
Stochastic Modelling in Production Planning

Alexander Hübl

Stochastic Modelling in Production Planning

Methods for Improvement
and Investigations on Production
System Behaviour

 Springer Gabler

Alexander Hübl
Steyr, Austria

Dissertation University of Vienna, Austria, 2015

ISBN 978-3-658-19119-1 ISBN 978-3-658-19120-7 (eBook)
DOI 10.1007/978-3-658-19120-7

Library of Congress Control Number: 2017949670

Springer Gabler

© Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden GmbH 2018

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer Gabler imprint is published by Springer Nature
The registered company is Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden GmbH
The registered company address is: Abraham-Lincoln-Str. 46, 65189 Wiesbaden, Germany

Preface

All the work presented henceforth was conducted during my time as Research Assistant at the School of Management at the University of Applied Sciences Upper Austria. Additionally, the PhD Management Programme of the faculty of business, economics and statistics of the University of Vienna has been completed. The following funded projects have enabled the preparation of the thesis:

E-Conwip: Embedded Conwip, Austrian Research Promotion Agency (FFG), Project number: 814303/13129, Investigates the production planning method Conwip in an MRPII setting by applying in-depth case studies in three companies.

HPP: Hierarchical Production Planning, Austrian Science Fund (FWF), Translational research project, Project number: L534-N13, Investigation of robust planning strategies in a hierarchical production planning setting.

SimGen: Simulationgenerator, FFG Coin Aufbau, Project number: 826789, Development of a framework for generating discrete event simulation models; decision support for mid-term capacity planning; identifying the effects of planning strategies and their parameters on real world production systems.

ProdNET: Atmende Produktion BAY-AUT, Interreg Bayern – Österreich, Project number: J00317, Identification and promotion of production potential for small and mid-sized enterprises.

HOPL: Heuristic Optimization in Production and Logistics, FFG COMET, Project number: 843532, The aim of this project is to develop innovative optimisation algorithms based on interacting sub-processes to build the holistic model.

BioBoost: Biomass based energy intermediates boosting biofuel production, FP7, Project number: 282873, The overall objective of BioBoost is to pave the way for de-central conversion of residual biomass to optimised, high energy density carriers, which can be utilised in large scale applications for the synthesis of transportation fuel and chemicals or directly in small-scale combined heat and power plants.

The PhD-thesis has been written under supervision by Prof. Richard F. Hartl (University of Vienna) and evaluated by Prof. Heinrich Kuhn (Catholic University of Eichstätt-Ingolstadt) and Prof. Lars Mönch (University of Hagen). The thesis consists of five journal articles, two of which have already been published and three are working papers:

Chapter 3 : Hübl and Jodlbauer (2013): Optimal Utilization based on costs and revenue for a hierarchical decision model based on JIT goals (Working Paper)

Chapter 4 : Altendorfer et al. (2014): Periodical capacity setting methods for make-to-order multi-machine production systems (published) and Hübl and Altendorfer (2014b): Queuing model for optimal switching point for two capacity levels (Working Paper)

Chapter 5 : Hübl and Altendorfer (2014a): Inventory constraint definition for Conwip in a make-to-order environment – a simulation study (Working paper)

Chapter 6 : Hübl et al. (2013): Influence of dispatching rules on average production lead time for multi-stage production systems (published)

The applied simulation model was published in Hübl et al. (2011), Felberbauer et al. (2012) and Altendorfer et al. (2013). Preliminary work has been published in the following conference papers: Hübl and Gmainer (2008); Hübl and Jodlbauer (2008); Hübl et al. (2009, 2010); Hübl (2014); Hübl and Altendorfer (2015).

*Alexander Hübl
Wels, March 2015*

The PhD thesis has been published by Springer within the FTI (Förderung der wirtschaftlich – technischen Forschung, Technologieentwicklung und Innovation in Oberösterreich) policy of the federal government of Upper Austria for the reserach field ”Supply Chain Planning” of Logistikum, University of Applied Sciences Upper Austria. I’d like to give special thanks to my co-authors of the published articles for their inspiration and to Erika for proofreading this book.

*Alexander Hübl
Wels, April 2017*

Abstract

In this thesis models for production planning are developed and performance indicators are analysed to investigate production system behaviour. Existing literature is extended by considering uncertainty of customer required lead time and processing times and by increasing the complexity of multi-machine multi-items production models.

Results are on the one hand a decision support system for determining capacity and the further development of the production planning method Conwip and on the other hand the JIT intensity has been developed and the effects of dispatching rules on production lead time are analytically proven. The decision support system for determining the capacity provided is based on the capacity demanded, whereby process and/or customer uncertainty can be included. The production planning method Conwip has been extended by including safety stock and by extending the inventory constraint to sum of WIP (Work-In-Process) and FGI (Finished Goods Inventory) and not only WIP. JIT-intensity measures the degree of fulfilment of the "seven zeros" in a production system. A high JIT intensity leads to an increase of excess capacity and to a reduction of utilisation and means that the production system follows the customer demand fluctuations without any "seven zeros"-relevant losses. Consequently, management has the opportunity to increase sales by selling more customer required items or to reduce excess capacity. Finally, the effects of dispatching rules on average production lead time are investigated. An analytic relationship between "processing time weighted average production lead time" and covariance between processing time and queuing time has been identified. This relationship has been proven analytically for single-machine production systems. It has been found out that the "processing time weighted average production lead time" for a multi-machine production system is not invariant with respect to the applied dispatching rule.

Contents

Preface	V
Abstract	VII
Contents	IX
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Problem Definition	1
1.2 Research Questions	4
1.3 Methodology	5
1.4 Outline	6
2 Literature Review	9
2.1 JIT Goals	9
2.1.1 Hierarchical Production Planning Models	9
2.1.2 Utilisation in Production Planning	12
2.1.3 Impact of JIT Activities on Performance	12
2.2 Capacity Setting Methods	14
2.3 Conwip	16
2.4 Dispatching and Production Lead Time	19
3 Utilisation Concept	23
3.1 Seven Zeros	23
3.2 Model	25
3.2.1 Utilisation	27
3.2.2 JIT Intensity	30
3.3 Discussion	31
3.3.1 Long Term Level	31
3.3.2 Medium Term Level	32
3.3.3 Short Term Level	32
3.4 Concluding Remarks	35
4 Capacity Setting Methods	37
4.1 Introductory Remarks	37
4.2 Model Framework	38
4.3 Model	40
4.3.1 Approximation of the Demanded Capacity (Step 1)	42
4.3.2 Setting the Unbounded Provided Capacity (Step 2) . .	47
4.3.3 Capacity Account (Step 3)	49

4.4	Multi-Machine Concept	50
4.5	Simulation Study	52
4.6	Results	55
	4.6.1 Evaluation of Best Method Combination	55
	4.6.2 Result comparison	56
	4.6.3 Scenario Discussion of the Best Methods	58
4.7	Extension	60
	4.7.1 Assumptions	62
	4.7.2 Model Development	62
	4.7.3 Production Lead Time Approximation	64
	4.7.4 FGI and Backorder Calculation	66
	4.7.5 Service Level Calculation	68
	4.7.6 Numerical Examples	69
4.8	Concluding Remarks	70
4.9	Appendix	72
5	Conwip	87
5.1	Model Description	88
	5.1.1 Production System	88
	5.1.2 Production Planning and Control Applying Conwip	88
	5.1.3 Simulation Model	90
	5.1.4 Simulation Study	91
5.2	Results	93
	5.2.1 Discussion of WAW and Wipcap	93
	5.2.2 Wipcap Method Comparison	95
5.3	Concluding Remarks	97
5.4	Appendix Conwip	97
6	Dispatching Rules	109
6.1	Model Framework	109
6.2	Simulation Study	113
6.3	Concluding Remarks	117
7	Conclusions	121
	Bibliography	123

List of Figures

1.1	SCP matrix (Rohde et al., 2000; Stadtler, 2005; Stadtler and Kilger, 2008)	2
2.1	Manufacturing resource planning MRPII (Wight, 1984; Hopp and Spearman, 2008)	10
4.1	Matrix of stochastic information for demanded capacity – four methods	39
4.2	Single-machine production system	40
4.3	Basic idea of capacity setting	40
4.4	Timeline	42
4.5	Cumulated demanded capacity – four methods	43
4.6	Operation characteristics	45
4.7	Comparison of the three developed methods calculating the capacity provided	47
4.8	Multi-machine production system	53
4.9	Comparison best capacity setting method with average capacity	58
4.10	Long capacity setting period and capacity flexibility	60
4.11	M/M/1 production system with WIP and FGI	61
4.12	Approximation error of the PDF of the production lead time	66
4.13	Logistic key figures	71
5.1	Combined BOM and routing structure	89
5.2	WAW with best Wipcap	94
5.3	Wipcap with best WAW	95
6.1	Multi-stage production system	109

List of Tables

3.1	Definitions	26
3.2	Decreasing utilisation by realising JIT goals in bottleneck situations of the equipment considered	29
3.3	Effects of parameters on total variable costs and revenue by short term decisions	34
4.1	Definition of variables for single-machine model	41
4.2	Definition of parameters	42
4.3	Definition of additional variables	51
4.4	Simulation runs	52
4.5	Scenarios	53
4.6	Service level increase and tardiness decrease potential in basic scenario	56
4.7	Comparison of methods over all scenarios	57
4.8	Comparison of scenarios	59
5.1	Processing and set-up times	92
5.2	Utilisation at each machine group	93
5.3	Limits for Wipcap and WAW	93
5.4	Introduced safety stock percentage of the periodic demand for FGI	94
5.5	Analysis 1 of Wipcap method	98
5.6	Analysis 2 of Wipcap method	99
5.7	Analysis Wipcap without safety stock 1	100
5.8	Analysis Wipcap without safety stock 2	101
5.9	Analysis Wipcap without safety stock 3	102
5.10	Analysis Wipcap without safety stock 4	103
5.11	Analysis Wipcap with safety stock 1	104
5.12	Analysis Wipcap with safety stock 2	105
5.13	Analysis Wipcap with safety stock 3	106
5.14	Analysis Wipcap with safety stock 4	107
6.1	Definitions	118
6.2	Proc. time weighted average production lead time	119
6.3	Correlation coefficients $\rho[P, Q]$	119
6.4	$c_v[L]$ for production systems	120
6.5	$E[L]$ for production systems	120

List of Theorems

5.2.1 Observation (System load)	96
5.2.2 Observation (WIP plus FGI constraint)	96
5.2.3 Observation (Safety stock)	96
5.2.4 Observation (WAW)	97
6.1.1 Theorem (Multi-stage systems)	110
6.1.2 Proof (Multi-stage systems)	110
6.1.3 Theorem (Dispatching rules)	111
6.1.4 Proof (Dispatching rules)	112
6.2.1 Observation ("Processing time weighted average production lead time")	115
6.2.2 Observation (Multi-stage production systems)	116