

# Springer Series in Optical Sciences

Volume 217

## **Founded by**

H. K. V. Lotsch

## **Editor-in-chief**

William T. Rhodes, Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton, FL, USA

## **Series editors**

Ali Adibi, School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, USA

Toshimitsu Asakura, Hokkai-Gakuen University, Sapporo, Hokkaido, Japan

Theodor W. Hänsch, Max-Planck-Institut für Quantenoptik, Garching, Bayern, Germany

Ferenc Krausz, Garching, Bayern, Germany

Barry R. Masters, Cambridge, MA, USA

Katsumi Midorikawa, Laser Technology Laboratory, RIKEN Advanced Science Institute, Saitama, Japan

Bo A. J. Monemar, Department of Physics and Measurement Technology, Linköping University, Linköping, Sweden

Herbert Venghaus, Ostseebad Binz, Germany

Horst Weber, Berlin, Germany

Harald Weinfurter, München, Germany

**Springer Series in Optical Sciences** is led by Editor-in-Chief William T. Rhodes, Georgia Institute of Technology, USA, and provides an expanding selection of research monographs in all major areas of optics:

- lasers and quantum optics
- ultrafast phenomena
- optical spectroscopy techniques
- optoelectronics
- information optics
- applied laser technology
- industrial applications and
- other topics of contemporary interest.

With this broad coverage of topics the series is useful to research scientists and engineers who need up-to-date reference books.

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/624>

Robert W. Boyd · Svetlana G. Lukishova  
Victor N. Zadkov  
Editors

# Quantum Photonics: Pioneering Advances and Emerging Applications

 Springer

*Editors*

Robert W. Boyd  
Department of Physics  
University of Ottawa  
Ottawa, ON, Canada

Svetlana G. Lukishova  
The Institute of Optics  
University of Rochester  
Rochester, NY, USA

and

Institute of Optics and Department  
of Physics  
University of Rochester  
Rochester, NY, USA

Victor N. Zadkov  
Institute of Spectroscopy of the Russian  
Academy of Sciences  
Troitsk, Moscow, Russia

ISSN 0342-4111

ISSN 1556-1534 (electronic)

Springer Series in Optical Sciences

ISBN 978-3-319-98400-1

ISBN 978-3-319-98402-5 (eBook)

<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-98402-5>

Library of Congress Control Number: 2018960218

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2019

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG  
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

# Preface

The word “quantum” became popular not only in physics, but in a much wider world: today’s general public is intrigued by extremely powerful quantum computers, quantum teleportation, and secure quantum communication in space. Usage of “quantum” in popular movies, TV shows, newspapers, and some consumer goods made it saturate the air for almost everybody, although often with the meanings different from those in physics.

The unique purpose of this book is to bring together the largely unreported history of experiments with single “light quanta” (photons) and map it with its modern manifestations as one of the key drivers to the vibrant scientific field of quantum photonics. We seek to convey to the readers the state-of-the-art advances of modern developments in quantum photonics and nonlinear optics from the leading groups throughout the world. The book also familiarizes the scientists, teachers, and students with the earliest experiments on single-photon and nonlinear optics from a time when both lasers and today’s light detectors did not yet exist, and the photographic plate or the human eye served as single-photon detectors. As a result, this book consists of two parts: (i) *Modern Quantum, Nano- and Nonlinear Photonics*, and (ii) *Historical Works: Single-Photon and Nonlinear Optical Experiments in the Pre-Laser Era* complimented with reprints and translation into English of some pioneering experimental papers. The book contains contributions of researchers from Australia, Canada, France, Germany, Hungary, Israel, Japan, Russia, Singapore, UK, and USA.

In this Preface, we clarify terminology and give relevant brief historical information. We also highlight the chapters of each of two parts of the book.

## Terminology with Some Historical Highlights

### Light Quanta (Photons)

In the third online edition of the Oxford English Dictionary (OED, December 2007), the first usage of the word “quantum” in English (borrowed from Latin) is dated back to 1567 (“... the body of Christe in the Sacramente is *quantum* ...”). Initially, this word had meaning of something that has quantity or total amount of quantity and was found chiefly in philosophy-related manuscripts or in law literature (with reference to amount of money).

According to the same edition of the OED, the usage of “quantum” in physics was recorded in 1870 (Nature **1**, p. 306) in a sense of quantity (“a certain quantum of the electric fluid”). Lord Kelvin also used “quantum” in a similar sense in both his 1902 and 1904 papers.

The modern meaning of the “quantum” concept in physics (a discrete quantity of electromagnetic energy proportional in magnitude to the frequency of the radiation, or any other physical discrete quantity (e.g., momentum or electric charge)) was born in the early twentieth century, owing to two classic German papers by Max Planck [1] and Albert Einstein [2]. We also would like to mention experiments of Millikan on the photoeffect confirming Einstein’s prediction [3] and Compton on inelastic X-ray scattering by electrons [4] after which the concepts of early quantum theory were accepted by the scientific community. See also the 1954 Nobel lecture by Glauber<sup>1</sup> on the history of light quanta [5].

#### *Planck*

Planck’s talk of December 14, 1900, and its publication [1] was later recognized as the turning point in the history of physics and currently is considered widely as the birth of quantum mechanics. Deriving oscillators’ entropy in the spectrum of blackbody radiation (distribution of a given energy among a set of oscillators producing the heat radiation emitted by black bodies), to satisfy experimental data, Planck introduced “energy elements” (Energieelemente, in German). He postulated that an energy  $E$  of the  $N$  resonators of frequency  $\nu$  “to be composed of a very definite number of finite equal parts and we use thereto the constant of nature  $h = 6.55 \times 10^{-27}$  erg s”. He continued further: “This constant multiplied by the common frequency  $\nu$  of the resonators gives the energy element  $\epsilon$  in erg, and dividing  $E$  by  $\epsilon$  we get the number  $P$  of energy elements to be distributed over the  $N$  resonators” [1]; see also [6].

#### *Einstein*

Einstein was the first to recognize in 1905 that the discontinuity of Planck’s theory of blackbody radiation is not only a formal necessity for fitting the equation with the experimental data, but leads to new physics. Einstein started first the usage

---

<sup>1</sup>Roy Jay Glauber, one of the founding fathers of quantum optics and its “icon” through his quantum theory of optical coherence, widely cited in this book (Chaps. 1, 3, 4 and 19) passed away while it went to print. Glauber will stay in our memory as Dr. Quantum Optics (coined by J. Eberly).

of the word “quanta” (plural) in the meaning of modern physics [2]: “Indeed, it seems to me that the observations regarding “blackbody radiation”, photoluminescence, production of cathode rays by ultraviolet light, and other groups of phenomena associated with the production or conversion of light can be understood better if one assumes that the energy of light is discontinuously distributed in space. According to the assumption to be contemplated here, when a light ray is spreading from a point, the energy is not distributed continuously over ever-increasing spaces, but consists of a finite number of energy quanta (Energiequanta, in German) that are localized in points in space, move without dividing, and can be absorbed or generated only as a whole.” In this paper [2], in the photoelectric effect section, he proposed a linear relationship between the maximum energy of electrons ejected from a surface and the frequency of the incident light. The slope of the line which did not depend on the substance was Planck’s constant. Verification of Einstein’s law for the energies of the photoelectrons came only in 1914–1916 by Millikan experiments, although even after them some doubts about the quantized nature of light persisted in scientific community, and only after Compton’s discovery in 1922 of X-ray quanta scattering by electrons obeying the same rules as the particle scattering, Einstein’s theory of light quanta was accepted.

### *Photon*

The name “photon” is derived from Greek ( $\phi\acute{o}\tau\omicron$  = photo = light) and the “-on” at the end of the word was introduced in the twentieth century [7]. “Photon” as an alternative name for Einstein’s quantum of light became preferable by the mid-1930s [7]. Although it was used by several independent researchers earlier (see [7]), this word became synonymous with quantum of light only after the paper of Gilbert Newton Lewis of 1926 [8] in spite of its different meaning from Einstein’s light quantum: “... I therefore take the liberty of proposing for this hypothetical new atom, which is not light but plays an essential part in every process of radiation, the name photon” [8]. In our book, we have a chapter about Lewis’ contribution to nonlinear optics with a short biography of this outstanding scientist. According to [7], Arthur Holly Compton promoted the new term “photon.” In his 1927 Nobel lecture Compton wrote: “Here we do not think of the X-rays as waves but as light corpuscles, quanta, or, as we may call them, photons.” He also used it in popular literature.

Leonard Thompson Troland (famous American physicist, engineer and psychologist, president of the Optical Society of America (1922–1923)) first coined the word in 1916 (see [7] for more details and references therein), but he used it as a unit for the illumination of the retina. Five years later, it was independently introduced by the Irish physicist John Joly, professor of geology and mineralogy who was also interested in vision. What Joly called a “photon” was the minimum stimulus required to produce a signal in a fiber of the optic nerve. Then, in 1925, a French biochemist, biophysicist, and physiologist, René Wurmser (who was nominated twice for a Nobel Prize in Chemistry), in his paper about photochemical reactions relating to the role of chlorophyll in photosynthesis, wrote that “the activation of a molecule, in the sense of J. Perrin, demands the absorption of an

integral but variable number of photons.” Like Wurmser, but in a very different context, his compatriot, physicist Frithiof (Fred) Wolfers in his hypothesis also related to Perrin’s idea of molecular resonance (“induction moléculaire,” in French) suggested: “I shall use the name photons for the projectiles that supposedly transport radiant energy and possess the character of a periodic frequency  $\nu$  (atoms of light). I then suggest that the photons may be repelled by the atoms of matter when they pass them closely ... One may imagine that the repulsion is due to a kind of resonance between the photons and the resonators ...” [7].

## Photonics

In the current professional literature, “photonics” is used almost synonymously with the term “optics,” referring equally to both science and applications [9]. The phrase “optics and photonics” is used in [9] to capture light’s dual nature as:

- a propagating wave, like a radio wave, but with a much higher frequency;
- a collection of photons, with potential as a transformative field similar in impact to electronics.

According to the OED, the term “photonics” was mentioned already in 1952 in the *Journal of the British Interplanetary Society*: “From the fundamental domains of photonics, electronics, ... and physical chemistry, our interest passes ... to aerodynamics and the physics of solid bodies.” Independently, the term “photonics” (фотоника in Russian) was introduced in 1967 in the book in Russian [10] “Photonics of Molecules of Dyes and Related Compounds” of the Soviet academician Alexander N. Terenin. A European Commission *CORDIS* states that the term “photonics” was coined in 1967 by Pierre Aigrain [11], a prominent French physicist, a foreign member of the National Academy of Sciences (USA, since 1974): “Photonics is the science of the harnessing of light. Photonics encompasses the generation of light, the detection of light, the management of light through guidance, manipulation, and amplification, and most importantly, its utilization for the benefit of mankind.” In 1975, the book “Photonics” [12] (Proceedings of 1973–1974 years’ talks and a 1974 year conference) edited by M. Balkanski and P. Lallemand was published in Paris in which this term was used by analogy with electronics, describing the application of the photon to the transmission of information, and included such topics as photon beam production, waveguiding, deflection, modulation, amplification, image processing, storage, and detection [13]. This book contains an explanatory note of Balkanski “Photonics: perspective in 1974” and Aigrain’s chapter in French “La photonique, technique de demain.” The term began to be seen in print in English around 1981 in press releases, annual reports of Bell Laboratories, and internal publications of Hughes Aircraft Corporation and in the more general press [9].

In the last decades, with development of integrated, nano-, biophotonics, free-space optical communication, and with the impact increasing of photonics on the national economies, the concept of “photonics” acquired a broader sense [9, 14]. It comprises also light–matter interaction, including nonlinear optical interaction



and extreme photonics. The twenty-first century will depend as much on photonics as the twentieth century depended on electronics (see the website of the International Year of Light (2015) [15]). In the book, we are using “photonics” in this broader sense as, for instance, in Ralf Menzel’s book, page 2 [16].

## **Part I. Modern Quantum, Nano- and Nonlinear Photonics**

This part of the book consists of ten chapters and opens with Chap. 1 by Aspect and Grangier on the first single-photon sources and single-photon interference experiments. The authors emphasize the difference between “single-photon wave packets” and attenuated classical light pulses or light beams and describe the single-photon source developed by them in the mid-1980s (heralded single-photon wave packets, based on pairs of photons emitted in a radiative cascade). They also define the quantitative criterion of “anticorrelation” they use in distinguishing single-photon wave packets from attenuated pulses. In addition, the first single-photon interference experiment performed by the authors with their heralded source illustrates the notion of wave–particle duality. Brief overviews are also provided for both the first interference experiments in feeble light at  $10^2$ – $10^7$  photons/s, and further developments in sources of single photons, heralded or on-demand, as well as in wave–particle duality experiments, in particular Wheeler’s delayed-choice experiment.

Mirhosseini, Lundeen, and Boyd (Chap. 2) provide an overview of recent progress in the tomography of structured light with an emphasis on the method known as direct measurement of the quantum wavefunction. Direct measurement provides a scalable and easy-to-implement approach for characterizing the transverse structure of single photons. This protocol is particularly attractive in light of the emerging role of high-dimensional optical states as a resource for encoding quantum information. This chapter presents a summary of various implementations of this technique that aim to characterize the spatial degree of freedom of the optical field.

Strekalov and Leuchs (Chap. 3) overview nonlinear interactions and non-classical light. This chapter includes the definition of non-classical light and basic examples and reviews some of the most prominent applications of non-classical light as well as the most common sources of non-classical light including physical systems of various sizes and complexity (ranging from single atoms to optical crystals and to semiconductor lasers). The authors also outline the trends in the field and the new cross-disciplinary approaches and techniques of generating non-classical light.

Lukishova and Bissell (Chap. 4) review room-temperature single-photon sources with photons exhibiting antibunching, including the authors’ results on single-photon sources with definite circular and linear polarizations. Single, “giant”, colloidal, semiconductor nanocrystal quantum dots and dot-in-rods, diamond color centers (both bulk and nanodiamonds), and trivalent rare-earth ions offer the best photostability (longest operating time) in room-temperature excitation. This review

highlights nanophotonic aspects of the problem, describing room-temperature single-photon sources based on these emitters and some new, stable, single emitters. Methods for emitter fluorescence enhancement (microcavities, including photonic bandgap, Bragg reflector and chiral liquid crystal microcavities, plasmonic nanoantennas, metamaterials), and the alignment of anisotropic single emitters with the help of liquid crystals are described and compared.

In Chap. 5, Victoria, Kaneda, Bergmann, Wong, Graf, and Kwiat present an overview of time-multiplexing methods and time-bin qubits for quantum information processing with photons including applications of time-multiplexing techniques for more efficient single- and multiphoton sources, improved detectors, and high-bandwidth quantum memories, as well as enhanced applications such as quantum random walks and entanglement swapping. The results (by the authors) on experimental demonstration of a time-multiplexed, heralded, single-photon source are included.

Krivitsky and Volkov (Chap. 6) address the question of how fundamental photon fluctuations are perceived by a live visual system. The discussion is focused on photoreceptor cells within the eye, known as retinal rod cells. Rod cells provide vision under low-light conditions and are sensitive at a single-photon level. The authors review experiments on interaction of the rod cells with light sources of different photon statistics, including coherent, pseudo-thermal, and single-photon sources. Accurate control over photon statistics of light stimuli, combined with the technique for the readout of rod cells' response, enables precise and unambiguous characterization of intrinsic features of the visual system at single and discrete photon levels.

Chapter 7 by Fang, MacDonald, and Zheludev is devoted to controlling light with light via interference on planar photonic metamaterials. Planar photonic metamaterials—ultrathin media with nanoengineered optical properties—can realize the full potential of this concept to change optical data processing paradigms, spectroscopy, and nonlinear optics. Thin-film media can, if the film is much thinner than the light wavelength, lead to controllable energy exchange between incident and scattered waves and thereby to a plethora of new technological opportunities. This chapter describes how coherent interactions in metamaterials can facilitate nonlinear light-by-light control functions with THz bandwidth at arbitrarily low intensities.

Krasavin, Ginzburg, and Zayats (Chap. 8) review nonlinear plasmonics and plasmonic metamaterials. Plasmonics as a tool for tailoring and enhancing nonlinearity (coherent and Kerr-type nonlinearities, plasmonic metals as nonlinear materials), nonlinearities in plasmonic nanostructures, harmonic generation in plasmonic nanostructures, Kerr-type nonlinearity and ultrafast all-optical switching including nonlinear plasmonic crystals and optical bistability, nonlinear plasmonic metamaterials, epsilon-near-zero metamaterials, nonlinear surface plasmon polaritons are described in this chapter.

Makarov, in Chap. 9, concentrates on nonlinear optics with elliptically polarized singular beams and short pulses in media with spatial dispersion. The conditions of appearance and the behavior of polarization singularities in the cross section of a

light beam, arising due to nonlinear interaction of elliptically polarized laser beams with a medium with nonlocality of quadratic and cubic optical responses, are discussed. The formation dynamics and propagation features of polarization singularities, including pairwise creation and annihilation, for sum-frequency and second harmonic generation, beam self-action and interaction, and other nonlinear optical processes are presented. The author also discusses the effects accompanying the propagation of ultrashort (few oscillations) elliptically polarized light pulses in a nonlinear isotropic gyrotropic medium with frequency dispersion.

Zhel'tikov (Chap. 10) outlines ultrafast nonlinear optics in the mid-infrared. Recent breakthroughs in the generation of high-intensity, ultrashort laser pulses in the mid-infrared offer new approaches. This spectral range is unique in many ways, giving rise to new regimes of high-field nonlinear optics. Within this region, many molecular bands are located, drastically enhancing the coupling between the field and molecular motions. Electrons driven by intense field of ultrashort mid-infrared pulses acquire unusually high ponderomotive energies within a fraction of the field cycle. In addition, in this region the threshold power of self-focusing, proportional to square of the radiation wavelength, significantly increases. Consequently, much higher peak powers can be transmitted in a single laser filament in the mid-infrared range in comparison with the visible and near infrared, without losing beam continuity and spatial coherence.

## **Part II. Historical Works: Single-Photon and Nonlinear Optical Experiments in the Pre-Laser Era**

This part of the book consists of nine chapters and contains both reprints or translations into English of experimental papers on the first quantum and nonlinear optical experiments during the pre-laser era as well as papers about the scientists who carried out these experiments (or their short biographies). The experiments of the researchers from different countries are included on the observation of the first interference fringes in a faint light, first light pressure measurements, first nonlinear optical experiments (saturation of absorption or luminescence) and their usage in practical devices, first experiments on sensitivity of a human eye to a faint light excluding physiological fluctuations smearing the results, first observation of statistical structure of interference field and independent fluctuations in two split coherent beams by a human eye in a faint light, and first photon-correlation measurements in split coherent beams using photomultipliers.

Chapter 11 by Lukishova includes Taylor's 1909 paper as well as his short biography. This paper is the first-reported experiment on interference fringes with very faint light. The light power in Taylor's experiment was  $5 \times 10^{-6}$  erg/s ( $\sim 10^6$  photons/s) in a region of interference. Photographic plates were used for registration, and the maximum exposure time was about 3 months without changing the contrast of fringes. This experiment was suggested by Sir Joseph J. Thomson. Robert Millikan in his Nobel lecture [3] referred to the Thomson–Planck–Einstein's

concept of localized radiant energy (in its most general form introduced by Thomson in 1903).

The next two chaps. (12 and 13) contain papers of 1901 on the first experiments on measuring the light pressure, which were carried out independently and reported almost simultaneously by a Russian scientist, Lebedev (1900, August), and two Americans, Nichols and Hull (1901, August). These chapters begin with papers by Masalov about Lebedev's experiments and by Garmire about Nichols and Hull's experiments and the accuracy achieved at that time. The works of Lebedev and Nichols and Hull are frequently cited in the current scientific literature, e.g., on laser cooling and trapping as well as on cavity optomechanics.

Chapter 14 is devoted to Vavilov's contributions to photonics. It contains translations into English of parts of his two key papers: on the first nonlinear optical experiment (saturation of absorption in uranium glass at kW/cm<sup>2</sup> of spark light intensity, 1926) and on the sensitivity of the human eye to low-light level (1933). An original reprint of one of his papers in English of 1943 as a development of 1933 year work is also included. Using the human eye as a detector and flashes of faint light at eye's sensitivity threshold ( $\sim 40\text{--}50$  "green" photons on the retina per flash in these measurements), Vavilov clearly observed independent intensity fluctuations of two split coherent beams, but sometimes two split beams were seen simultaneously (1933). He also studied a statistical structure of interference pattern. This chapter also contains the paper by Lukishova about Vavilov's contributions to photonics, specifically Vavilov-Čerenkov radiation (Vavilov was Čerenkov's thesis advisor, but had passed away when this effect deserved the 1958 Nobel Prize in physics).

Lewis, Lipkin, and Magel's 1941 paper on nonlinear optical effects (saturation in absorption and phosphorescence) is outlined in Chap. 15 by Lukishova. The authors investigated a fluorescein-doped boric-acid glass characterized by low saturation intensities. (In a recent publication [17] on the same material, the following numbers are reported: absorption saturation intensity of  $\sim 15$  mW/cm<sup>2</sup> and a nonlinear susceptibility  $\chi^{(3)}$  as large as  $\sim 1$  esu, as compared to  $\sim 10^{-12}$  esu for the commonly used Kerr liquid CS<sub>2</sub>). This chapter also contains Lewis' biography.

In Chap. 16 Stroud describes the nonlinear optical device of 1941 of the Institute of Optics, University of Rochester, based on saturation in luminescence. The Icaroscope by O'Brian was used by pilots during the Second World War. An original publication of O'Brian is reproduced.

In Chap. 17 by Lukishova, we included a reprint of the highly cited, 1941 paper by Hecht, Shlaer, and Pirenne on the sensitivity of the human eye at low-light level. Hecht et al. used a method similar to Vavilov's experiments of 1933–1942, and both groups independently arrived at similar results. In the same chapter, we also reprinted some excerpts from biography of Selig Hecht, member of the National Academy of Sciences (USA, since 1944).

The next two chaps. (18 and 19) are devoted to the first photon-correlation measurements using photomultipliers. Chapter 18 by Varró is devoted to the Hungarian scientist Jánossy who with his co-workers for the first time used photomultipliers and the photon-counting technique for photon-correlation measurements. Translation into English of paper of 1954 of Ádám, Jánossy and Varga is

added to this chapter. This part of their study is considered as the forerunner to the Hanbury Brown- and Twiss-type correlations with visible light, although Jánossy did not observe correlations in two split coherent beams. Chapter 18 begins with a biography of Jánossy. Chapter 19 by Lukishova and Tango is devoted to the Hanbury Brown–Twiss effect of 1956 on observation of positive correlations between two photomultiplier signals from a split-beam optical source. The history of its discovery is reprinted from the book of R. Hanbury Brown “Boffin: A Personal Story of the Early Days of Radar, Radio Astronomy and Quantum Optics.” The short biographies of both researchers are also included. Tango who worked with Twiss wrote his biography for this book.

In conclusion, we believe that this book will be useful for academics, researchers, engineers, and students in many disciplines who hope to learn more about the history of quantum and nonlinear optics and upcoming trends in quantum photonics and nonlinear optics. Finally, we would like to thank all contributors who have found the time, energy, and enthusiasm to write these chapters. We highly appreciate the work of the translators of some papers into English. We thank American Institute of Physics, American Physical Society, Optical Society OSA, Cambridge Philosophical Society, the Rockefeller University Press, P. N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences (RAS), RAS Nauka Publisher (Moscow, Russia), S. I. Vavilov Institute for the History of Science and Technology of RAS (Moscow, Russia), Australian Physical Society, University of Rochester Library Archive, the Russian journal *Uspekhi Fizicheskikh Nauk* (Physics-Uspekhi), American Institute of Physics Emilio Segrè Visual Archives, Cavendish Laboratory (University of Cambridge), the Radio Society of Great Britain, Marion Hanbury Brown, and Norbert Kroo for help and permission in publishing images, journal reproductions, or text excerpts.

Rochester, NY, USA  
 Rochester, NY, USA/Ottawa, ON, Canada  
 Troitsk, Moscow, Russia

Svetlana G. Lukishova  
 Robert W. Boyd  
 Victor N. Zadkov

## References

1. M. Planck, Zur Theorie des Gesetzes der Energieverteilung im Normalspectrum. *Verhandlungen der Deutschen Physikalischen Gesellschaft* **2**, 237–245 (1900). English translation: M. Planck, On the theory of the energy distribution law of the normal spectrum, in *The Old Quantum Theory*, ed. by D. ter Haar (Pergamon Press, 1967), pp. 82–90
2. A. Einstein, Über einen die Erzeugung und Verwandlung des Lichtes betreffenden heuristischen Gesichtspunkt. *Annalen der Physik* **17**, 132–148 (1905). English translation: A. Einstein, On a heuristic point of view about the creation and conversion of light, in *The Collected Papers of Albert Einstein*, Vol. 2, The Swiss Years: Writings, (1900–1909), ed. by J. Stachel, D.C. Cassidy, J. Renn, R. Schulmann, pp. 86–103. English translation by A. Beck and P. Havas, consultant, (Princeton University Press, 1989)

3. R.A. Millikan, The electron and the light-quant from the experimental point of view. *Nobel Lecture*, May 23 (1924) [https://www.nobelprize.org/nobel\\_prizes/physics/laureates/1923/millikan-lecture.pdf](https://www.nobelprize.org/nobel_prizes/physics/laureates/1923/millikan-lecture.pdf)
4. A.H. Compton, X-rays as a branch of optics. *Nobel Lecture*, December 12 (1927) [https://www.nobelprize.org/nobel\\_prizes/physics/laureates/1927/compton-lecture.pdf](https://www.nobelprize.org/nobel_prizes/physics/laureates/1927/compton-lecture.pdf)
5. R.J. Glauber, One hundred years of light quanta, *Nobel Lecture*, December 8 (2005) [https://www.nobelprize.org/nobel\\_prizes/physics/laureates/2005/glauber-lecture.pdf](https://www.nobelprize.org/nobel_prizes/physics/laureates/2005/glauber-lecture.pdf)
6. H. Kragh, Max Planck: the reluctant revolutionary, *Physics World* **13**, 31–35 (2000)
7. H. Kragh, Photon: new light on an old name. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1401.0293>
8. G.N. Lewis, The conservation of photons. *Nature* **118**, 874–875 (1926)
9. *Optics and Photonics: Essential Technologies for our Nation* (The National Academies Press, Washington, DC 2013, <http://nap.edu/13491>)
10. A.N. Terenin, *Photonics of Molecules of Dyes and Related Compounds* (Moscow, Nauka 1967, in Russian)
11. [http://cordis.europa.eu/fp7/ict/photonics/home\\_en.html](http://cordis.europa.eu/fp7/ict/photonics/home_en.html)
12. M. Balkanski, P. Lallemand (ed.), *Photonics* (Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1975)
13. C.I. Colemann, Photonics, in *Physics Bulletin*, ed. by M. Balkanski, P. Lallemand (1976), March, p. 126.
14. National Photonics Initiative, <http://www.lightourfuture.org/>
15. The International Year of Light, <http://www.light2015.org/Home/WhyLightMatters/What-is-Photonics.html>
16. R. Menzel, *Photonics. Linear and Nonlinear Interactions of Laser Light and Matter*, Second edition, Springer (2007).
17. M.A. Kramer, W.R. Tompkin, R.W. Boyd, Nonlinear-optical interactions in fluorescein-doped boric acid glass. *Phys. Rev.* **34**, 2026–2031 (1986)

# Contents

## Part I Modern Quantum, Nano- and Nonlinear Photonics

<b>1</b>	<b>The First Single Photon Sources and Single Photon Interference Experiments</b>	<b>3</b>
	Alain Aspect and Philippe Grangier	
1.1	A Paradoxical History	4
1.2	In Search of Single Photons	5
1.2.1	A Short History of Feeble Light Interference Experiments	5
1.2.2	An Attenuated Light Beam is Not Composed of Single-Photons	6
1.3	The Anticorrelation Test: A Criterion to Distinguish Single-Photon Wave Packets from Attenuated Pulses	8
1.4	Attenuated Classical Pulses Do Not Pass the Experimental Anticorrelation Test	12
1.5	Heralded Single-Photon Pulses Pass the Experimental Anticorrelation Test	13
1.5.1	Photon Pairs as a Resource for Heralded Single-Photons	13
1.5.2	The 1985 Anticorrelation Experiment	14
1.6	Single-Photon Interferences	17
1.6.1	Wave-Particle Duality in Textbooks	17
1.6.2	Interferences with a Single-Photon	17
1.7	Further Developments	20
1.7.1	Parametric Sources of Photon Pairs	20
1.7.2	Other Heralded and “On-Demand” Single-Photon Sources	20
1.7.3	“Delayed-Choice” Single-Photon Interference Experiments	21
	References	21

<b>2</b>	<b>Direct Measurement of the Photon’s Spatial Wave Function</b> . . . . .	25
	Mohammad Mirhosseini, Jeff S. Lundeen and Robert W. Boyd	
2.1	Introduction . . . . .	25
2.2	Strong and Weak Measurements . . . . .	26
2.3	Direct Measurement of the Wave Function . . . . .	30
2.4	Direct Measurement of the OAM State Vector . . . . .	32
2.5	Compressive Direct Measurement . . . . .	36
2.6	“Scan-Free” Direct Measurement . . . . .	40
2.7	Comparison to Quantum State Tomography . . . . .	43
2.8	Summary . . . . .	45
	References . . . . .	46
<b>3</b>	<b>Nonlinear Interactions and Non-classical Light</b> . . . . .	51
	Dmitry V. Strekalov and Gerd Leuchs	
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	51
3.1.1	Classical and Non-classical Light . . . . .	51
3.1.2	Types of Non-classical Light . . . . .	53
3.1.3	Applications of Non-classical Light . . . . .	59
3.2	Sources of Non-classical Light . . . . .	65
3.2.1	Atoms Real and Artificial . . . . .	65
3.2.2	Parametric Down Conversion . . . . .	70
3.2.3	Kerr Nonlinearity in Fibers and Resonators . . . . .	79
3.2.4	Lasers and Other Feedback Systems . . . . .	83
3.3	Final Remarks . . . . .	85
	References . . . . .	88
<b>4</b>	<b>Nanophotonic Advances for Room-Temperature Single-Photon Sources</b> . . . . .	103
	Svetlana G. Lukishova and Luke J. Bissell	
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	104
4.1.1	Photon Statistics of Different Light Sources . . . . .	104
4.1.2	First Antibunching Experiments . . . . .	106
4.1.3	Modern Trends on Development of Room-Temperature Single-Photon Sources . . . . .	107
4.1.4	Single-Photon Generation and Characterization Units . . . . .	109
4.1.5	Overview of Next Sections . . . . .	110
4.2	Unbleachable Single Emitters for Room-Temperature Single-Photon Sources . . . . .	111
4.2.1	“Giant” Colloidal Semiconductor Nanocrystal Quantum Dots and Dot-in-Rods . . . . .	111
4.2.2	Color-Center Diamonds . . . . .	113
4.2.3	Nanocrystals Doped with Trivalent Rare-Earth Ions . . . . .	118
4.2.4	Other Types of Stable Single-Photon Emitters for Room-Temperature SPSs . . . . .	121



4.3	Enhancement of Single-Photon Emission by Photonic and Plasmonic Structures . . . . .	122
4.3.1	Collection Efficiency from a Single Emitter on a Cover Slip or Other Dielectric Surfaces . . . . .	123
4.3.2	Single Emitters Within Microcavities Including Photonic Crystals . . . . .	125
4.3.3	Single Emitters Within Plasmonic Nanoantennas . . . . .	132
4.3.4	Single Emitters with Metamaterials . . . . .	138
4.4	Single Emitters in Liquid Crystals: Single Photon Sources with Definite Circular and Linear Polarizations . . . . .	141
4.4.1	Photonic Bandgap Chiral Microcavities in CLC . . . . .	142
4.4.2	CLC Glassy Oligomeric Microcavities: NQD Fluorescence Enhancement and Antibunching . . . . .	144
4.4.3	CLC Monomeric Microcavities: Circular Polarized Fluorescence and Photon Antibunching . . . . .	146
4.4.4	Single-Emitter Alignment, Single-Photon Sources with Definite Linear Polarization, and Some Plasmonics Applications of Liquid Crystals . . . . .	149
4.5	Fiber Integrated SPSSs . . . . .	154
4.6	Conclusion and Outlook . . . . .	156
	References . . . . .	159
<b>5</b>	<b>Time-Multiplexed Methods for Optical Quantum Information Processing . . . . .</b>	<b>179</b>
	Michelle Victoria, Fumihiro Kaneda, Fedor Bergmann, Jia Jun Wong, Austin Graf and Paul Kwiat	
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	180
5.2	Time-Multiplexed Heralded Single-Photon Source . . . . .	180
5.2.1	Introduction—Heralded Single-Photon Source . . . . .	180
5.2.2	Working Principle . . . . .	181
5.2.3	Experimental Realization . . . . .	183
5.2.4	Enhanced Performance . . . . .	186
5.3	Multi-photon Source . . . . .	187
5.3.1	Multiple Photons in Multiple Modes . . . . .	187
5.3.2	Fock States . . . . .	189
5.4	Digital Quantum Memory . . . . .	191
5.4.1	“Digital” Delay Line . . . . .	191
5.4.2	Memory Cavity and Shutter Cavity . . . . .	194
5.5	Applications . . . . .	195
5.5.1	Time-Multiplexed Single-Photon Detectors . . . . .	195
5.5.2	Time-Bin Qubits . . . . .	196
5.5.3	Random Walks . . . . .	198

- 5.5.4 Entanglement Swapping . . . . . 200
- References . . . . . 202
- 6 The Role of Photon Statistics in Visual Perception . . . . . 207**  
Leonid Krivitsky and Vadim Volkov
- 6.1 Retinal Rod Cells . . . . . 207
  - 6.1.1 Morphology of Rod Cells . . . . . 208
  - 6.1.2 Light Detection by Rod Cells . . . . . 209
  - 6.1.3 Mechanisms of Reproducibility for Light-Induced Responses of Rod Cells . . . . . 211
  - 6.1.4 Comparison of Photoreceptors from Different Organisms and Man-Made Photodetectors . . . . . 214
  - 6.1.5 Basics of Communication Between Neurons and Rod Cells . . . . . 215
  - 6.1.6 Readout of Rod Cell Response . . . . . 218
- 6.2 Overview of the Earlier Visual Experiments . . . . . 219
- 6.3 Interaction of Rod Cells with Classical and Quantum Light Sources . . . . . 222
  - 6.3.1 Experiments with the Whole Visual System . . . . . 223
  - 6.3.2 Experiments with Isolated Rod Cells and Classical Light Sources . . . . . 224
  - 6.3.3 Interfacing Rod Cells with a True Single Photon Source . . . . . 228
- 6.4 Conclusions and Future Perspectives . . . . . 232
- References . . . . . 233
- 7 Controlling Light with Light via Interference on Photonic Metamaterials . . . . . 239**  
Xu Fang, Kevin F. MacDonald and Nikolay I. Zheludev
- 7.1 Introduction . . . . . 239
  - 7.1.1 Concepts and Applications of ‘Coherent Control’ . . . . . 239
  - 7.1.2 Coherent Light-by-Light Control in Ultrathin Media . . . . . 240
  - 7.1.3 Effective Nonlinearity via Coherent Control . . . . . 241
  - 7.1.4 New Opportunities Presented by Nano-engineered Ultrathin Media . . . . . 243
- 7.2 Coherent Interactions on Ultrathin Films . . . . . 244
  - 7.2.1 Singular Scattering Coefficients for Ultrathin Media . . . . . 244
  - 7.2.2 Effective Nonlinearity in Ultrathin Films . . . . . 245
  - 7.2.3 Scattering Coefficients for Real (Finite) Thin Film Media . . . . . 246
  - 7.2.4 Scattering Coefficients for Nano-engineered Metasurfaces . . . . . 248

7.2.5	Scattering Coefficients for Lossless Media . . . . .	250
7.2.6	Magnetic Field Scattering Coefficients . . . . .	252
7.2.7	Cascading Metadevices . . . . .	253
7.3	Coherent Metadevices . . . . .	255
7.3.1	Small Signal Amplification . . . . .	255
7.3.2	Logic Operations . . . . .	256
7.4	Coherent Perfect Absorption in the Quantum Regime . . . . .	261
7.5	Summary . . . . .	261
	References . . . . .	262
<b>8</b>	<b>Nonlinear Nanoplasmonics . . . . .</b>	<b>267</b>
	Alexey V. Krasavin, Pavel Ginzburg and Anatoly V. Zayats	
8.1	Introduction . . . . .	268
8.2	Brief Summary of Concepts of Plasmonics . . . . .	269
8.2.1	Basic Notions of Plasmonics . . . . .	269
8.2.2	Plasmonics as a Tool for Tailoring and Enhancing Nonlinearity . . . . .	271
8.2.3	Plasmonic Metals as Nonlinear Materials . . . . .	274
8.3	Nonlinearities in Plasmonic Nanostructures . . . . .	275
8.3.1	Free-Electron Coherent Nonlinearities in Metals: Hydrodynamic Model . . . . .	275
8.3.2	Kerr-Type Nonlinearity of Metals: Two-Temperature Model . . . . .	278
8.4	Harmonic Generation in Plasmonic Nanostructures . . . . .	280
8.4.1	Nonlinear Coupling of Plasmonic Resonances . . . . .	281
8.4.2	SHG and THG from Metallic Nanostructures in Non-perturbative Hydrodynamic Description . . . . .	284
8.4.3	Coherent Nonlinear Effects and Nonlocality . . . . .	287
8.5	Kerr-Type Nonlinearity and Ultrafast Nonlinear Plasmonics . . . . .	288
8.5.1	Controlling Light with Light . . . . .	288
8.5.2	Controlling SPP Modes with Light . . . . .	291
8.5.3	Nonlinear Plasmonic Crystals and Optical Bistability . . . . .	293
8.5.4	Nonlinear Plasmonic Metamaterials . . . . .	296
8.5.5	Epsilon-Near-Zero Metamaterials: Engineering Kerr Nonlinear Response . . . . .	297
8.6	Nonlinear Surface Plasmon Polaritons . . . . .	300
8.6.1	Nonlinear SPP Modes Due to Ponderomotive Nonlinearity . . . . .	301
8.6.2	Plasmon-Solitons Due to Third-Order Nonlinearity . . . . .	302
8.6.3	Cascaded Plasmon-Solitons Due to Second-Order Nonlinearity . . . . .	303

8.7	Conclusion and Outlook . . . . .	305
	References . . . . .	307
<b>9</b>	<b>Nonlinear Optics with Elliptically Polarized Singular Beams and Short Pulses in Media with Spatial Dispersion</b> . . . . .	<b>317</b>
	Vladimir A. Makarov	
9.1	Introduction . . . . .	317
9.2	Elliptically Polarized Laser Beam and Polarization Singularities . . . . .	319
9.2.1	Formal Characterization of Elliptically Polarized Laser Beam . . . . .	319
9.2.2	Brief Information About Polarization Singularities in Laser Beams . . . . .	321
9.2.3	Hodograph of the Electric Field Strength of Elliptically Polarized Ultrashort Laser Pulse . . . . .	323
9.3	Nonlinear Electrodynamics of Homogeneous Media with Spatial Dispersion . . . . .	325
9.3.1	Maxwell's Equations in Nonlinear Media with Spatial Dispersion . . . . .	325
9.3.2	Material Equation in Nonlinear Media with Spatial Dispersion. Local and Nonlocal Susceptibilities . . . . .	327
9.3.3	Boundary Conditions for Electromagnetic Field and Surface Nonlinear Optical Response. Surface Susceptibilities . . . . .	329
9.3.4	Basis List of Nonlocal Nonlinear Optical Effects . . . . .	331
9.3.5	Phenomenological Theory of Nonlinear Optical Response of the Bulk of Homogeneous Isotropic Media with Broken Mirror Symmetry . . . . .	333
9.4	Polarization Singularities in the Electric Field at a Sum-Frequency Generated by Two Collinear Elliptically Polarized Beams in the Bulk of a Nonlinear Isotropic Medium with Spatial Dispersion of Nonlinear Optical Response . . . . .	337
9.4.1	Formation of C-Type Polarization Singularities in the Beam Cross-Section at the Sum Frequency Generated by Uniformly Elliptically Polarized Gaussian Beams . . . . .	337
9.4.2	Polarization Singularities in a Sum-Frequency Light Beam Generated by a Bichromatic Singular Beam . . . . .	341
9.5	Singularities of the Sum-Frequency Light Field Polarization Arising upon Reflection on Incident Elliptically Polarized Gaussian Beams from the Surface of a Isotropic Medium with Spatial Dispersion of Nonlinear Optical Response . . . . .	346
9.5.1	Nonuniform Transverse Distribution of the Light Intensity and Polarization upon Sum-Frequency Generation from the Surface . . . . .	346

- 9.5.2 Polarization Singularities in Second Harmonic Beam Arising upon Reflection of Elliptically Polarized Gaussian Beam in the Case of Normal Incidence of Light . . . . . 349
- 9.5.3 Polarization Singularities in Second Harmonic Beam Arising upon Reflection of Elliptically Polarized Gaussian Beam in the Case of Oblique Incidence . . . . . 353
- 9.5.4 Singular Polarization Patterns of the Beam at Double Frequency Generated by Singularly Polarized Fundamental Beam . . . . . 357
- 9.6 Formation and Interaction of Polarization Singularities During Light Self-Action and Interaction of Elliptically Polarized Beams in Isotropic Medium with Spatial Dispersion of Cubic Nonlinearity . . . . . 364
  - 9.6.1 Ring-Shaped Electric Field Structures Formation During Self-Focusing of Elliptically Polarized Gaussian Beam . . . . . 364
  - 9.6.2 Interaction of Two Monochromatic Beams with Polarization Singularities in Case of Their Collinear Propagation . . . . . 369
- 9.7 Nonlinear Optical Activity in Propagation of Ultrashort Elliptically Polarized Laser Pulses in a Medium with Frequency Dispersion and Significant Spatial Dispersion of Cubic Nonlinearity . . . . . 374
  - 9.7.1 Physical Model of Third-Order Nonlinear Optical Susceptibility of Isotropic Medium with Frequency Dispersion and Significant Spatial Dispersion of Cubic Nonlinearity . . . . . 374
  - 9.7.2 Propagation of Ultrashort Elliptically Polarized Pulses in a Medium with Frequency Dispersion and Nonlocality of Nonlinear Optical Response . . . . . 376
- 9.8 Conclusions . . . . . 379
- References . . . . . 380
- 10 Ultrafast Nonlinear Optics in the Mid-Infrared . . . . . 385**
  - Alexei M. Zheltikov
  - 10.1 Introduction . . . . . 386
  - 10.2 Optical Physics in the Mid-Infrared: Why Longer Wavelengths Make a Difference . . . . . 386
  - 10.3 Ultrafast Optical Nonlinearities in the Mid-Infrared: The Role of Ionization Dynamics Within the Field Half-Cycle . . . . . 390
  - 10.4 Mid-Infrared Laser Filaments in the Atmosphere . . . . . 395
  - 10.5 Mid-Infrared-to-Mid-Ultraviolet Supercontinua . . . . . 401

10.6	Multi-octave Sub-Two-Cycle Supercontinua in the Mid-Infrared from Self-compressing, Self-focusing Soliton Transients in a Solid . . . . .	401
10.7	Time-Domain Spectroscopy in the Mid-Infrared . . . . .	404
10.8	Conclusion . . . . .	412
	References . . . . .	412
<b>Part II Historical Works: Single-Photon and Nonlinear Optical Experiments in the Pre-Laser Era</b>		
<b>11</b>	<b>The First Paper on Experimental Observation of Interference Fringes with Feeble Light (Sir Geoffrey Ingram Taylor)</b> . . . . .	<b>419</b>
	Svetlana G. Lukishova	
11.1	Sir Geoffrey Ingram Taylor: Biography (Excerpts from Sir Brian A. Pippard’s Paper in <i>Physics Today</i> 28, N9, 67 (1975)) . . . . .	421
11.2	Reprint of the First Paper on Experimental Observation of Interference Fringes with Feeble Light [from <i>Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society</i> 15, 114–115 (1909)] . . . . .	422
<b>12</b>	<b>First Experiments on Measuring Light Pressure I (Pyotr Nikolaevich Lebedev)</b> . . . . .	<b>425</b>
	Anatoly V. Masalov	
12.1	P. N. Lebedev—First Experiment on Measuring Light Pressure . . . . .	425
12.2	“Experimental Examination of Light Pressure”. Original Paper of P. N. Lebedev on First Experimental Examination of Light Pressure . . . . .	431
<b>13</b>	<b>First Experiments on Measuring Light Pressure II (Ernest Fox Nichols and Gordon Ferrie Hull)</b> . . . . .	<b>455</b>
	Elsa Garmire	
13.1	Musings on the First Measurements of Light Pressure . . . . .	455
13.1.1	Introduction . . . . .	455
13.1.2	First Archival Reports of Light Pressure . . . . .	456
13.1.3	Comparing Experiments . . . . .	457
13.1.4	Toward Numerical Validation of Radiation Pressure . . . . .	458
13.1.5	Mary Bell and S. E. Green Re-analysis of the Nichols and Hull Papers . . . . .	460
13.1.6	Philosophers and Historians Have Their Say . . . . .	461
13.1.7	Conclusions . . . . .	462
13.2	Original Paper of E. F. Nichols and E. F. Hull “A Preliminary Communication on the Pressure of Heat and Light Radiation” . . . . .	465

<b>14</b>	<b>The First Nonlinear Optical Experiment of 1926, Measuring Sensitivity Threshold of the Human Eye to Feeble Light (1933) and Statistical Structure of Feeble-Light Interference by the Human Eye (Sergei Ivanovich Vavilov)</b> . . . . .	481
	Svetlana G. Lukishova	
14.1	Sergei Vavilov's Nonlinear and Quantum Optical Experiments in Pre-laser Times, Vavilov-Čerenkov Radiation, and His Contributions to the Luminescence Science . . . . .	482
14.1.1	Introduction . . . . .	482
14.1.2	Vavilov's Nonlinear Optical Experiment (1926), Coining "Nonlinear Optics" Terminology and His Book "Microstructure of Light" . . . . .	485
14.1.3	Method of Observation of Quantum Fluctuations of Light by a Human Eye (Threshold of Human-Eye Sensitivity) and Statistical Structure of Interference Pattern . . . . .	490
14.1.4	Vavilov-Čerenkov Radiation . . . . .	493
14.1.5	Vavilov's Contribution to the Luminescence Science and His School of Luminescence Which Created the Basis for First Soviet Lasers . . . . .	495
14.1.6	Vavilov's Works on the History of the Science and Its Popularization . . . . .	496
14.1.7	Vavilov's Organizing and Administrative Activities at the State Level . . . . .	497
14.1.8	Some Biographical Information About S. I. Vavilov and His Family . . . . .	500
14.2	The First Observation of Nonlinear Optical Effect (Saturation of Absorption): Translation of Excerpts from an Original Paper of S. I. Vavilov and V. L. Levshin "The Relation Between Fluorescence and Phosphorescence in Solid and Liquid Media" . . . . .	508
14.2.1	The Possibility of Reducing the Absorption of Fluorescent and Phosphorescent Objects upon Their Illumination with Intense Light of a Spark (§ 4 of the Original Paper) . . . . .	508
14.3	Visual Measurements of Statistical Fluctuations of Photons: Translation of an Original Paper by E. Brumberg and S. Vavilov . . . . .	511
14.3.1	Introduction . . . . .	511
14.3.2	The Theory of Experiment . . . . .	513
14.3.3	Last Experiments . . . . .	516
14.3.4	Results . . . . .	519
14.3.5	Relative Fluctuations of Different Rays . . . . .	527

14.3.6	Physiological and Photometric Consequences . . . . .	529
14.3.7	Conclusions . . . . .	531
14.4	S. I. Vavilov's Comments to the Publication of S. Hecht, S. Shlaer and M. H. Pirenne: Translation from His Book "The Microstructure of Light" . . . . .	531
14.5	Reprint of an Original Paper by E.M. Brumberg, S. I. Vavilov and Z. M. Sverdlov "Visual Measurements of Quantum Fluctuations. I. the Threshold of Vision as Compared with the Results of Fluctuation Measurements" . . . . .	534
<b>15</b>	<b>Nonlinear Optical Experiment of 1941 (Gilbert Newton Lewis) . . . .</b>	<b>543</b>
	Svetlana G. Lukishova	
15.1	Gilbert Newton Lewis: Biography . . . . .	544
15.2	Nonlinear Optical Effects in Phosphorescence and Absorption (1941) . . . . .	547
<b>16</b>	<b>Nonlinear Optical Device (Icaroscope) at the Institute of Optics, University of Rochester During the Second World War . . . . .</b>	<b>551</b>
	Carlos R. Stroud	
16.1	A Brief History of Development of Icaroscope . . . . .	551
16.2	Reprint of Original Publication About Icaroscope . . . . .	553
<b>17</b>	<b>Measuring Sensitivity Threshold of the Human Eye to Feeble Light (Selig Hecht) . . . . .</b>	<b>555</b>
	Svetlana G. Lukishova	
17.1	Selig Hecht: Biography . . . . .	558
17.2	List of Selig Hecht Papers Related to Vision . . . . .	560
17.3	Energy, Quanta and Vision (Original Paper) . . . . .	564
<b>18</b>	<b>Photon Correlation Measurements with Beamsplitter and Photomultipliers (Lajos Jánossy) . . . . .</b>	<b>587</b>
	Sándor Varró	
18.1	Lajos Jánossy: Biography . . . . .	587
18.2	Coincidences of Photons Traveling in Coherent Beams of Light: Translation of an Original Paper by A. Ádám, L. Jánossy and P. Varga . . . . .	590
18.2.1	Introduction . . . . .	591
18.2.2	Accidental Coincidences and the Choice of the Coincidence Resolution Capability . . . . .	591
18.2.3	The Method of the Measurement . . . . .	594
18.2.4	The Optical Arrangement . . . . .	594
18.2.5	The Counting Apparatus . . . . .	596
18.2.6	Control Measurements . . . . .	596
18.2.7	The Measurements . . . . .	597



- 18.2.8 The Method of Data Processing . . . . . 597
- 18.2.9 The Results of the Measurements . . . . . 599
- 18.2.10 The Method of Frequent Changes . . . . . 601
- 18.2.11 The Controlling Measurements . . . . . 602
- 18.2.12 The Results of the Measurements . . . . . 603
- References . . . . . 604
- 19 First Observation of Photon Correlations (Bunching) with  
Beamsplitter and Photomultipliers (Robert Hanbury Brown  
and Richard Quintin Twiss) . . . . . 605**
- Svetlana G. Lukishova and William J. Tango
- 19.1 Robert Hanbury Brown: Biography (1916–2002) . . . . . 608
- 19.2 Richard Q. Twiss: Biography (1920–2005). . . . . 612
- 19.3 The Hanbury Brown–Twiss Effect . . . . . 615
- Index . . . . . 621**

# Editors and Contributors

## About the Editors

**Robert W. Boyd** is Professor of Physics and Canada Excellence Research Chair Laureate in Quantum Nonlinear Optics at the University of Ottawa (<http://www.boydnlo.ca>) and Professor of Optics and Physics at the University of Rochester (<http://www.optics.rochester.edu/workgroups/boyd/>). He was born in Buffalo, New York. He received his B.S. in physics from MIT and the Ph.D. in physics from the University of California, Berkeley. His Ph.D. thesis was supervised by Charles Townes and involves the use of nonlinear optical techniques in infrared detection for astronomy. His research interests include studies of “slow” and “fast” light propagation, quantum imaging techniques, nonlinear optical interactions, studies of the nonlinear optical properties of materials, and the development of photonic devices including photonic biosensors. He has written two books, co-edited two anthologies, published over 400 research papers ( $\approx 39,000$  citations, Google h-index 88), and been awarded ten patents. He is the recipient of the Arthur L. Schawlow Prize in Laser Science of the APS, the Charles Hard Townes Award of OSA, the Quantum Electronics Award of the IEEE Photonics Society, a Humboldt Research Award, and the Willis E. Lamb Award for Laser Science and Quantum Optics. He is a fellow of APS, OSA, IEEE, and SPIE. He is a past Chair of the Division of Laser Science of APS and has been a Member of the Board of Directors of OSA. He has served as a Member of the Board of Editors of Physical Review Letters and of the Board of Reviewing Editors of Science Magazine.

**Prof. Svetlana G. Lukishova** (<http://www.optics.rochester.edu/users/lukishov/>) is a Group Leader at the Institute of Optics, University of Rochester. Earlier she worked in Moscow, Russia, at the I. V. Kurchatov Nuclear Power Institute (Troitsk Branch) and the Institute of Radio-engineering and Electronics of the Russian Academy of Sciences and, after moving to the USA, at Liquid Crystal Institute (Kent, OH). She served six years as the Topical/Associate Editor of the journal “Optics Letters” in the field of nanophotonics, nonlinear optics, and liquid crystals. She is the author of more than 200 research publications (Physical Review Letters,

Optics Letters, Applied Physics Letters, etc.), and near 50 invited presentations in the field of quantum nanophotonics, nonlinear optics, and laser physics. Springer book “Self-focusing: Past and Present. Fundamentals and Prospects” (2009) edited by Lukishova (jointly with Boyd and Shen) is one of the top 25% most downloaded eBooks in the Springer eBook Collection in 2012 and 2015 [68 K chapter downloads during 2009-December 2018 with most downloaded chapter written by Lukishova (3130 downloads)]. She served as the Member of C.E.K. Mees Medal Committee of the Optical Society of America and as a Panelist for the National Science Foundation as well as an organizer/scientific board member of several conferences and symposia including International Quantum Electronics Conference, Optics of Liquid Crystals, Frontiers in Optics and others. She is the recipient of the W.C. Sykes Faculty Engineering Award of the University of Rochester and Diploma of 36th Vavilov’s Lectures on Luminescence of the Russian Academy of Sciences. She graduated with honors (red diploma) from the Department of General and Applied Physics of the Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology (MIPT) and defended her Ph.D. thesis (MIPT) in high-power laser physics working at the P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences under the supervision of a Nobel Prize winner A. M. Prokhorov and P. P. Pashinin.

**Prof. Victor N. Zadkov** is a Director of the Institute of Spectroscopy of the Russian Academy of Sciences (since June 2015) also being a Professor of Physics with the Department of Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University. Since the end of 2016, he is a Head of the Chair of Quantum Optics and Nanophotonics at the Faculty of Physics at the most prestigious non-classical university in Russia—Research University “Higher School of Economics.” He received his M.S., Ph.D., and Dr. Sci. in Physics from Lomonosov Moscow State University. His current research interests are in the fields of laser physics, interaction of laser radiation with matter, laser applications in life sciences, nanophotonics, quantum optics, and foundations of quantum information. He is an author of more than 150 refereed publications including a book and an editor of various collections and special issues of journals. He is a Fellow of the European Physical Society (EPS), in 2015 was elected as a Member of the EPS Council, and in 2018 as a Member of the EPS Executive Committee. He also served for many years as a jury member for the Prize on Research into the Science of Light, Fresnel Prizes, and QEOD Thesis Prizes. He is also a Member of the OSA and serve as a Member of the OSA International Council. He used to be a Member and then a Chair of the OSA Hopkins Leadership in Optics Award Committee for 2013–2016. In 2009, he was elected as a Member of the C-17 commission on Quantum Electronics of the IUPAP and in 2011—a Chair of this commission. Thanks to his efforts, the IUPAP gave a new life to the IUPAP Young Scientists Prize in Quantum Electronics and essentially enforced links with the EPS and QEOD/EPS. He used to be a member of the steering committee and member of the Russian committee for the International Year of Light 2015 and International Day of Light. He also stays in the roots of many collaboration projects in between Russia and Germany, France, Italy, Slovakia, USA, and China. They include running series of bilateral symposia on laser

physics, summer schools, organizing study tours, etc. Most successful are the ongoing German-Russian and French-Russian Laser Physics Symposia that resulted in lots of joint efforts. He serves on the editorial boards of the *European Physical Journal D*, *New Scientist* (RU), *Quantum Electronics*, *Moscow University Physics Bulletin* and as a program committee and international advisory board member for many international conferences and symposia. He also serves on many expert and research councils both national and worldwide. In 1984, he was awarded the Lenin Komsomol Prize in Physics (the highest award for young scientists in the Former Soviet Union). In 1997–1998, he used to work as a Research Fellow of the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation at the Institute of Applied Physics, University of Bonn, Germany. Since 2003, he is a President of the Moscow Humboldt Club. In 2008–2014, he served as a Humboldt Ambassador Scientist in Russia.

## Contributors

**Alain Aspect** Laboratoire Charles Fabry, Institut d'Optique Graduate School, Université Paris-Saclay, Palaiseau, France

**Fedor Bergmann** Bergmann Messgeraete Entwicklung KG, Murnau, Germany

**Luke J. Bissell** Air Force Research Laboratory, Materials and Manufacturing Directorate, WPAFB, Dayton, OH, USA

**Robert W. Boyd** The Institute of Optics and Department of Physics, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY, USA; Department of Physics, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada

**Xu Fang** Optoelectronics Research Centre and Centre for Photonic Metamaterials, University of Southampton, Highfield, Southampton, UK

**Elsa Garmire** Thayer School of Engineering, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH, USA; Santa Cruz, CA, USA

**Pavel Ginzburg** School of Electrical Engineering, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel

**Austin Graf** The Institute of Optics, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY, USA

**Philippe Grangier** Laboratoire Charles Fabry, Institut d'Optique Graduate School, Université Paris-Saclay, Palaiseau, France

**Fumihito Kaneda** Frontier Research Institute for Interdisciplinary Sciences, Tohoku University, Sendai, Japan

**Alexey V. Krasavin** Department of Physics and London Centre for Nanotechnology, King's College London, Strand, London, UK

**Leonid Krivitsky** Institute of Materials Research and Engineering, Agency for Science Technology and Research (A\*STAR), Singapore, Singapore

**Paul Kwiat** Department of Physics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL, USA

**Gerd Leuchs** Max Planck Institute for the Science of Light, Erlangen, Germany

**Svetlana G. Lukishova** The Institute of Optics, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY, USA

**Jeff S. Lundeen** Department of Physics, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada

**Kevin F. MacDonald** Optoelectronics Research Centre and Centre for Photonic Metamaterials, University of Southampton, Highfield, Southampton, UK

**Vladimir A. Makarov** Faculty of Physics and International Laser Center, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

**Anatoly V. Masalov** Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia

**Mohammad Mirhosseini** The Institute of Optics, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY, USA

**Dmitry V. Strekalov** Max Planck Institute for the Science of Light, Erlangen, Germany

**Carlos R. Stroud** The Institute of Optics, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY, USA

**William J. Tango** School of Physics, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia

**Sándor Varró** Department of Theoretical Solid State Physics, Institute for Solid State Physics and Optics, Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, Hungary

**Michelle Victora** Department of Physics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL, USA

**Vadim Volkov** Faculty of Life Sciences and Computing, London Metropolitan University, London, UK; Department of Plant Sciences, University of California, Davis, CA, USA

**Jia Jun Wong** DSO National Laboratories, Singapore, Singapore

**Anatoly V. Zayats** Department of Physics and London Centre for Nanotechnology, King's College London, Strand, London, UK

**Alexei M. Zheltikov** Department of Physics and Astronomy, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA; Department of Physics, International Laser Center, M. V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia; Russian

Quantum Center, Moscow Region, Russia; Kurchatov Institute National Research Center, Moscow, Russia

**Nikolay I. Zheludev** Optoelectronics Research Centre and Centre for Photonic Metamaterials, University of Southampton, Highfield, Southampton, UK; Centre for Disruptive Photonic Technologies, School of Physical and Mathematical Sciences and The Photonics Institute, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, Singapore