LEGAL REDUCTIONISM AND FREEDOM

Law and Philosophy Library

VOLUME 47

Managing Editors

FRANCISCO J. LAPORTA, *Department of Law,
Autonomous University of Madrid, Spain*

ALEKSANDER PECZENIK, *Department of Law, University of Lund, Sweden*

FREDERICK SCHAUER, *John F. Kennedy School of Government,
Harvard University, Cambridge, Mass., U.S.A.*

Former Managing Editors

AULIS AARNIO, MICHAEL D. BAYLES[†], CONRAD D. JOHNSON[†],
ALAN MABE

Editorial Advisory Board

AULIS AARNIO, *Research Institute for Social Sciences,
University of Tampere, Finland*

ZENON BANKOWSKY, *Centre for Criminology and the Social and Philosophical
Study of Law, University of Edinburgh*

PAOLO COMANDUCCI, *University of Genua, Italy*

ERNESTO GARZÓN VALDÉS, *Institut für Politikwissenschaft,
Johannes Gutenberg Universität Mainz*

JOHN KLEINIG, *Department of Law, Police Science and Criminal
Justice Administration, John Jay College of Criminal Justice,
City University of New York*

NEIL MacCORMICK, *European Parliament, Brussels, Belgium*

WOJCIECH SADURSKI, *European University Institute,
Department of Law, Florence, Italy*

ROBERT S. SUMMERS, *School of Law, Cornell University*

CARL WELLMAN, *Department of Philosophy, Washington University*

The titles published in this series are listed at the end of this volume.

LEGAL REDUCTIONISM AND FREEDOM

by

MARTIN VAN HEES

University of Groningen, The Netherlands

KLUWER ACADEMIC PUBLISHERS

DORDRECHT / BOSTON / LONDON

A C.I.P. Catalogue record for this book is available from the Library of Congress.

ISBN-13: 978-1-4020-0285-4

e-ISBN-13: 978-94-010-9453-5

DOI: 10.1007/978-94-010-9453-5

Published by Kluwer Academic Publishers,
P.O. Box 17, 3300 AA Dordrecht, The Netherlands.

Sold and distributed in North, Central and South America
by Kluwer Academic Publishers,
101 Philip Drive, Norwell, MA 02061, U.S.A.

In all other countries, sold and distributed
by Kluwer Academic Publishers,
P.O. Box 322, 3300 AH Dordrecht, The Netherlands.

Printed on acid-free paper

All Rights Reserved
© 2000 Kluwer Academic Publishers
Softcover reprint of the hardcover 1st edition 2000

No part of the material protected by this copyright notice may be reproduced or
utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical,
including photocopying, recording or by any information storage and
retrieval system, without written permission from the copyright owner.

CONTENTS

Acknowledgements	vii
Introduction	1
<i>Part 1 Legal Reductionism</i>	
1. Legal Positivism and the Normativity Thesis	9
1. Introduction	9
2. The Rejection of Empirico-Positivism: Methodological Arguments	12
3. The Rejection of Empirico-Positivism: Ontological Arguments	14
4. The Necessity of Efficacy	19
5. The Sufficiency of Efficacy	22
6. Conclusion	25
2. Legal Positivism and the Separability Thesis	27
1. Introduction	27
2. The Separability Thesis: The Common Core Interpretation	31
3. The Separability Thesis: The Explanatory Interpretation	36
4. Re-Examining the Jurisprudential Antinomy	40
3. Reductionism and the Analysis of Institutions	45
1. Introduction	45
2. Methodological Individualism and Reductionism	46
3. Methodological Individualism Illustrated: Game Theory	49
4. New Institutionalism and Institutions	53
5. New Institutionalism and Reductionism	55
6. Conclusion	59
4. Legal Systems, Rights and the Legal-Political Game	61
1. Introduction	61
2. Game Theory and Rights	62
3. The Legal-Political Game	66
4. Legal Validity and Legal Systems	73
5. Legal Validity and the Constitutional Question	76
6. The Institutional Theory of Law and Reductionism	79
7. The Institutional Theory of Law and Legal Reductionism	82

Part 2 Legal Reductionism Applied: The Analysis of Legal Freedom

5. Legal Freedom: Concept and Contents	87
1. Introduction	87
2. Conceptions of Freedom and the Triadic Structure	89
3. Legal Freedom: The Agents	90
4. Legal Freedom: The Constraints	93
5. Legal Freedom: The Objectives	96
6. Prevention and Intervention	98
6. Freedom of Choice	105
1. Introduction	105
2. The Simple Counting Rule	106
3. The Introduction of Preferences	108
4. Freedom and Preferences	112
5. Similarity and Dissimilarity	116
6. Monotonicity and Technological Changes	121
7. Conditions of Legal Freedom	125
1. Introduction	125
2. Carter's Measure of Empirical Freedom	126
3. Strategies and Opportunity Sets	135
4. A Measure of Legal Freedom and Some Problems	136
5. Strong Monotonicity and Dominance	140
6. Dominance and Equality	145
7. Maximal Legal Freedom	147
8. The Value of Legal Freedom	151
1. Introduction	151
2. Types of Value	151
3. Freedom's Specific Value in Parametric Situations	158
4. Reasonable Preferences and Overall Specific Value	161
5. The Possible Disvalue of Legal Freedom	164
6. Being Free to Stay Passive	166
7. Freedom's Specific Value in Strategic Situations	170
8. Stability, Efficiency and the Non-Specific Value of Legal Freedom	172
Appendix: Legal-Political Games	177
References	179
Index	185

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

For their comments on parts of previous versions of the text I should like to thank Jos de Beus, Ad van Deemen, Roland Pierik, Bernard Steunenberg and Pauline Westerman. For helping me – either directly or indirectly – to develop the general theses presented in this book, I am grateful to Ian Carter, Marc Fleurbaey, Wulf Gaertner, Hartmut Kliemt, Henk van der Kolk, Prasanta Pattanaik, Hillel Steiner and Stanley Paulson. Marlies Bongers, Steven Hartkamp and Marcel Wissenburg read a draft of the entire manuscript; I thank them very much for their helpful suggestions. Special thanks go to Dick Ruiter for his enduring stimulation and encouragements, but most of all for his seemingly inexhaustible enthusiasm during our discussions on the philosophy of law.

Parts of the text are based on articles that have been published previously. Chapter 3 is based on ‘Individual Rights and Legal Validity’, *Analyse & Kritik*, 1996, 81–95, published by Westdeutscher Verlag. Chapter 4 draws on ‘Explaining Institutions: A Defence of Reductionism’, *European Journal of Political Research*, 1997, 51–69, published by Kluwer Academic. Some parts of sections 6.1–6.3 were first presented in ‘Freedom and Opportunity’, an article written jointly with Marcel Wissenburg and published in *Political Studies*, 1999, 67–82, by Basil Blackwell. I thank the publishers for their permission to reprint that material here.

Finally, I should like to thank the Foundation for Law and Public Policy (REOB), which forms part of the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO), for sponsoring the research.