) 14 App Cas 337 . . . . .	31, 41, 116
<i>Dew v Suncorp Life and Superannuation Ltd</i> [2001] QCA 459 . . . . .	93
<i>Director General of Fair Trading v First National Bank</i> [2002] 1 AC 481, 497 . . . . .	120
<i>Drake Insurance plc v Provident Insurance plc</i> [2004] 1 Lloyd's Rep 268 . . . . .	16
<i>Duckett v Williams</i> (1834) 2 C & M 348 . . . . .	83, 84
<i>East End Real Estate Pty Ltd (t/a City Living) v C E Heath Casualty and General Insurance Ltd</i> (1992) 25 NSWLR 400 . . . . .	135
<i>Economides v Commercial Assurance Co Plc</i> [1997] 3 WLR 1066 . . . . .	42, 50, 52
<i>Eisinger v General Accident, Fire and Life Assurance Co</i> [1955] 2 All ER 897 . . . . .	114
<i>Elkin v Janson</i> (1845) 13 M & W 655 . . . . .	35
<i>English v Western</i> [1940] 2 KB 156 . . . . .	50
<i>Entwells Pty Ltd v National and General Insurance Co Ltd</i> (1991) 6 WAR 68 . . . . .	132, 135
<i>Ewer v National Employers' Mutual General Insurance Association Ltd</i> [1937] 2 All ER 193 . . . . .	31, 44, 70, 71, 117
<i>FAI General Insurance Co Ltd v Australian Hospital Care Pty Ltd</i> (2001) 204 CLR 641 . . . . .	135
<i>Ferrcom Pty Ltd v Commercial Union Assurance Co of Australia Ltd</i> (1993) 176 CLR 332 . . . . .	135
<i>First Energy (UK) Ltd v Hungarian International Bank Ltd</i> [1993] 2 Lloyd's Rep 194 . . . . .	114
<i>Fruehauf Finance Corporation Pty Ltd v Zurich Australia Insurance Limited</i> [1990] 20 NSWLR 359 . . . . .	90

<i>Galloway v Guardian Royal Exchange (UK) Ltd</i> [1999]	
Lloyd's Rep IR 209 . . . . .	30, 116
<i>Gan Insurance Co Ltd v Tai Ping Insurance Co Ltd (No 2)</i>	
[2001] Lloyd's Rep IR 291 . . . . .	119
<i>Garnat Trading &amp; Shipping (Singapore) Pte Ltd v Baominh</i>	
<i>Insurance Corporation</i> [2011] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 589 . . . . .	73
<i>Gerhardt v Continental Insurance Companies</i> [1967]	
1 Lloyd's Rep 380 . . . . .	113
<i>Gibson v Parkes District Hospital</i> (1991) 26 NSWLR 9 . . . . .	33
<i>Gimson v Victorian Workcover Authority</i> [1995] 1 VR 209 . . . . .	33
<i>GIO General Ltd v Wallace</i> (2001) 11 ANZ Ins Cas ¶61-506 . . . . .	28
<i>Glasgow Assurance Corporation v William Symondson &amp; Co</i> (1911)	
16 Com Cas 109 . . . . .	70
<i>Glicksman v Lancashire &amp; General Assurance</i>	
<i>Co Ltd</i> [1927] AC 139 . . . . .	70
<i>Globe Trawlers Pte Ltd v National Employers' Mutual</i>	
<i>General Insurance Association Ltd</i> [1989] 1 MLJ 463 . . . . .	31
<i>Godfrey v Britannic Assurance Co Ltd</i> [1963] 2 Lloyd's Rep 515 . . . . .	58
<i>Goh Chooi Leong v Public Life Assurance Co Ltd</i>	
[1964] MLJ 5 . . . . .	43, 57, 70
<i>Gugliotti v Commercial Union Assurance Co of Australia</i> (1992)	
7 ANZ Ins Cas ¶61-104 . . . . .	136
<i>Hadenfayre Ltd v British National Insurance Society Ltd</i> [1984]	
2 Lloyd's Rep 393 . . . . .	117
<i>Hales v Reliance Fire &amp; Accident Insurance Ltd</i> [1960]	
2 Lloyd's Rep 391 . . . . .	53
<i>Hamlyn v Crown Accidental Insurance Co Ltd</i> [1893] 1 QB 750 . . . . .	112
<i>Hammersley v National Transport Insurance</i> [2015] TASFC 5 . . . . .	112
<i>Hammer Waste Pty Ltd v QBE Mercantile Mutual Ltd</i> [2002]	
NSWSC 1006 . . . . .	89, 133
<i>Harris v Poland</i> [1914] 1 KB 462 . . . . .	113
<i>Hayward v Zurich Insurance Company plc</i> [2015] EWCA Civ 327 . . . . .	117
<i>Herbert v Railway Passenger Assurance Co Ltd</i> (1938) 158 LT 417 . . . . .	118
<i>Highlands Insurance Co v Continental Insurance Co</i> [1987]	
1 Lloyd's Rep 109 . . . . .	44, 50, 60
<i>HIH Casualty and General v Chase Manhattan Bank</i> [2001]	
Lloyd's Rep IR 702 . . . . .	44, 50
<i>HLB Kidsons (A Firm) v Lloyd's Underwriters</i> [2008] EWCA	
Civ 1206 . . . . .	17
<i>Hornal v Neuberger Products Ltd</i> [1957] 1 QB 347 . . . . .	44, 116
<i>Horne v Poland</i> [1922] 2 KB 364 . . . . .	56
<i>Houghton v Trafalgar Insurance Co Ltd</i> [1954] 1 QB 247 . . . . .	50
<i>Huddleston v RAC Insurance Pty Ltd</i> [1975] VR 683 . . . . .	82
<i>Hussain v Brown</i> [1996] 1 Lloyd's Rep 627 . . . . .	82

<i>Insurance Corporation of Channel Islands v Royal Hotel Ltd</i> [1998]	
1 Lloyd's Rep IR 151	64
<i>Insurance Manufacturers of Australia Pty Ltd v Heron</i> [2005]	
VSC 482	90
<i>Investors Compensation Scheme v West Bromwich Building Society</i> [1998] 1 All ER 98	114
<i>Ionides v Pender</i> (1874) QB 531	63, 65
<i>Joel v Law Union and Crown Insurance Company</i> [1908]	
2 KB 863	35, 55
<i>Jong Set Fah v Asia Life Assurance Society Ltd</i> [1966] 2 CLJ 667	128
<i>Katotikidis v Mr Submarine Ltd</i> [2002] ACWSJ 10135	128
<i>Killick v Rendall</i> [2000] 2 All ER (Comm) 57	116
<i>Kirk La Shelle Co v Paul Armstrong Co</i> 263 NY 79, 188 NE 163 (1933)	13
<i>Krisa v Equitable Life Assurance Society</i> , 113 F Supp 2d 694, 701 (MD Pa 2000)	113
<i>K/S Merc-Skandia xxxii v Certain Lloyd's Underwriters (The Mercandian Continent)</i> [2001] 2 Lloyd's Rep 563	17, 22
<i>Kumar v AGF</i> [1999] Lloyd's Rep IR 147	82
<i>Kurnia Insurance (M) Bhd v Nik Mohd Faizul bin Nik Mustafa &amp; Anor</i> [2013] 9 MLJ 675	19
<i>Kuwait Airways Corporation v Kuwait Insurance Co SAK</i> [1999]	
1 Lloyd's Rep 804	115
<i>Lambert v Cooperative Insurance Society Ltd</i> [1975]	
2 Lloyd's Rep 485	29, 37, 51, 55–58, 72, 87
<i>Le Banque Financiere v Westgate Insurance Co Ltd</i> [1988]	
2 Lloyd's LI Rep 513	12
<i>Lee Bee Soon v Malaysia National Insurance Sdn Bhd</i> [1980]	
2 MLJ 252	35, 36
<i>Leong Brothers Industries Sdn Bhd v Jerneh Insurance Corporation Sdn Bhd</i> [1991] 1 MLJ 102	18, 129
<i>Leong Chee Yeong v China Insurance Co Ltd</i> [1952] MLJ 246	100
<i>Leong Kum Whay v QBE Insurance (M) Sdn Bhd</i> [2006] 1 CLJ 1	3, 19, 23, 27, 37, 87, 95, 100, 102, 129, 215
<i>Lim Gaek Ling v East West-UMI Insurance Bhd</i> [1994]	
2 CLJ 405	95
<i>Locker and Woolf v Western Australian Insurance Co Ltd</i> [1936]	
1 KB 408	56
<i>Looker v Law Union and Rock Insurance Co Ltd</i> [1928] 1 KB 554	34
<i>Louden v British Merchants' Insurance Co Ltd</i> [1961]	
1 Lloyd's Rep 154	116
<i>Lumley General Insurance Ltd v Delphin</i> (1990) 6 ANZ Ins Cas ¶60-986	27, 28, 94



<i>L'Union des Assurance de Paris IARD v HBZ International Exchange Co (Singapore) Pte Ltd</i> [1993] 3 SLR 161	81
<i>Lyons v J W Bentley Ltd</i> (1944) 77 Ll L Rep 335	69
<i>Mackay v London General Insurance Co</i> (1935) 51 Ll L R 201	82, 84
<i>Malaysia British Assurance Bhd v Syarikat Pembinaan Karun Sdn Bhd</i> [2008] 6 MLJ 533	130
<i>Malaysia National Insurance Sdn Bhd v Abdul Aziz bin Mohamed Daud</i> [1979] 2 MLJ 29	129
<i>Manifest Shipping &amp; Co Ltd v Uni-Polaris Insurance Co Ltd</i> [1997] 1 Lloyd's Rep 360	35, 110
<i>March Cabaret Club &amp; Casino Ltd v London Assurance Ltd</i> [1975] 1 Lloyd's Rep 169	35, 39, 57
<i>Marc Rich &amp; Co AG v Portman</i> [1996] 1 Lloyd's Rep 430	64, 69
<i>Maschke Estate v Gleeson</i> [1986] 54 OR (2d) 753	128
<i>Mayne Nickless Ltd v Pegler</i> [1974] 1 NSWLR 228	61
<i>Mazzarol v United Oriental Assurance</i> [1983] 1 MLJ 328	93
<i>McAlpine v BAI</i> [1998] 2 Lloyd's Rep 694	117
<i>McArthur v Mercantile Mutual Life Insurance Company Ltd</i> [2002] 2 Qd R 197	133
<i>McDowell v Fraser</i> (1779) 1 Doug 266	83
<i>Mills v Smith</i> [1964] 1 QB 30	114
<i>Moore v Evans</i> [1917] 1 KB 458	114
<i>Morrison v Muspratt</i> (1827) 4 Bing 60	42, 49
<i>Moss v Sun Alliance Aust Ltd</i> (1990) 6 ANZ Ins Cas ¶60-967	133, 135
<i>Mutual Life Insurance Co of New York v Ontario Metal Products Co Ltd</i> [1925] AC 344	63, 65
<i>Nash v Paragon Finance plc</i> [2002] 1 WLR 685	119
<i>National Insurance Co Ltd v S Joseph</i> [1973] 2 MLJ 195	43, 70
<i>Newcastle Fire Insurance Co v Macmorran</i> (1815) 3 Dow 255	84
<i>Newsholme Bros v Road Transport and General Insurance</i> [1929] 2 KB 356	58, 80, 95
<i>New Zealand Insurance Co Ltd v Ong Choon Lin</i> [1992] 1 CLJ 44	81, 131
<i>Ngu Siew Kong v ING Insurance Bhd</i> [2011] MLJU 719	88
<i>Niger Co Ltd v Guardian Assurance Co Ltd</i> (1922) 13 Ll L Rep 75	36
<i>Norani bin Maniran v Maybank General Assurance Bhd</i> [2012] 9 MLJ 610	130
<i>Norton v Royal Fire and Life Assurance Co</i> (1885) 1 TLR 460	31
<i>Oei v Foster</i> [1982] 2 Lloyd's Rep 170	116
<i>Orakpo v Barclays Insurance Services</i> [1995] LRLR 443	17, 54, 93
<i>Orb Holdings Pty Ltd v Lombard Insurance Co (Aust) Ltd</i> [1995] 2 Qd R 51	91
<i>Pacific &amp; Orient Insurance Co Sdn Bhd v Kathirvelu</i> [1992] 2 MLJ 249	129, 131

<i>Pacific &amp; Orient Insurance Co Sdn Bhd v Lim Sew Chong</i> [1985] 2 MLJ 60 .....	54
<i>Pacific &amp; Orient Insurance Co Sdn Bhd v Woon Shee Min</i> [1980] 1 MLJ 291 .....	119, 131
<i>Pan Atlantic Insurance Co Ltd v Pine Top Insurance Co</i> [1993] 1 Lloyd's Rep 496 .....	62
<i>Pan Atlantic Insurance Co Ltd v Pine Top Insurance Co</i> [1994] 3 All ER 581 .....	14, 16, 21, 61–67, 73, 74
<i>Parson v Barnevelt</i> (1779) 1 Doug 12 .....	84
<i>People's Insurance Co of Malaya v Ho Ah Kum</i> [1967] 2 MLJ 134 .....	115, 130
<i>Pioneer Concrete (UK) Ltd v National Employer's Mutual General Insurance Association Ltd</i> [1985] 1 Lloyd's Rep 274 .....	117
<i>Pocock v Century Insurance Co Ltd</i> [1960] 2 Lloyd's Rep 150 .....	129
<i>Prepaid Services v Atradius (no 2)</i> [2014] NSWSC 21 .....	90
<i>President of India v Lips Maritime Corporation</i> [1988] AC 395 .....	119
<i>Prime Form Cutting Pty Limited v Balitca General Insurance Co Ltd</i> [1991] 6 ANZ Ins Cas ¶61-028 .....	90
<i>Provincial Insurance Co Ltd v Yeo Chee Swee</i> [1984] 2 MLJ 60 .....	129
<i>Public Insurance Co Ltd v Muthu</i> [1965] 2 MLJ 201 .....	131
<i>Re Bradley &amp; Essex &amp; Suffolk Accident Indemnity Society (1912)</i> 1 KB 415 .....	29
<i>Re Deep Vein Thrombosis Litigation</i> [2004] QB 235 .....	114
<i>Regina Fur v Bossom</i> [1958] 2 Lloyd's Rep 425 .....	71
<i>Reynolds v Phoenix Assurance Co Ltd</i> [1978] 2 Lloyd's Rep 440 .....	71
<i>Ricciardi v Suncorp Metaway Insurance Ltd</i> (2001) 11 ANZ Ins Cas ¶61-493 .....	136
<i>Rivas v Gerussi Bros &amp; Co</i> (1880) 6 QBD 222 .....	63
<i>Roberts v Avon Insurance</i> [1956] 2 Lloyd's Rep 240 .....	39
<i>Roberts v Plaisted</i> [1989] 2 Lloyd's Rep 341 .....	39, 54, 80
<i>Robertson v French</i> (1803) 4 East 130 .....	112
<i>Rocco Pezzano Pty Ltd v Unity Insurance Brokers Pty Ltd</i> [1995] 8 ANZ Ins Cas ¶61-288 .....	93
<i>Rohan Investments Ltd v Cunningham</i> [1999] Lloyd's Rep I R 190 .....	112, 114
<i>Roselodge v Castle</i> [1966] 2 Lloyd's Rep 112 .....	57, 71
<i>Ross v Bradshaw</i> (1761) 1 Wm Bl 313 .....	83
<i>Rozanes v Bowen</i> (1928) 32 Ll L Rep 98, 102 .....	38
<i>Sawarn Singh a/l Mehar Singh v RHB Insurance Bhd</i> [2014] 7 MLJ 416 .....	129
<i>Schoolman v Hall</i> [1951] 1 Lloyd's Rep 139 .....	39, 71
<i>Seah Cheoh Wah v Malayan Banking Bhd &amp; Anor</i> [2009] 7 CLJ 485 .....	3, 19, 23, 155, 157, 162, 213

<i>Seaton v Heath</i> [1899] 1 QB 782 . . . . .	38
<i>Seddon v Binions</i> [1978] 1 Lloyd's Rep 381 . . . . .	115
<i>Settlement Wine Co Pty Ltd v National &amp; General Insurance Co Ltd</i> (1994) 62 SASR 40 . . . . .	137, 216
<i>Sharp and Roarer Investments Ltd v Sphere Drake Insurance Plc (The Moonacre)</i> [1992] 2 Lloyd's Rep 501 . . . . .	37
<i>Singatronics Ltd v Insurance Co of North America</i> [1994] 1 SLR 500 . . . . .	116
<i>Sirius International Insurance Corp v Oriental Insurance Corp</i> [1999] Lloyd's Rep IR 343 . . . . .	64
<i>Sprung v Royal Insurance Co (UK) Ltd</i> [1999] Lloyd's Rep I R 111 . . . . .	110, 121
<i>Stoneham v Ocean Railway and General Accident Insurance Co</i> (1887) 19 QBD 237 . . . . .	81, 118
<i>St Paul Fire &amp; Marine Insurance Co (UK) Ltd v McConnell Dowell Constructors Ltd</i> [1995] 2 Lloyd's Rep 116 . . . . .	43, 64, 67, 68
<i>Stockton v Mason</i> [1978] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 430 . . . . .	80
<i>Stribley v Imperial Marine Insurance Co</i> (1876) 1 QBD 507 . . . . .	63
<i>Suhaimi bin Ibrahim v United Malaya Insurance Co Ltd</i> [1966] 1 MLJ 140 . . . . .	82, 99
<i>Suncorp General Insurance Ltd v Cheihk</i> (1999) 10 ANZ Ins Cas ¶61-442 . . . . .	28
<i>Syarikat Pembinaan Lida Sdn Bhd v Talasco Insurance Sdn Bhd</i> [1993] 2 MLJ 121 . . . . .	37
<i>Syarikat Uniweld Trading v The Asia Insurance Co Ltd</i> [1996] 2 MLJ 160 . . . . .	95
<i>Sweeney v Kennedy</i> (1948) 82 Ll L Rep 294 . . . . .	40
<i>Talasco Insurance Bhd v Goh Thiam Hock</i> [1999] 1 MLJ 179 . . . . .	131
<i>Tang Tung Thian v United Oriental Assurance Sdn Bhd</i> [2000] 5 MLJ 69 . . . . .	87
<i>Tan Jing Jeong v Allianz Life Insurance Malaysia Bhd &amp; Anor</i> [2012] 7 MLJ 179 . . . . .	88
<i>Tan Mooi Sim &amp; Anor v United Overseas Bank (M) Bhd &amp; Anor</i> [2011] 8 MLJ 556 . . . . .	91
<i>Tan Kang Hua v Safety Insurance Co</i> [1973] 1 MLJ 6 . . . . .	54
<i>Tate &amp; Sons v Hyslop</i> (1885) 15 QBD 368 . . . . .	63
<i>Tay Hean Seng v China Insurance Co Ltd</i> [1953] MLJ 38 . . . . .	129
<i>Taylor v Eagle Star Insurance Co Ltd</i> (1940) 67 Ll L Rep 136 . . . . .	43
<i>Teh Say Cheng v North British and Mercantile Insurance Co Ltd</i> (1921) 2 FMSLR 248 . . . . .	26, 58, 59, 69, 86
<i>Tekrol v International Insurance Co of Hanover</i> [2005] 2 CLC 339 . . . . .	122
<i>The Mercandian Continent</i> [2001] EWCA Civ 1275 . . . . .	14, 15, 44, 110
<i>The Star Sea</i> [2001] 1 Lloyd's Rep 389 . . . . .	15, 44, 110, 120

<i>Thiep Thi To v Australian Associated Motor Insurers Ltd</i> (2001) 3 VR 279	136
<i>Thompson v Weems</i> (1884) 9 App Cas 671	84
<i>Titiria Sdn Bhd v Zurich Insurance (M) Sdn Bhd</i> [1996] 1 CLJ 105	95
<i>Toh Kim Lian &amp; Anor v Asia Insurance Co Ltd</i> [1996] 1 MLJ 149	70
<i>Toller v Law Accident Insurance Society Ltd</i> [1936] 2 All ER 952	48
<i>Toomey v Eagle Star Insurance Co Ltd (No 2)</i> [1995] 2 Lloyd's Rep 88	43
<i>Tuong Aik (Sarawak) Sdn Bhd v Arab-Malaysian Eagle Assurance Bhd</i> [1996] 1 AMR 871	30, 116, 130
<i>Twenty-first Maylux Pty Ltd v Mercantile Mutual Insurance (Aust) Ltd</i> [1990] VR 919	89
<i>Union Insurance (M) Sdn Bhd v Chan You Young</i> [1999] 1 MLJ 593	130
<i>United Oriental Assurance Sdn Bhd v WM Mazzarol (The Melanie)</i> [1984] 1 MLJ 260	39, 57, 87
<i>Verelst's Administratrix v Motor Union Insurance Co</i> [1925] 2 KB 137	117
<i>Von Braun v Australian Associated Motor Insurers Ltd</i> (1999) 10 ANZ Ins Cas 61–419	32
<i>Walker v Pennine Insurance Co Ltd</i> [1979] 2 Lloyd's Rep 139	118
<i>Wayne Tank and Pump Co Ltd v Employers' Liability Assurance Corporation Ltd</i> [1973] 3 WLR 483	116
<i>Webster v General Accident, Fire and Life Assurance Co</i> [1953] 1 QB 521	114
<i>Welch v Royal Exchange Assurance</i> [1939] 1 KB 294	118
<i>West v National Union and Accident Insurance Co</i> [1954] 2 Lloyd's Rep 461	85
<i>Whitlam v Hazel</i> [2004] EWCA Civ 1600	68
<i>Wigle v Allstate Insurance Co of Canada</i> (1984) 49 OR (2d) 101 (CA)	113
<i>Winter v Irish Life Assurance Plc</i> [1995] 2 Lloyd's Rep 274	54, 81
<i>Wong Cheong Kong Sdn Bhd v Prudential Assurance Sdn Bhd</i> [1998] 3 MLJ 724	53, 80
<i>Wong Kon Poh v New India Assurance Co Ltd</i> [1970] 2 MLJ 287	32, 44, 117, 130, 132
<i>Wong Lang Hung v National Employees' Mutual General Insurance</i> [1972] 2 MLJ 191	130
<i>Woolcott v Excess Insurance Co Ltd</i> [1979] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 231	86
<i>Yong Tim v Hoo Kok Chong</i> [2005] 3 CLJ 229	32
<i>Yorkshire Insurance Co v Campbell</i> [1917] AC 218	84
<i>Young v Sun Alliance &amp; London Insurance</i> [1977] 1 WLR 104	124
<i>Zurich General Accident and Liability Insurance Co Ltd v Morrison</i> [1942] 2 KB 53	63, 65, 113