

Springer Theses

Recognizing Outstanding Ph.D. Research

For further volumes:

<http://www.springer.com/series/8790>

## **Aims and Scope**

The series “Springer Theses” brings together a selection of the very best Ph.D. theses from around the world and across the physical sciences. Nominated and endorsed by two recognized specialists, each published volume has been selected for its scientific excellence and the high impact of its contents for the pertinent field of research. For greater accessibility to non-specialists, the published versions include an extended introduction, as well as a foreword by the student’s supervisor explaining the special relevance of the work for the field. As a whole, the series will provide a valuable resource both for newcomers to the research fields described, and for other scientists seeking detailed background information on special questions. Finally, it provides an accredited documentation of the valuable contributions made by today’s younger generation of scientists.

### **Theses are accepted into the series by invited nomination only and must fulfill all of the following criteria**

- They must be written in good English.
- The topic should fall within the confines of Chemistry, Physics, Earth Sciences and related interdisciplinary fields such as Materials, Nanoscience, Chemical Engineering, Complex Systems and Biophysics.
- The work reported in the thesis must represent a significant scientific advance.
- If the thesis includes previously published material, permission to reproduce this must be gained from the respective copyright holder.
- They must have been examined and passed during the 12 months prior to nomination.
- Each thesis should include a foreword by the supervisor outlining the significance of its content.
- The theses should have a clearly defined structure including an introduction accessible to scientists not expert in that particular field.

Armando Marino

# A New Target Detector Based on Geometrical Perturbation Filters for Polarimetric Synthetic Aperture Radar (POL-SAR)

Doctoral Thesis accepted by  
The University of Edinburgh, UK

*Author*

Dr. Armando Marino  
ETH Zurich  
Institute of Environmental Engineering  
HIF D24.1, Schafmattstr. 6  
8093 Zurich  
Switzerland

*Supervisor*

Prof. Iain H. Woodhouse,  
The University of Edinburgh  
EH8 9XP Edinburgh,  
United Kingdom

ISSN 2190-5053

ISBN 978-3-642-27162-5

DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-27163-2

Springer Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London

e-ISSN 2190-5061

e-ISBN 978-3-642-27163-2

Library of Congress Control Number: 2011945112

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2012

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed. Exempted from this legal reservation are brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis or material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the Copyright Law of the Publisher's location, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Permissions for use may be obtained through RightsLink at the Copyright Clearance Center. Violations are liable to prosecution under the respective Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

While the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication, neither the authors nor the editors nor the publisher can accept any legal responsibility for any errors or omissions that may be made. The publisher makes no warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media ([www.springer.com](http://www.springer.com))

**Parts of this thesis have been published in the following journal articles:**

Horn, R., Marino, A., Nannini, M., Walker, N., & Woodhouse, I. H. (2008), The SARTOM Project: Tomography for enhanced target detection for foliage penetrating airborne SAR, EMRS-DTC 2008, 5th Annual Technical Conference 24–25th June, Edinburgh, UK.

Marino, A. Cloude S. R., & Woodhouse, I. H. (2009), Polarimetric target detector by the use of the polarisation fork, Proceedings on POLinSAR'09, Frascati, Roma, 2009.

Horn, R., Marino, A., Nannini, M., Walker, N. & Woodhouse, I. H. (2009), The SARTOM Project, tomography and polarimetry for enhanced target detection for foliage penetrating airborne SAR, EMRS-DTC 2009, 6th Annual Technical Conference 7–8th, Edinburgh, UK, July 2009.

Marino, A. Cloude S. R. & Woodhouse, I. H. (2009), Selectable target detector using the polarisation fork, Proceedings on IGARSS'09, Cape Town, South Africa, July 2009.

Marino, A. Cloude, S. R. & Woodhouse. I. H. (2010), A Polarimetric Target Detector Using the Huynen Fork, IEEE Transaction on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 48, 2357–2366.

Marino, A. & Cloude S. R. (2010), Detecting Depolarizing Targets using a New Geometrical Perturbation Filter, Proceedings on EUSAR'10, Aachen, Germany, June 2010.

Marino, A. Cloude S. R. & Woodhouse, I. H. (2010), New classification technique based on depolarised target detection, Proceedings on EUSAR'10, Aachen, Germany, June 2010.

Walker, N., Horn, R., Marino, A., Nannini, M. & Woodhouse, I. H. (2010), The SARTOM Project: Tomography and polarimetry for enhanced target detection for foliage penetrating airborne P-band and L-band SAR, EMRS-DTC 2010, 6th Annual Technical Conference 13–14th, Edinburgh, UK, July 2010.

Marino, A., Cloude, S. R. & Woodhouse, I. H. (2010), Detecting Depolarizing Targets with Satellite Data: a New Geometrical Perturbation Filter, Proceedings on IGARSS'10, Honolulu, Hawaii, July 2010.

Marino, A. Cloude, S. R. & Woodhouse, I. H. (2012), Detecting Depolarizing Targets using a New Geometrical Perturbation Filter, IEEE Transaction on Geosciences and Remote Sensing, accepted.

*I would like to dedicate this thesis to my  
grandfather, Armando Marino, with whom I  
share not only his name, but also very nice  
moments of our lives*

# Supervisor's Foreword

To both detect and identify objects remotely has always been a key driver in remote sensing research, ever since the first airborne photographs. In the twentieth century, radar technology made available a whole new domain of target identification, namely the active sensing of objects with polarimetric radio waves. And when such systems made their way into Earth orbit in the 1970s, the world was then open to new possibilities of mapping and surveillance. This thesis is concerned with microwave observations using polarimetric SAR (Synthetic Aperture Radar) imaging techniques. The oft cited advantages of microwave imaging are its capability to supply useful images in almost any weather conditions and at night time, but if long enough wavelengths are used it also has the capacity to penetrate foliage.

The focus of the methods described in this thesis is on polarimetry, since a target illuminated by polarized waves generally scatters the incoming electromagnetic radiation with a different (and sometimes unique) polarization. This property makes polarimetry an invaluable tool to perform target detection/classification. In the last decade, the community has become increasingly aware of the importance of SAR polarimetry and this has led to even more satellite systems able to acquire this type of data, including ALOS-PALSAR, RADARSAT-2 and TerraSAR-X. The availability of polarimetric modes (at least dual polarisation) is now standard on most of the future radar satellite missions.

This thesis presents a groundbreaking methodology for radar target detection. The detection approach introduced, "Perturbation Analysis", was completely novel and demonstrated a step change in the approach to interpreting polarimetric data. Perturbation Analysis was able to push the performance limits of current algorithms, allowing for the detection of targets smaller than the resolution cell and embedded in high levels of clutter. The methodology itself is extraordinarily flexible resulting in the employment of another two applications: (1) ship detection for maritime surveillance, and (2) change detection for land change analysis and classification of dynamic ecosystems. The manuscript itself is a thoroughly well-organised piece of work, covering every detail and perspective in order to provide a comprehensive vision of the various problems and solutions where PA

can be employed. At the time Dr. Marino's viva, the methodology has already led to the publication of two journal papers and more than 20 conference papers. Moreover, this thesis was awarded "Best PhD Thesis 2011" by the RSPSoc (Remote Sensing and Photogrammetry Society) during their annual conference in Bournemouth, 14th of September 2011.

Prof. Iain H. Woodhouse



# Preface

When I found out my thesis was going to be published I began to wonder what would make the best incipit. I started asking around for suggestions from friends with a higher experience in the publishing world. I received plenty of advice (thanks to all), however the one that caught most of my attention was: “Armando, you should start your preface by telling, in a humorous way, an experience you had during your PhD and remains impressed in your memory... in this way, surely you will capture the attention of your readers throughout all the rest [of the preface]”. I have to admit that I actually evaluated the possibility that the suggestion was coming from a witty friend that just wanted to have a laugh at my preface expenses. But then, I realised that for most of life’s mistakes you have to make them in order to learn from them. So, I started to ponder for something witty that would be worth the preface of this thesis. As an actual fact, I could not think of anything! Well, perhaps it is better I rephrase this last sentence. I could not think of one unique funny event because there were many episodes that got stuck in my mind, like a bottle neck.

I could tell you about the time I felt like Indiana Jones in the neither lost nor doomed German forests (hopefully, I am not breaking any copyright right now) looking for possible real targets that were giving positive detections to the algorithm. Or, I could try to describe the expressions of my roommate colleagues when they found me in one of my trances induced by the progressive rock music I would listen to whilst working. Or perhaps, I could tell about my first conference, when my friends described me as a “mental road-police waving around” to illustrate the directions of polarisations, but in actual fact I was just fighting against the feeling of running away screaming. The truth is that I find all the processes of investigation to be an incredibly fascinating game. Sometimes you win and feel like you deserve the Nobel prize for a silly calculation that a fresher could have done, and sometimes you lose and you cannot stop thinking about the return match and a new strategy that will bring you to victory. With the impossibility of finding a single episode, I cannot do more than just say that all the words, maths and simulations the reader will find in the following are the result of a game that I enjoyed a lot to

play in both the good and bad moments. My ultimate hope is that the reader will enjoy the content as well. But now I am already too impatient to start telling more about the content of this thesis!

Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) is an active microwave remote sensing system able to acquire high resolution images of the scattering behaviour of an observed scene. In this thesis, the contribution of SAR polarimetry (POLoSAR) in detection and classification of objects is described and found to add valuable information compared to previous approaches. The first two chapters will be dedicated to introducing the concepts of SAR and polarimetry that forms the basis of the following developments.

The core of this thesis is a new target detection/classification methodology that makes novel use of the polarimetric information of the backscattered field from a target and will be presented in two chapters. The first of them, [Chap. 4](#) contains all the mathematical demonstrations aimed to bring the reader from a physical/algebraic concept to a final formula ready to apply. On the other hand, [Chap. 5](#) is concerned with the statistical description of the detector, in order to acquire more information regarding its theoretical performances (in particular its ROC, Receiver Operating Characteristic, curve).

One unavoidable step in proposing a new algorithm to the World is its validation and in this thesis this part is taken strongly into account in two chapters. [Chapter 6](#) proposes a validation based on data collected with an airborne system: E-SAR L-band (DLR, German Aerospace Centre) in a campaign narrowly aimed to target detection (included camouflaged conditions). [Chapter 7](#) is concerned with satellite data, since they represents a particularly interesting scenario for target detection. The datasets includes ALOS-PALSAR L-band (JAXA, Japanese Aerospace Exploration Agency), RADARSAT-2 C-band (Canadian Space Agency) and TerraSAR-X X-band (DLR).

I hope you will enjoy this thesis as much as I did!

# Acknowledgments

Surely a PhD is not the kind of task that one can accomplish without the help of a myriad of people. I am afraid that a detailed description would end up as a chronicle of the past 4 years of my life being as long as the entire thesis. It could start from my supervisor and end at the Cameo ticket boy. Therefore, considering I do not have enough time to write it nor the reader is interested in my diary, I believe there are two ways out to this problem: (1) to make the list as short as possible missing out many names; (2) to not write at all! Considering ingratitude is not amongst my favourite sins, I will attempt the first solution and try to keep the list as short as possible.

First of all I would like to thank my supervisor Dr. Iain Woodhouse for the never-ending encouragement and support he provided when pursuing my ideas, it did not matter how bizarre they appeared at first glance. Then there are my friends within the University of Edinburgh who assisted me in fitting into my new office environment and orienteering around many pubs: Iain Cameron, Karen Viergever, Bronwen Whitney, Mehmet Karatay, Rachel Gaulton. Without them I would still be fighting with IT or secretarial nuisances and would probably ignore the real value of a good pint.

Many people contributed to the actual advance of my PhD and thesis. Amongst all I would like to give my special thanks to Shane Cloude from AEL consultants. Without his continuous suggestions and advice the entire work would not be as it appears in this thesis. I would like to thank all the people with whom I had brainstorming discussions about my work: Marco Lavalle, Andreas Reigber, Eric Pottier, Maxim Neumann and Laurent Ferro-Famil. I am also infinitely grateful for the help of Juan Manuel Sanchez Lopez and Rafael Schneider who gave up their time to help with the proof-reading of my thesis.

I am immensely grateful for the sponsorships provided to me for my PhD, which includes many people, however, in brief the list most certainly has to include Nick Walker from eOsphere Ltd, Dr. Iain Anderson from Defence Science and Technology Laboratory of the Ministry of Defence UK, Tony Kinghorn and Neil Whitehall from the Electro Magnetic Remote Sensing Defence Technology Centre and Ralf Horn and Matteo Nannini from the German Aerospace Agency (DLR).

Without their continuous adjustments on the directions of my research I would never have attained the results presented in this thesis.

I would also like to thank the people within DLR who first planted the seed for research within me (or perhaps first watered it). Without them I surely would not have started my PhD in Edinburgh or developed such passion for research. To name but a few of these people, I have picked a small sample: Irena Hajsek, Florian Kugler, Luca Marotti, Kostas Papathanassiou and Rafael Schneider.

I leave the last paragraph for a special thank to everybody who has been close to me during my PhD, especially in the beginning when home looked so far away. Amongst these people are my parents, Franco and Anna who accepted the idea to live afar from their son and provide me with an opportunity of attempting my aspirations. My friends amongst others, Pasquale Bellotti, Giampaolo Cesareo, Vincenzo Costa and Giuliano Raimondo. Last but not least I would like to thank my girlfriend, Greer Gardner, who supported me in all my decisions putting my aspirations before everything.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b> . . . . .	1
	References . . . . .	6
<b>2</b>	<b>Synthetic Aperture Radar</b> . . . . .	9
	2.1 Radar Remote Sensing with SAR . . . . .	9
	2.2 Geometrical Distortions . . . . .	13
	2.3 Statistical Characterisation of Targets . . . . .	15
	2.4 Radar Cross Section. . . . .	20
	2.5 Polarimetric Acquisition: The Scattering Matrix . . . . .	22
	2.5.1 The Scattering Matrix . . . . .	22
	2.5.2 The Coordinate System . . . . .	25
	References . . . . .	26
<b>3</b>	<b>Radar Polarimetry</b> . . . . .	29
	3.1 Introduction . . . . .	29
	3.2 Wave Polarimetry . . . . .	29
	3.2.1 Polarisation Ellipse . . . . .	29
	3.2.2 Jones Vectors . . . . .	31
	3.2.3 Stokes Vectors . . . . .	32
	3.2.4 Poincaré Polarisation Sphere . . . . .	34
	3.2.5 Wave Decomposition Theorems . . . . .	35
	3.3 Target Polarimetry: Single Targets . . . . .	37
	3.3.1 Sinclair Matrix and Basis Transformation . . . . .	38
	3.3.2 Scattering Features Vectors . . . . .	39
	3.3.3 Backscattering Case . . . . .	40
	3.3.4 Polarisation Fork. . . . .	42
	3.3.5 Huynen Single Target Decomposition . . . . .	44
	3.4 Target Polarimetry: Partial Targets . . . . .	45
	3.4.1 Muller and Graves Matrices . . . . .	46

3.4.2	Covariance Matrix . . . . .	47
3.4.3	Eigenvalue Decomposition (Cloude–Pottier). . . . .	49
3.4.4	$\alpha$ Scattering Model . . . . .	51
3.5	Polarimetric Detection . . . . .	52
3.5.1	Detectors Based on Statistical Approaches . . . . .	53
3.5.2	Detector Based on Physical Approaches . . . . .	53
3.5.3	Polarimetric Whitening Filter . . . . .	55
3.5.4	Comparison of Detectors . . . . .	58
	References . . . . .	58
<b>4</b>	<b>Polarimetric Detector</b> . . . . .	<b>63</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	63
4.2	Derivation Using an Algebraic Approach . . . . .	63
4.2.1	Weighting of Vector Components . . . . .	63
4.2.2	Detector . . . . .	65
4.3	Mathematical Derivation with a Physical Approach . . . . .	66
4.3.1	Perturbation Analysis and Coherence Detector . . . . .	66
4.3.2	Bias Removal: Final Detector . . . . .	73
4.3.3	Generalised Detector . . . . .	76
4.4	Detector Interpretation . . . . .	77
4.4.1	Geometrical Interpretation . . . . .	77
4.4.2	Physical Interpretation . . . . .	79
4.5	Parameters Selection . . . . .	80
4.5.1	Reduction Ratios ( <i>RedR</i> ) and Threshold . . . . .	80
4.5.2	Perturbed Target Selection: <i>RedR</i> . . . . .	84
4.6	Algorithm Implementation . . . . .	86
4.6.1	Gram–Schmidt Ortho-normalisation . . . . .	86
4.6.2	Flow Chart . . . . .	87
4.7	Target to Detect . . . . .	88
4.7.1	Standard Single Targets . . . . .	89
	References . . . . .	91
<b>5</b>	<b>Polarimetric Detector Statistics</b> . . . . .	<b>93</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	93
5.2	Analytic Detector Probability Density Function . . . . .	93
5.2.1	Coloured Clutter Hypothesis . . . . .	94
5.2.2	White Clutter Hypothesis . . . . .	98
5.2.3	General Hypothesis on Clutter . . . . .	103
5.2.4	General Hypothesis on Target and Clutter . . . . .	107
5.3	Probabilities . . . . .	108
5.3.1	Coloured Clutter Hypothesis . . . . .	109
5.3.2	White Clutter Hypothesis . . . . .	113
5.4	Receiver Operating Characteristic . . . . .	117

5.4.1	Coloured Clutter Hypothesis . . . . .	117
5.4.2	White Clutter Hypothesis . . . . .	117
5.4.3	Selection of the Threshold . . . . .	123
5.5	Estimation of DF Through Numerical Simulation . . . . .	123
5.5.1	Coloured Clutter Hypothesis . . . . .	124
5.5.2	White Clutter Hypothesis . . . . .	129
	References . . . . .	131
<b>6</b>	<b>Validation with Airborne Data . . . . .</b>	<b>133</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	133
6.2	Presentation of the E-SAR Data and General Considerations . . . . .	134
6.3	Standard Target Detection . . . . .	138
6.4	Selectable Detection . . . . .	148
6.5	Polarimetric Characterisation . . . . .	157
6.6	Comparison with Another Polarimetric Detector: PWF . . . . .	157
	References . . . . .	159
<b>7</b>	<b>Validation with Satellite Data . . . . .</b>	<b>161</b>
7.1	Introduction . . . . .	161
7.2	ALOS PALSAR . . . . .	164
7.2.1	Description of the Data and General Considerations . . . . .	164
7.2.2	Standard Targets Detection . . . . .	168
7.3	RADRSAT-2 . . . . .	176
7.3.1	Description of the Data . . . . .	176
7.3.2	Standard Targets Detection . . . . .	180
7.4	TerraSAR-X . . . . .	185
7.4.1	Description of the Data . . . . .	185
7.4.2	Standard Target Detection . . . . .	188
	References . . . . .	190
<b>8</b>	<b>Recent Applications of Perturbation Filters . . . . .</b>	<b>193</b>
8.1	Introduction . . . . .	193
8.2	Partial Target Detector . . . . .	193
8.2.1	Formulation . . . . .	193
8.2.2	Physical Feasibility . . . . .	196
8.2.3	Parameter Selection . . . . .	197
8.2.4	Dual Polarimetric Detection . . . . .	198
8.3	Classifier . . . . .	199
8.3.1	Formulation . . . . .	199
8.3.2	Parameter Selection . . . . .	200
8.3.3	Supervised and Unsupervised Versions . . . . .	200

- 8.4 Validation of Partial Target Detector . . . . . 201
  - 8.4.1 Datasets Employed . . . . . 201
  - 8.4.2 Comparison Between Single and Partial Target Detector. . . . . 201
  - 8.4.3 Satellite Data: Historical Fire Scar (hfs) Detection . . . . . 202
  - 8.4.4 Satellite Data: Classification. . . . . 207
  - 8.4.5 Satellite Data: Dual Polarimetric Detection . . . . . 211
- 8.5 Final Remarks. . . . . 214
- References . . . . . 214
  
- 9 Conclusions . . . . . 217**
  - References . . . . . 220
  
- Appendix 1: Geometrical Perturbation with the Huynen Parameters . . . . . 223**
  
- Appendix 2: Neglecting the Cross Terms . . . . . 231**



# Acronyms

<i>EM</i>	Electro magnetic field
<i>SAR</i>	Synthetic aperture radar
<i>PF</i>	Polarisation fork
<i>FOLPEN</i>	Foliage penetration
<i>POLSAR</i>	Polarimetric SAR
<i>POLinSAR</i>	Polarimetric SAR interferometry
<i>X-pol</i>	Cross-polarisation
<i>Co-pol</i>	Co-polarisation
<i>CR</i>	Corner reflector
<i>RedR</i>	Reduction Ratio
<i>SCR</i>	Signal to clutter ratio
<i>SNR</i>	Signal to noise ratio
<i>CNR</i>	Clutter to noise ratio
<i>pdf</i>	Probability density function
<i>CDF</i>	Cumulative distribution function
<i>DF</i>	Discrete probability function
<i>ROC</i>	Receiver operating characteristic
<i>H</i>	Horizontal
<i>V</i>	Vertical
<i>SLC</i>	Single look complex image
<i>DEM</i>	Digital elevation model
<i>LOS</i>	Line of sight
<i>RVoG</i>	Random volume over ground
<i>OVoG</i>	Oriented volume over ground
<i>RCS</i>	Radar cross section
<i>STD</i>	Single target detector
<i>PTD</i>	Partial target detector
<i>hfs</i>	Historical fire scar

# Symbols

$X_1, X_2$	X-Pol Nulls
$C_1, C_2$	Co-Pol Nulls
$S_1, S_2$	X-Pol Max
$\gamma$	Complex polarimetric coherence
$\gamma_d$	Detector
$\underline{k}$	Scattering vector
$k_1, k_2$ and $k_3$	Components of the scattering vector $\underline{k}$
$\underline{\omega}$	Scattering mechanism
$\underline{\omega}_T$	Target of interest (scattering mechanism)
$\underline{\omega}_P$	Perturbed-target (scattering mechanism)
$i(.)$	Complex image
$[S]$	Scattering (Sinclair) matrix
$[C]$	Covariance matrix
$[T]$	Coherency matrix
$[U]$	Unitary rotation matrix
$\langle . \rangle$	Finite averaging
$E[.]$	Expected value (infinite averaging)
$\underline{k}^T$	Transpose of $\underline{k}$
$\underline{k}^*$	complex conjugate of $\underline{k}$
$\ \underline{k}\ $	Modulus of $\underline{k}$
$ \underline{k}_i $	Amplitude of the component $\underline{k}_i$
$\left(\frac{ b }{ a }\right)^2, \left(\frac{ c }{ a }\right)^2$	Reduction ratios $RedR$
$T$	Detector threshold
$P_T$	Power of target component
$P_C$	Power of clutter component
$[I]$	Identity matrix
$[A]$	Weighting matrix
$N(.)$	Gaussian (Normal) distribution

$\Gamma(\cdot)$	Gamma distribution
$\delta(\cdot)$	Dirac function
$X_i$	Random variable
$x_i$	Realisation of the random variable $X_i$
$P_D$	Probability of detection
$P_F$	Probability of false alarm
$P_M$	Probability of missed detection