

# Collective Redress and Private International Law in the EU

Thijs Bosters

# Collective Redress and Private International Law in the EU



ASSER PRESS



Springer

Thijs Bosters  
Supreme Court of the Netherlands  
The Hague  
The Netherlands

ISBN 978-94-6265-185-2                      ISBN 978-94-6265-186-9 (eBook)  
DOI 10.1007/978-94-6265-186-9

Library of Congress Control Number: 2017940363

Published by T.M.C. ASSER PRESS, The Hague, The Netherlands [www.asserpress.nl](http://www.asserpress.nl)  
Produced and distributed for T.M.C. ASSER PRESS by Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg

© T.M.C. ASSER PRESS and the author 2017

No part of this work may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without written permission from the Publisher, with the exception of any material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

Printed on acid-free paper

This T.M.C. ASSER PRESS imprint is published by Springer Nature  
The registered company is Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany  
The registered company address is: Heidelberger Platz 3, 14197 Berlin, Germany

*Issues regarding jurisdiction and the  
recognition and enforcement of judgments in  
cross-border mass disputes relating to  
financial services*

M.W.F. Bosters

This book is made possible by:

● **NautaDutilh**



Stichting ter bevordering van internationaal  
privaatrechtelijk onderzoek

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b> . . . . .	1
1.1	Collective Redress and Cross-Border Mass Disputes . . . . .	1
1.2	Parameters of This Book . . . . .	4
1.3	Book Structure . . . . .	8
1.4	Typologies/Classifications of Collective Redress Mechanisms in the EU . . . . .	9
1.4.1	Public Law Mechanisms and Private Law Mechanisms . . . . .	9
1.4.2	Aggregate Litigation and Representative Litigation . . . . .	11
1.5	Goals of Collective Redress Mechanisms . . . . .	14
1.5.1	Introduction . . . . .	14
1.5.2	Efficient Legal Protection . . . . .	15
1.5.3	Effective Legal Protection . . . . .	17
1.5.4	Reduction of the Administrative Burden on the Judiciary . . . . .	17
1.6	Goals of the Brussels Regulation . . . . .	18
1.6.1	Introduction . . . . .	18
1.6.2	Free Movement of Judgments . . . . .	19
1.6.3	Rights of the Defence . . . . .	19
1.6.4	Legal Certainty . . . . .	20
1.6.5	Resolving a Dispute Before an Appropriate Court . . . . .	21
	References . . . . .	22
 <b>Part I Collective Redress Mechanisms in the EU</b>		
<b>2</b>	<b>German KapMuG Procedure</b> . . . . .	27
2.1	Introduction . . . . .	27
2.2	Deutsche Telekom and KapMuG History . . . . .	29
2.3	How a KapMuG Procedure Is Initiated . . . . .	31
2.4	What Plaintiffs Can Achieve Through a KapMuG Procedure . . . . .	33

2.5	Recent Experience with the Act, and Future Developments . . . .	33
	References. . . . .	34
<b>3</b>	<b>Dutch Collective Action. . . . .</b>	<b>35</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	35
3.2	History of Collective Action. . . . .	36
3.3	Parties That Can Bring a Collective Action? . . . . .	37
3.4	Criteria for Bringing a Collective Action . . . . .	38
3.5	The Result of Bringing a Collective Action . . . . .	39
3.6	Recent Experience with Collective Actions . . . . .	41
3.7	Future Developments . . . . .	42
	References. . . . .	44
<b>4</b>	<b>Dutch WCAM Procedure . . . . .</b>	<b>47</b>
4.1	Collective Settlement History . . . . .	47
4.2	The Conditions for Arranging a WCAM Settlement. . . . .	48
4.3	What Can Eventually Be Achieved with a WCAM Settlement . . . . .	50
4.4	WCAM Case Law . . . . .	50
4.4.1	Dexia Case . . . . .	51
4.4.2	Vedior Case. . . . .	52
4.4.3	Shell Case . . . . .	52
4.4.4	Converium Case . . . . .	54
4.5	Current and Future Developments . . . . .	56
4.5.1	Amendments to the WCAM . . . . .	56
4.5.2	Preliminary Questions Supreme Court. . . . .	57
	References. . . . .	59
 <b>Part II Jurisdiction in Cross-Border Mass Disputes</b>		
<b>5</b>	<b>Jurisdiction and the KapMuG . . . . .</b>	<b>63</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	66
5.2	Submission. . . . .	67
5.3	Jurisdiction in Consumer-Related Matters. . . . .	69
5.3.1	Application of Chapter II, Section 4 Brussels I-Bis. . . . .	69
5.3.2	Jurisdiction in KapMuG Procedure Relating to Financial Products. . . . .	72
5.4	Choice of Forum Agreement . . . . .	73
5.4.1	Choice of Forum Agreement in Consumer-Related Matters . . . . .	74
5.4.2	Choice of Forum Agreement in Non-Consumer-Related Matters. . . . .	78
5.4.3	Conclusion . . . . .	82
5.5	General Provision . . . . .	83

5.6	Jurisdiction in Contractual Matters . . . . .	84
5.6.1	Various Places of Performance . . . . .	86
5.7	Jurisdiction in Tortious Matters . . . . .	87
5.7.1	Place Where the Harmful Event Occurred or May Occur . . . . .	88
5.8	Effect of Grounds of Jurisdiction on the Goals of Collective Redress . . . . .	92
5.8.1	Effective Legal Protection . . . . .	92
5.8.2	Efficient Legal Protection . . . . .	95
5.8.3	Administrative Burden of the Judiciary . . . . .	97
	References. . . . .	99
<b>6</b>	<b>Jurisdiction and the Dutch Collective Action . . . . .</b>	<b>101</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	101
6.2	Submission Rule. . . . .	103
6.3	Jurisdiction in Consumer-Related Matters. . . . .	104
6.4	Choice of Forum Agreement . . . . .	105
6.4.1	Mass Dispute Relating to a Financial Product. . . . .	106
6.4.2	Securities Mass Dispute. . . . .	109
6.5	General Provision . . . . .	110
6.6	Jurisdiction in Contractual Matters . . . . .	110
6.7	Jurisdiction in Tortious Matters . . . . .	112
6.8	Effect of Grounds of Jurisdiction on the Goals of Collective Redress . . . . .	114
6.8.1	Effective Legal Protection . . . . .	114
6.8.2	Efficient Legal Protection . . . . .	116
6.8.3	Administrative Burden of the Judiciary . . . . .	117
6.9	Conclusions . . . . .	118
	References. . . . .	118
<b>7</b>	<b>Jurisdiction and the WCAM . . . . .</b>	<b>119</b>
7.1	Introduction . . . . .	119
7.2	Procedural Role of Parties and Applicability of the Brussels Regulation . . . . .	121
7.3	Submission Rule. . . . .	123
7.4	Jurisdiction in Consumer-Related Matters. . . . .	125
7.5	Choice of Forum Agreement . . . . .	126
7.5.1	Choice of Forum Agreement Between the Victims and the Perpetrator . . . . .	127
7.5.2	Choice of Forum Agreement as Part of the Settlement Agreement. . . . .	128
7.6	General Provision and Co-Defendants . . . . .	129
7.6.1	Co-Defendants Pursuant to Article 8(1) Brussels I-Bis . . . . .	133



7.7 Jurisdiction in Contractual Matters . . . . . 134

7.8 Jurisdiction in Tortious Matters . . . . . 137

7.9 Effect of Ground of Jurisdiction on the Goals of Collective Redress . . . . . 137

    7.9.1 Effective Legal Protection and Finality . . . . . 138

    7.9.2 Efficient Legal Protection . . . . . 139

    7.9.3 Administrative Burden of the Judiciary . . . . . 141

    7.9.4 Conclusion . . . . . 141

References. . . . . 142

**8 Parallel Proceedings . . . . . 145**

    8.1 Introduction . . . . . 145

    8.2 Lis Pendens . . . . . 146

        8.2.1 Requirements. . . . . 146

        8.2.2 Application of Lis Pendens Rule to Collective Redress Mechanisms . . . . . 147

    8.3 Related Actions . . . . . 150

        8.3.1 Requirements. . . . . 150

        8.3.2 Application of Related Actions Rule to Collective Redress Mechanisms . . . . . 151

    8.4 Conclusions . . . . . 154

    8.5 Parallel Proceedings and Collective Redress Goals. . . . . 154

References. . . . . 157

**Part III Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Collective Redress Judgments**

**9 Goals of the Brussels Regulation Regarding Jurisdiction . . . . . 159**

    9.1 Interim Conclusions Regarding Jurisdiction . . . . . 159

    9.2 Goals of the Brussels Regulation . . . . . 160

        9.2.1 Legal Certainty . . . . . 160

        9.2.2 Most Appropriate Court. . . . . 163

        9.2.3 Preliminary Conclusions . . . . . 165

Reference . . . . . 166

**10 Recognition and Enforcement of KapMuG Judgments . . . . . 169**

    10.1 Introduction . . . . . 171

    10.2 ‘Judgment’ or Court Settlement? . . . . . 172

    10.3 Non-Recognition and Non-Enforcement of a KapMuG Judgment . . . . . 174

        10.3.1 Public Policy. . . . . 175

        10.3.2 Defaulting Defendant. . . . . 177

        10.3.3 Irreconcilable Judgment. . . . . 178

10.3.4	Conflict with a Judgment Given in Another Member State . . . . .	180
10.3.5	Summary . . . . .	181
10.4	Goals of Collective Redress . . . . .	182
	References. . . . .	183
<b>11</b>	<b>Recognition and Enforcement in Relation to a Collective Action Procedure. . . . .</b>	<b>185</b>
11.1	Introduction . . . . .	185
11.2	Cross-Border Effect on Third Parties . . . . .	186
11.3	Enforcement in a Collective Action Procedure . . . . .	189
11.4	Non-Recognition and Non-Enforcement in a Collective Action Procedure . . . . .	189
11.4.1	Defaulting Defendant. . . . .	190
11.4.2	Public Policy. . . . .	191
11.4.3	Irreconcilable Judgment. . . . .	192
11.4.4	Conflict with Judgment Given in Another Member State . . . . .	193
11.4.5	Summary . . . . .	194
11.5	Goals of Collective Redress . . . . .	195
	References. . . . .	197
<b>12</b>	<b>Recognition and Enforcement of a WCAM Judgment . . . . .</b>	<b>199</b>
12.1	Introduction . . . . .	199
12.2	‘Judgment’ or Court Settlement . . . . .	200
12.3	Enforcement of a WCAM Judgment. . . . .	203
12.4	Non-Recognition and Non-Enforcement of a WCAM Judgment . . . . .	203
12.5	Defaulting Defendant . . . . .	203
12.5.1	Public Policy. . . . .	205
12.5.2	Irreconcilable Judgment. . . . .	209
12.5.3	Conflict with Judgment Given in Another Member State . . . . .	209
12.5.4	Summary . . . . .	210
12.6	Goals of Collective Redress . . . . .	211
	References. . . . .	212
<b>13</b>	<b>Goals of the Brussels Regulation Regarding Recognition and Enforcement. . . . .</b>	<b>213</b>
13.1	Interim Conclusions Regarding Recognition and Enforcement. . . . .	213
13.2	Goals of the Brussels Regulation . . . . .	214

## **Part IV Making Cross-Border Collective Redress Possible**

<b>14 Summary</b> .....	221
14.1 Typologies of Collective Redress Mechanisms .....	221
14.2 Application of the Rules on Jurisdiction .....	223
14.2.1 KapMuG Procedure .....	223
14.2.2 Dutch Collective Action .....	225
14.2.3 WCAM Procedure .....	226
14.2.4 Lis Pendens Rule .....	226
14.3 Application of the Rules of Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Judgments .....	227
14.3.1 KapMuG Procedure .....	227
14.3.2 Collective Action .....	228
14.3.3 WCAM Procedure .....	228
14.4 Principles of Collective Redress and the Brussels Regulation .....	229
14.4.1 Principles of Collective Redress .....	230
14.4.2 Goals of the Brussels Regulation .....	233
14.5 Applicability of Brussels Regulation and Recommendations ...	235
Reference .....	239
<b>15 Relevant Developments and Possible Future Research</b> .....	241
15.1 Introduction .....	241
15.2 Consultation ‘Towards a Coherent Approach to Collective Redress’ .....	242
15.3 Recommendation ‘Towards a European Horizontal Framework for Collective Redress’ .....	244
15.4 IBA Guidelines .....	246
15.5 Insolvency Regulation .....	246
15.6 Alternative Solutions and Possible Future Research .....	248
References .....	251
<b>Bibliography</b> .....	253
<b>Case Law</b> .....	261
<b>Curriculum Vitae</b> .....	265
<b>Index</b> .....	267

# Glossary/Abbreviations

ADR	Alternative dispute resolution
Collective redress mechanism	Procedural mechanism through which a mass dispute can be resolved
DCC	Dutch Civil Code (or <i>Burgerlijk Wetboek (BW)</i> )
DCCP	Dutch Code of Civil Procedures (or <i>Wetboek van Burgerlijke Rechtsvordering (RV)</i> )
ECJ	European Court of Justice or Court of Justice of the EU
HR	Dutch Supreme Court ( <i>Hoge Raad</i> )
KapMuG	German Capital Market Model Case Act ( <i>Kapitalanleger Musterverfahrensgesetz</i> )
Mass dispute	Disputes involving numerous claimants by means of a single action or procedure
NIPR	Journal of Dutch Private International Law ( <i>Nederlands Internationaal Privaatrecht</i> )
PIL	Private international law
Plaintiff	A victim that has started a collective procedure to resolve a mass dispute
Interest group	Entity promoting the interests of the various individual claimants/victims in a mass dispute (e.g. the foundation or association that represents the interests of a group of victims in both the collective action procedure and the WCAM procedure)
Standard redress mechanisms	Redress mechanisms used in disputes between two parties
WCAM	Collective Settlement Act ( <i>Wet collectieve afwikkeling massaschade</i> )