

Editors

Professor Dr.-Ing. Manfred Thoma
Institut fuer Regelungstechnik, Universität Hannover, Appelstr. 11, 30167 Hannover,
Germany
E-mail: thoma@irt.uni-hannover.de

Professor Dr. Frank Allgöwer
Institute for Systems Theory and Automatic Control, University of Stuttgart,
Pfaffenwaldring 9, 70550 Stuttgart, Germany
E-mail: allgower@ist.uni-stuttgart.de

Professor Dr. Manfred Morari
ETH/ETL I 29, Physikstr. 3, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland
E-mail: morari@aut.ee.ethz.ch

Series Advisory Board

P. Fleming
University of Sheffield, UK

P. Kokotovic
University of California, Santa Barbara, CA, USA

A.B. Kurzhanski
Moscow State University, Russia

H. Kwakernaak
University of Twente, Enschede, The Netherlands

A. Rantzer
Lund Institute of Technology, Sweden

J.N. Tsitsiklis
MIT, Cambridge, MA, USA

Alexandra Grancharova and Tor Arne Johansen

Explicit Nonlinear Model Predictive Control

Theory and Applications

 Springer

Authors

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Alexandra Grancharova
Institute of System Engineering and
Robotics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Sofia
Bulgaria

Prof. Tor Arne Johansen
Department of Engineering Cybernetics
Norwegian University of Science and
Technology
Trondheim
Norway

ISSN 0170-8643

ISBN 978-3-642-28779-4

DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-28780-0

Springer Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London

e-ISSN 1610-7411

e-ISBN 978-3-642-28780-0

Library of Congress Control Number: 2012933325

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2012

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed. Exempted from this legal reservation are brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis or material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the Copyright Law of the Publisher's location, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Permissions for use may be obtained through RightsLink at the Copyright Clearance Center. Violations are liable to prosecution under the respective Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

While the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication, neither the authors nor the editors nor the publisher can accept any legal responsibility for any errors or omissions that may be made. The publisher makes no warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

*To my parents Assoc. Prof. Dr. Siyka Popova
and Prof. D.Sc. Ivan Grancharov for their
love and support
(Alexandra Grancharova)*

Preface

Model predictive control (MPC) has become the accepted methodology to solve complex control problems related to process industries. It allows the design of multi-input multi-output (MIMO) control systems that minimize a certain performance index in the presence of input and output constraints. The Nonlinear Model Predictive Control (NMPC) is an optimization-based method for control which involves the solution at each sampling instant of a finite horizon optimal control problem subject to the *nonlinear* system dynamics and input and output constraints imposed on the system. However, the solution of an on-line *nonlinear* optimization problem is often computationally complex and time consuming and the real-time NMPC implementation is usually limited to slow processes where the sampling time is sufficient to support the computational needs. The on-line computational complexity can be circumvented with an *explicit* approach to NMPC, where an *explicit* approximate representation of the solution is computed using multi-parametric Nonlinear Programming (mp-NLP).

Motivation

The main motivation behind *explicit* MPC is that an *explicit* state feedback law avoids the need for executing a numerical optimization algorithm in real time, and is therefore potentially useful for applications where MPC has not traditionally been used. It has been shown that the feedback solution to MPC problems for constrained *linear* systems has an *explicit* representation as a piecewise linear state feedback defined on a polyhedral partition of the state space. The benefits of an *explicit* solution, in addition to the efficient on-line computations, include also verifiability of the implementation (which is an essential issue in safety-critical applications) and the possibility to design embedded control systems with low software and hardware complexity. For *nonlinear* MPC the prospects of *explicit* solutions are even higher than for *linear* MPC, since the benefits of computational efficiency and verifiability are even more important.

The main reasons to develop methods for *explicit* NMPC can be summarized as follows:

- Dramatical reduction in online computations, since online *nonlinear* numerical optimization is avoided and replaced by piecewise function evaluation. This may lead to significant reduction in the requirements to real-time embedded computer hardware.
- NMPC optimization depends on appropriate initialization in order to avoid local minima, and appropriate formulation of constraints in order to avoid infeasibility. With *explicit* NMPC the validation of initialization procedures and infeasibility handling can be conducted based on a complete and *explicit* solution.
- Significant reduction in online software complexity since the code for piecewise function evaluation is much simpler than a *nonlinear* numerical optimization solver. This may lead to formal software verification being a feasible practical tool.
- Approximate *explicit* solutions with reduced complexity, and with guaranteed levels of sub-optimality, may be computed offline. Formal analysis of performance, sub-optimality and stability may be possible since an *explicit* representation of the controller is known.
- Formulations such as stochastic NMPC and robust NMPC may not lead to increased online computations in an *explicit* NMPC approach, compared to a nominal NMPC formulation, although they will require more offline computations.

Main contributions of the book

This book considers the mp-NLP approaches to *explicit* approximate NMPC of constrained *nonlinear* systems, developed by the authors, as well as their applications to various NMPC problem formulations and several case studies. The proposed mp-NLP methods are based on orthogonal partition of the state space and they are general in sense that they can be applied to solve both convex and non-convex optimization problems. The following types of *nonlinear* systems are considered, resulting in different NMPC problem formulations:

- *Nonlinear* systems described by first-principles models and *nonlinear* systems described by black-box models;
- *Nonlinear* systems with continuous control inputs and *nonlinear* systems with quantized control inputs;
- *Nonlinear* systems without uncertainty and *nonlinear* systems with uncertainties (polyhedral description of uncertainty and stochastic description of uncertainty);
- *Nonlinear* systems, consisting of interconnected *nonlinear* sub-systems.

The proposed mp-NLP approaches to *explicit* solution of various NMPC problems are illustrated with applications to several case studies, which present mathematical models, NMPC formulations, mp-NLP computational results, and closed loop simulations. They are taken from diverse areas such as automotive mechatronics, compressor control, combustion plant control, reactor control, pH maintaining system control, cart and spring system control, and diving computers.

Intended audience

The book is intended to support graduate courses and the study of Ph.D. and advanced M.Sc. students in *nonlinear* control and optimization. Readers should be familiar with the basics of linear model predictive control, numerical optimization methods, and linear and nonlinear control theory. The book could be also useful for academic researchers working in the field of NMPC, as well as researchers from industrial companies, including automotive and aerospace, whose responsibilities include the development of embedded optimal control systems.

Book organization

The book is structured as follows:

- In **Chapter 1**, basic theory and algorithms to find an *explicit* approximate solution of mp-NLP problems, based on orthogonal ($k - d$ tree) partition of the parameter space, are described by considering both the convex and the non-convex case. Procedures and heuristic rules for efficient splitting of a region in the parameter space and for handling the infeasible cases are formulated.
- In **Chapter 2**, the main aspects of formulation of the NMPC optimization problem are considered, which is an essential part of the control design and involves numerous decisions that are important for the control performance, feasibility, stability, and robustness as well as the computational complexity and the numerical challenges of computing the solution.
- In **Chapter 3**, an algorithm for *explicit* NMPC, which locally approximates the mp-NLP problem with a multi-parametric quadratic program is described. The approach is applied to a case study.
- **Chapter 4** considers the design of *explicit* NMPC controllers for several case studies by applying the approximate mp-NLP algorithms, described in Chapter 1. The case studies present mathematical models, NMPC formulations, mp-NLP computational results, and closed loop simulations. They are taken from diverse areas such as automotive mechatronics, compressor control, and diving computers. In this chapter, it is also shown that bounding the approximation error of the *explicit* approximate solution to convex regulation NMPC problems ensures the asymptotic stability of the suboptimal closed-loop system.
- **Chapter 5** presents an approximate multi-parametric Nonlinear Integer Programming (mp-NIP) approach to design *explicit* NMPC controllers for constrained nonlinear systems with quantized control inputs. The approach is applied to two case studies.
- In **Chapter 6**, two approaches to *explicit* min-max NMPC of constrained nonlinear systems in the presence of bounded disturbances and/or parameter uncertainties are considered. The first approach is based on an open-loop min-max NMPC problem statement, while the second approach adopts a closed-loop min-max NMPC formulation. With the latter approach, conditions for guaranteeing the l_2 -stability of the closed-loop system are derived. Two case studies are considered.
- In **Chapter 7**, two approaches to *explicit* stochastic NMPC of constrained nonlinear systems in the presence of disturbances and/or parameter uncertainties with known probability distributions are presented. The first approach constructs

explicit approximate NMPC solution for systems, described by stochastic parametric models, while the second approach considers systems, described by Gaussian process models. The approaches are applied to two case studies.

- **Chapter 8** considers an approximate mp-NLP approach to *explicit* solution of output-feedback NMPC problems for constrained nonlinear systems described by neural network NARX models. A dual-mode control strategy is proposed in order to achieve an offset-free closed-loop response in the presence of bounded disturbances and/or model errors. One case study is considered.
- In **Chapter 9**, a suboptimal approach to distributed NMPC for systems consisting of nonlinear subsystems with linearly coupled dynamics, subject to both state and input constraints, is considered. The approach is based entirely on distributed on-line optimization and can be applied to large-scale nonlinear systems. Also, a *semi-explicit* NMPC approach to efficiently solve the distributed NMPC problem for small- and medium-scale systems is proposed. Both distributed NMPC approaches are applied to an example nonlinear system.

Alexandra Grancharova has been the main contributor to Chapters 1 and 4 – 9, and Tor Arne Johansen has been the main contributor to Chapters 2 and 3.

Sofia,
Trondheim,
January 2012

Alexandra Grancharova
Tor Arne Johansen

Contents

1	Multi-parametric Programming	1
1.1	Multi-parametric Nonlinear Programming	1
1.1.1	Problem Formulation	2
1.1.2	Optimality Conditions	3
1.1.3	Nonlinear Programming Methods	4
1.1.4	Sensitivity Results	11
1.1.5	Algorithms for Approximate Multi-parametric Nonlinear Programming	12
1.2	Convex Multi-parametric Quadratic Programming	24
1.2.1	Problem Formulation	24
1.2.2	Optimality Conditions	25
1.2.3	Algorithms for Exact Convex Multi-parametric Quadratic Programming	27
1.2.4	Remarks on Alternative mp-QP Algorithms	31
1.3	Evaluating Piecewise Functions	32
	References	34
2	Nonlinear Model Predictive Control	39
2.1	Introduction	39
2.2	NMPC Optimization Problem Formulation	41
2.2.1	Continuous-Time Model, Discretization and Finite Parameterization	41
2.2.2	Numerical Optimal Control	44
2.2.3	Tuning and Stability	49
2.2.4	Extensions and Variations of the Problem Formulation	53
2.3	Numerical Optimization	57
2.3.1	Problem Structure	57
2.3.2	Computation of Jacobian and Hessian matrices	60
2.4	Motivation for Explicit Nonlinear Model Predictive Control	61
	References	63

3	Explicit NMPC Using mp-QP Approximations of mp-NLP	71
3.1	Introduction	71
3.2	Local mp-QP Approximation to mp-NLP	73
3.3	Convexity	76
3.4	Algorithm	76
3.5	Example: Compressor Surge Control	77
3.5.1	NMPC Formulation	77
3.5.2	Tuning and Settings	79
3.5.3	Results	79
	References	84
4	Explicit NMPC via Approximate mp-NLP	87
4.1	Introduction	87
4.2	Formulation of the NMPC Problem as an mp-NLP Problem	88
4.3	Stability of Regulation NMPC	90
4.4	Application 1: Compressor Surge Regulation	93
4.5	Application 2: Reference Tracking Control of an Electropneumatic Clutch Actuator Using On/Off Valves and Pulse-Width Modulation	97
4.5.1	Mathematical Model of the Clutch Actuator Dynamics	98
4.5.2	Design and Performance of Explicit Reference Tracking Controller with Continuous Control Input	103
4.6	Time-Optimal Diver Decompression	108
	References	108
5	Explicit MPC of Constrained Nonlinear Systems with Quantized Inputs	111
5.1	Introduction	111
5.2	Formulation of the Quantized NMPC Problem as an mp-NIP Problem	112
5.3	Approximate mp-NIP Approach to Explicit Quantized NMPC	114
5.3.1	Computation of Explicit Approximate Solution	114
5.3.2	Estimation of Error Bounds	115
5.3.3	Approximate mp-NIP Algorithm	115
5.4	Application 1: Reference Tracking Quantized Control of an Electropneumatic Clutch Actuator Using On/Off Valves	116
5.4.1	Design of Explicit NMPC with Quantized Control Input	117
5.4.2	Comparison between the Explicit NMPC with Quantized Control Input and the Explicit NMPC with Continuous Control Input	118
5.5	Application 2: Regulation of a Continuous Stirred Tank Reactor with Quantized Control Input	120
	References	125

6	Explicit Min-Max MPC of Constrained Nonlinear Systems with Bounded Uncertainties	127
6.1	Introduction	127
6.2	Explicit Open-Loop Min-Max MPC of Constrained Nonlinear Systems with Bounded Uncertainties	128
6.2.1	Formulation of the Open-Loop Min-Max NMPC Problem as an mp-NLP Problem	129
6.2.2	Approximate mp-NLP Approach to Explicit Open-Loop Min-Max NMPC	130
6.2.3	Application 1: Min-Max MPC of a Continuous Stirred Tank Reactor	131
6.3	Explicit Closed-Loop Min-Max MPC of Constrained Nonlinear Systems with Bounded Uncertainties	134
6.3.1	Formulation of the Closed-Loop Min-Max NMPC Problem as an mp-NLP Problem	134
6.3.2	Approximate mp-NLP Approach to Explicit Closed-Loop Min-Max NMPC	138
6.3.3	Stability	144
6.3.4	Application 2: Min-Max MPC of Cart and Spring System	148
	References	154
7	Explicit Stochastic NMPC	157
7.1	Introduction	157
7.2	Explicit Stochastic NMPC Based on Parametric Probabilistic Models	158
7.2.1	Formulation of the Feedback Stochastic NMPC Problem as an mp-NLP Problem	159
7.2.2	Approximate mp-NLP Approach to Explicit Feedback Stochastic NMPC	163
7.2.3	Application 1: Stochastic MPC of the Cart and Spring System	164
7.3	Explicit Stochastic NMPC Based on Gaussian Process Models	169
7.3.1	Modeling of Dynamic Systems with Gaussian Processes	169
7.3.2	Formulation of the Stochastic GP-NMPC Problem as an mp-NLP Problem	171
7.3.3	Application 2: Reference Tracking Control of a Combustion Plant	173
	References	183
8	Explicit NMPC Based on Neural Network Models	187
8.1	Introduction	187
8.2	Formulation of the NN-NMPC Problem as an mp-NLP Problem	188

8.2.1	Modeling of Dynamic Systems with Neural Networks	188
8.2.2	Formulation of the NN-NMPC Problem	189
8.3	Approximate mp-NLP Approach to Explicit NN-NMPC	191
8.4	Design of Explicit Dual-Mode Controller	192
8.4.1	Design of LQR with Integral Action in a Neighborhood of the Equilibrium	193
8.4.2	Explicit Dual-Mode Controller	195
8.5	Application: Regulation of a pH Maintaining System	196
8.5.1	The pH Maintaining System	197
8.5.2	ARX Model Identification	199
8.5.3	Design of Explicit Dual-Mode Controller	200
	References	206
9	Semi-explicit Distributed NMPC	209
9.1	Introduction	209
9.2	Formulation of NMPC Problem for Interconnected Systems	210
9.3	Distributed NMPC for Interconnected Nonlinear Systems with Linear Couplings	212
9.3.1	Distributed NMPC by Dual Decomposition	212
9.3.2	Local QP Approximations of the NLP Sub-problems	214
9.3.3	A Suboptimal Approach to Distributed NMPC Based on On-Line Optimization	217
9.4	A Semi-explicit Approach to Efficient Distributed NMPC for Interconnected Systems with Linear Couplings	218
9.4.1	Formulation of the Distributed NMPC Problem as an mp-NLP Problem	219
9.4.2	Approximate mp-NLP Approach to Semi-explicit Distributed NMPC	219
9.5	Application: Distributed NMPC of a Nonlinear System Consisting of Two Sub-systems	221
9.5.1	Results with the Distributed NMPC Based on On-Line Optimization	221
9.5.2	Results with the Semi-explicit Distributed NMPC	223
	References	230
	Index	233