

# White Sea

Its Marine Environment and Ecosystem Dynamics Influenced by  
Global Change

---

Nikolai Filatov, Dmitry Pozdnyakov,  
Ola M. Johannessen, Lasse H. Pettersson and  
Leonid P. Bobylev

---

# White Sea

**Its Marine Environment and Ecosystem Dynamics  
Influenced by Global Change**



Published in association with  
**Praxis Publishing**  
Chichester, UK



Professor Nikolai Filatov  
Northern Water Problems Institute (NWPI)  
Karelian Research Centre  
Russian Academy of Science  
Petrozavodsk  
Karelia  
Russia

Professor Ola M. Johannessen  
Founding Director  
Nansen Environmental and Remote Sensing Center  
Mohn-Sverdrup Center for Global Ocean Studies and  
Operational Oceanography  
Geophysical Institute, University of Bergen  
Bergen  
Norway

Professor Dmitry Pozdnyakov  
Dr Leonid P. Bobilev  
Director  
Nansen International Environmental and  
and Remote Sensing Centre  
St. Petersburg  
Russia

Mr. Lasse Pettersson  
Nansen Environmental and Remote Sensing Center  
Bergen  
Norway

---

SPRINGER-PRAXIS BOOKS IN GEOPHYSICAL SCIENCES

SUBJECT *ADVISORY EDITOR*: Dr Philippe Blondel, C.Geol., F.G.S., Ph.D., M.Sc., Senior Scientist,  
Department of Physics, University of Bath, Bath, UK

Published in association with the Nansen Group: NIERSC, St. Petersburg and NERSC, Bergen, Norway

---

ISBN 3-540-20541-1 Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York

Springer is part of Springer-Science + Business Media ([springeronline.com](http://springeronline.com))

Bibliographic information published by Die Deutsche Bibliothek

Die Deutsche Bibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie;  
detailed bibliographic data are available from the Internet at <http://dnb.ddb.de>

Library of Congress Control Number: 2005923493

Apart from any fair dealing for the purposes of research or private study, or criticism or review, as permitted under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, this publication may only be reproduced, stored or transmitted, in any form or by any means, with the prior permission in writing of the publishers, or in the case of reprographic reproduction in accordance with the terms of licences issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency. Enquiries concerning reproduction outside those terms should be sent to the publishers.

© Praxis Publishing Ltd, Chichester, UK, 2005  
Printed in Germany

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

Cover design: Jim Wilkie  
Project management: Originator Publishing Services, Gt Yarmouth, Norfolk, UK

Printed on acid-free paper

# Contents

|   |       |
|---|-------|
| <b>Preface</b> . . . . .  | xi    |
| <b>List of Contributors</b> . . . . .   | xv    |
| <b>Acknowledgements</b> . . . . .   | xix   |
| <b>List of figures</b> . . . . .  | xxi   |
| <b>List of tables</b> . . . . .   | xxxii |
| <b>Abbreviations</b> . . . . .  | xxxv  |
| <b>Introduction</b> . . . . .   | xxxix |
| <br>  |       |
| <b>1 Geography of the White Sea and its watershed (<i>A.V. Litvinenko, N.N. Filatov, and V.A. Volkov</i>)</b> . . . . . | 1     |
| 1.1 Main features of the White Sea . . . . .  | 1     |
| 1.2 Bottom topography . . . . .   | 2     |
| 1.3 Physical geography of the watershed . . . . .   | 4     |
| 1.4 Physical geography of the administrative units . . . . .  | 5     |
| 1.4.1 Arkhangelsk Oblast . . . . .  | 7     |
| 1.4.2 The Murmansk Oblast . . . . .   | 9     |
| 1.4.3 Republic of Karelia . . . . .   | 11    |
| <br>  |       |
| <b>2 White Sea watershed hydrology and anthropogenic impact (<i>V.V. Ivanov and V.A. Brizgalo</i>)</b> . . . . .        | 15    |
| 2.1 Hydrological features and anthropogenic influence on the catchment . . . . .  | 15    |
| 2.2 Water balance elements and water resources . . . . .  | 22    |
| 2.3 Variability in the hydrological and chemical regimes of river systems . . . . .                                     | 28    |

|          |  |            |
|----------|--|------------|
| 2.4      | Main features of pollutant transport via river runoff . . . . .  | 31         |
| 2.4.1    | Mineral forms of nitrogen and phosphorus . . . . .   | 31         |
| 2.4.2    | Pollutants . . . . .   | 36         |
| 2.5      | Anthropogenic impacts on estuaries . . . . .   | 47         |
| <b>3</b> | <b>Climate of the White Sea catchment and scenarios of climate and river runoff changes (N.N. Filatov, L.E. Nazarova, Ju A. Salo, and A.V. Tolstikov).</b> . . . . . | <b>53</b>  |
| 3.1      | Climate . . . . .  | 53         |
| 3.2      | Climatic scenarios and estimation of river runoff changes . . . . .  | 67         |
| 3.2.1    | Climatic scenarios . . . . .   | 67         |
| 3.2.2    | Estimation of change in river runoff to the White Sea . . . . .  | 70         |
| <b>4</b> | <b>Oceanographic regime (N.N. Filatov, D.V. Pozdnyakov, Ju.I. Ingebeikin, R.E. Zdorovenov, V.V. Melentyev, A.V. Tolstikov, and L.H. Pettersson)</b> . . . . .        | <b>73</b>  |
| 4.1      | Transparency and optical characteristics . . . . .   | 73         |
| 4.2      | Circulation patterns and currents in the sea . . . . .   | 77         |
| 4.3      | Water masses and water exchange with the Barents Sea . . . . .   | 82         |
| 4.4      | Fronts and frontal zones . . . . .   | 90         |
| 4.4.1    | River runoff fronts . . . . .  | 90         |
| 4.4.2    | Tidal fronts . . . . .   | 92         |
| 4.4.3    | Upwelling fronts . . . . .   | 93         |
| 4.4.4    | The front between the White and Barents Sea waters . . . . .   | 93         |
| 4.4.5    | Features of marginal filters (barrier zones) in the bays of the White Sea . . . . .  | 94         |
| 4.5      | Variability of water temperature and currents . . . . .  | 96         |
| 4.5.1    | Large-scale variability . . . . .  | 96         |
| 4.5.2    | Mesoscale and synoptic variability . . . . .   | 101        |
| 4.6      | Model study of currents and mass transport processes in some bays and estuaries . . . . .  | 107        |
| 4.6.1    | A brief description of the model for simulating estuarine currents . . . . .   | 108        |
| 4.6.2    | Onezhskiy Bay . . . . .  | 110        |
| 4.6.3    | Estuary of the Kem River . . . . .   | 112        |
| 4.6.4    | Turbulent diffusion of a conservative pollutant in the coastal zone of the Onezhskiy Bay and the Kem River . . . . .   | 114        |
| 4.7      | Sea level variations and tides . . . . .   | 118        |
| 4.7.1    | Large-scale sea level fluctuations . . . . .   | 120        |
| 4.7.2    | Synoptic variability . . . . .   | 128        |
| 4.7.3    | Mesoscale sea level variability . . . . .  | 138        |
| 4.8      | Ice regime and wintertime hydrology of the White Sea . . . . .   | 144        |
| <b>5</b> | <b>Aquatic ecosystem profile (P.R. Makarevich and Ju V. Krasnov)</b> . . . . .   | <b>155</b> |
| 5.1      | Phytoplankton . . . . .  | 155        |
| 5.2      | Zooplankton . . . . .  | 160        |

|          |  |            |
|----------|--|------------|
| 5.3      | Sea birds of the White Sea: Concise characterization of the contemporary status. . . . .   | 167        |
| 5.3.1    | Abundance . . . . .  | 168        |
| 5.3.2    | Trophic chains . . . . .   | 171        |
| 5.3.3    | Factors limiting the development of bird populations in the White Sea . . . . .  | 172        |
| 5.4      | Marine mammals of the White Sea. . . . .   | 174        |
| 5.4.1    | Abundance . . . . .  | 174        |
| 5.4.2    | Trophic connections . . . . .  | 176        |
| 5.4.3    | Limiting factors . . . . .   | 177        |
| <b>6</b> | <b>Satellite oceanography: New results (<i>D.V. Pozdnyakov, L.H. Pettersson, O.M. Johannessen, P. Bobylev, V.V. Melentyev, N.N. Filatov, V.I. Chernook, A.N. Filatov, A.V. Korosov, A.N. Stuliy, and M.W. Miles</i>)</b> | <b>179</b> |
| 6.1      | Optical remote sensing . . . . .   | 179        |
| 6.1.1    | Background . . . . .   | 179        |
| 6.1.2    | A new water quality retrieval algorithm for case II waters   | 181        |
| 6.1.2    | Advanced tool performance verification and seasonal variations of White Sea CPA concentrations as revealed by SeaWiFS data . . . . .   | 193        |
| 6.2      | Patterns of thermo-hydrodynamic processes and fields from NOAA AVHRR data . . . . .  | 205        |
| 6.2.1    | Introduction. . . . .  | 205        |
| 6.2.2    | Data and methods . . . . .   | 206        |
| 6.2.3    | Results of NOAA satellite data analysis . . . . .  | 211        |
| 6.2.4    | Discussion and main findings . . . . .   | 213        |
| 6.3      | Satellite SAR and passive microwave remote sensing . . . . .   | 217        |
| 6.3.1    | Satellite SAR monitoring of ice cover parameters . . . . .   | 217        |
| 6.3.2    | SAR studies of the White Sea ice cover as a habitat of ice-associated marine mammals . . . . .   | 221        |
| 6.4      | Studies of the White Sea ice cover using satellite passive microwave sensors . . . . .   | 234        |
| 6.4.1    | Introduction. . . . .  | 234        |
| 6.4.2    | Data analysis. . . . .   | 235        |
| 6.5      | Conclusions . . . . .  | 237        |
| <b>7</b> | <b>Economy of the White Sea watershed area (<i>A. Yu Terzhevik, A.V. Litvinenko, P.V. Druzhinin, and N.N. Filatov</i>)</b>   | <b>241</b> |
| 7.1      | Natural resources . . . . .  | 241        |
| 7.1.1    | Mineral resources . . . . .  | 241        |
| 7.1.2    | Forest resources . . . . .   | 244        |
| 7.1.3    | Agriculture . . . . .  | 246        |
| 7.1.4    | Fuel and energy resources. . . . .   | 247        |
| 7.2      | Demography. . . . .  | 248        |
| 7.2.1    | Population structure . . . . .   | 249        |

|          |   |            |
|----------|---|------------|
| 7.2.2    | Changes in population . . . . .   | 250        |
| 7.2.3    | Natural population change . . . . .   | 250        |
| 7.2.4    | Life expectancy. . . . .  | 253        |
| 7.3      | Economic indicators. . . . .  | 254        |
| 7.3.1    | Gross Regional Product . . . . .  | 255        |
| 7.3.2    | Inflation . . . . .   | 260        |
| 7.3.3    | Industrial production. . . . .  | 260        |
| 7.3.4    | Agriculture . . . . .   | 264        |
| 7.3.5    | Services and trade. . . . .   | 265        |
| 7.3.6    | Monetary income . . . . .   | 265        |
| 7.4      | Sectors and branches of the economy . . . . .   | 270        |
| 7.4.1    | Arkhangelsk Oblast. . . . .   | 274        |
| 7.4.2    | Murmansk Oblast . . . . .   | 277        |
| 7.4.3    | Republic of Karelia. . . . .  | 283        |
| 7.5      | Investments . . . . .   | 287        |
| 7.5.1    | Foreign investment . . . . .  | 287        |
| 7.5.2    | Domestic investments. . . . .   | 287        |
| 7.6      | Identification of socio-economic indices and integration of the relevant data into a database. . . . .  | 288        |
| 7.7      | Identification and substantiation of the most probable scenarios for industrial development in the White Sea Basin. . . . .   | 293        |
| 7.7.1    | Background. . . . .   | 293        |
| 7.7.2    | The outlook for future industrial developments in the White Sea Basin region . . . . .  | 296        |
| 7.7.3    | Specific features of regional forecasting based on the scenario approach. . . . .   | 298        |
| 7.7.4    | Scenarios of probable White Sea Basin industrial development. . . . .   | 301        |
| <b>8</b> | <b>Geographic Information Systems for managing the ecosystem and living resources of the White Sea (<i>V.V. Rastoskuev, V.K. Donchenko, A.N. Filatov, and E.V. Shalina</i>) . . . . .</b> | <b>305</b> |
| 8.1      | System structure . . . . .  | 305        |
| 8.2      | Software for the formation of the data warehouse . . . . .  | 308        |
| 8.3      | Formation of digital maps. . . . .  | 309        |
| 8.4      | Data analysis using the ArcView GIS . . . . .   | 311        |
| 8.5      | Analysis of remote sensing data using the IDRISI GIS. . . . .   | 313        |
| 8.6      | Information system for decision-making support . . . . .  | 321        |
| 8.7      | Conclusions . . . . .   | 326        |
| <b>9</b> | <b>Water quality assessment and the problem of marine ecosystem stability (<i>V.V. Denisov and A.V. Shavykin</i>) . . . . .</b>   | <b>329</b> |
| 9.1      | Background . . . . .  | 329        |
| 9.2      | Assessment of the White Sea ecosystem . . . . .   | 331        |
| 9.3      | Conclusion. . . . .   | 335        |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| <b>10 Numerical simulations of the White Sea hydrodynamics and marine ecosystem (I.L. Bashmachnikov, O.M. Johannessen, L.H. Pettersson, G. Evensen, I.A. Neelov, O.P. Savchuk, A.V. Leonov, S. Kaitala, T. Stipa, H. Kuosa, and N.N. Filatov)</b> . . . . . | 337 |
| 10.1 NERSC–HYCOM hydrodynamic model . . . . .   | 337 |
| 10.1.1 Model overview . . . . .   | 337 |
| 10.1.2 The HYCOM implementation for the White Sea. . . . .  | 342 |
| 10.1.3 Model experiments . . . . .  | 348 |
| 10.1.4 Conclusions . . . . .  | 366 |
| 10.2 FIMR 1-D ecosystem model . . . . .   | 368 |
| 10.2.1 Model overview . . . . .   | 368 |
| 10.2.2 Physical oceanography basics for the ecosystem model of the White Sea . . . . .  | 369 |
| 10.2.3 Ecosystem model . . . . .  | 373 |
| 10.2.4 Model validation . . . . .   | 377 |
| 10.3 FIMR model II: Toward a size structured mixotrophic ecosystem model . . . . .  | 378 |
| 10.3.1 Introduction. . . . .  | 378 |
| 10.3.2 Model equations. . . . .   | 379 |
| 10.3.3 Results . . . . .  | 381 |
| 10.3.4 Conclusions . . . . .  | 382 |
| 10.4 IO RAS – model transformations of organogenic substances in the White Sea ecosystem . . . . .  | 384 |
| 10.4.1 Introduction. . . . .  | 384 |
| 10.4.2 Model description . . . . .  | 385 |
| 10.4.3 Input data for the model . . . . .   | 388 |
| 10.4.4 Modeling results and their analysis. . . . .   | 396 |
| 10.4.5 Conclusions . . . . .  | 408 |
| 10.5 3-D IO RAS–AARI coupled hydrodynamic–biochemical model . . . . .   | 410 |
| 10.5.1 Formulation of the model. . . . .  | 410 |
| 10.5.2 Set-up of the numerical experiments . . . . .  | 419 |
| 10.5.3 Hydrodynamic simulations . . . . .   | 420 |
| 10.5.4 Control simulations of the White Sea nutrient dynamics. . . . .  | 429 |
| 10.5.5 Scenario analysis of the possible response of White Sea eutrophication to climate and nutrient loading changes. . . . .  | 434 |
| 10.6 Response of the White Sea ecosystem to future climate change and feedback to the socio-economic conditions . . . . .   | 440 |
| 10.7 Conclusions . . . . .  | 441 |
| <br>  |     |
| <b>Afterword</b> . . . . .  | 443 |
| <b>References</b> . . . . .   | 445 |
| <b>Index</b> . . . . .  | 463 |



# Preface

The White Sea, morphologically a shelf marine basin, is located on the periphery of the Arctic Ocean, to which it is connected through the Barents Sea and is essentially a mediterranean sea, being semi-enclosed by the northern European landmass. The White Sea is of significant interest for research of both a scientific and applied nature, owing to its geographical situation, its interactions with the Barents Sea waters, its complex and seasonally ice-covered estuarine and marine environment and ecosystem, as well as its rich natural resources – all under the influence of regional and global change.

This book addresses the contemporary problems of the White Sea and its basin, with special emphasis on the interactions between the marine and socio-economic environments. To investigate the impacts of regional and global change, field observations, remote sensing, numerical modeling, and geographic information system (GIS) technologies are used.

This book is indeed the first attempt to apply a *quantitative, multidisciplinary* approach to the assessment of those changes occurring presently and those anticipated in the future to dynamic relationships between the regional socio-economic dimension, global change, and marine ecology. The results presented in this book arise from multiple sources, viz. archival data, contemporary publications and reports, as well as information products obtained using new methods such as satellite remote sensing, GIS, numerical model simulations, and elements of systems analysis. It is this multi-method, multidisciplinary approach that distinguishes this collective work from the previous publications on the White Sea (e.g., Ruchor *et al.*, 2000; Berger *et al.*, 2001).

To achieve these aims, this book is co-authored by a large team of experts in mathematics, oceanography, sea ice hydrobiology, hydrochemistry, aquatic ecology, economics, and sociology.

The book is organized in ten thematic chapters. *Chapter 1* addresses a range of fundamental aspects related to the geographical position of the White Sea basin.



White Sea on the European map

This chapter characterizes the White Sea as a whole including its principal regions. Morphometric and bottom relief specific features are discussed as related to determining the oceanographic regime in the White Sea. A new digital model of the White Sea bathymetry is presented – a model that has been developed to achieve a high spatial resolution (1–2 km required for precise numerical modeling of the inherent in-water processes). Knowledge of the physical geography of the White Sea and its catchment is important for understanding the essential features of the White Sea basin (both its marine and terrestrial environments). Therefore, special attention is devoted to an analysis of the physical and economic characteristics not only of the White Sea but also of the neighboring regions, namely the Republic of Karelia and the Arkhangelsk and Murmansk “oblasts”.

*Chapter 2* is dedicated to the watershed of the White Sea. The discussion here is mostly focused upon water and nutrient input into the White Sea by river discharge. In addition, a range of issues related to the socio-economic status of the coastal area is presented and analyzed for a period covering the second part of the last century. The analyses are performed to trace down the rates of release of pollutants (nutrients primarily) either directly into the sea or via terrestrial pathways.

*Chapter 3* is devoted to the regional climate, bringing together the past and present climatic data and analyzing the tendencies of climate variability and change within the White Sea basin. A key factor responsible for the climate formation and variability in the region is atmospheric circulation over the

Atlantic/European sector of the Northern Hemisphere. Based on the analyses of long time series of climate data, numerical simulations were performed in order to explore the options of future change to the regional climate against the background of global climate change scenarios. Possible climate and water balance changes in the studied region were further evaluated for the period 2000–2050 (using two scenarios of CO<sub>2</sub> change: with and without aerosols). Numerical experiments with the ECHAM4 model indicate significant future changes in the climate and hydrological regime of the White Sea. The main thrust of this chapter is to suggest the impact of future changes in regional climate and hydrological regime upon the White Sea basin-associated economy.

*Chapter 4* discusses the issues of physical oceanography of the White Sea, based upon the most reliable and recently updated data on water temperature and salinity throughout the year. Circulation patterns and currents in the sea and main shallow bays are also discussed in terms of the influence of atmospheric effects and tides. Special attention is paid to such hydrodynamic features as frontal zones and upwelling. Also discussed are the hydro-optical properties of the White Sea, as these are closely related to spatial and temporal variations of concentrations of color-producing agents (e.g., suspended minerals, dissolved organic matter, and phytoplankton chlorophyll), which are in turn a consequence of both hydrodynamic activity in the White Sea and the inputs from runoff. A hydro-optical model is presented and justified for simulating the aquatic conditions in the White Sea. Additionally, extensive data on specific features of sea-level variations of various natures (including tides) occurring through a range of scales in the White Sea are provided and analyzed. Finally, the ice regime in the White Sea is described based on well-documented evidence obtained from dedicated field experiments and satellite images.

*Chapter 5* examines the White Sea ecosystem including phytoplankton, bacterioplankton, zooplankton, sea birds, and mammals. Special emphasis is placed on interactions within the lower part of the trophic chain. Area-specific empirical relationships characterizing the hydrobiont life cycles are given, which is important for further analyses of their responses to changing ambient conditions.

*Chapter 6* is dedicated to the use of remote sensing methods for oceanographical investigations. Satellite sensors operating in the visible, infrared, and microwave spectral regions are examined and exemplified. For the first time for the White Sea, remote sensing data from the satellite ocean-color sensor SeaWiFS are used to identify temporal and spatial distributions of the indigenous phytoplankton, suspended minerals, and dissolved organic matter for all vegetation seasons. A new water-quality retrieval algorithm for the White Sea has been developed and validated against concurrent *in situ* measurements. Results of surveillance of spatial and temporal variations of water quality parameters and sea surface temperature, as well as surface manifestations of frontal zones, upwelling events, currents, tides, sea level and sea ice variability are presented. Also used for the characterization of the White Sea, are two decades of satellite passive microwave remote sensing data. For the period 1978–1999 these data have revealed a 16% decrease in sea ice concentration, or ~8% per decade. It is important that the

remotely sensed data provided additional evidence that the dynamics of sea ice concentration in the White Sea is in a transitory phase, and is thought to lead to changes in the atmospheric conditions in the region. The chapter also illustrates the feasibility of synthetic aperture radar data to document not only the state of ice cover in the White Sea, but also the dependence of habitats of ice-associated mammals on the state of ice cover throughout the year.

*Chapter 7* addresses the socio-economic aspects of the White Sea basin. Characterized are mineral, forestry, fuel/energy resources, as well as demographic features within the White Sea watershed area. Economy-based indicators of the regions development are discussed in detail. Possible changes of these indices under a variety of scenarios are shown for the development of Russia in general and the regions neighboring the White Sea.

*Chapter 8* expands on the problem of multidisciplinary data assimilation, creation of value-added digital information products and development of a GIS tailored for the White Sea basin as a prototype of a tool for management and decision-making.

*Chapter 9* addresses the most consequential aspects of water pollution and the associated impact on the marine ecosystem. This chapter provides quantitative information on the background sources of White Sea water pollution, as well as the system's reaction to the ongoing degradation of water quality parameters. It is shown that, even if in the case of a revival of the local economic activity and the ensuing regional gross output, the input of industrial and municipal pollutants will not increase more than 10–30%. The assessments made here indicate that this can hardly lead to appreciable changes in the White Sea ecosystem, as such changes would remain within the limits of natural variability. Thus, this multidisciplinary approach suggests that for future scenarios of socio-economic development, the White Sea ecosystem would not be drastically altered.

*Chapter 10* presents several thermodynamic and ecosystem models for the White Sea. These numerical models are intended to assess the impact of possible climatic and socio-economic changes on the thermo-hydrodynamics of the White Sea, as well as its marine chemical and biological status. Three 3-D prognostic models (High-Resolution Isopycnic Ocean Model (HYCOM), the IO RAS model developed at the Institute of Oceanology, and the AARI–NIERSC model developed at the Arctic and Antarctic Research Institute and the Nansen International Environmental and Remote Sensing Centre, St. Petersburg, Russia) were exploited to this end. In addition, models developed at the Institute of Oceanology, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, and the Finnish Institute of Marine Research, Helsinki, were implemented. Descriptions of the models are followed by ample examples of their realization for past, present, and future forecasts. The latter are based on the above-mentioned scenarios of the regional climate change and anthropogenic nutrient loading.

In the *Afterword*, the present state-of-the-art and future developments in the area of nature conservation under conditions of stable socio-economic progress are summarized.

## List of contributors

- Igor L. Bashmachnikov** Nansen Environmental and Remote Sensing Center (NERSC), Bergen, Norway/St. Petersburg State University (SpbSU), St. Petersburg Russia.  
E-mail: igor.bashmachnikov@horta.uac.pt
- Leonid P. Bobylev** Nansen International Environmental and Remote Sensing Centre (NIERSC), St. Petersburg, Russia.  
E-mail: leonid.bobylev@niersc.spb.ru
- Valentina A. Brizgalo** Arctic and Antarctic Research Institute (AARI), St. Petersburg, Russia. E-mail: brizgalo@aari.nw.ru
- Vladimir V. Chernook** Polar Institute of Fishery and Oceanography (PINRO), Murmansk, Russia.  
E-mail: chernook@pinro.murmansk.ru
- Vladimir V. Denisov** Murmansk Marine Biological Institute (MMBI), Russian Academy of Sciences (RAS), Murmansk, Russia.  
E-mail: denisov@mmbi.info
- Vladislav K. Donchenko** Scientific Research Centre for Ecological Safety (SRCES), Russian Academy of Sciences (RAS), St. Petersburg, Russia.  
E-mail: donchenkovk@mail.ru
- Pavel V. Druzhinin** Institute of Economics (IE), Karelian Research Centre (KRC), Russian Academy of Sciences (RAS), Petrozavodsk, Russia.  
E-mail: pdruzhinin@mail.ru

- Geir Evensen** Nansen Environmental and Remote Sensing Center (NERSC), Bergen, Norway.  
E-mail: Geir.Evensen@hydro.com
- Nikolai N. Filatov** Northern Water Problems Institute (NWPI), Karelian Research Centre (KRC), Russian Academy of Sciences (RAS), Petrozavodsk, Russia.  
E-mail: nfilatov@nwpi.krc.karelia.ru
- Andrei N. Filatov** Scientific Research Centre for Ecological Safety (SRCES), Russian Academy of Sciences (RAS), St. Petersburg, Russia.  
E-mail: an\_fil@mail.ru
- Jury I. Ingebeikin** Institute of Ecological Problems of the North (IEPN), Russian Academy of Sciences (RAS), Archangelsk, Russia.  
E-mail: ocean@pomor.su.ru
- Vladimir V. Ivanov** Arctic and Antarctic Research Institute (AARI), St. Petersburg, Russia.  
E-mail: ivanov@aari.nw.ru
- Ola M. Johannessen** Nansen Environmental and Remote Sensing Center (NERSC)/Mohn–Sverdrup Center for Global Ocean Studies and Operational Oceanography (MSC)/Geophysical Institute, University of Bergen, Norway.  
E-mail: ola.johannessen@nersc.no
- Seppo Kaitala** Finnish Institute of Marine Research (FIMR), Helsinki, Finland.  
E-mail: seppo.kaitala@fimr.fi
- Anton A. Korosov** Nansen International Environmental and Remote Sensing Centre (NIERSC), St. Petersburg, Russia.  
E-mail: anton.korosov@niersc.spb.ru
- Jury V. Krasnov** Murmansk Marine Biological Institute (MMBI), Russian Academy of Sciences (RAS), Murmansk, Russia.  
E-mail: krasnov@mmbi.info
- Harri Kuosa** Finnish Institute of Marine Research (FIMR), Helsinki, Finland. E-mail: kuosa@fimr.fi
- Aleksander V. Leonov** Institute of Oceanology (IO), Russian Academy of Sciences (RAS), Moscow, Russia.  
E-mail: leonov@sio.rssi.ru
- Aleksander V. Litvinenko** Northern Water Problems Institute (NWPI), Karelian Research Centre (KRC), Russian Academy of Sciences (RAS), Petrozavodsk, Russia.  
E-mail: litvinenko@nwpi.krc.karelia.ru

- P. R. Makarevich** Murmansk Marine Biological Institute (MMBI), Russian Academy of Sciences (RAS), Murmansk, Russia.  
E-mail: makarevich@mmbi.info
- Martin W. Miles** Bjerknnes Center for Climate Research (BCCR), Bergen, Norway/Environmental Systems Analysis Research Center (ESARC), Boulder, USA.  
E-mail: martin.miles@esarc-colorado.org
- Vladimir V. Melentyev** Nansen International Environmental and Remote Sensing Centre (NIERSC), St. Petersburg, Russia.  
E-mail: vladimir.melentyev@niersc.spb.ru
- Larisa E. Nazarova** Northern Water Problems Institute (NWPI), Karelian Research Centre (KRC), Russian Academy of Sciences (RAS), Petrozavodsk, Russia.  
E-mail: nazarova@nwpi.krc.karelia.ru
- Ivan A. Neelov** Arctic and Antarctic Research Institute (AARI)/Nansen International Environmental and Remote Sensing Centre (NIERSC), St. Petersburg, Russia.  
E-mail: neelov@aari.nw.ru
- Lasse H. Pettersson** Nansen Environmental and Remote Sensing Center (NERSC), Bergen, Norway.  
E-mail: lasse.pettersson@nersc.no
- Dmitry V. Pozdnyakov** Nansen International Environmental and Remote Sensing Centre (NIERSC), St. Petersburg, Russia.  
E-mail: dmitry.pozdnyakov@niersc.spb.ru
- Viktor V. Rastoskuev** Scientific Research Centre for Ecological Safety (SRCES), Russian Academy of Sciences (RAS), St. Petersburg, Russia. E-mail: v.rastoskuev@rambler.ru
- Jury A. Salo** Northern Water Problems Institute (NWPI), Karelian Research Centre (KRC), Russian Academy of Sciences (RAS), Petrozavodsk, Russia.  
E-mail: salo@nwpi.krc.karelia.ru
- Oleg P. Savchuk** Department of Systems Ecology, University of Stockholm, Sweden/State Institute of Oceanology (SOI), St. Petersburg, Russia.  
E-mail: oleg@system.ecology.su.se
- Elena V. Shalina** Scientific Research Centre for Ecological Safety (SRCES), Russian Academy of Sciences (RAS), St. Petersburg, Russia.  
E-mail: v.rastoskuev@rambler.ru

- Anatoly A. Shavykin** Murmansk Marine Biological Institute (MMBI), Russian Academy of Sciences (RAS), Murmansk, Russia.  
E-mail: anatoli.shavykin@mail.ru
- Tapani Stipa** Finnish Institute of Marine Research (FIMR), Helsinki, Finland.  
E-mail: tapani.stipa@fmr.fi
- Alexei N. Stuliy** Nansen Environmental and Remote Sensing Center (NERSC), Bergen, Norway.  
E-mail: alexei.stuliy@unis.no
- Arkady Ju. Terzhevik** Northern Water Problems Institute (NWPI), Karelian Research Centre (KRC), Russian Academy of Sciences (RAS), Petrozavodsk, Russia.  
E-mail: ark@nwpi.krc.karelia.ru
- Alexey V. Tolstikov** Northern Water Problems Institute (NWPI), Karelian Research Centre (KRC), Russian Academy of Sciences (RAS), Petrozavodsk, Russia.  
E-mail: tolstikov@nwpi.krc.karelia.ru
- Vladimir A. Volkov** Nansen International Environmental and Remote Sensing Centre (NIERSC), St. Petersburg, Russia.  
E-mail: vladimir.volkov@niersc.spb.ru
- Roman E. Zdorovenov** Northern Water Problems Institute (NWPI), Karelian Research Centre (KRC), Russian Academy of Sciences (RAS), Petrozavodsk, Russia.  
E-mail: romga@nwpi.krc.karelia.ru



## Acknowledgements

This book has been based primarily on the results obtained during the European Commission (EC) 5th Framework Programme (FP5) INCO-Copernicus project “Sustainable Management of the Marine Ecosystem and Living Resources of the White Sea – WHITESEA” (contract no. ICA2-CT-2000-10014). The project was coordinated by Prof. Ola M. Johannessen, with Lasse H. Pettersson as deputy coordinator. The project consortium consisted of the Nansen Environmental and Remote Sensing Center (coordinator), Bergen, Norway; Finnish Institute for Marine Research, Helsinki; Nansen International Environmental and Remote Sensing Centre and Scientific Research Centre for Ecological Safety, Russian Academy of Sciences, St. Petersburg, Russia; Northern Water Problems Institute, Petrozavodsk, Karelia, Russia; and Murmansk Marine Biological Institute, Murmansk, Russia. The above project participants acknowledge the financial support received from the European Commission.

The compilation, writing and editing of the book has also been supported by the EC FP5 “EcoMon” project (INCO-CT-2004-003605) as well as a Nordic network grant from the Nordic Council of Ministers, administrated through the Research Council of Norway and the Mohn–Sverdrup Center for Global Ocean Studies and Operational Oceanography (MSC) at the Nansen Center in Bergen. Dr. Igor Bashmachnikov acknowledges his NATO Science Grant for his one-year work at NERSC.

Additionally, included are the research results obtained by the Zoological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, and the Arctic and Antarctic Research Institute and Northern Hydrometeorological Service, Russian Federation, under the program of basic studies launched by the Russian Academy of Sciences and Russian Federation program “World Ocean: Seas of the USSR”.

The new digitized bathymetric map of the White Sea presented in Chapter 1 has been purchased from TRANSAS (TRANsport SAFETY Systems) electronic technologies company, St. Petersburg. The authors of Chapter 3 thank Dr. S. I. Kuzmina

## xx Acknowledgements

for kindly providing climate simulation data. The authors of Chapter 7 thank Dr. Sh. Baibusinov, Ms. A. E. Kurilo, and Ms. M. V. Belokozova for the provision of socio-economic data for the region.

The authors also express their gratitude to the crew of the R/V *Ecolog* of the Northern Water Problem Institute, especially to Mr. V. Kovalenko for the excellent organization of research cruises in the White Sea and to Dr. P. Bojarinov, Mr. A. Mitrochov, and Mr. M. Petrov, who took part in these cruises and processed and analyzed collected *in situ* data, as well as to Prof. A. Buznikov and Mr. A. Elisov for conducting IR-radiometric measurements on board the R/V *Ecolog*. Additionally the authors thank Drs. E. Lupyán and A. Mazurov from the Space Research Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences in Moscow for their provision of NOAA data for the White Sea.

The authors extend their gratitude to E. Valikhan and O. Kislova for the translation of parts of the manuscript from Russian to English, to Mr. L. V. Zaitzev, V. Kekkonen, and Ju. Rozanova for their valuable technical assistance, as well as to Mr. I. Yu. Georgievsky for providing photos of the White Sea.

# Figures

|      |  |       |
|------|--|-------|
| 1.1  | Map of the White Sea and adjacent land areas, with reference to the main geographic features . . . . .   | color |
| 1.2  | Comparison of the IBCAO and TRANSAS/NIERSC digital bathymetry models for the White Sea . . . . .   | color |
| 1.3  | Boundaries of the administrative divisions (oblasts and republics) in the White Sea catchment (indicated by a dotted line). . . . .                                  | 6     |
| 2.1  | Location of industrial activities within the catchment of the White Sea . . . . .  | 20    |
| 2.2  | Location of the study areas and duration of observations of river runoff within the White Sea catchment . . . . .  | 23    |
| 2.3  | Long-term variability in the river water runoff into the White Sea . . . . .   | 24    |
| 2.4  | Seasonal variations of the river runoff into the White Sea. . . . .  | 25    |
| 2.5  | (a) Interannual and (b) seasonal variations of the freshwater inflow to the White Sea due to river water inflow and atmospheric precipitation contribution . . . . . | 28    |
| 2.6  | Location of the hydrochemical observation stations in the rivers of the White Sea basin performed under the GON . . . . .  | 31    |
| 2.7  | Interannual variability in the concentrations of mineral forms of nitrogen and phosphorus in the estuaries encompassed by the White Sea. . . . .                     | 34    |
| 2.8  | Seasonal variability of concentrations of mineral forms of nitrogen and phosphorus in the estuaries encompassed by the White Sea. . . . .                            | 35    |
| 2.9  | Interannual variability of the concentrations of pollutants in the estuaries encompassed by the White Sea . . . . .  | 38    |
| 2.10 | Interannual variability in mean annual amounts (thousand of tons year <sup>-1</sup> ) of the most abundant pollutants in the river runoff . . . . .                  | 44    |
| 2.11 | Seasonal variations of the water and chemical runoff as assessed by averaging the relevant multi-year data for some rivers. . . . .                                  | 46    |
| 3.1  | Locations of the SWS stations: ● = hydrological stations; ● = weather stations and sites. . . . .  | 54    |
| 3.2  | Annual cycle of sunshine duration at Kem and Louhi stations . . . . .  | 59    |

|      |  |     |
|------|--|-----|
| 3.3  | Mean annual air temperature at some weather stations in the White Sea catchment. . . . .   | 61  |
| 3.4  | Fluctuations of near-surface air temperature at the Archangelsk station for 176 years of observations. . . . .                                   | 62  |
| 3.5  | Frequency spectrum of near-surface air temperature at the Archangelsk station during 176 years of observations. . . . .                          | 62  |
| 3.6  | Variability of the surface water temperature in the White Sea . . . . .  | 63  |
| 3.7  | Variability of the mean annual water surface temperature in both the Barents Sea and the White Sea . . . . .                                     | 64  |
| 3.8  | Multi-year series of annual atmospheric precipitation at (a) Kolezhma, (b) Zasheyek, and (c) Louhi stations . . . . .                            | 65  |
| 3.9  | Annual dynamics of relative air humidity . . . . .   | 67  |
| 3.10 | Spatial distribution of mean air temperature in the White Sea region as observed from 1950–1999, and for simulated future options . . . . .      | 68  |
| 3.11 | Observed and modeled time series of the water balance elements for north-western Russia. All values are 15-year moving averages . . . . .        | 69  |
| 3.12 | Time series and linear trend of the total runoff into the White Sea between 1882–1988. . . . .   | 72  |
|      |  |     |
| 4.1  | Patterns of major currents in the White Sea. . . . .   | 78  |
| 4.2  | Major water circulation patterns as established by Deriugin and Timonov . . . . .  | 79  |
| 4.3  | Water circulation patterns in the White Sea as established from on board the R/V <i>Ivan Petrov</i> . . . . .                                    | 80  |
| 4.4  | System of persistent surface currents in the White Sea . . . . .   | 81  |
| 4.5  | Types of water masses in the White Sea as they are displayed along the White Sea transect, and the $T$ – $S$ diagram . . . . .                   | 83  |
| 4.6  | Spatial distribution of water surface temperature fields across the White Sea in different seasons: (a) spring, (b) summer, (c) autumn . . . . . | 84  |
| 4.7  | Distribution of water masses across the White Sea during spring. . . . .   | 85  |
| 4.8  | A vertical profile of water temperature distribution along the Voronka–Gridino transect . . . . .  | 86  |
| 4.9  | Typical stratified vertical distribution of both water temperature and salinity in Kandalakshskiy Bay during summer . . . . .                    | 89  |
| 4.10 | Typical mixed distribution of both water temperature and salinity in the shallow part of Onegskiy Bay during summer . . . . .                    | 90  |
| 4.11 | Seasonal location of the boundaries of river plumes . . . . .  | 92  |
| 4.12 | Monthly variations of the location of the tidal front in the White Sea . . . . .   | 93  |
| 4.13 | Location of stations at which water temperature is routinely measured in the White Sea. . . . .  | 98  |
| 4.14 | Variability of the water surface temperature in the White Sea (1977–1998). . . . .   | 99  |
| 4.15 | Surface temperature variations in June–July 1991. . . . .  | 99  |
| 4.16 | Variability of both the water temperature near the bottom and sea level near the Kuzovskie Islands (12–15 July, 2000) . . . . .                  | 102 |
| 4.17 | Frequency spectra of sea level and water surface temperature variability in Kandalakshskiy Bay . . . . .   | 106 |
| 4.18 | Variability of current speed and direction in the estuary of the Kem River at an 8-m depth (4–7 July, 2000). . . . .                             | 107 |

|      |   |       |
|------|---|-------|
| 4.19 | Water temperature fluctuations measured at depths ranging between 1 m and 10 m in the region of the Kuzovskie Islands during 12–15 July, 2000 . . . . . | color |
| 4.20 | Bays and estuaries in the White Sea Basin used for modeling and verification experiments. . . . .   | 109   |
| 4.21 | Bathymetry of Onezhskiy Bay and location of sea level tide gauges . . . . .   | 111   |
| 4.22 | Calculated current speed and sea level distributions across Onezhskiy Bay . . . . .   | 113   |
| 4.23 | Water level variations as obtained from tide-gauge measurements . . . . .   | 114   |
| 4.24 | Calculated spatial distributions of current speeds and water levels for a single tidal cycle. . . . .   | 115   |
| 4.25 | Areas of propagation of pollution in the Onezhskiy Bay . . . . .  | 117   |
| 4.26 | Location of the plume three tidal cycles after model initiation for minimal and maximal rates of discharge of the Kem River . . . . .                   | 119   |
| 4.27 | The mean square of interannual variations of sea level in the White Sea and fractiles of annual water level at a number of stations . . . . .           | 121   |
| 4.28 | Quantile diagrams of maximal, average, and minimal seasonal variabilities of sea level at the Uмба station . . . . .                                    | 124   |
| 4.29 | Fractal diagrams of maximal, average, and minimal seasonal variabilities in sea level at the Onega station. . . . .                                     | 125   |
| 4.30 | Variations of sea level as assessed by the Darwin method at a number of stations . . . . .  | 128   |
| 4.31 | Amplitude and root mean square deviations of the White Sea mean level. . . . .  | 129   |
| 4.32 | Storm surge distribution in Dvinskiy Bay and across the White Sea. . . . .  | 130   |
| 4.33 | Frequency spectrum of sea level variations at the Kem-Port and Mudyug stations . . . . .  | 131   |
| 4.34 | Types of cyclonic movements over the White Sea from 1902–1965. . . . .  | 133   |
| 4.35 | Storm-driven surges at some stations in late 1963. . . . .  | 134   |
| 4.36 | Variations of sea level at some stations . . . . .  | 139   |
| 4.37 | Spatial distributions of phases and amplitudes for waves $K_1$ , $M_2$ , and $M_4$ . . . . .  | 140   |
| 4.38 | Spectrum of sea level oscillations as registered at the Onega station. . . . .  | 143   |
| 4.39 | Spatial distributions of phases and amplitudes corresponding to seiches ranging from one to five nodes. . . . .   | 146   |
| 4.40 | Distribution of the ice cover in the White Sea in February . . . . .  | 149   |
| 4.41 | Distribution of the ice cover in the White Sea in March . . . . .   | 150   |
| 4.42 | Distribution of ridged ice zones in the White Sea in March. . . . .   | 151   |
| 4.43 | Monthly mean anomalies of air temperature distribution over the Arctic in March 2002 . . . . .  | 152   |
| 4.44 | Ice cover mapped by the DMSP SSM/I satellite passive microwave sensor on 4 March, 2002 . . . . .  | color |
| 4.45 | Monthly mean anomalies of air temperature distribution over the Arctic in March 2003 . . . . .  | 153   |
| 4.46 | Ice cover mapped by the DMSP SSM/I satellite passive microwave sensor on 17 March, 2003 . . . . .   | 154   |
| 6.1  | Retrievals of <i>chl</i> , <i>sm</i> , and <i>doc</i> by the L–M procedure, and comparison with the modeled data . . . . .                              | 186   |
| 6.2  | Same as Figure 6.1 but utilizing a NN simulator . . . . .   | 189   |
| 6.3  | Flow diagram of the advanced water quality retrieval algorithm for case II waters . . . . .   | 190   |

|             |  |       |
|-------------|--|-------|
| <b>6.4</b>  | Location of stations and the determined concentrations of <i>chl</i> in Onezhskiy Bay  | 194   |
| <b>6.5</b>  | Shipborne measurements of near-surface water temperature in Onezhskiy Bay, 10–13 July, 2001 . . . . .  | 196   |
| <b>6.6</b>  | Shipborne measurements of surface salinity in Onezhskiy Bay, 10–13 July, 2001  | 197   |
| <b>6.7</b>  | SeaDAS-based retrieval of concentration of <i>chl</i> from a SeaWiFS image taken over Onezhskiy Bay in July, 2001 . . . . .  | 198   |
| <b>6.8</b>  | New tool-based retrieval of concentrations of <i>chl</i> from a SeaWiFS image taken over Onezhskiy Bay in July, 2001 . . . . .   | 198   |
| <b>6.9</b>  | Same as Figure 6.8 but for the <i>sm</i> concentration . . . . .   | 199   |
| <b>6.10</b> | Same as Figure 6.8 but for the <i>doc</i> concentration. . . . .   | 199   |
| <b>6.11</b> | Spatial distribution of <i>chl</i> in the White Sea as retrieved from a SeaWiFS image of 7 April, 2001. . . . .  | 201   |
| <b>6.12</b> | Spatial distribution of <i>chl</i> across the White Sea from a SeaWiFS image, 25 May, 2001. . . . .  | 201   |
| <b>6.13</b> | Same as Figure 6.11 but for 21 June, 2001. . . . .   | 202   |
| <b>6.14</b> | Same as Figure 6.11 but for 28 August, 2001. . . . .   | 202   |
| <b>6.15</b> | Same as Figure 6.11 but for 2 October, 2001 . . . . .  | 203   |
| <b>6.16</b> | Qualitative comparison between the <i>chl</i> spatial distribution obtained from a SeaWiFS image taken over the White Sea in early May, 2001 and the simulated phytoplankton biomass for the same time period and year . . . . . | 204   |
| <b>6.17</b> | Same as Figure 6.16 but for late June, 2001. . . . .   | 205   |
| <b>6.18</b> | Same as Figure 6.16 but for late October, 2001 . . . . .   | 205   |
| <b>6.19</b> | Spatial distribution of the mean SST as measured by a shipborne infrared radiometer and retrieved from NOAA AVHRR data, 10–14 July, 2001 . . . . .   | 208   |
| <b>6.20</b> | Comparison of the SST as (a) measured by an IR radiometer on board the NWPI R/V <i>Ecolog</i> and (b) retrieved from NOAA AVHRR . . . . .  | 210   |
| <b>6.21</b> | Seasonal variability of the SST in the White Sea . . . . .   | color |
| <b>6.22</b> | Seasonal transformations of sea ice fields as revealed from AVHRR images taken in 2002 . . . . .   | color |
| <b>6.23</b> | Development of tidal/upwelling fronts and eddies of various spatial dimensions as revealed from the AVHRR data obtained in July, 2001 . . . . .  | color |
| <b>6.24</b> | Types of mesoscale and synoptic water circulation patterns in the White Sea as revealed by NOAA images. . . . .  | 214   |
| <b>6.25</b> | RADARSAT SAR image of the White Sea and the adjacent part of the Barents Sea taken on 28 February, 1998 . . . . .  | 215   |
| <b>6.26</b> | RADARSAT SAR imagery of the White Sea and the adjacent part of the Barents Sea (28 February and 4 March, 1998). . . . .  | 216   |
| <b>6.27</b> | ERS-2 SAR image of the White Sea and neighboring regions taken on 12 March, 1997 and aerial photography of the whelping rookery, situated in the Gorlo. . . . .  | 223   |
| <b>6.28</b> | DMSP SSM/I image of the White Sea and adjacent regions taken in March, 2000 and 2003. . . . .  | color |
| <b>6.29</b> | Results of the validation performed from on board the PINRO flying laboratory <i>Arktika</i> over a zone of whelping rookeries – ice breccia and ice cake  | color |
| <b>6.30</b> | RADARSAT SAR image of the White Sea taken on 21 December, 2001. . . . .  | 227   |
| <b>6.31</b> | RS-2 SAR image of the White Sea and adjacent regions taken on 4 March, 1999  | 228   |
| <b>6.32</b> | RS-2 SAR image of the White Sea and the adjacent regions taken on 20 March, 2000. . . . .  | 229   |

|             |  |       |
|-------------|--|-------|
| <b>6.33</b> | NOAA-14 AVHRR image of the White Sea and the adjacent regions taken on March 21, 2000. . . . .   | color |
| <b>6.34</b> | RADARSAT and ERS SAR images of the White Sea and adjacent regions taken in March, 1998. . . . .  | 232   |
| <b>6.35</b> | Results of aerial charting of the migration of Greenland seal whelps conducted in March, 2000 . . . . .  | color |
| <b>6.36</b> | Results of aerial charting of migration of Greenland seal whelps accomplished, on 18 March (a) and 19 March, 2000 (b), respectively. According to these airborne data, the ice conditions during the 1999/2000 winter were favorable for the reproduction and migration of harp seals. . . . . | color |
| <b>6.37</b> | The White Sea Basin as partitioned into five regions: 1. Onezhskiy Bay; 2. Dvinskiy Bay; 3. the Bassein; 4. Mezenskiy Bay; and 5. the Voronka . . . . .  | 236   |
| <b>6.38</b> | The ice concentrations across the White Sea (observed from satellite) in November, 1978 . . . . .  | color |
| <b>6.39</b> | Mean monthly sea ice concentration in the White Sea in January for each year as derived from employed passive microwave satellite data for the period 1987–1999. . . . .   | color |
| <b>6.40</b> | Same as Figure 6.39 but for the mean monthly sea ice concentration in February of each year for the same time period. . . . .  | color |
| <b>6.41</b> | Variations in ice concentration in the White Sea during the winters of 1978–1999. . . . .  | color |
| <b>7.1</b>  | Distribution of mineral resources in the Murmansk Oblast and the Republic of Karelia. . . . .  | color |
| <b>7.2</b>  | Distribution of mineral resources in the Archangelsk Oblast . . . . .  | color |
| <b>7.3</b>  | Change in the population during the period 1991–2000 and the anticipated demographic development up to 2010 in the White Sea region . . . . .  | 251   |
| <b>7.4</b>  | Rate of child mortality in the White Sea region during the period 1998–2002. . . . .   | 252   |
| <b>7.5</b>  | The GRP growth index. . . . .  | 260   |
| <b>7.6</b>  | Electric power production . . . . .  | 263   |
| <b>7.7</b>  | Agricultural production . . . . .  | 269   |
| <b>7.8</b>  | Turnover of the retail trade (billions of rubles for the period 1990–1998, and millions of rubles during 1998–2002). . . . .   | 269   |
| <b>7.9</b>  | Per capita turnover of the retail trade . . . . .  | 270   |
| <b>7.10</b> | Volume of commercial services. . . . .   | 270   |
| <b>7.11</b> | Mean monthly per capita money income . . . . .   | 271   |
| <b>7.12</b> | Dynamics of the mean per capita real disposable income in Karelia. . . . .   | 272   |
| <b>7.13</b> | Fraction of the population in the White Sea region that live below the subsistence level . . . . .   | 272   |
| <b>7.14</b> | The GRP as partitioned between different sectors in 2001. . . . .  | 274   |
| <b>7.15</b> | Foreign trade turnover. . . . .  | 279   |
| <b>7.16</b> | Unemployment rate for the economically active population . . . . .   | 282   |
| <b>7.17</b> | Changes in the ownership structure in industry . . . . .   | 282   |
| <b>7.18</b> | Dynamics of the main ecological indices compared to the GRP. . . . .   | 287   |
| <b>7.19</b> | Foreign investments in the White Sea region during the period 1995–1999 . . . . .  | 288   |
| <b>7.20</b> | Investment into fixed capital. . . . .   | 289   |
| <b>7.21</b> | Dynamics of the major environmental indices in comparison with the GRP of the Republic of Karelia . . . . .  | 290   |

|             |  |       |
|-------------|--|-------|
| <b>7.22</b> | Dynamics of the U index reflecting the impact of the Karelian economy on the environment . . . . .   | 291   |
| <b>8.1</b>  | The three levels of the information system . . . . .   | 306   |
| <b>8.2</b>  | General structure of the information system . . . . .  | 307   |
| <b>8.3</b>  | Structure of the local information system software . . . . .   | 308   |
| <b>8.4</b>  | Illustration of the GUI . . . . .  | 309   |
| <b>8.5</b>  | Steps required to create digital maps . . . . .  | 310   |
| <b>8.6</b>  | Structure of a data warehouse . . . . .  | 311   |
| <b>8.7</b>  | Illustration of the base Wsea1mdb tables (a fragment) . . . . .  | 312   |
| <b>8.8</b>  | Illustration of the base Wsea2mdb tables (a fragment) . . . . .  | 312   |
| <b>8.9</b>  | Map of the White Sea region as displayed in the window of the ArcMap module . . . . .  | 313   |
| <b>8.10</b> | Nominal GRP in the White Sea region in the 1994–1998 period in current prices . . . . .  | 314   |
| <b>8.11</b> | The White Sea region: structure and output of the regional industry in 1999 . . . . .  | 315   |
| <b>8.12</b> | Main stages of data analysis by means of a raster GIS . . . . .  | 317   |
| <b>8.13</b> | Distribution of SST across the White Sea . . . . .   | 318   |
| <b>8.14</b> | Signal variations inherent in profile 1 of Figure 8.13. . . . .  | 319   |
| <b>8.15</b> | A histogram retrieved from the image displayed in Figure 8.13 . . . . .  | 319   |
| <b>8.16</b> | Results of classification of the image in Figure 8.13 . . . . .  | 320   |
| <b>8.17</b> | Structure of the information system intended for the Internet . . . . .  | 321   |
| <b>8.18</b> | The White Sea search system using keywords . . . . .   | 323   |
| <b>8.19</b> | Operational scheme of the program used to compile the keyword lists . . . . .  | 324   |
| <b>8.20</b> | Databases accommodated by the system . . . . .   | 324   |
| <b>8.21</b> | Remote sensing data stored in the information system . . . . .   | 325   |
| <b>8.22</b> | Decision-making support facility based on running the “IF ... THEN” scenarios. . . . .   | 325   |
| <b>8.23</b> | Scenarios considering the nutrient load in the White Sea . . . . .   | 326   |
| <b>9.1</b>  | Index of water contamination in the White Sea in August 1986. . . . .  | color |
| <b>9.2</b>  | Monthly indices of water contamination in the White Sea during 1986 . . . . .  | color |
| <b>9.3</b>  | Index of water contamination for the White Sea for the 1984–1989 period. . . . .   | color |
| <b>9.4</b>  | Change of water quality in the White Sea for an increase of pollutants average-weighted concentration of 10% . . . . .   | color |
| <b>9.5</b>  | Change of water quality in the White Sea for an increase of pollutant average-weighted concentration of 30% . . . . .  | color |
| <b>10.1</b> | Correspondence between the water freezing temperature and the temperature of maximum density for the UNESCO-83 and HYCOM equations of state . . . . .                                | 343   |
| <b>10.2</b> | Normalized histograms for water depth ranges in the NIERSC–TRANSAS data set and the smoothed data used in the White Sea HYCOM . . . . .  | 344   |
| <b>10.3</b> | Contours of the model mesh and depth. Only every second meshline is shown . . . . .  | 345   |
| <b>10.4</b> | The surface circulation in the White Sea and the circulation in the White Sea at a depth of 100 m . . . . .  | 349   |
| <b>10.5</b> | Model experiments on horizontal mixing: WH1 ( <i>top</i> ), WH4 ( <i>middle</i> ), WH5 ( <i>bottom</i> ) with the water salinity varying between 22–28‰ with a 2‰ interval . . . . . | 352   |



|              |  |       |
|--------------|--|-------|
| <b>10.6</b>  | Model experiments on horizontal mixing: WH1 ( <i>top</i> ), WH7 ( <i>middle</i> ), WH8 ( <i>bottom</i> ) with the salinity varying as in Figure 10.5 . . . . . | 353   |
| <b>10.7</b>  | The typical summertime vertical profile of water salinity along the Bassein–Kandalakshskiy Bay transect . . . . .  | 355   |
| <b>10.8</b>  | Simulations of the vertical profile of sea temperature along the Bassein–Kandalakshskiy Bay transect in July and February, 1989. . . . .                       | 356   |
| <b>10.9</b>  | Simulations of averaged (over 1989) summertime surface salinity in the White Sea. . . . .  | 357   |
| <b>10.10</b> | Simulations of averaged (over 1989) summertime circulation patterns of surface waters in the White Sea . . . . .   | 358   |
| <b>10.11</b> | Modeled circulation patterns in the White Sea for hybrid layer 5 (at depths of 50–100 m). . . . .  | 361   |
| <b>10.12</b> | Model simulated patterns of currents and surface water salinity in the south-western Gorlo . . . . .   | 362   |
| <b>10.13</b> | Simulated circulation of surface water in the White Sea in August and January, 1989. . . . .   | 363   |
| <b>10.14</b> | Simulated variability of water temperature and salinity at a station in the Bassein. . . . .   | 364   |
| <b>10.15</b> | Simulated mean distribution of seawater temperature in the top 10-m layer for the summer of 1989. . . . .  | 365   |
| <b>10.16</b> | The observed mean probability of ice coverage in the White Sea in February and May . . . . .   | 366   |
| <b>10.17</b> | Simulated ice cover extension in the White Sea in 1989 in late February and early May. . . . .   | 367   |
| <b>10.18</b> | The relative sea ice cover extent and the light penetration into the water column without ice cover and with ice cover . . . . .                               | 370   |
| <b>10.19</b> | Mixed layer depth $M_2$ : simulations vs. observations . . . . .   | 371   |
| <b>10.20</b> | Cone for mixed layer and bottom layer volume calculations . . . . .  | 372   |
| <b>10.21</b> | Ecosystem model for validation . . . . .   | 374   |
| <b>10.22</b> | Content of inorganic nutrients in the mixed layer. . . . .   | color |
| <b>10.23</b> | Development of plankton groups . . . . .   | color |
| <b>10.24</b> | Development of detrital forms of nutrients . . . . .   | color |
| <b>10.25</b> | Development of inorganic nutrients in the lower layer . . . . .  | color |
| <b>10.26</b> | Development of inorganic nutrients in the mixed layer . . . . .  | color |
| <b>10.27</b> | Development of plankton groups . . . . .   | color |
| <b>10.28</b> | Development of detrital forms of nutrients . . . . .   | color |
| <b>10.29</b> | Development of inorganic nutrients in the lower layer . . . . .  | color |
| <b>10.30</b> | Development of inorganic nutrients in the mixed layer . . . . .  | color |
| <b>10.31</b> | Development of planktonic groups . . . . .   | color |
| <b>10.32</b> | Development of detrital forms of nutrients . . . . .   | color |
| <b>10.33</b> | Development of inorganic nutrients in the lower layer . . . . .  | color |
| <b>10.34</b> | Nutrient flows resulting from a suite of trophic interactions within the model of the ecosystem . . . . .  | 379   |
| <b>10.35</b> | Model integration with the closed model . . . . .  | 382   |
| <b>10.36</b> | Model integration with losses . . . . .  | 383   |
| <b>10.37</b> | Model integration with a constant level of nutrient input and sedimentation . . . . .  | 383   |
| <b>10.38</b> | Subdivision of the White Sea into water regions 1–8. . . . .   | 387   |
| <b>10.39</b> | Simulated seasonal variations in the concentration of phosphorus-containing compounds in the selected aquatic regions (1–8) of the White Sea . . . . .         | 398   |

|              |  |       |
|--------------|--|-------|
| <b>10.40</b> | Simulated seasonal variations of concentrations of nitrogen-containing compounds in aquatic regions (1–8) of the White Sea . . . . .   | 399   |
| <b>10.41</b> | Simulated seasonal variations of concentrations of compounds in aquatic regions (1–8) of the White Sea . . . . .   | 400   |
| <b>10.42</b> | Simulated seasonal variations in the biomass of various hydrobionts in aquatic regions (1–8) of the White Sea . . . . .  | 401   |
| <b>10.43</b> | Modular structure of the coupled hydrodynamic–biogeochemical model. . . . .  | 410   |
| <b>10.44</b> | A generalized scheme of biogeochemical fluxes between the variables determining the system state. . . . .  | 418   |
| <b>10.45</b> | Modeled variations of sea surface level and salinity at a 30 m depth . . . . .   | 420   |
| <b>10.46</b> | Modeled distribution of sea surface currents in April, as averaged over 1948–2000. . . . .   | 421   |
| <b>10.47</b> | Modeled distribution of sea bottom currents in April, as averaged over 1948–2000. . . . .  | 421   |
| <b>10.48</b> | Modeled distribution of sea surface currents in August, as averaged over 1948–2000. . . . .  | 422   |
| <b>10.49</b> | Modeled distribution of sea bottom currents in August, as averaged over 1948–2000. . . . .   | 422   |
| <b>10.50</b> | Modeled distribution of sea surface salinity in April, as averaged over 1948–2000. . . . .   | 423   |
| <b>10.51</b> | Modeled distribution of sea surface salinity as averaged over 1948–2000 . . . . .  | 423   |
| <b>10.52</b> | Modeled distribution of the vertical profile of water salinity along the Gridino–Voronka transect in April and August, as averaged over 1948–2000 . . . . .                                    | 424   |
| <b>10.53</b> | Modeled distribution of the vertical profile of seawater temperature along the Gridino–Voronka transect in August, as averaged over 1948–2000. . . . .   | 424   |
| <b>10.54</b> | Distribution of the sea surface temperature in August, as averaged over 1948–2000. . . . .   | 425   |
| <b>10.55</b> | Contours of the M2 tidal amplitude at the sea surface . . . . .  | 425   |
| <b>10.56</b> | Distribution of sea surface currents in August 1958 . . . . .  | 426   |
| <b>10.57</b> | Distribution of sea surface currents in August 1958 . . . . .  | 427   |
| <b>10.58</b> | Temporal variations of water discharge from the Barents Sea through the Gorlo . . . . .  | 427   |
| <b>10.59</b> | Long-term seasonal dynamics of five-day means of salinity, sea ice thickness, nitrate concentration, and phytoplankton biomass in the control run averaged over the entire White Sea . . . . . | 428   |
| <b>10.60</b> | Simulated dynamics of ice thickness . . . . .  | color |
| <b>10.61</b> | Simulated dynamics of phytoplankton biomass . . . . .  | color |
| <b>10.62</b> | Simulated average distribution of the zooplankton biomass at 15 m depth . . . . .  | color |
| <b>10.63</b> | Simulated seasonal dynamics of phytoplankton in the major regions of the White Sea. . . . .  | 431   |
| <b>10.64</b> | As for Figure 10.63 but for zooplankton. . . . .   | 432   |
| <b>10.65</b> | As for Figure 10.63 but for detritus . . . . .   | 433   |
| <b>10.66</b> | As for Figure 10.63 but for ammonium. . . . .  | 434   |
| <b>10.67</b> | As for Figure 10.63 but for nitrite . . . . .  | 435   |
| <b>10.68</b> | As for Figure 10.63 but for phosphate . . . . .  | 436   |
| <b>10.69</b> | Five-year averaged (1996–2000) seasonal dynamics of salinity and seawater temperature in the White Sea simulated in the control run and climatic scenario . . . . .                            | 438   |
| <b>10.70</b> | Five-year averaged (1996–2000) seasonal dynamics of biomass of the phytoplankton and zooplankton in the White Sea simulated in the control run and the climatic scenario . . . . .             | 438   |

|              |  |     |
|--------------|--|-----|
| <b>10.71</b> | Five-year averaged (1996–2000) seasonal dynamics of the concentration of dissolved inorganic nitrogen in the White Sea as simulated in the control run, climatic scenario, and anthropogenic impact scenario (3) . . . . . | 439 |
| <b>10.72</b> | Five-year averaged (1996–2000) seasonal dynamics of the concentration of dissolved inorganic phosphorus in the White Sea as simulated in the control run, climatic scenario, and anthropogenic impact scenario. . . . .    | 439 |

# Tables

|      |  |    |
|------|--|----|
| 1.1  | Area and population of units in the White Sea watershed . . . . .  | 5  |
| 2.1  | River catchments in the White Sea region . . . . .   | 18 |
| 2.2  | Amount of pollutants brought into the White Sea annually . . . . .   | 21 |
| 2.1  | River catchment areas in the White Sea region . . . . .  | 26 |
| 2.4  | Basic hydrometeorological variables from the GON (river lowest stations) within the White Sea Basin . . . . .                                | 32 |
| 2.5  | Dissolved oxygen, nitrogen, and phosphor-containing compounds in the rivers flowing into the White Sea . . . . .                             | 33 |
| 2.6  | Prevailing pollutants identified at the lowest stations on the rivers flowing into the White Sea basin . . . . .                             | 37 |
| 2.7  | Ranges in mean annual amounts of chemical substances in river runoff for the catchment area within the Murmansk region . . . . .             | 40 |
| 2.8  | Ranges in mean annual amounts of chemical substances in the river runoff in the Republic of Karelia . . . . .                                | 41 |
| 2.9  | Ranges in mean annual amounts of chemical substances in river runoff in the Archangelsk region . . . . .                                     | 43 |
| 2.10 | Seasonable variability of nitrogen and phosphorus-containing compounds in the river outlets into the White Sea . . . . .                     | 45 |
| 2.11 | Quantitative assessment of the spatial variability of the runoff module of most abundant pollutants: coastal zone of the White Sea . . . . . | 48 |
| 2.12 | “Anthropogenically-altered” natural background for the major pollutants in river outlets of the White Sea basin . . . . .                    | 50 |
| 3.1  | Mean wind directions for the White Sea region over the 50°N–70°N latitudinal zone during the period 1951–2000 . . . . .                      | 57 |
| 3.2  | Mean monthly and annual wind velocities . . . . .  | 58 |
| 3.3  | Mean monthly and annual air temperature . . . . .  | 60 |
| 3.4  | Statistical characteristics of the surface water temperature (mean daily data) at some stations . . . . .                                    | 63 |

|             |   |     |
|-------------|---|-----|
| <b>3.5</b>  | Mean annual and maximum daily rates of precipitation at some stations in the White Sea . . . . .  | 66  |
| <b>3.6</b>  | Mean monthly precipitation over the west coast of the White Sea . . . . .   | 66  |
| <b>3.7</b>  | Observed and modeled changes in the mean annual air temperature and water balance elements within the WSC . . . . .   | 70  |
| <b>3.8</b>  | The mean annual water income from the WSC . . . . .   | 71  |
| <b>4.1</b>  | Monthly mean values of variables characterizing the light climate and water temperature in the White Sea . . . . .  | 74  |
| <b>4.2</b>  | Observed mean monthly and annual freshwater inflow into the White Sea . . .   | 86  |
| <b>4.3</b>  | Main characteristics of the mean annual water surface temperature in the White Sea. . . . .   | 88  |
| <b>4.4</b>  | Linear trend of sea level variations at various stations in the White Sea, and the contribution of different mechanisms to the trend . . . . .  | 122 |
| <b>4.5</b>  | Mean and maximal heights and periods of “negative” surges (numerator) and positive surges (denominator) in various regions of the White Sea . . . . .                                     | 137 |
| <b>6.1</b>  | Results of the NASA OC4 algorithm application for retrieving the concentrations of <i>chl</i> in case I and II waters (numerical experiments). . . . .                                    | 184 |
| <b>6.2</b>  | The architecture and respective retrieval errors for a set of built up NNs . . . .  | 188 |
| <b>6.3</b>  | Improvement of the CPA retrieval accuracy due to a sequential use of a “rough” NN in combinations with “specialized” NNs . . . . .  | 191 |
| <b>6.4</b>  | Improvement of the tool performance in comparison with the facility of the L–M procedure <i>per se</i> . . . . .  | 191 |
| <b>6.5</b>  | Admissible errors in the retrieval of <i>chl</i> in case II waters . . . . .  | 191 |
| <b>6.6</b>  | Concentration ranges for <i>sm</i> and <i>doc</i> given a 10% uniform $\lambda$ -independent noise  | 192 |
| <b>6.7</b>  | Concentration ranges for <i>sm</i> and <i>doc</i> , given a 15% uniform and $\lambda$ -independent noise . . . . .  | 192 |
| <b>6.8</b>  | Concentration ranges for <i>sm</i> and <i>doc</i> , given a 10% uniform $\lambda$ -dependent noise  | 192 |
| <b>6.9</b>  | Concentration ranges for <i>sm</i> and <i>doc</i> , given a 15% uniform and $\lambda$ -dependent noise . . . . .  | 193 |
| <b>6.10</b> | Concentration ranges for <i>sm</i> and <i>doc</i> , given a 15% normal and $\lambda$ -independent noise . . . . .   | 193 |
| <b>6.11</b> | Results of <i>in situ</i> measurements in Onezhskiy Bay, July 2001, surface layer . .   | 195 |
| <b>6.12</b> | A comparison of water quality variables retrieved for Onezhskiy Bay with the SeaDAS code and the advanced bio-optical algorithm (July, 2001) with respective <i>in situ</i> data. . . . . | 200 |
| <b>6.13</b> | The comparative characteristics of satellite sensors . . . . .  | 225 |
| <b>6.14</b> | A summary of trend analyses of changes in the ice concentration in the White Sea. . . . .   | 236 |
| <b>7.1</b>  | Estimates of resources of some minerals in the Murmansk Oblast. . . . .   | 242 |
| <b>7.2</b>  | State Forest Fund of the Russian Federation and north-western Russia as of 1 January, 1998. . . . .   | 245 |
| <b>7.3</b>  | Timber resources of the White Sea regions as assessed in 1993 and 1998. . . . .   | 245 |
| <b>7.4</b>  | Distribution of forests by vegetative zones in the White Sea region. . . . .  | 245 |
| <b>7.5</b>  | Forest classification in the White Sea region performed in 1993. . . . .  | 246 |

|             |   |     |
|-------------|---|-----|
| <b>7.6</b>  | Volumes of standing timber distributed by main tree species in the White Sea region as assessed in 1993 . . . . .               | 247 |
| <b>7.7</b>  | Partitioning of the forested area by tree age in the White Sea region as assessed in 1998. . . . .                              | 248 |
| <b>7.8</b>  | Demography of the White Sea region on 1 Jan, 2003. . . . .  | 249 |
| <b>7.9</b>  | Change in population of the White Sea region during the 1991–1999 period. . . . .   | 250 |
| <b>7.10</b> | Forecast population in the White Sea region in 2000–2010. . . . .   | 251 |
| <b>7.11</b> | Growth of the population in the White Sea region during 1997–2002. . . . .  | 252 |
| <b>7.12</b> | Net migration of the populace in the White Sea region during 1993–2002 . . . . .  | 253 |
| <b>7.13</b> | Life expectancy in the White Sea region during 1990–2002 . . . . .  | 254 |
| <b>7.14</b> | Distribution of the population in north-western Russia among various sectors of the economy . . . . .                           | 256 |
| <b>7.15</b> | Enterprises in different sectors of the economy as of 1 January, 2003. . . . .  | 258 |
| <b>7.16</b> | Indicators of the privatisation process . . . . .   | 258 |
| <b>7.17</b> | Small enterprises in different sectors of the regional economy as of January 1, 2003. . . . .                                   | 259 |
| <b>7.18</b> | Nominal GRP during the 1994–2001 period . . . . .   | 259 |
| <b>7.19</b> | Mean annual RUR/USD Exchange Rates. . . . .   | 259 |
| <b>7.20</b> | Volumes of industrial production . . . . .  | 261 |
| <b>7.21</b> | Per capita volumes of industrial production. . . . .  | 261 |
| <b>7.22</b> | Industrial production as partitioned between different branches in 2002. . . . .  | 262 |
| <b>7.23</b> | Sawn-timber resources . . . . .   | 263 |
| <b>7.24</b> | Roundwood production. . . . .   | 263 |
| <b>7.25</b> | Paper production . . . . .  | 264 |
| <b>7.26</b> | Walling material production. . . . .  | 264 |
| <b>7.27</b> | Production of other products . . . . .  | 266 |
| <b>7.28</b> | Change of the industrial production during 1990–2002. . . . .   | 268 |
| <b>7.29</b> | Mean monthly implicit wages (in thousand rubles per employee in the period 1990–1998, and in rubles during 1998–2002) . . . . . | 271 |
| <b>7.30</b> | Population with the income below the subsistence level. . . . .   | 273 |
| <b>7.31</b> | Main features of the largest industrial enterprises in northwestern Russia in 2002. . . . .                                     | 274 |
| <b>7.32</b> | Timber harvesting volumes during 1992–1997 . . . . .  | 276 |
| <b>7.33</b> | Volumes of forestry industry production . . . . .   | 276 |
| <b>7.34</b> | Structure of the regional export . . . . .  | 277 |
| <b>7.35</b> | Export of forestry products from the Arkhangelsk oblast during 1997–1999. . . . .   | 278 |
| <b>7.36</b> | The flux of foreign investments. . . . .  | 288 |
| <b>7.37</b> | Relative investments into the fixed capital . . . . .   | 289 |
| <b>7.38</b> | Per capita investments into fixed capital . . . . .   | 290 |
| <b>8.1</b>  | Nominal GRP in 1994–1998 in current prices . . . . .  | 316 |
| <b>8.2</b>  | The structure and output of the industry in the White Sea region in 1999 . . . . .  | 316 |
| <b>8.3</b>  | Description of the columns in Table 8.2 . . . . .   | 316 |
| <b>9.1</b>  | MPCs of pollutants and indices of the environment state of seawater . . . . .   | 333 |
| <b>9.2</b>  | Assessment criteria of seawater pollution. . . . .  | 333 |
| <b>10.1</b> | Topographic characteristics of the White Sea Basin . . . . .  | 344 |
| <b>10.2</b> | Initial layering and mixing parameters for the White Sea HYCOM . . . . .  | 350 |
| <b>10.3</b> | Mixing parameters for the White Sea HYCOM . . . . .   | 351 |

|              |   |     |
|--------------|---|-----|
| <b>10.4</b>  | Water masses inherent in the White Sea . . . . .  | 354 |
| <b>10.5</b>  | Seasonal variability of the total river discharge into the White Sea . . . . .  | 359 |
| <b>10.6</b>  | Observed and simulated seawater temperature in the upper 10-m layer for some regions in the White Sea . . . . .   | 364 |
| <b>10.7</b>  | Calculated mean monthly values of flow rates at the boundaries of water areas 1–8 of the White Sea, in river mouth zones, and at the abutment with the Barents Sea. . . . .   | 389 |
| <b>10.8</b>  | Initial concentrations of the biogenic substances taken into account for simulations of the annual dynamics in water areas 1–8 of the White Sea . . . .                       | 394 |
| <b>10.9</b>  | Mean seasonal observations of concentrations of oxygen, phosphate, silicate, nitrite, and nitrate in different water areas of the White Sea . . . . .                         | 397 |
| <b>10.10</b> | Simulated annual production by hydrobionts in various regions of the White Sea. . . . .   | 406 |
| <b>10.11</b> | Estimated monthly and annually averaged values of the productivity rate of diatoms . . . . .  | 407 |
| <b>10.12</b> | A comparison between the simulated and actual harmonic constants for the M2 tide at several locations in the White Sea. . . . .   | 426 |
| <b>10.13</b> | Annual and basin averaged concentrations of oxidized nitrogen and phosphate   | 429 |
| <b>10.14</b> | Ranges of variations for hydrographic and nutrient variables in the different water masses of the White Sea . . . . .   | 430 |
| <b>10.15</b> | Simulated long-term (1995–2000) mean monthly concentrations of oxidized nitrogen and phosphate averaged over the surface layer in different regions of the White Sea. . . . . | 437 |

# Abbreviations

|          |   |
|----------|---|
| AARI     | Arctic and Antarctic Research Institute       |
| AREC     | Arkhangelsk Regional Environmental Centre     |
| ASAR     | Advanced Synthetic Aperture Radar             |
| AT       | advanced tool                                 |
| AVHRR    | Advanced Very-High Resolution Radiometer      |
| BOD      | biological oxygen demand                      |
| CARDINAL | Coastal Area Dynamics Investigation Algorithm |
| chl      | chlorophyll                                   |
| CIS      | Commonwealth of Independent States            |
| CPA      | color-producing agents                        |
| DFI      | direct foreign investment                     |
| DMSP     | Defence Meteorological Satellite Program      |
| doc      | dissolved organic carbon                      |
| dom      | dissolved organic matter                      |
| EOOC     | easily oxidizable organic compound            |
| ESA      | European Space Agency                         |
| FIMR     | Finnish Institute for Marine Research         |
| GCM      | general circulation model                     |
| GDP      | gross domestic product                        |
| GON      | governmental observation network              |
| GIS      | geographic information system                 |
| GRP      | gross regional product                        |
| GRPI     | GRP index                                     |
| GUI      | Graphical User Interface                      |
| HEPS     | hydroelectric power station                   |
| HYCOM    | Hybrid Coordinate Ocean Model                 |
| II       | industry index                                |
| INCO     | International Cooperation (EC program)        |



|         |   |
|---------|---|
| IO      | Institute of Oceanology   |
| IR      | infrared  |
| ISS     | Institute for Space Sciences  |
| KPP     | K-Profile Parameterization  |
| KRC     | Karelian Research Centre  |
| LM      | Levenberg–Marquardt   |
| LMMVOA  | L–M Multivariate Optimization Algorithm   |
| MAL     | maximal admissible loads  |
| MEPC    | maximum ecologically permissible concentration                                    |
| MLP     | multi-layer perceptron  |
| MMBI    | Murmansk Marine Biological Institute  |
| MODIS   | Moderate-Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer                                     |
| MPC     | maximum permissible concentration   |
| MUMM    | Management Unit of the Northern Sea Mathematical Models                           |
| NAO     | North Atlantic Oscillation  |
| NDVI    | normalized difference vegetation index  |
| NERSC   | Nansen Environmental and Remote Sensing Centre                                    |
| NIERSC  | Nansen International Environmental and Remote Sensing Center                      |
| NIS     | New Independent States  |
| NN      | neural network  |
| NOAA    | National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration                                   |
| NORSEX  | Norwegian Remote Sensing Experiment   |
| NWPI    | Northern Water Problems Institute   |
| PCA     | principal component analysis  |
| PF      | production function   |
| PINRO   | Polar Institute of Fishery and Oceanography                                       |
| PSA     | Production Sharing Agreement  |
| RAS     | Russian Academy of Science  |
| RHS     | Russian Hydrometeorological Service   |
| RSSOMEF | Russian State Service of Observation and Monitoring of<br>Environmental Pollution |
| SAR     | synthetic aperture radar  |
| SAS     | surface-active substance  |
| SD      | Secchi Depth  |
| sd      | sunshine duration   |
| SeaDAS  | SeaWiFs Data Analysis System  |
| SeaWiFS | Sea-Viewing Wide Field-of-View Sensor   |
| SLP     | sea level pressure  |
| SLR     | side-looking radar  |
| sm      | suspended minerals  |
| SMMR    | Scanning Multichannel Microwave Radiometer  |
| SSAS    | synthetic SAS   |
| SSL     | sea surface level   |
| SSM/I   | Special Sensor Microwave/Imager   |
| SST     | sea surface temperature   |

|       |                                       |
|-------|---------------------------------------|
| SWS   | State Weather Service                 |
| TEP   | thermal-electric power station        |
| UV    | ultraviolet                           |
| WBS   | water balance stations                |
| WPI   | water pollution index                 |
| WSC   | White Sea catchment                   |
| WSL   | loads on the White Sea ecosystem      |
| WSMES | White Sea Marine Experimental Station |

# Introduction

The White Sea is attracting increasing attention from both scientists and society. This is due to a new stage in developing the resources of the White Sea and its catchment. These regional developments include diamond and gold mining, fishing, farming of mariculture, transport of natural gas from the Stockmann gas deposit of the Barents Sea to western Europe, and consequently, a major change in the entire infrastructure for new enterprises. Nearby, Sweden, Norway, Finland, and other countries are taking an interest in the development of this region, as are the neighboring parts of Russia. Thus, the territory of interest is a large area that may be called the Euro–Barents Region with the White Sea at its centre. The region also includes the “Northern Dimension” of the European Commission.

It is probable that during the forthcoming few years, the role of Belomorsko-Baltiysky Channel will increase. The channel links the Baltic, White, Caspian, and Black Seas with the Arctic Ocean. The importance of the White Sea proper, as a transport corridor linking numerous regions with the Arctic seas, would therefore increase accordingly.

The above-mentioned challenges, together with quite a few traditional ones (such as the use of marine bio-resources, wood cutting, the impacts of the pulp-and-paper industry, and waste discharges from cities and towns located on the shores and in the catchment) will require scientific recommendations for the rational use and protection of the marine resources.

Historically, the White Sea region is a unique Russian territory, where, throughout the ages, various paths in man’s economic activity, based on the use of diverse natural resources, were developed successfully. These include: fish and marine mammal harvesting and processing; fur-bearing animal hunting and the fur trade; agriculture and cattle breeding; timber production; and others. All these activities were developed both within and outside the region (Alekseev and Kulachkova, 2001). For a long time, the White Sea was used jointly by the Russian coast-dwellers (the so-called pomors) and Norwegians; shipping trade routes linked it with foreign

countries. The pomor trade between Norway and Russia reached significant dimensions during the 18th century. These circumstances created the necessary prerequisites for the relative well-being of the population in the region. However, in recent years the White Sea region's population has had difficulties related to fishing (the main local occupation). A tendency of declining industrial and agricultural production has also been observed over the entire White Sea region. However, this has not improved the marine ecosystem because the municipal and industrial waste discharge has not been reduced.

During the 1970–1980s, when the White Sea resources were being extensively exploited, numerous investigations of the White Sea were initiated under several scientific programs of various scopes, such as “The World Ocean”, “Study of the Arctic and Antarctic Oceans and Seas”, and “The Seas of the USSR”. The results of these investigations have been summarized in a number of monographs. However, these have been published nearly exclusively in the Russian language.

During and since the 1990s, many of the Russian government-supported programs (such as “The World Ocean”) have had their budgets appreciably cut and the extent of field campaign activities in the White Sea has become significantly reduced. However, studies addressing the White Sea continued to steadily enlarge due to international projects (INTAS, EC INCO-Copernicus) and some other projects, including the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (RFBR) programs. Comprehensive studies performed since 1981 within the government-supported project “The White Sea” (coordinated by the Zoological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences (RAS)) made it possible to work out a scientific basis for the potential enhancement of the White Sea's bio-productivity. The developed scientific recommendations have been tested in practice. It has been revealed that regardless of the Arctic/sub-Arctic nature of the White Sea, it has a number of specific features, including sufficiently clean water, that are beneficial for the development of fish, mussels, and seaweeds. Clearly, at the present time, along with bio-resources exploitation, shipping, and diamond and gas development, some importance should be attributed to the exploitation of the unique and yet untapped recreation potential of the region through attracting tourists from Russia and other countries.

Despite the previous efforts, we still lack systematic knowledge of the functioning of the White Sea marine ecosystem and its response to external forcing. The required configurations of coupled marine thermo-hydrodynamic and ecosystem models have not been developed. The processes and transformation of the aquatic environment constituents, as well as the regularities in water exchange have not yet been adequately studied. The same is the case for studies of the impacts of climate change and anthropogenic forcing upon the marine ecosystem dynamics.

The number of autonomous buoy stations for current measurements remains insufficient. *In situ* determinations of water exchange through the Gorlo (see Figure 1.1) as well as that between the Barents and White Seas are particularly scarce and inadequate. Numerous investigations have been recently conducted by a number of organizations (e.g., Russian Hydrometeorological Service (RHS); Zoological and Oceanological Institutes of the RAS in St. Petersburg; Murmansk Marine Biological Institute (the Kola Scientific Centre); Northern Water Problems

Institute (NWPI), Karelian Research Centre (KRC) of the RAS in Petrozavodsk; Polar Institute of Fishery and Oceanography (PINRO) in Murmansk; All-Russia Research Institute of Fishery and Oceanography; State University of Moscow; and the State University of St. Petersburg). These studies, as a rule, were carried out as isolated, non-coordinated research instead of being integrated into a solid and sustainable comprehensive program. At the same time, very significant contributions to marine studies from numerous scientific institutions of the former USSR should be mentioned. In particular, the results achieved between 1991–1999 under the above-mentioned programs “The Seas of the USSR” and “The World Ocean” (with the Zoological Institute, RAS, as a leading team with academicians E. A. Scarlato and A. F. Alimov as scientific supervisors). Large amounts of data, including those obtained by the Roshydromet (RHS) network, can only be purchased from its commercial branches, and are frequently not affordable for many governmental organizations and the international scientific community.

Special attention should be given to studying important factors that determine the particular features of the sea’s regime, such as quantitative estimation of the water exchange rate and advection of heat and salt by Barents Sea currents. According to Elisov (1997), the Barents Sea current brings about 26% of the heat accumulated within it throughout the year into the White Sea. Characteristic features of the White Sea water dynamics, producing an essential influence on the water exchange rate and ecological conditions in the coastal zone and estuaries, are coastal mesoscale eddies, upwelling and entrained waves; these have not yet been studied adequately.

It is equally important to study the “biohydrochemical barrier zones” originating in the riverine estuaries of the White Sea (Lisitsyn, 1994; Skibinsky, 2001). It is assumed that the convergence zone formed therein cuts off, at least partially, the deep central part of the sea from the influence of the coastal region and estuaries, and thus “protects” the deeper part of the water body of the White Sea from contamination by various pollutants. Therefore, along with an integrated multi-disciplinary approach to the investigation of the whole marine ecosystem, a comprehensive study is also required of numerous bays and estuaries of the White Sea, because they play an essential role in the formation of the overall marine ecosystem, as well as in its functioning.

The ecosystem studies of inlets, bays, and estuaries are also important for the solution of both scientific and practical problems (for instance, the development of mariculture (aquaculture), which was successfully completed here in the early 1990s) – including interdisciplinary studies addressing the formation of the pool of organic matter, production–decomposition processes, all forms of nutrients, phytoplankton, etc.

A thorough investigation of responsiveness of the White Sea ecosystem to anthropogenic impacts is also needed. Its starting point may be the data that characterize the state of the White Sea ecosystem during the 1950s and 1960s, when the anthropogenic forcing was not large enough to cause significant alterations. This period may conventionally be taken as a “background” or reference period. Comprehensive investigations of the White Sea conducted in 1956 and further in 1982 revealed an appreciable increase in the concentration of organic

matter in the marine water over the 25-year period of strong anthropogenic forcing. The weighted average content of  $C_{org}$  in the marine water has increased by more than 50% from 3.0 to 4.7 mg/l (Maksimova, 1978). In recent years, there have been certain negative changes in the White Sea basin's rivers due to petroleum products and industrial discharge. The marine biota also revealed some unfavorable changes (e.g., a decreased number of mollusks and an increased amount of *Polychaeta* worms, distorted proportions between the counts of mussels and sea-stars, as well as changes in the structure of salmon shoals (Alimov, 2001)).

During 1999–2003, the Nansen Environmental and Remote Sensing Center (NERSC) in Bergen, Norway, and the Nansen International Environmental and Remote Sensing Centre (NIERSC) in St. Petersburg, in cooperation with a number of Russian and European research institutions (namely, NWPI, KRC, RAS; the Arctic and Antarctic Research Institute (AARI) in St. Petersburg; the Murmansk Marine Biological Institute (MMBI); the Kola Research Centre, RAS, in Murmansk; the Scientific Research Centre for Ecological Safety (SRCES), RAS, in St. Petersburg; the Max-Planck Institute of Meteorology in Hamburg, Germany; and the Finnish Institute for Marine Research (FIMR) in Helsinki), coordinated (by Prof. Ola M. Johannessen) and implemented the following international research projects: the EC INCO-Copernicus project “WHITESEA” No. ICA2-CT-2000-10014, “Sustainable Management of the Marine Ecosystem and Living Resources of the White Sea”, and the INTAS projects: INTAS-97-1277 “Detection and Modeling of Greenhouse Warming in the Arctic and Sub-Arctic”.

As a result of the studies conducted within the scope of the INCO-Copernicus project, a dedicated geographic information system (GIS) has been developed for the White Sea. Databases have been compiled for the estimation of the current state of, and anticipated and potential variations in, the marine ecosystem due to anthropogenic forcing and climate change. The developed GIS and databases are presently accessible to a wide circle of researchers and society, including decision-makers. For the first time, coupled thermo-hydrodynamic and ecosystem models were implemented to assess the White Sea marine ecosystem's functioning and prediction of its potential future variations.

In the course of the above projects, it has become clear that of primary importance, for an adequate estimation of the state of the sea and its catchment, is the use of advanced up-to-date techniques such as satellite remote sensing methods and numerical modeling in combination with dedicated field experiments. The contemporary state of the socio-economic development in the White Sea region has also been considered. In summary, the following work has been completed:

- Collection of long-term, multi-year hydrometeorological observational data obtained from the investigations and network monitoring by the RHS in the vicinity of the White Sea, as well as from the data provided by a number of the Russian academic institutions.
- Development of unique databases encompassing satellite remote sensing observations from SeaWiFS, NOAA AVHRR, ERS SAR, Envisat ASAR, and satellite passive microwave sensor systems.

- Analyses of long-term observations for climate variability.
- Multi-year calculations using the ECHAM-4 coupled climate model to assess changes in the atmosphere and the ocean for the White Sea region.
- Simulations performed with the use of several ecosystem models.
- Evaluation of variations in the marine ecosystem for some scenarios of socio-economic changes.

As a result, some scenarios have been formulated for the estimation and assessment of possible changes in the White Sea ecosystem in response to external forcing. Our scientific studies can also be used by decision-makers in government and industry.