

Complexity Metrics in Engineering Design

Matthias Kreimeyer • Udo Lindemann

Complexity Metrics in Engineering Design

Managing the Structure of Design Processes

 Springer

Matthias Kreimeyer
Engineering Architecture -
Architecture
Dachauer Straße 667
80995 München
Germany
matthias.kreimeyer@man.eu

Udo Lindemann
TU München
Lehrstuhl für
Produktentwicklung
Boltzmannstr. 15
85748 Garching
Germany
udo.lindemann@pe.mw.tum.de

ISBN 978-3-642-20962-8 e-ISBN 978-3-642-20963-5
DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-20963-5
Springer Heidelberg Dordrecht London New York

Library of Congress Control Number: 2011933716

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2011

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilm or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Violations are liable to prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

Cover design: eStudio Calamar S.L.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

To Monica

FOREWORD BY THE AUTHORS

To manage and improve engineering design processes in a methodical and systematic manner, an important issue that needs tackling is their analysis, interpretation and goal-oriented improvement. Although approaches for managing complex processes exist, a systematical, method-based analysis and improvement is still highly difficult.

To support the systematic and holistic analysis and improvement of an engineering design process, this book presents a measurement system that makes use of complexity metrics to embody various patterns of the interplay of a process' entities (e.g. tasks, documents, organizational units, etc.). These metrics are used to draw inferences about the process' behavior (e.g. timeliness, need for communication, forming of opinions, etc.). This way, knowledge about a process can be extracted from existing process models, or new process models can be structured systematically by addressing desirable patterns. This supports management in reducing the risks in process planning through better understanding how the structure of a process impacts the behavior of a process. Generating such a means of process analysis and management provides a major contribution both for academia and industry, especially for the improvement of large and complex engineering design processes. The metrics embody the foundations of network theory and the management of structural complexity to generate a practice-oriented application.

The metrics are supported by a meta-model for process modeling. The meta-model uses multiple-domain matrices, integrating existing process models across common domains and relationship types. The modeling method is enhanced with additional constructs of modeling that act as a bridging between existing dependency models and established process models.

Furthermore, the analysis approach is operationalized by a framework to select the metrics in accordance with the goals of the process analysis. To this end, the metrics are classified and allocated to the common goals of process analysis with regard to the structure of a process, producing eight different guidelines. To enable a flexible application, a modular set-up consisting of three steps is chosen: As a starting point, the strategic level is addressed using common goals of process analysis. Then, these goals are concretized by typical questions that can be posed in their context. Finally, these questions are answered using the metrics and parts of the meta-model.

The overall approach is detailed using three case studies from automotive development; on the one hand, the modeling and goal-oriented analysis of the body-in-white design of a premium class mid-size sedan is shown and, on the other hand, the detailed analysis and extraction of possible weak spots within the concept design, programming, and testing of electronic control units for an SUV is regarded. A third case study on general automotive design is used to illustrate all

individual metrics. Results from the case studies point e.g. to particularly robust parts of the process, to critical structural bottle-necks, to the core drivers for iterations or rework, and, more generally, to potential weak spots in the overall structure of a process.

The book is based on a rigorous scientific approach to illustrate the origin of the presented results as well as the limits of their applicability. At the same time, much attention was put to illustrating all details in their industrial relevance to bridge the scientific approach and its industrial application.

Therefore, the book provides both academia and industry with new insights, above all a comprehensive collection of complexity metrics and their interpretation towards common problems in process management. It expands literature in structural complexity management into this field without limitation to its significance to other areas of application, as e.g. the design and management of complex product architectures.

At the same time, the research in this book was motivated to come “full circle”, i.e. it was created in a way that both the modeling scheme, the analysis approach and the overall guidance about how both modeling and analysis work together were integrated in a more general framework. This endeavor thus guides the overall outline of the book. Nevertheless, none of these constituents to the solution are designed to be exclusive, so that, for example, the complexity metrics can also be based on models other than the multiple-domain matrices that are used here.

Munich, March 2011

Dr.-Ing. Matthias Kreimeyer
Prof. Dr.-Ing. Udo Lindemann

THE RELEVANCE OF COMPLEXITY METRICS

Industry and scientific research require methods to support management of complex engineering development processes in a way that recognises and exploits the characteristics of their structural complexity. In particular, there is a pressing need to find ways to exploit the structural knowledge represented in process models in support of process management.

This research addresses this need through development of a systematic and scientifically rigorous yet practical approach to modelling and analysing processes. The approach is clearly demonstrated by application to different case studies of automotive design. It thereby presents a significant contribution to practitioners wishing to understand and improve their complex processes. It also fills a major gap in the scientific literature by further developing and systematising the emerging area of structural complexity management in engineering design.

The empirical background of this research highlights the complexity of engineering design and clearly outlines the problem that, even when models of the activities, information flows, resources etc. are available, such models are sufficiently complex that problem areas cannot be identified by inspection. The concept of structural analysis serves here as a promising means to address this by identifying potential ‘problem areas’ within a complex process.

The main body of this research considers a comprehensive state of the art drawn from the fields of system theory, graph-theory, matrix-based methods for structural complexity management, network theory, process management and software engineering. Contributions from these disciplines are combined, using an established approach of system analysis, enhanced with a clear goal-orientation. The solution is therefore based on three constituents:

An enhanced method of process modelling is first introduced that encompasses a means of combining existing process models. This modelling scheme is, above all, constructed in a way that it serves as a means of making the use of complexity metrics compatible with existing models that, similarly, represent dependencies in a system.

Based thereon, 52 complexity metrics are explained to analyze a process. The metrics address the clear and pressing need for a rigorous approach to formalise and prepare the large volumes of data required for process analysis in many practical situations, as it is often the case with complex systems. At the same time, the abstract approach is illustrated with extensive tables to support the interpretation of any findings. Above all, however, the substantial set of 52 metrics should form a major resource for further research in structural complexity management for engineering design.

Third, both modeling and analysis approach are combined offering a goal-oriented conduction of process analysis. This completes the description of the new

approach and convincingly supports the technical discussion of previous chapters by showing how the approach can be linked to the real challenges faced in industry.

Supported by three studies, the book clearly illustrates how the method of earlier chapters can be applied. The practical application of structural analysis to understand and improve complex processes is clearly demonstrated and critically reflected upon.

Cambridge, December 2010

Professor P. John Clarkson
Engineering Design Centre, University of Cambridge

BACKGROUND OF THIS RESEARCH

This work results from a series of research projects on the management of structural complexity at the Institute of Product Development at the Technische Universität München. Based on a rigorous research approach as a basis to the systematic obtainment of the results presented in this research, the authors' involvement in numerous research projects provides an experiential basis to design a methodology that fulfils all requirements.

The authors were, among other activities, involved in a major study to identify and conceptualize a possible reorganization of the development departments involved in the design and simulation of the body-in-white of a large German automotive manufacturer¹. In fact, this project provided the motivation for the research presented in this research, as the initial study at the company showed that almost all problems were interconnected, and the systematic determination of improvement potential, while only "reorganizing" the existing structure, appeared as an almost insurmountable problem.

The authors were also involved in various projects to improve process management in engineering design. At a strategic level, a management framework based on common management models was developed in cooperation with a management consulting firm to better guide the development of automotive safety features [KREIMEYER et al. 2006d]. At the operational level, a project to set up guidelines to access the various committees inside a large automotive manufacturer was run to improve decision making; to do so, the overall structure of the various decision processes was analyzed to obtain specific routes through the various decision-making bodies. Another project was carried out to research the potential and implementation of architectural standards across all models of a premium class automotive manufacturer; here, the goal was to establish all necessary processes to implement the definition of sustainable architectural standards, derive individual models, maintain and update them, and integrate future technologies in a cost-efficient manner.

Part of the research presented in this research was done in collaboration with another large German automotive manufacturer [KÖNIG et al. 2008] [KREIMEYER et al. 2008d]. In combination with the data available from the reorganization project described above, these two comparable projects provided ample empirical data and relevant access to industry to guarantee an approach both pragmatic and relevant. A third set of empirical data was available publicly [Braha & Bar-Yam 2004].

¹ For an overview see [DEUBZER et al. 2007]; a problem description is given in [KREIMEYER et al. 2005] and [KREIMEYER et al. 2007b]; the core concept is detailed in [HERFELD et al. 2006] and [KREIMEYER et al. 2006a] and completed in [KREIMEYER et al. 2007a].

At the same time, two large studies of engineering design were conducted. One study focused on the collaboration patterns in the “digital factory planning” in automotive companies and their ties to the engineering design and supply industry [KEIJZER et al. 2007]. A second study was carried out as a benchmark comparing the engineering divisions of three firms producing diesel engines of various sizes (400 to 100.000 horsepower). Both studies generated a broad picture of the necessities and particularities of engineering design.

Furthermore, the authors have been active for a long time in research on structural complexity management. As co-founders of the research group “Systems Engineering” at the Institute of Product Development², the authors repeatedly organized the International Dependency and Structure Modeling (DSM) Conference³, which serves as an international platform for practitioners and researchers on structural complexity management. The authors were also co-founders of the Special Interest Group “Managing Structural Complexity” within the Design Society, and still act as chairs of this Special Interest Group⁴. The authors also re-launched the web-portal www.DSMweb.org⁵ to provide the international research community on structural complexity as well as interested practitioners with a comprehensive set of material, publications, and tutorials to facilitate the application of methods to manage structural complexity. At the same time, the authors were directly involved in re-launching the Special Interest Group on “Modeling and Management of Engineering Processes (MMEP)” within the Design Society⁶.

Ultimately, much of this experience resulted in the successful application of the Collaborative Research Center SFB 768 on “Managing cycles in innovation processes—integrated development of product service systems based on technical products”. Within this research center, the authors led the research group on “Development of models and processes” and supported both project A2 “Modellierung und Analyse disziplinen-übergreifender Zusammenhänge” (“Modeling and Analysis of trans-disciplinary Relationships”) and B1 “Prozessplanung für die zyklengerechte Lösungsentwicklung” (“Process Planning for the Efficient Development of Product Service Systems”).

² see <http://www.pe.mw.tum.de>, viewed on 20 February 2009

³ see <http://www.dsm-conference.org>, viewed on 20 February 2009

⁴ see <http://www.designsociety.org/index.php?menu=35&action=21>, viewed on 20 February 2009

⁵ see <http://www.DSMweb.org>, viewed on 20 February 2009

⁶ see <http://www-edc.eng.cam.ac.uk/mmep>, viewed on 20 February 2009

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This book would not have been possible without the help of numerous colleagues and friends. My gratitude extends to all of them. Above all, the continuous support of Udo Lindemann and John Clarkson brought this book to its final state, and their guidance and input provided the most important foundations.

An important tribute goes to my colleagues at the university, many of whom have become close friends through our close collaboration. In particular the support of my friends Frank Deubzer and Ulrich Herfeld need to be mentioned. I have gained many valuable ideas and much energy during our ongoing discussions and our fruitful collaboration in different contexts. Maik Maurer, Thomas Braun, and Wieland Biedermann have helped me develop the ideas of complexity management much further, both at the institute and at Teseon GmbH. Finally, I want to thank those colleagues who have helped me with both proofreading and providing valuable feedback. This, in particular, helped finalizing all the little details, especially Stefan Langer, Christoph Baumberger, Ralf Stetter, Udo Pulm, and Willem Keijzer. Of course, the many helping hands deserve my gratitude, among them Nikolas Bradford, Matthias Gürtler, and Caspar Sunder-Plassmann. Similarly, the support of my colleagues at MAN Nutzfahrzeuge, especially Wilhelm Heintze, enabled me to make this book possible.

In addition, special thanks goes to the international research community, especially Tyson Browning, Ed Crawley, Mike Danilovic, Steven Eppinger, Georges Fadel, Andrew Kusiak, Anja Maier, Don Steward, and David Wynn. Their input and ideas and their continuous provision of new references helped me to complete many of my concepts, whose full development would otherwise not have been possible.

Lastly and mostly, I am deeply grateful to my parents, to Monica, and to my friends for supporting me all the while. Their patience and comprehension gave me the strength necessary for the finishing touch.

Munich, December 2010

Matthias Kreimeyer

CONTENTS

- 1. Complex processes in engineering design** **9**
- 1.1 Preface.....9
- 1.2 A practical application: A design process at Audi AG.....13
 - 1.2.1 Description of the process13
 - 1.2.2 Modeling the process as an EPC process chart17
 - 1.2.3 Deficits when analyzing the process chart using existing methods .17
 - 1.2.4 Conclusion: Systematic analysis of a process chart20
- 1.3 The need for systematic analysis in practice21
 - 1.3.1 The problem: Systematic analysis of a process chart21
 - 1.3.2 Basic hypotheses and research questions23
 - 1.3.3 The approach used in this research.....26
- 1.4 Context of developing complexity metrics.....26
 - 1.4.1 Goals of this research26
 - 1.4.2 Basic requirements of the solution27
 - 1.4.3 Targeted audience28
 - 1.4.4 What this book is not about29
 - 1.4.5 Related fields of science.....30
- 1.5 Structure of this book.....30

- 2. The foundations of complexity metrics** **33**
- 2.1 Structural complexity of a system33
 - 2.1.1 General notions of managing structural complexity.....35
 - 2.1.2 Graph Theory43
 - 2.1.3 Matrix-based methodologies to manage structures45
 - 2.1.4 Network Theory52
 - 2.1.5 Other approaches to managing complex systems56
 - 2.1.6 Summary57
- 2.2 Structural aspects of process management59
 - 2.2.1 Processes in Engineering Design.....59

2.2.2	Goals of analyzing, improving and managing processes.....	64
2.2.3	Process models and their structural content	66
2.2.4	Strategies to analyze design processes and models	72
2.2.5	Summary	74
2.3	Metrics to analyze the structure of a process.....	75
2.3.1	Basics and measurement foundation	75
2.3.2	Metrics to describe networks.....	79
2.3.3	Metrics in software engineering	79
2.3.4	Metrics in process management	82
2.3.5	Metrics for engineering design processes.....	85
2.3.6	The limits of using metrics in an organization	87
2.3.7	Summary	89
2.4	Directions from the state of the art.....	91
3.	Concept of an integrated set of complexity metrics	93
3.1	Solution design process.....	93
3.2	Requirements for the solution design.....	94
3.3	Constituents of the solution.....	95
3.4	Overall concept: Analysis procedure	97
4.	Modeling the structure of design processes	101
4.1	Design processes as a multi-layered network	101
4.2	MDM-based modeling of the structure of a process	102
4.3	The Structural Process Architecture model.....	104
4.4	Specific aspects of modeling engineering design processes.....	109
4.4.1	Alignment of the process structure with the product architecture	109
4.4.2	Inclusion of attributes to nodes and edges.....	111
4.4.3	Decision points modeled as Boolean operators	114
4.5	Building the process model	120
4.5.1	Generating a process model	121
4.5.2	Aggregate views recombining domains and relationship types.....	123
4.5.3	Example of a process model for engineering release management	130

- 4.6 Conclusion: MDM-based process modeling 132

- 5. Complexity Metrics for Design Processes 133**
 - 5.1 Assessing structural characteristics using metrics 135
 - 5.1.1 Basic and combined structural characteristics 135
 - 5.1.2 Solution principles for structural metrics 137
 - 5.1.3 Evaluation of structural characteristics using structural metrics ... 138
 - 5.1.4 Structural outliers 142
 - 5.2 Overview of the Structural Measurement System 143
 - 5.2.1 A comprehensive set of complexity metrics 143
 - 5.2.2 Relevance and limits of basic structural metrics 147
 - 5.2.3 Relevance and limits of combined and specific structural metrics 150
 - 5.2.4 Classification of available metrics 157
 - 5.3 An example application of the Structural Measurement System 159
 - 5.3.1 The process in focus 159
 - 5.3.2 Overview of the analyses using structural complexity metrics 161
 - 5.3.3 Analyses using complexity metrics for the overall process model 162
 - 5.3.4 Analyses using complexity metrics for each task 163
 - 5.3.5 Analyses using complexity metrics for each module 167
 - 5.3.6 Conclusions for the regarded process 169
 - 5.4 Conclusion: Structural metrics 171

- 6. The S-QM framework to select metrics 173**
 - 6.1 Existing frameworks to facilitate the analysis of a system 173
 - 6.1.1 Quality Function Deployment and the House of Quality 174
 - 6.1.2 Goal-Question-Metric 175
 - 6.1.3 Balanced Scorecard 176
 - 6.1.4 Directions and requirements 178
 - 6.2 Systematic access to the structure of a process 179
 - 6.2.1 Goals and questions of structural process analysis 180
 - 6.2.2 Allocation of metrics, domains and relationship-types 185
 - 6.2.3 Identifying structural outliers 187
 - 6.2.4 Structural significance of the outliers 187

6.3	Using and adapting the framework	189
6.4	Conclusion: S-GQM framework for structural analysis.....	190
7.	Industrial application of metrics	191
7.1	Electronic control unit design: General analysis in Automotive Development.....	191
7.1.1	Goals and focus of the project.....	192
7.1.2	The process model used	192
7.1.3	Analysis and findings	195
7.1.4	Implications and validation	208
7.1.5	Reflection	210
7.2	Automotive design process at Audi AG: Analysis of interfaces	211
7.2.1	Goals and focus of the project.....	212
7.2.2	The process model used	213
7.2.3	Analysis and findings	218
7.2.4	Implications and validation	223
7.2.5	Reflection	224
7.3	Conclusions from the case studies.....	225
8.	Conclusions and outlook	227
8.1	Summary of results	227
8.2	Reflection	228
8.2.1	Strengths and weaknesses	228
8.2.2	Implications for industry	231
8.2.3	Implications for Research.....	233
8.3	Outlook.....	233
9.	References	235
10.	Appendix	271
10.1	Structural content of process modeling methodologies.....	272
10.2	Conversion of a process with logic operators.....	288
10.3	Nesting of Boolean operators.....	295
10.4	The complete Structural Process Architecture	297

10.5	List of structural metrics	298
10.6	Computability of metrics.....	390
10.7	Classification of metrics.....	392
10.8	GQM-Framework for metrics	396
10.9	Complete results of case study 7.2	398
11.	Keyword index	401

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ARIS	Architecture of Integrated Information Systems
BSC	Balanced Scorecard
BPMN	Business Process Modeling Notation
CAD	Computer Aided Design
CAE	Computer Aided Engineering
CFC	Control-Flow Complexity
DMM	Domain Mapping Matrix
DSM	Design Structure Matrix
EBB	Elementary Building Block
eEPC	Extended Event-driven Process Chain
EPC	Event-driven Process Chain
GQM	Goal-Question-Metric
HOQ	House of Quality
IDEF	Integrated Definition Method
IT	Information Technology
IUM	Business-Process Modeling (Integrierte Unternehmensmodellierung)
MCC	McCabe Complexity
MDM	Multiple-Domain Matrix
NVH	Noise Vibration Harshness: domain of simulation
oEPC	Object-oriented Event-driven Process Chain
OMEGA	Objektorientierte Methode für die Geschäftsprozessmodellierung und –analyse
PERT	Program Evaluation and Review Technique
PMM	Process Module Methodology
QFD	Quality Function Deployment
SADT	Structured Analysis and Design Technique
S-GQM	Structural Goal Question Metric
SMS	Structural Measurement System
SPA	Structural Process Architecture
UML	Unified Modeling Language
YAWL	Yet Another Workflow Language

LIST OF SOFTWARE TOOLS

ABAQUS	Solver for non-linear calculus by ABAQUS Inc.
ANIMATOR	Postprocessor by Dassault Systèmes
ANSA	Preprocessor by BETA CAE Systems S.A.
CATIA	Commercial CAD System by Dassault Systèmes
COVISE	COLlaborative VISualization and Simulation Environment: postprocessor for computational flow dynamics simulations by VirCinity GmbH
ENSIGHT	Computational flow dynamics postprocessor tool by CEI Corp.
EVA	EVALuator: Postprocessor software
FALANCS	Stress and strain simulation tool by LMS International
FEMFAT	Finite Element Method - FATigue: Fatigue simulation tool by Engineering Center Steyr GmbH & Co KG
GS Mesher	Surface and Solid Mesher by MacNeal-Schwendler Corporation
HYPERMESH	Preprocessor by Altair Engineering GmbH
ICEM	Parametric CAD surface modeler by ICEM Ltd.
LOOME0	Software to support Multiple-Domain Matrices by Teseon GmbH
MEDINA	Pre- and postprocessor by T-Systems Enterprise Services GmbH
MS OFFICE	Office Product Range by Microsoft Corp.
NASTRAN	NAsa STRuctural Analysis: Solver by MacNeal-Schwendler Corporation
PAM CRASH / PAM VIEW	Solver and viewer for crash simulation by ESI Group
PATRAN	Pre- and postprocessor by MacNeal-Schwendler Corporation
PERMAS	Solver for linear calculus by Intes GmbH
POWERFLOW	Computational flow dynamics simulation tool by Exa Corp.
SFE Concept	CAD program with integrated preprocessor and mesher by SFE GmbH
STAR CD	Simulation tool for fluid flow, heat transfer and stress by CD-adapco

