Extreme Financial Risks

Yannick Malevergne Didier Sornette

Extreme Financial Risks

From Dependence to Risk Management

Yannick Malevergne

Institut de Science Financière et d'Assurances
Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1
50 Avenue Tony Garnier
69366 Lyon Cedex 07
France

and

EM Lyon Business School
23 Avenue Guy de Collongue
69134 Ecully Cedex
France
E-mail: yannick.malevergne@univ-lyon1.fr

Didier Sornette

Institute of Geophysics and Planetary Physics
and Department of Earth and Space Science
University of California, Los Angeles
California 90095
USA

and

Laboratoire de Physique de la Matière
Condensée, CNRS UMR6622
and Université des Sciences
Parc Valrose
06108 Nice Cedex 2
France
E-mail: sornette@moho.ess.ucla.edu

Library of Congress Control Number: 2005930885

ISBN-10 3-540-27264-X Springer Berlin Heidelberg New York
ISBN-13 978-3-540-27264-9 Springer Berlin Heidelberg New York

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilm or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Violations are liable for prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

Springer is a part of Springer Science+Business Media
springeronline.com
© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2006
Printed in The Netherlands

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

Typesetting: by the authors and TechBooks using a Springer LaTeX macro package
Cover design: *design & production* GmbH, Heidelberg

Printed on acid-free paper SPIN: 10939901 54/TechBooks 5 4 3 2 1 0

An error does not become truth by reason of multiplied propagation, nor does truth become error because nobody sees it.

M.K. Gandhi

Preface: Idiosyncratic and Collective Extreme Risks

Modern western societies have a paradoxical relationship with risks. On the one hand, there is the utopian quest for a zero-risk society [120]. On the other hand, human activities may increase risks of all kinds, from collaterals of new technologies to global impacts on the planet. The characteristic multiplication of major risks in modern society and its reflexive impact on its development is at the core of the concept of the "Risk Society" [47]. Correlatively, our perception of risk has evolved so that catastrophic events (earthquakes, floods, droughts, storms, hurricanes, volcanic eruptions, and so on) are no more systematically perceived as unfair outcomes of an implacable destiny. Catastrophes may also result from our own technological developments whose complexity may engender major industrial disasters such as Bhopal, Chernobyl, AZT, as well as irreversible global changes such as global warming leading to climatic disruptions or epidemics from new bacterial and viral mutations. The proliferation of new sources of risks imposes new responsibilities concerning their determination, understanding, and management. Government organizations as well as private institutions such as industrial companies, insurance companies, and banks which have to face such risks, in their role of regulators or of risk bearers, must ensure that the consequences of extreme risks are supportable without endangering the institutions in charge of bearing these risks.

In the financial sector, crashes probably represent the most striking events among all possible extreme phenomena, with an impact and frequency that has been increasing in the last two decades [450]. Consider the worldwide crash in October 1987 which evaporated more than one thousand billion dollars in a few days or the more recent collapse of the internet bubble in which more than one-third of the world capitalization of 1999 disappeared after March 2000. Finance and stock markets are based on the fluid convertibility of stocks into money and vice versa. Thus, to work well, money is requested to be a reliable standard of value, that is, an effective store of value, hence the concerns with the negative impacts of inflation. Similarly, investors look at the various financial assets as carriers of value, like money, but with additional

return potentials (accompanied with downturn risks). But for this view to hold so as to promote economic development, fluctuations in values need to be tamed to minimize the risk of losing a lifetime of savings, or to avoid the risks of losing the investment potential of companies, or even to prevent economic and social recessions in whole countries (consider the situation of California after 2002 with a budget gap representing more than one-fourth of the entire State budget resulting essentially from the losses of financial and tax incomes following the collapse of the internet bubble). It is thus highly desirable to have the tools for monitoring, understanding, and limiting the extreme risks of financial markets. Fully aware of these problems, the worldwide banking organizations have promoted a series of advices and norms, known as the recommendations of the Basle committee [41, 42]. The Basle committee has proposed models for the internal management of risks and the imposition of minimum margin requirements commensurate with the risk exposures. However, some criticisms [117, 467] have found these recommendations to be ill-adapted or even destabilizing. This controversy underlines the importance of a better understanding of extreme risks, of their consequences and ways to prevent or at least minimize them.

In our opinion, tackling this challenging problem requires to decompose it into two main parts. First, it is essential to be able to accurately quantify extreme risks. This calls for the development of novel statistical tools going significantly beyond the Gaussian paradigm which underpins the standard framework of classical financial theory inherited from Bachelier [26], Markowitz [347], and Black and Scholes [60] among others. Second, the existence of extreme risks must be considered in the context of the practice of risk management itself, which leads to ask whether extreme risks can be diversified away similarly to standard risks according to the mean-variance approach. If the answer to this question is negative as can be surmized for numerous concrete empirical evidences, it is necessary to develop new concepts and tools for the construction of portfolios with minimum (but unavoidable) exposition of extreme risks. One can think of mixing equities and derivatives, as long as derivatives themselves do not add an extreme risk component and can really provide an insurance against extreme moves, which has been far from true in recent dramatic instances such as the crash of October 1987. Another approach could involve mutualism as in insurance.

Risk management, and to the same extent portfolio management, thus requires a precise and rigorous analysis of the distribution of the returns of the portfolio of risks. Taking into account the moderate sizes of standard portfolios (from tens to thousands of assets typically) and the non-Gaussian nature of the distributions of the returns of assets constituting the portfolios, the distributions of the returns of typical portfolios are far from Gaussian, in contradiction with the expectation from a naive use of the central limit theorem (see for instance Chap. 2 of [451] and other chapters for a discussion of the deviations from the central limit theorem). This breakdown of universality then requires a careful estimation of the specific case-dependent distribution

of the returns of a given portfolio. This can be done directly using the time series of the returns of the portfolio for a given capital allocation. A more constructive approach consists in estimating the joint distribution of the returns of all assets constituting the portfolio. The first approach is much simpler and rapid to implement since it requires solely the estimation of a monovariate distribution. However, it lacks generality and power by neglecting the observable information available from the basket of all returns of the assets. Only the multivariate distribution of the returns of the assets embodies the general information of all risk components and their dependence across assets. However, the two approaches become equivalent in the following sense: the knowledge of the distribution of the returns for all possible portfolios for all possible allocations of capital between assets is equivalent to the knowledge of the multivariate distributions of the asset returns. All things considered, the second approach appears preferable on a general basis and is the method mobilizing the largest efforts both in academia and in the private sector.

However, the frontal attack aiming at the determination of the multivariate distribution of the asset returns is a challenging task and, in our opinion, much less instructive and useful than the separate studies of the marginal distributions of the asset returns on the one hand and the dependence structure of these assets on the other hand. In this book, we emphasize this second approach, with the objective of characterizing as faithfully as possible the diverse origins of risks: the risks stemming from each individual asset and the risks having a collective origin. This requires to determine (i) the distributions of returns at different time scales, or more generally, the stochastic process underlying the asset price dynamics, and (ii) the nature and properties of dependences between the different assets.

The present book offers an original and systematic treatment of these two domains, focusing mainly on the concepts and tools that remain valid for large and extreme price moves. Its originality lies in detailed and thorough presentations of the state of the art on (i) the different distributions of financial returns for various applications (VaR, stress testing), and (ii) the most important and useful measures of dependences, both unconditional and conditional and a study of the impact of conditioning on the size of large moves on the measure of extreme dependences. A large emphasis is thus put on the theory of copulas, their empirical testing and calibration, as they offer intrinsic and complete measures of dependences. Many of the results presented here are novel and have not been published or have been recently obtained by the authors or their colleagues. We would like to acknowledge, in particular, the fruitful and inspiring discussions and collaborations with J.V. Andersen, U. Frisch, J.-P. Laurent, J.-F. Muzy, and V.F. Pisarenko.

Chapter 1 describes a general framework to develop "coherent measures" of risks. It also addresses the origins of risks and of dependence between assets in financial markets, from the CAPM (capital asset pricing model) generalized to the non-Gaussian case with heterogeneous agents, the APT (arbitrage pricing

theory), the factor models to the complex system view suggesting an emergent nature for the risk-return trade-off.

Chapter 2 addresses the problem of the precise estimation of the probability of extreme events, based on a description of the distribution of asset returns endowed with heavy tails. The challenge is thus to specify accurately these heavy tails, which are characterized by poor sampling (large events are rare). A major difficulty is to neither underestimate (Gaussian error) or overestimate (heavy tail hubris) the extreme events. The quest for a precise quantification opens the door to model errors, which can be partially circumvented by using several families of distributions whose detailed comparisons allow one to discern the sources of uncertainty and errors. Chapter 2 thus discusses several classes of heavy tailed distributions: regularly varying distributions (*i.e.*, with asymptotic power law tails), stretched-exponential distributions (also known as Weibull or subexponentials) as well as log-Weibull distributions which extrapolate smoothly between these different families.

The second element of the construction of multivariate distributions of asset returns, addressed in Chaps. 3–6, is to quantify the dependence structure of the asset returns. Indeed, large risks are not due solely to the heavy tails of the distribution of returns of individual assets but may result from a collective behavior. This collective behavior can be completely described by mathematical objects called *copulas*, introduced in Chap. 3, which fully embody the dependence between asset returns.

Chapter 4 describes synthetic measures of dependences, contrasting and linking them with the concept of copulas. It also presents an original estimation method of the coefficient of tail dependence, defined, roughly speaking, as the probability for an asset to lose a large amount knowing that another asset or the market has also dropped significantly. This tail dependence is of great interest because it addresses in a straightforward way the fundamental question whether extreme risks can be diversified away or not by aggregation in portfolios. Either the tail dependence coefficient is zero and the extreme losses occur asymptotically independently, which opens the possibility of diversifying them away. Alternatively, the tail dependence coefficient is non-zero and extreme losses are fundamentally dependent and it is impossible to completely remove extreme risks. The only remaining strategy is to develop portfolios that minimize the collective extreme risks, thus generalizing the mean-variance to a mean-extreme theory [332, 336, 333].

Chapter 5 presents the main methods for estimating copulas of financial assets. It shows that the empirical determination of a copula is quite delicate with significant risks of model errors, especially for extreme events. Specific studies of the extreme dependence are thus required.

Chapter 6 presents a general and thorough discussion of different measures of conditional dependences (where the condition can be on the size(s) of one or both returns for two assets). Chapter 6 thus sheds new light on the variations of the strength of dependence between assets as a function of the sizes of the analyzed events. As a startling concrete application of conditional

dependences, the phenomenon of contagion during financial crises is discussed in detail.

Chapter 7 presents a synthesis of the six previous chapters and then offers suggestions for future work on dependence and risk analysis, including time-varying measures of extreme events, endogeneity versus exogeneity, regime switching, time-varying lagged dependence and so on.

This book has been written with the ambition to be useful to (a) the student looking for a general and in-depth introduction to the field, (b) financial engineers, economists, econometricians, actuarial professionals and researchers, and mathematicians looking for a synoptic view comparing the pros and cons of different modeling strategies, and (c) quantitative practitioners for the insights offered on the subtleties and many dimensional components of both risk and dependence. The content of this book will also be useful to the broader scientific community in the natural sciences, interested in quantifying the complexity of many physical, geophysical, biophysical etc. processes, with a mounting emphasis on the role and importance of extreme phenomena and their non-standard dependences.

Lyon, Nice and Los Angeles
August 2005

Yannick Malevergne
Didier Sornette

Contents

1 On the Origin of Risks and Extremes 1
1.1 The Multidimensional Nature of Risk and Dependence 1
1.2 How to Rank Risks Coherently? 4
1.2.1 Coherent Measures of Risks 4
1.2.2 Consistent Measures of Risks and Deviation Measures . . 7
1.2.3 Examples of Consistent Measures of Risk 10
1.3 Origin of Risk and Dependence 13
1.3.1 The CAPM View 13
1.3.2 The Arbitrage Pricing Theory (APT) and the Fama–French Factor Model 18
1.3.3 The Efficient Market Hypothesis 20
1.3.4 Emergence of Dependence Structures in the Stock Markets 24
1.3.5 Large Risks in Complex Systems 29
Appendix 30
1.A Why Do Higher Moments Allow us to Assess Larger Risks? 30

2 Marginal Distributions of Returns 33
2.1 Motivations 33
2.2 A Brief History of Return Distributions 37
2.2.1 The Gaussian Paradigm 37
2.2.2 Mechanisms for Power Laws in Finance 39
2.2.3 Empirical Search for Power Law Tails and Possible Alternatives 42
2.3 Constraints from Extreme Value Theory 43
2.3.1 Main Theoretical Results on Extreme Value Theory . . . 45
2.3.2 Estimation of the Form Parameter and Slow Convergence to Limit Generalized Extreme Value (GEV) and Generalized Pareto (GPD) Distributions . . . 47

2.3.3 Can Long Memory Processes Lead to Misleading Measures of Extreme Properties? ... 51
2.3.4 GEV and GPD Estimators of the Distributions of Returns of the Dow Jones and Nasdaq Indices ... 52
2.4 Fitting Distributions of Returns with Parametric Densities ... 54
2.4.1 Definition of Two Parametric Families ... 54
2.4.2 Parameter Estimation Using Maximum Likelihood and Anderson-Darling Distance ... 60
2.4.3 Empirical Results on the Goodness-of-Fits ... 62
2.4.4 Comparison of the Descriptive Power of the Different Families ... 69
2.5 Discussion and Conclusions ... 76
2.5.1 Summary ... 76
2.5.2 Is There a Best Model of Tails? ... 76
2.5.3 Implications for Risk Assessment ... 78
Appendix ... 80
2.A Definition and Main Properties of Multifractal Processes 80
2.B A Survey of the Properties of Maximum Likelihood Estimators ... 87
2.C Asymptotic Variance–Covariance of Maximum Likelihood Estimators of the SE Parameters ... 91
2.D Testing the Pareto Model versus the Stretched-Exponential Model ... 93

3 Notions of Copulas ... 99
3.1 What is *Dependence*? ... 101
3.2 Definition and Main Properties of Copulas ... 103
3.3 A Few Copula Families ... 107
3.3.1 Elliptical Copulas ... 107
3.3.2 Archimedean Copulas ... 111
3.3.3 Extreme Value Copulas ... 116
3.4 Universal Bounds for Functionals of Dependent Random Variables ... 118
3.5 Simulation of Dependent Data with a Prescribed Copula ... 120
3.5.1 Simulation of Random Variables Characterized by Elliptical Copulas ... 120
3.5.2 Simulation of Random Variables Characterized by Smooth Copulas ... 122
3.6 Application of Copulas ... 124
3.6.1 Assessing Tail Risk ... 124
3.6.2 Asymptotic Expression of the Value-at-Risk ... 128
3.6.3 Options on a Basket of Assets ... 131
3.6.4 Basic Modeling of Dependent Default Risks ... 137
Appendix ... 138

3.A Simple Proof of a Theorem on Universal Bounds for Functionals of Dependent Random Variables 138
3.B Sketch of a Proof of a Large Deviation Theorem for Portfolios Made of Weibull Random Variables 140
3.C Relation Between the Objective and the Risk-Neutral Copula 143

4 Measures of Dependences 147
4.1 Linear Correlations 147
4.1.1 Correlation Between Two Random Variables 147
4.1.2 Local Correlation 151
4.1.3 Generalized Correlations Between $N > 2$ Random Variables 152
4.2 Concordance Measures 154
4.2.1 Kendall's Tau 154
4.2.2 Measures of Similarity Between Two Copulas 158
4.2.3 Common Properties of Kendall's Tau, Spearman's Rho and Gini's Gamma 161
4.3 Dependence Metric 162
4.4 Quadrant and Orthant Dependence 164
4.5 Tail Dependence 168
4.5.1 Definition 168
4.5.2 Meaning and Refinement of Asymptotic Independence . 168
4.5.3 Tail Dependence for Several Usual Models 170
4.5.4 Practical Implications 177
Appendix 182
4.A Tail Dependence Generated by Student's Factor Model . 182

5 Description of Financial Dependences with Copulas 189
5.1 Estimation of Copulas 190
5.1.1 Nonparametric Estimation 190
5.1.2 Semiparametric Estimation 195
5.1.3 Parametric Estimation 200
5.1.4 Goodness-of-Fit Tests 203
5.2 Description of Financial Data in Terms of Gaussian Copulas . . 204
5.2.1 Test Statistics and Testing Procedure 204
5.2.2 Empirical Results 207
5.3 Limits of the Description in Terms of the Gaussian Copula . . . 212
5.3.1 Limits of the Tests 212
5.3.2 Sensitivity of the Method 213
5.3.3 The Student Copula: An Alternative? 215
5.3.4 Accounting for Heteroscedasticity 217
5.4 Summary 219
Appendix 221

5.A Proof of the Existence of a χ^2-Statistic for Testing Gaussian Copulas . . . 221
5.B Hypothesis Testing with Pseudo Likelihood . . . 222

6 Measuring Extreme Dependences . . . 227
6.1 Motivations . . . 230
6.1.1 Suggestive Historical Examples . . . 230
6.1.2 Review of Different Perspectives . . . 231
6.2 Conditional Correlation Coefficient . . . 233
6.2.1 Definition . . . 234
6.2.2 Influence of the Conditioning Set . . . 234
6.2.3 Influence of the Underlying Distribution for a Given Conditioning Set . . . 237
6.2.4 Conditional Correlation Coefficient on Both Variables . . 239
6.2.5 An Example of Empirical Implementation . . . 240
6.2.6 Summary . . . 246
6.3 Conditional Concordance Measures . . . 247
6.3.1 Definition . . . 248
6.3.2 Example . . . 249
6.3.3 Empirical Evidence . . . 251
6.4 Extreme Co-movements . . . 254
6.5 Synthesis and Consequences . . . 256
Appendix . . . 261
6.A Correlation Coefficient for Gaussian Variables Conditioned on Both X and Y Larger Than u . . . 261
6.B Conditional Correlation Coefficient for Student's Variables . . . 266
6.C Conditional Spearman's Rho . . . 270

7 Summary and Outlook . . . 271
7.1 Synthesis . . . 271
7.2 Outlook and Future Directions . . . 274
7.2.1 Robust and Adaptive Estimation of Dependences . . . 274
7.2.2 Outliers, Kings, Black Swans and Their Dependence . . . 276
7.2.3 Endogeneity Versus Exogeneity . . . 276
7.2.4 Nonstationarity and Regime Switching in Dependence . 279
7.2.5 Time-Varying Lagged Dependence . . . 280
7.2.6 Toward a Dynamical Microfoundation of Dependences . 281

References . . . 283

Index . . . 309