

# Process Management

Second Edition

Hans de Bruijn · Ernst ten Heuvelhof ·  
Roel in 't Veld

# Process Management

Why Project Management Fails  
in Complex Decision Making Processes

Second Edition

 Springer

Prof. Dr. Hans de Bruijn  
Delft University of Technology  
Faculty of Technology, Policy  
and Management  
Jaffalaan 5  
2628 BX Delft  
Netherlands  
e-mail: j.a.debruijn@tudelft.nl

Prof. Dr. Ernst ten Heuvelhof  
Delft University of Technology  
Faculty of Technology, Policy  
and Management  
Jaffalaan 5  
2628 BX Delft  
Netherlands  
e-mail: e.f.tenheuvelhof@tudelft.nl

Prof. Dr. Roel in 't Veld  
Waterbieskreek 40  
2353 JH Leiderdorp  
Netherlands  
e-mail: roelintveld@hotmail.com

ISBN 978-3-642-13940-6

e-ISBN 978-3-642-13941-3

DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-13941-3

Springer Heidelberg Dordrecht London New York

Library of Congress Control Number: 2010931391

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2003, 2010

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilm or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Violations are liable to prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

*Cover design:* WMXDesign GmbH

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media ([www.springer.com](http://www.springer.com))

# Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction: Process and Content** . . . . . 1
- 1.1 Introduction . . . . . 1
- 1.2 The Process Approach to Change: a Preliminary Description . . . . . 2
  - 1.2.1 Agreements About the Rules that the Parties will use to Reach a Decision . . . . . 3
  - 1.2.2 The Process Manager and the Process Architect . . . . . 4
- 1.3 Structure of this Book . . . . . 5
- References . . . . . 6

## Part I Introduction to Process Design and Process Management

- 2 Positioning the Process Approach** . . . . . 9
- 2.1 Introduction: From Deal to Process . . . . . 9
- 2.2 Positioning Process Management . . . . . 11
- 2.3. Process Management Versus Substance . . . . . 12
  - 2.3.1 From Objective to Authoritative: Negotiated Knowledge . . 13
- 2.4 Process Management Versus Command and Control . . . . . 14
- 2.5 Process Management Versus Project Management . . . . . 15
  - 2.5.1 Dynamics . . . . . 17
  - 2.5.2 Compensation of Losers, and Coupling . . . . . 18
  - 2.5.3 Solution Seeks Problem . . . . . 18
  - 2.5.4 Blocking Power Towards the End of the Decision-Making Process . . . . . 18
  - 2.5.5 Strategic Behaviour . . . . . 19
- 2.6 Process Management Versus Structure . . . . . 19
- 2.7 The Main Arguments for Process Management . . . . . 20
  - 2.7.1 Reducing Substantive Uncertainty . . . . . 20
  - 2.7.2 Enriching Problem Definitions and Solutions . . . . . 21
  - 2.7.3 Incorporating Dynamics . . . . . 22
  - 2.7.4 Transparency in Decision Making . . . . . 22

2.7.5	De-politicizing Decision Making. . . . .	23
2.7.6	Support . . . . .	23
2.8	The Result of a Process: Consensus, Commitment or Tolerance . .	23
2.9	The Risks of a Process Approach . . . . .	24
2.10	Further Observations About Processes . . . . .	26
2.10.1	Change . . . . .	26
2.10.2	Negotiation and Change . . . . .	28
2.10.3	Deciding and Implementing . . . . .	29
2.10.4	Process and Procedure . . . . .	31
2.11	Process Management and Related Approaches . . . . .	32
2.11.1	Procedural Rationality . . . . .	32
2.11.2	Consensus Building and Mediating . . . . .	34
2.11.3	Interactive Decision Making . . . . .	35
	References . . . . .	36

## Part II Process Architecture

<b>3</b>	<b>Designing a Process . . . . .</b>	<b>41</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	41
3.2	The Four Core Elements of a Process Design . . . . .	41
3.3	Design Principles Leading to Open Decision Making. . . . .	43
3.3.1	Party Involvement: all Relevant Parties should be Involved	43
3.3.2	Process Agreements as a Means to Make Substantive Choices . . . . .	45
3.3.3	Transparency of Both Process Design and Process Management. . . . .	45
3.4	Design Principles that Protect Parties' Safety and Core Values . .	46
3.4.1	Protecting Parties' Core Values . . . . .	46
3.4.2	Commitment to the Process Rather than to the Result . . .	46
3.4.3	Commitments to Subdecisions may be Postponed. . . . .	47
3.4.4	There are Exit Rules . . . . .	47
3.5	Design Principles that Guarantee Progress . . . . .	48
3.5.1	Stimulate 'Early Participation' . . . . .	48
3.5.2	The Prospect of Gain as an Incentive for Cooperative Behaviour . . . . .	50
3.5.3	Creating 'Quick Wins'. . . . .	50
3.5.4	Ensure that the Process is Heavily Staffed . . . . .	50
3.5.5	Transferring Conflicts to the Periphery of the Process. . . .	51
3.5.6	Tolerance Towards Ambiguity . . . . .	51
3.5.7	Using Options for Command and Control Created by the Process . . . . .	52
3.6	Design Principles that Guarantee the Substance of the Process . .	53
3.6.1	The Roles of Experts and Stakeholders are Both Bundled and Unbundled. . . . .	53

- 3.6.2 From Substantive Variety to Selection. . . . . 54
- 3.6.3 The Role of Expertise in the Process. . . . . 55
- References . . . . . 57
- 4 The Process Architect in Action: Making a Process Design . . . . . 59**
  - 4.1 Introduction . . . . . 59
  - 4.2 The Process Design as a Result of Negotiation . . . . . 59
  - 4.3 The Need for a Sense of Urgency . . . . . 61
  - 4.4 The Process Architect in Action: Designing a Process . . . . . 63
    - 4.4.1 Exploring the Problem Together with the  
Commissioning Party. . . . . 63
    - 4.4.2 Actor Scan . . . . . 65
    - 4.4.3 Quick Scan Configurations. . . . . 68
    - 4.4.4 Scan of the Substantive Couplings, and the Initial Agenda. 69
    - 4.4.5 Substantive Dilemmas and Establishing the Agenda . . . . . 71
    - 4.4.6 Process Dilemmas and Establishment of the  
Rules of the Game . . . . . 74
    - 4.4.7 Testing the Process Design. . . . . 75
    - 4.4.8 Participation . . . . . 76
  - References . . . . . 77

**Part III Managing the Process**

- 5 An Open Process . . . . . 81**
  - 5.1 Introduction . . . . . 81
  - 5.2 Involving Parties in the Decision Making. . . . . 82
    - 5.2.1 Controlling a Process. . . . . 82
    - 5.2.2 The Unrecognizability of Actors, Interests and Resources . 85
    - 5.2.3 Parties’ Refusal to Participate. . . . . 87
  - 5.3 The Transformation from Substance to Process. . . . . 88
    - 5.3.1 Conditions for a Transformation from Substance to Process 88
    - 5.3.2 Ambiguity . . . . . 90
    - 5.3.3 Frameworks and Crystallization Points . . . . . 91
  - 5.4 Process and Process Management are Characterized by  
Transparency and Openness . . . . . 93
    - 5.4.1 The Roles of the Process Manager: Dependent and  
Independent . . . . . 94
    - 5.4.2 The Progress of the Process has an Independent Value . . . 98
  - References . . . . . 100
- 6 A Safe Process: Protecting Core Values . . . . . 103**
  - 6.1 Introduction . . . . . 103
  - 6.2 Protecting Core Values. . . . . 104
  - 6.3 Commitment to the Process and to the Result. . . . . 106

6.3.1	Commitment to the Process Rather than to the Result . . .	106
6.3.2	Offering Room to the Parties . . . . .	107
6.3.3	Example: Process Management and the Core Value of Political Accountability . . . . .	109
6.3.4	The Position of the Initiator . . . . .	110
6.3.5	Incompatibility and Opportunistic Use of Core Values . .	112
6.4	Postponing Commitments During the Process... . . . .	114
6.4.1	... Reduces the Decision-making Costs . . . . .	115
6.4.2	... Offers Possibilities for Dealing with the Decision-Making Dynamics . . . . .	116
6.4.3	... Offers Possibilities for Building Mutual Trust . . . . .	117
6.4.4	... Stimulates Learning Processes . . . . .	117
6.4.5	... Takes the Pressure off the Decision Making . . . . .	118
6.5	The Exit Rules of the Process . . . . .	119
6.5.1	The Participation Paradox: An Exit Option may be Appealing . . . . .	119
6.5.2	Threatening to Leave: Double Binds for the Process Manager . . . . .	120
	References . . . . .	121
<b>7</b>	<b>A Process with Sufficient Speed: Incentives for Progress . . . . .</b>	<b>123</b>
7.1	Introduction . . . . .	123
7.2	Incentives for Cooperative Behaviour . . . . .	125
7.2.1	Architecture of the Agenda: A Balance Between Productive and Obstructive Power. . . . .	126
7.2.2	Planning of Activities . . . . .	126
7.2.3	Repeated Opportunities to Realize One's Own Interests. .	130
7.3	The Process is Heavily Staffed . . . . .	131
7.3.1	Heavy Staffing Creates Opportunities for Gain and Incentives for Cooperative Behaviour . . . . .	131
7.4	Quick Wins . . . . .	132
7.4.1	The Threat of a Low Product/Time Ratio . . . . .	132
7.5	Conflicts are Transferred to the Periphery of the Process . . . . .	134
7.6	Command and Control: Both a Driver and a Result of the Process	136
7.6.1	Power . . . . .	137
7.6.2	Competition . . . . .	137
7.6.3	Cooperation . . . . .	138
	References . . . . .	142
<b>8</b>	<b>The Process Manager and the Substance of Decision Making . . . .</b>	<b>145</b>
8.1	Introduction . . . . .	145
8.2	Bundling and Unbundling of Experts and Stakeholders . . . . .	145
8.2.1	Four Roles for Experts in the Process . . . . .	147
8.2.2	Embedding Experts in the Process . . . . .	149

- 8.2.3 Unbundling of Roles, ... 150
- 8.2.4 ... Followed by a Bundling of Activities.. 150
- 8.2.5 Improving the Quality of the Decision Making. . . . . 152
- 8.2.6 Improving the Quality of the Submitted Knowledge . . . . 153
- 8.2.7 Research and Decision Making: Parallel Connection  
and Proper Bundling.. . . . 153
- 8.3 Intermezzo: Strategic Behaviour, or Fair and Substantive  
Behaviour? . . . . . 156
  - 8.3.1 Substance Depends on Interest; the Realization of  
Interests Depends on Strategic Behaviour . . . . . 157
  - 8.3.2 The Distinction Between Substance and Strategic  
Behaviour is Debatable . . . . . 158
- 8.4 Moving from Substantive Variety to Selection . . . . . 159
  - 8.4.1 The Transition from Variety to Selection. . . . . 161
  - 8.4.2 The Substantive Expertise of the Process Manager . . . . 162
- References . . . . . 163
  
- 9 A Concluding Remark . . . . . 165**
  
- Index . . . . . 169**