

# Exploring the Mathematical Education of Teachers Using TEDS-M Data

Maria Teresa Tatto • Michael C. Rodriguez  
Wendy M. Smith • Mark D. Reckase  
Kiril Bankov  
Editors

# Exploring the Mathematical Education of Teachers Using TEDS-M Data

 Springer

*Editors*

Maria Teresa Tatto   
Mary Lou Fulton Teachers College  
Arizona State University  
Tempe, AZ, USA

Michael C. Rodriguez  
Department of Educational Psychology  
University of Minnesota  
Minneapolis, MN, USA

Wendy M. Smith   
Center for Science, Mathematics  
& Computer Education  
University of Nebraska  
Lincoln, NE, USA

Mark D. Reckase  
Michigan State University  
East Lansing, MI, USA

Kiril Bankov  
Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics  
University of Sofia  
Sofia, Bulgaria

Institute of Mathematics and Informatics  
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences  
Sofia, Bulgaria

ISBN 978-3-319-92143-3      ISBN 978-3-319-92144-0 (eBook)  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-92144-0>

Library of Congress Control Number: 2018949360

© Springer International Publishing AG, part of Springer Nature 2018

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer International Publishing AG part of Springer Nature.

The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

# Foreword

For more than a century, researchers in mathematics education have had an international dimension to their work. They have attempted to study how mathematics is taught and learned both within and across countries. When, for example, the Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique (reincarnated later as the International Commission on Mathematical Instruction) was established in 1908, its first project was to invite reports on the state of mathematics teaching in its member countries. The resulting surveys were used to make cross-country comparisons and stimulate within-country reforms.

During the last half century, international studies of the teaching and learning of mathematics in various countries have grown enormously in scope, number, and quality. Researchers have collected vast amounts of data on course syllabi and textbooks, students' attitudes and performance on mathematics problems, and recordings of instruction in representative samples of mathematics classrooms across multiple countries.

The twenty-first century has seen researchers' attention move beyond the teaching and learning of school mathematics to consider the teachers of that mathematics—what they know and believe about the subject and its teaching as well as how they learn to teach. The Teacher Education and Development Study in Mathematics (TEDS-M) is the largest and best known international study of mathematics teacher preparation. The present book contains reports of exploratory analyses of the TEDS-M data that were primarily produced as the result of a series of workshops to acquaint scholars—especially beginning mathematics education researchers—with the study and the data.

The first section of the book looks at programs to prepare teachers to teach mathematics in primary and lower secondary school. The researchers found considerable variation in programs across and within countries as well as in the backgrounds and preparation of the teacher educators staffing those programs. The TEDS-M data clearly offer a rich selection of program characteristics, and the chapters in the first section only begin to explore the ways in which those characteristics might be related.

The second section focuses on the prospective teachers in the various programs—their knowledge, beliefs, and other characteristics. Again, the researchers found variety across programs and countries, and they were able to discern some provocative patterns connecting what the prospective teachers had learned and what their program had offered them. The cross-sectional nature of the TEDS-M data limited the attention that could be paid to change, but the researchers were nonetheless able to draw some provocative inferences about program outcomes.

The third section addresses methodological issues raised by TEDS-M that concern sampling, instrument development, and validation. The statistical sampling, which involved institutions and populations of prospective teachers and teacher educators in multiple countries, was highly complex, as was the instrument development process. Sophisticated procedures connected to the development of anchor points and to the use of tests of differential item functioning were used to explore how the various assessment instruments were working. Further, the validity of the TEDS-M knowledge assessment was studied through expert judgments of item content against specifications for teacher knowledge. Clearly, this groundbreaking study has made important inroads in the investigation of international comparisons.

In the past few decades, it has become increasingly difficult to recruit participants for empirical studies in education. A researcher in mathematics education, acting alone, cannot hope to acquire a large, let alone a representative, sample of new teachers or of teacher educators from a given country. Studies of representative samples of such groups from more than one country demand the support of international organizations and of national research centers. The TEDS-M data set, therefore, provides a unique resource for researchers in mathematics education. Although the chapters in the present book demonstrate vividly the potential of that resource, they are far from exhausting it. TEDS-M is a gift to you the readers of this book that deserves to be carried forward in the research you will do.

Athens, Georgia

Jeremy Kilpatrick

# Preface

In this book, we continue to explore the data collected by the Teacher Education and Development Study in Mathematics (TEDS-M) in 2008, and made publicly available in 2012. TEDS-M is the first and only study to date that has been carried out at an international level to explore the outcomes of mathematics teacher education with nationally representative samples of preservice teacher education programs, their educators, and their future teachers at the primary and secondary levels in 17 countries. The study researchers focused on understanding the depth and breadth of mathematics knowledge that future teachers acquire at the end of their preservice programs, essentially making TEDS-M a study of the outcomes of higher education. TEDS-M is the first and only rigorous study where researchers have sought to test a series of hypotheses about what constitutes effective teacher education for future mathematics teachers that had emerged after years of continuous, albeit small-scale, studies of teacher learning. The TEDS-M researchers used a survey methodology to design a comprehensive evaluative research study that could reflect the complexity of the endeavor.

The study was innovative in that the research team developed assessments of future teachers' mathematics content and pedagogical knowledge, among other measures, while collecting and documenting evidence of validity and reliability. This task was only possible with the collaboration of mathematics teacher educators and their students as part of an international and interdisciplinary team of mathematicians, psychometricians, survey and sampling experts, and policy analysts. The TEDS-M International Center received funding from the U.S. National Science Foundation to develop and coordinate the study. Participating countries sought their own funding, and the International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement (IEA) sponsored the study and contributed logistical support.<sup>1</sup> The IEA's network of collaborators, originally founded in 1958, has marked 60 years of

---

<sup>1</sup> Maria Teresa Tatto then at Michigan State University directed the study. Other organizations involved in the TEDS-M study included ACER, the IEA Secretariat, the IEA-DPC, and Statistics Canada.

exploring different, innovative and rigorous approaches to evaluating educational effectiveness<sup>2</sup> of which this study is an example.

The TEDS-M study was not without challenges. Many factors mediate the ultimate outcomes of teacher education programs. The knowledge that future teachers are able to demonstrate at the end of their preparation in preservice university-based programs is dependent on their previous learning in their primary and secondary schooling, and importantly through their passage in higher education institutions through consecutive or concurrent teacher preparation programs. Further practical experiences in schools through internships or field experiences create yet another level of learning. Evaluating one's own program using an international and comparative assessment creates important opportunities to learn from what other systems are doing and important opportunities for change. As Arthur W. Foshay remarked in the report of the first IEA study ("Educational Achievements of Thirteen-Year-Olds in Twelve Countries"; retrieved from <http://www.iea.nl/brief-history-iea>):

If custom and law define what is educationally allowable within a nation, the educational systems beyond one's national boundaries suggest what is educationally possible.

The comparative study of teacher education across different countries also reflects important societal values including conceptions of the knowledge that is important for societies to have, how it is to be acquired, and from whom. Indeed, we believe that the direction a society elects to follow can be identified by the manner in which it treats its teachers and their students.

Although change is typically slow in teacher education, currently we have a combination of stability and fast-paced change resulting from the introduction of alternative routes to preparing teachers, in what seems to be a global movement. It is in this fast-paced environment, where much of the change is driven by market forces rather than by research evidence, that the TEDS-M study provides an important contribution to the field.

The publication of this book serves to celebrate TEDS-M's research findings and methodological advances, to remind us of what is possible and effective in traditional teacher education programs, and to model research that can inform future policy and scholarship. Whereas the critical reader may question the relevance of data that was collected a decade ago, recent research continues to uncover the same problems that TEDS-M highlighted, and the importance of continuing to do the kind of research we undertook.<sup>3</sup> In some cases, the problems have been exacerbated by the proliferation of alternative routes and redefinitions of what counts as a qualified teacher, to the point that scholars have remarked that the project of education in

---

<sup>2</sup>IEA's work originated in 1958 when a group of scholars, educational psychologists, sociologists, and psychometricians met at the UNESCO Institute for Education (UIE) to discuss problems associated with evaluating school effectiveness and student learning. See <http://www.iea.nl/brief-history-iea>

<sup>3</sup>See for instance Tatto, M.T., Burn, K., Menter, I., Mutton, T., & Thompson, I. (2018). *Learning to teach in England and the United States: The evolution of policy and practice*. Abingdon, England: Routledge.

universities is in jeopardy.<sup>4</sup> Driven both by teacher shortages and political considerations, popular movements away from formal teacher preparation reveal assumptions about the skills and knowledge necessary to teach effectively; we reject those assumptions and find teaching to be an incredibly complex and difficult art. The lack of a vigorous response from teacher educators to defend the worth of their programs continues to leave the field vulnerable to ideological attacks. We hope that the TEDS-M research will serve as a model to create a basis for inquiry-based learning in teacher education programs.

The purpose of this book, then, goes beyond adding to the key research reports produced by the study,<sup>5</sup> and the already rich research literature based on the TEDS-M data since it was published in 2012, and which can be found in numerous research journals and reports, in books, and [elsewhere](#). We began this book with three purposes in mind. First, we wanted to honor our commitment to the U.S. National Science Foundation to not only make the data publicly available but to enable dissemination of the research, and provide access to our complex database, with a focus on junior scholars and advanced doctoral students. After an initial meeting at Michigan State University, U.S., at the end of 2012, we issued a call for proposals to the national and international mathematics research community. We funded a series of meetings and data analysis workshops for these scholars in exchange for the production of high quality publishable articles and chapters. The call resulted in a number of proposals. These were reviewed by our internal team, during a meeting at Michigan State University, in 2013 and those with the highest quality were selected. We held two meetings with these colleagues in July 2014 in Limerick, Ireland, sponsored by the National Centre for Excellence in Mathematics and Science Teaching and Learning (NCE-MSTL), and in July 2016 at the University of Minnesota, U.S. These week-long meetings resulted in the development of 20 paper proposals, which we organized into four symposia proposals for the American Educational Research Association (AERA), Association of Mathematics Teacher Educators (AMTE), the National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (NCTM), and the Comparative International Education Societies Annual Meetings (held in 2015–2016). The symposia were accepted for presentation, and we experienced positive receptions in these conferences. In addition to continue to give visibility to the study, these conferences helped us to advance the work on the papers. We held

---

<sup>4</sup>See Furlong, J. (2013). *Education – An Anatomy of the Discipline: Rescuing the University Project?* London: Routledge.

<sup>5</sup>See for instance: Tatto, M.T. (ed.) (2013). *The Teacher Education and Development Study in Mathematics (TEDS-M). Policy, Practice, and Readiness to Teach Primary and Secondary Mathematics in 17 Countries: Technical Report*. Amsterdam: International Association for the Evaluation of Student Achievement. Tatto, M. T., Schwillie, J., Senk, S. L., Ingvarson, L., Rowley, G., Peck, R., Bankov, K., Rodriguez, M. & Reckase, M. (2012). *Policy, Practice, and Readiness to Teach Primary and Secondary Mathematics in 17 Countries. Findings from the IEA Teacher Education and Development Study in Mathematics (TEDS-M)*. Amsterdam: International Association for the Evaluation of Student Achievement. Brese, F., & Tatto, M.T. (Eds.) (2012). *User guide for the TEDS-M international database*. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement (IEA).

a working meeting in January 2017 to check on the progress of our efforts and to finalize plans for publication. We finished writing the book in March 2017. Once the chapters were written, they were reviewed by the book editors, then by expert statisticians in addition to our own, and once approved, the chapters went through three external editors to ensure clarity and meaning. Once submitted to Springer in mid-2017, the book was reviewed anonymously by six peers following Springer's rigorous review process, and finally approved for publication in early 2018. Second, we wanted the book authors to explore not only issues that have not yet been studied using the TEDS-M database, but also to provide more exploration into aspects of the study that had not been published before, such as the curriculum analysis and the level of belief alignment between future teachers and their educators. Third, we wanted to dedicate a section of the book to discuss in more depth the most important methodological advances achieved by the TEDS-M researchers, such as the sampling strategies and the creation of anchor points to give contextual meaning to the assessments results.

Ultimately, the goal of the book is to inform audiences about how use of the TEDS-M study and data: (a) helps to strengthen the knowledge base to address current national priorities such as increasing the number of fully competent mathematics teachers; (b) helps to understand the nature and contributions of preservice teacher education, as a way to inform policies for selection, preparation, induction, and professional development of mathematics teachers; (c) serves as an example of a scientific approach to the study of teacher education and teacher learning in mathematics; and (d) provides concepts, definitions, measurement strategies, indicators and instrumentation to strengthen the research in this field, and the knowledge base of teacher education effectiveness. We offer this book in the hopes that it will contribute to new studies, and more rigorous scholarship in teacher education, and more generally, in higher education.

July 2018

Maria Teresa Tatto

# Acknowledgments

We continue to be thankful to the International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement (IEA) for maintaining the TEDS-M database and the website where scholars continue to add work that uses the TEDS-M database and the methods that emerged from the study. We thank the participants in the TEDS-M study including the National Research Centers, teacher educators, and future teachers in Botswana, Canada, Chile, Chinese Taipei, Georgia, Germany, Malaysia, Norway, Oman, Philippines, Poland, Russia, Singapore, Spain, Switzerland, Thailand, and the United States, whose efforts in data collection continue to benefit the field.

We are thankful to Maria Teresa Tatto for hosting the first two workshops in Michigan State University, Paul Conway of the National Centre for Excellence in Mathematics and Science Teaching and Learning for hosting a very productive workshop in Limerick, and Michael Rodriguez for hosting the last workshop at the University of Minnesota.

We are thankful to Lindsay Augustyn from the University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Jeffery Cole from Michigan State University, and Colin Ong-Dean who served as copy editors for this book. They worked tirelessly with the authors and editors to make sure that the grammar was uniform and APA formatting and styles were consistent across chapters. We are thankful to the Michigan State University Center for Statistical Training and Consulting (CSTAT) whose consultants helped review each chapter for the appropriateness, use, and interpretation of the analysis of the data and statistical results.

We are thankful to our current institutions Arizona State University, the University of Minnesota, the University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Michigan State University, and the University of Sofia, for providing support and the gift of time and space that has allowed us to see this project to fruition.

We are thankful to Annemarie Keur, our editor at Springer, for her encouragement and guidance through this process.

Finally, this work could not have been accomplished without the continuous support of the National Science Foundation. We are thankful to program officers James Dietz and Finbarr Sloane for their dedication to this project and to others at NSF for

their interest in this cross-national research including Janice Earle, Elizabeth Vanderputten, and Larry Suter.

The TEDS-M workshops and the resulting work that we present in this book were sponsored in part by a grant from the US National Science Foundation NSF REC 0514431 (M.T. Tatto, PI and Executive Director). Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this book are those of the researchers and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

Maria Teresa Tatto  
Michael C. Rodriguez  
Wendy M. Smith  
Mark D. Reckase  
Kiril Bankov

# Contents

|  |   |            |
|--|---|------------|
| <b>1</b>   | <b>Introduction: Exploring the Mathematical Education of Teachers Using TEDS-M Data. . . . .</b>  | <b>1</b>   |
|  | Maria Teresa Tatto  |            |
| <b>Part I Exploring Different Dimensions of Teacher Education Programs</b> |   |            |
| <b>2</b>   | <b>Introduction: Exploring Different Dimensions of Teacher Education Programs in the TEDS-M Study . . . . .</b>   | <b>17</b>  |
|  | Maria Teresa Tatto and Wendy M. Smith   |            |
| <b>3</b>   | <b>Preparing High Quality Mathematics Primary Teachers: Exploring Program Strategies and Standards in the United States, Russia, Poland, and Chinese Taipei . . . . .</b> | <b>31</b>  |
|  | Yadira Peralta and Maria Teresa Tatto   |            |
| <b>4</b>   | <b>The Intended, Implemented, and Achieved Curriculum of Mathematics Teacher Education in the United States . . . . .</b>   | <b>69</b>  |
|  | Maria Teresa Tatto and Kiril Bankov   |            |
| <b>5</b>   | <b>Developing Diverse Teachers: Analyzing Primary Mathematics Teacher Education Programs Prioritizing Selection of Diverse Future Teachers . . . . .</b>                  | <b>135</b> |
|  | James Pippin  |            |
| <b>6</b>   | <b>A Comparative International Study of Differences in Beliefs Between Future Teachers and Their Educators . . . . .</b>  | <b>165</b> |
|  | Michael C. Rodriguez, Maria Teresa Tatto, José Palma, and Kyle Nickodem   |            |

**Part II Exploring Future Teacher Characteristics, Knowledge, Beliefs and Opportunities to Learn**

|           |  |     |
|-----------|--|-----|
| <b>7</b>  | <b>Introduction: Exploring Future Teacher Characteristics, Knowledge, Beliefs and Opportunities to Learn in the TEDS-M Study</b> . . . . .   | 195 |
|           | Maria Teresa Tatto and Wendy M. Smith  |     |
| <b>8</b>  | <b>The Mathematical Education of Primary Teachers</b> . . . . .  | 205 |
|           | Maria Teresa Tatto   |     |
| <b>9</b>  | <b>How Primary Future Teachers' Knowledge Is Shaped by Teacher Preparation</b> . . . . .   | 257 |
|           | Hong Qian and Peter Youngs   |     |
| <b>10</b> | <b>Opportunities to Learn Mathematics Pedagogy and Connect Classroom Learning to Practice: A Study of Future Teachers in the United States and Singapore</b> . . . . .                                   | 279 |
|           | Traci Shizu Kutaka, Wendy M. Smith, and Lorraine M. Males  |     |
| <b>11</b> | <b>Preparing Primary Mathematics Teachers to Learn to Work with Students from Diverse Backgrounds</b> . . . . .  | 311 |
|           | Elizabeth B. Dyer  |     |
| <b>12</b> | <b>Differences in Beliefs and Knowledge for Teaching Mathematics: An International Study of Future Teachers</b> . . . . .  | 349 |
|           | Traci Shizu Kutaka, Wendy M. Smith, and Anthony D. Albano  |     |
| <b>13</b> | <b>Future Teachers' and Teacher Educators' Perceptions of Learning Mathematics Instruction and Relationships to Knowledge</b> . . . . .  | 379 |
|           | Rachel A. Ayieko   |     |
| <b>14</b> | <b>The Mathematical Education of Secondary Teachers</b> . . . . .  | 409 |
|           | Maria Teresa Tatto   |     |
| <b>15</b> | <b>An International Study of the Relationship Between Learning to Teach Students from Diverse Backgrounds and Mathematical Knowledge for Teaching in Future Secondary Mathematics Teachers</b> . . . . . | 451 |
|           | Elizabeth B. Dyer  |     |

**Part III Methodological Challenges and Strategies**

|           |   |     |
|-----------|---|-----|
| <b>16</b> | <b>Introduction: Methodological Challenges and Strategies in the TEDS-M Study</b> . . . . . | 479 |
|           | Maria Teresa Tatto and Wendy M. Smith   |     |

**17 Sampling for TEDS-M** ..... 489  
Sabine Meinck and Jean Dumais

**18 Developing Anchor Points to Enhance the Meaning  
of the Mathematical and Mathematical Pedagogy  
Score Scales from the TEDS-M Study** ..... 527  
Mark D. Reckase

**19 Examining Sources of Gender DIF in Mathematics  
Knowledge of Future Teachers Using Cross-Classified  
IRT Models** ..... 543  
Liuhan Sophie Cai and Anthony D. Albano

**20 Standing the Test of Time: Validating the TEDS-M  
Knowledge Assessment Against MET II Expectations** ..... 563  
Edward A. Silver and Jillian P. Mortimer

# Contributors

## Editors

**Maria Teresa Tatto** is Professor in the Division of Educational Leadership and Innovation at Arizona State University, and the Southwest Borderlands Professor of Comparative Education at the Mary Lou Fulton Teachers College. She is the principal investigator for the Teacher Education and Development Study in Mathematics and the First Five Years of Mathematics Teaching Study, both designed to explore the connections between preservice preparation and what is learned on the job during the first years of teaching. She is a former president of the Comparative and International Education Society and studies the effects of educational policy on school systems. She is an Honorary Research Fellow in the Department of Education at the University of Oxford, England.

**Michael C. Rodriguez** is Professor of Educational Measurement in the Department of Educational Psychology and Campbell Leadership Chair in Education and Human Development at the University of Minnesota. He is a collaborator for the Teacher Education and Development Study in Mathematics and the First Five Years of Mathematics Teaching Study, providing psychometric support for instrument development and analysis. His interests include item writing, multilevel modeling and item response modeling, early literacy, and assessment of social-emotional learning. He is the recipient of the International Reading Association's Harris Research Award.

**Wendy M. Smith** is a Research Associate Professor and Associate Director for the Center for Science, Mathematics, and Computer Education at the University of Nebraska. She is a collaborator for the Teacher Education and Development Study in Mathematics and the First Five Years of Mathematics Teaching Study, which explore connections between preservice preparation and what is learned during the first years of teaching. She is a mathematics teacher educator and former middle level mathematics teacher. Her research interests include K-16 mathematics

education, rural education, teacher leadership, estimating teacher professional development effects on student achievement, and institutional change.

**Mark D. Reckase** is a University Distinguished Professor Emeritus of Measurement and Quantitative Methods. He specializes in the development of educational and psychological tests, educational policy related to testing, and the psychometric theory that supports the assessment of cognitive skills and content knowledge. In particular, his research is on applications of unidimensional and multidimensional item response theory (IRT) models, computerized adaptive testing (CAT), assessment using performance tasks, standard setting on educational tests, and methods for evaluating the quality of teacher education programs.

**Kiril Bankov** is Professor of Mathematics Education in the Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics at the University of Sofia, and in the Institute of Mathematics and Informatics at the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences. He is a mathematics coordinator for the Teacher Education and Development Study in Mathematics and the First Five Years of Mathematics Teaching Study, both designed to explore the connections between preservice preparation and what is learned on the job during the first years of teaching. His research interests include the preparation of mathematics teachers, mathematics teaching, assessment in mathematics education, extracurricular work with mathematically gifted students, and mathematics competitions.

## Contributors

**Anthony D. Albano** is an Assistant Professor in Educational Psychology at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln. His primary research interests include multilevel modeling of item-response data, linking and equating, assessment literacy, and technology in testing and test development.

**Rachel A. Ayieko** is an Assistant Professor in the Department of Instruction and Leadership in Education at Duquesne University. Her research interests focus on international and comparative studies on mathematics teacher education, teacher quality, mathematics classroom practices, and opportunities to learn mathematics in relation to teacher knowledge and students' learning outcomes. Recent publications have appeared in the *Forum for International Research in Education (FIRE)* and the *African Journal of Research in Mathematics, Science and Technology Education (AJRMSTE)*.

**Liuhan Sophie Cai** is a doctoral candidate in the Department of Educational Psychology at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln (UNL). She joined the Quantitative, Qualitative & Psychometric Methods program in 2013 and received her master's degree in 2015 at UNL. Her research interests include educational measurement, differential item functioning, equating, and item response theory.

**Jean Dumais** joined Statistics Canada in 1982 after having completed his MSc (Math). Jean worked as a survey statistician on topics as diverse as agriculture, health, price indices, census of population, education, transportation, and on general statistical consultation and training. Jean became the chief of the statistical consultation group in 2003, supervising a team of 8 to 10 senior statisticians. Jean worked on TIMSS 1995, on TEDS-M, and on TALIS since its creation; Jean retired from Statistics Canada in June 2017.

**Elizabeth B. Dyer** is a Research Associate in the STEM Program at WestEd. She received her PhD from the Learning Sciences program at Northwestern University. Before her doctoral studies, she was a research associate at Horizon Research Inc., working on research studies and evaluations of initiatives in STEM education. Her research interests include mathematics teacher learning and instructional improvement.

**Traci Shizu Kutaka** is a Research Associate at the Marsico Institute for Early Learning and Literacy at the University of Denver. Her research interests include early childhood care and education as well as teacher preparation and professional development. Kutaka has extensive experience conducting quantitative, qualitative, and mixed-methods research, including collecting survey, interview, and observational data; examining the reliability and validity of measurement and assessment tools; and writing articles and reports for both academic and nonacademic audiences.

**Lorraine M. Males** is an Assistant Professor in the Department of Teaching, Learning, and Teacher Education in the College of Education and Human Science at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln. Her work broadly focuses on preparing secondary mathematics teachers and understanding the influence of curriculum materials on student and teacher learning. Her current project employs the use of eye tracking technology to examine teachers' attention to mathematics curriculum materials, how teachers interpret what they attend to, and the decisions that teachers make to respond to materials when planning and enacting instruction.

**Sabine Meinck** is Head of both the Research and Analysis Unit and the Sampling Unit of the International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement (IEA). She is responsible for coordinating all research and sampling-related activities undertaken by the IEA, a remit that also includes providing courses designed to build research capacity. She is experienced in all aspects of the survey design and analysis of international large-scale assessments (ILSAs), and has been involved with almost all ILSAs conducted over the last decade. For the Teacher Education and Development Study in Mathematics, she was responsible for sampling, weighting and variance estimation.

**Jillian P. Mortimer** is a doctoral candidate in the School of Education at the University of Michigan. She holds a Bachelor of Science degree in elementary

education from the University of Michigan and a master's degree in curriculum and instruction from the University of Richmond. Her research interests include elementary teacher education in mathematics.

**Kyle Nickodem** is a doctoral candidate in the Department of Educational Psychology at the University of Minnesota. After earning a bachelor's degree in psychology from the University of Notre Dame, Kyle served 2 years as an AmeriCorps member with the educational nonprofit College Possible. He currently works as a consultant for the Center for Applied Research and Educational Improvement (CAREI) providing data analysis, assessment, and evaluation services for local education agencies and Minnesota school districts. He is a recipient of the Russell W. Burris Fellowship from the University of Minnesota.

**José Palma** is a doctoral candidate in the Department of Educational Psychology at the University of Minnesota. Before joining the Quantitative Methods in Education program, José was a bilingual teaching assistant in St. Paul Public Schools. He currently provides psychometric support in the development of the Individual Growth and Development Indicators-Español early literacy project (funded through the Institute of Education Sciences). His interests include the assessment of special populations, multilevel measurement, early literacy in dual language learners, achievement gaps, and opportunity to learn. He is the recipient of the Diversity of Views and Experiences Fellowship and the Multicultural Recognition Award from the University of Minnesota.

**Yadira Peralta** is a doctoral candidate and a Fulbright scholar in the Department of Educational Psychology at the University of Minnesota. She holds a bachelor's degree in applied mathematics and a master's degree in economics from the Center for Research and Teaching in Economics (CIDE) in Mexico. Before joining the Quantitative Methods in Education doctoral program, Yadira worked as a deputy director of statistical analysis, under the educational indicators area at the National Institute for Educational Assessment and Evaluation (INEE) in Mexico. Her research interests include statistical models for correlated data, nonlinear models, and generalized longitudinal models.

**James Pippin** is a Postdoctoral Research Associate in the Department of Teacher Education at Michigan State University (MSU). As a Graduate Research Assistant in Educational Policy at MSU, he worked on the Teacher Education and Development Study in Mathematics and the First Five Years of Mathematics Teaching Study. Prior to his doctoral work, he taught K-12 English for several years in South Korea and China. His research interests focus on policies and practices that support the preparation, recruitment, development, and retention of effective teachers for marginalized students in the United States and internationally.

**Hong Qian** is a psychometrician at the National Council of State Boards of Nursing. She earned a dual PhD degree in measurement and quantitative methods,

and curriculum, instruction and teacher education at Michigan State University. Her research focuses on international and comparative analysis of teacher preparation programs, how teacher education programs affect teacher knowledge, and how educational policy influences teacher commitment and instruction. Recent publications have appeared in *Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education*, *Journal of Teacher Education*, *Elementary School Journal*, *Journal of Educational Change*, and *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*.

**Edward A. Silver** is the Senior Associate Dean for Research and Graduate Studies and the William A. Brownell Collegiate Professor of Education in the School of Education and Professor of Mathematics in the College of Literature, Science, and the Arts at the University of Michigan. He teaches and advises graduate students in mathematics education and conducts research related to the teaching and learning of mathematics, with particular attention to the study of mathematical thinking, enhancing the knowledge and effectiveness of mathematics teachers, and productive interchange between education research and practice.

**Peter Youngs** is a Professor in the Department of Curriculum, Instruction, and Special Education at the University of Virginia. His research interests focus on education policy effects on teaching and learning in the core academic subjects including state and district policy related to teacher preparation, induction, and evaluation and their effects on teacher instruction, commitment, and retention. Recent publications have appeared in *Educational Researcher*, *Journal of Teacher Education*, and *Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education*. He received the AERA Division K (Teaching and Teacher Education) Early Career Award and he currently serves as coeditor of *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*.

# Abbreviations

|        |   |
|--------|---|
| ACER   | Australian Council for Educational Research                             |
| AERA   | American Educational Research Association                               |
| AIC    | Akaike Information Criterion  |
| AMTE   | Association of Mathematics Teacher Educators                            |
| APA    | American Psychological Association                                      |
| BIC    | Bayesian Information Criterion  |
| BRR    | Balanced Repeated Replication   |
| CBMS   | Conference Board of Mathematical Sciences                               |
| CCSS   | Common Core State Standards   |
| CI     | Confidence Interval   |
| CR     | Constructed-Response  |
| DIF    | Differential Item Functioning   |
| DPC    | Data Processing and Research Centre now: IEA Hamburg                    |
| EI     | Essential Idea  |
| FT     | Future Teacher  |
| FPT    | Future Primary Teacher  |
| FST    | Future Secondary Teacher  |
| FTQ    | Future Teacher Questionnaire  |
| ICC    | Intra-class correlation coefficient                                     |
| IDB    | International Data Base   |
| IEA    | International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement |
| IHE    | Institutes of Higher Education  |
| ILSA   | International Large-Scale Assessments                                   |
| IPQ    | Institutional Program Questionnaire                                     |
| LCA    | Latent Class Analysis   |
| MC     | Multiple-Choice   |
| MCK    | Mathematical Content Knowledge  |
| MET II | The Mathematical Education of Teachers II                               |
| MKT    | Mathematical Knowledge for Teaching                                     |
| MPCK   | Mathematical Pedagogical Content Knowledge                              |
| MSU    | Michigan State University   |

|        |  |
|--------|--|
| MT21   | Mathematics Teaching in the 21st Century Study         |
| NCTM   | National Council of Teachers of Mathematics            |
| NCME   | National Council on Measurement in Education           |
| NIE    | National Institute of Education                        |
| NRC    | National Research Coordinator                          |
| NSF    | National Science Foundation                            |
| NYC    | New York City  |
| OECD   | Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development |
| OTL    | Opportunities to Learn                                 |
| PIRLS  | Progress in International Reading Literacy Study       |
| PISA   | Programme for International Student Assessment         |
| PPS    | Probability Proportional to Size                       |
| PST    | Preservice Teacher                                     |
| SE     | Standard Error   |
| SES    | Socioeconomic Status                                   |
| SMP    | Common Core State Standard for Mathematical Practice   |
| SRS    | Simple Random Sampling                                 |
| SSBIC  | Sample-Size Adjusted Bayesian Information Criterion    |
| STEM   | Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics      |
| TALIS  | Teaching and Learning International Survey             |
| TEDS-M | Teacher Education and Development Study in Mathematics |
| TIMSS  | Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study  |
| TP     | Teacher Preparation                                    |
| TPU    | Teacher Preparation Unit                               |
| TPI    | Teacher Preparedness Inventory                         |
| USA    | United States of America                               |
| WinW3S | Windows® Within-School Sampling Software               |