

# Design Research Foundations

## **Series Editors**

Ilpo Koskinen, School of Design, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong

Pieter E Vermaas, Department of Philosophy, Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands

## **Assistant Editor**

Clementine Thurgood, Faculty of Health, Arts and Design, Swinburne University of Technology, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

The goal of the series is to provide a platform for publishing state of the art research on foundational issues in design and its applications in industry and society. Suitable topics range from methodological issues in design research to philosophical reflections on the specificities of design rather than actual design work or empirical cases only. The definition of design behind the series is inclusive. In terms of disciplines, it ranges from engineering to architecture. In terms of design work, it ranges from conceptual issues in design through design experiments and prototypes to evaluative studies of design and its foundations.

Proposals should include:

A proposal form, as can be found on this page

A short synopsis of the work or the introduction chapter

The proposed Table of Contents

The CV of the lead author(s)

If available: one sample chapter

We aim to make a first decision within 1 month of submission. In case of a positive first decision the work will be provisionally contracted: the final decision about publication will depend upon the result of the anonymous peer review of the complete manuscript. The series editors aim to have the complete work peer-reviewed within 3 months of submission.

The series discourages the submission of manuscripts that contain reprints of previous published material and/or manuscripts that are below 150 pages/75,000 words.

For inquiries and submission of proposals authors can contact the series editors, Pieter Vermaas via: [p.e.vermaas@tudelft.nl](mailto:p.e.vermaas@tudelft.nl); or Ilpo Koskinen via: [ilpo.koskinen@polyu.edu.hk](mailto:ilpo.koskinen@polyu.edu.hk)

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/13775>

Annie Gentes

# The In-Discipline of Design

Bridging the Gap Between Humanities and  
Engineering

 Springer

Annie Gentes  
Codesign Lab, I3  
Telecom ParisTech  
Paris, France

ISSN 2366-4622

ISSN 2366-4630 (electronic)

Design Research Foundations

ISBN 978-3-319-65983-1

ISBN 978-3-319-65984-8 (eBook)

DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-65984-8

Library of Congress Control Number: 2017951023

© Springer International Publishing AG 2017

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature

The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG

The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

# Acknowledgments

## A Place to Start

This book started in a garage in Silicon Valley thanks to Alex Pang and his family who hosted me for a month when I first arrived for a sabbatical at Stanford. Alex is an amazing writer and thinker of our contemporary digital lives as well as a renowned futurologist. Starting this book in a garage was of course very emblematic of the local culture. More importantly, this sabbatical year as Alex aptly describes it in his book *Rest* was a turning point in my life. At long last, I could start a long reflexive work, stepping back from the everyday publish and perish (and teach, manage, and organize). Thank you so much Alex and Heather.

## Mentors

I am extremely grateful for Gerard Pogorel's advice to look into the conception and design of services at the beginning of my career. He has been a true mentor and helped me, through long discussions, to question and explore design practices and productions. Many people from Telecom ParisTech also included me in their projects. I want to thank Jean-Claude Moissinac who was the first to trust that we could bring together engineering, design, social, and human sciences. He opened the way to many other wonderful collaborations with Isabelle Demeure, Catherine Pelachaud, Michel Simatic, and Eric Gressier-Soudan (CNAM) who have been regularly quoted in this book, as well as designers from ENSCI, ENSAD, and Strate School of Design and in particular Frederique Pain who is a wonderful partner in research.

## Languages

French is my native tongue, while English is my adopted tongue. Translation is at the core of my experience. However, I despair of being ever really good at both. Kate Meyer's English language editing was outstanding in improving the texts. She read every sentence I wrote, fixed the ones she could, and was tactful about the ones beyond redemption.

## Frontiers

I want to give special credit to the artists and engineers, designers, social scientists, and humanities scholars, who have been mentioned many times in this book. They all brought me into their worlds where I could wonder at the richness of their contributions to knowledge and aesthetics. During my sabbatical year, I met some great people at Stanford who showed me a wide variety of design research which helped me enormously in deciding where I personally stood: in particular, Tim McAloon who does research in service and sustainable design and Mark Nelson and Margarita Quihuis who not only believe in peace innovation but also supported me when I faced major bureaucratic crisis. You have been incredible friends and a great inspiration.

## Teams

Writing is a lonely process where we face ourselves and our failings. However, being part of a team changes everything. This book would not have been possible without the support of members of the CodesignLab and of the MINES ParisTech Chair on Theories and Methods of Conception (TMC). I especially want to thank Armand Hatchuel for his wise recommendations, his encouragements, and the space of intellectual freedom that he has opened with his collaborators. It is thanks to him that I met so many good people who have helped me again and again like Anne-Françoise Schmid, Mathias Béjean, Paris Chrisos, and Muriel Mambrini. My heartfelt thanks also go to the pioneers of the CodesignLab including Aude Guyot and Camille Jutant, Tiphaine Kazi-Tani, Cédric Mivielle, and Max Mollon and all the members past and present of the CodesignLab who have devoted long hours to discussing ideas and eating chocolate.

## **Readers**

We probably all need a first enthusiastic reader to muster the courage to jump onto the first blank page and, more importantly, to go on writing. My heartfelt thanks go to Ted Selker, an IBM fellow and a fantastic pluridisciplinary scientist, whom I met at Carnegie Mellon University at Silicon Valley. He spent many hours sharing with me his amazing stories about all the great designs that he invented and pushed me to question every aspect of my own experience. His support was invaluable at a time of hesitation and doubt. Warren Sack was also among the first readers whose scope of scientific knowledge as well as attention to details was an invaluable contribution. I am also especially grateful for Ilpo Koskinen and Pieter Vermaas' patience and enthusiastic support for the book. They, with the anonymous scientific reviewers, provided invaluable advice on making the book more focused and, I hope, accessible to readers.

## **Family**

I am forever indebted to Benjamin and Cecilia on so many levels that it is hard to know where to start. I would have done nothing without their love and intelligence. I have tried a lot of my ideas, questions, and prototypes with them. They have been more than patient about my obsessive interest in design. Above all, they have given so much affection without which I would have found difficult to design my own existence. This book is dedicated to them.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Design as Meaning and Form Making: An Introduction</b>	1
1.1	Book General Viewpoint and Goal	1
1.1.1	Examples and Context	2
1.1.2	People	3
1.1.3	Hybrid Methods	4
1.1.4	Structure	5
1.2	Definitions of Design: The Challenge of New Beginnings	5
1.3	Epistemology of Design: Building the Future	7
1.3.1	Abduction and Formal Practice	7
1.3.2	Introducing Humanities to Design: Following Foucault and Peirce	8
1.4	The Humanities and the Designed Object	10
1.4.1	The Extension of the Media Sphere	11
1.4.2	Design and Media Studies	13
1.5	The Humanities as Active Methods for Design	13
1.6	The Humanities as Part of the Epistemology of Design	14
1.7	In the Field: Research Through Design	14
1.7.1	Research Through a Collection of Breaching Experiments	15
1.7.2	Reflective Research Practice: The Two “Moments” of Research Through Design	16
1.8	Book Overview	17
	References	21
<b>2</b>	<b>From Interactive Design to Reflective Design</b>	23
2.1	When Objects “Talk Back”: Design as a Strategy in Critical Aesthetics	23
2.1.1	“The Reflective Practitioners”	24
2.1.2	Outline of the Chapter	25
2.2	Sound “Mirror”: A Theory of the Mirroring Effect	26
2.2.1	Interactive Systems and Pleasure	27



2.2.2	Pleasure to Learn .....	28
2.3	The Concept of Interactivity: Tricks of a Concept .....	30
2.4	Resisting Interactivity .....	34
2.4.1	Two Use Cases: “Just Married” and “With Determination” .....	34
2.4.2	Defining Reflective in Design.....	38
2.4.3	Comparison Between Interactive/Reflective Metaphors .....	39
2.4.4	Reflective Technologies as a Metaphor for the Design Process.....	40
2.5	Designing for Reflection: Two Use Cases in Distributed Networks.....	42
2.5.1	Quick Overview of the Projects.....	42
2.5.2	The Bug That Saved Us .....	46
2.6	Reflective Objects for Reflective Design: A Delicate Balance of Signs .....	48
2.6.1	Reflectivity as Method.....	48
2.6.2	Reflectivity as Style .....	49
2.7	Conclusion: Reflective Design with Reflective Artifacts.....	52
	References .....	53
<b>3</b>	<b>Creative Figures of Users .....</b>	<b>57</b>
3.1	Introduction.....	57
3.1.1	Who Cares About the User? First Steps of a Survey .....	58
3.1.2	Politics of Prudence: “Know Thy Man” .....	60
3.1.3	Aesthetics: Answers Are Not Found in Numbers .....	62
3.2	Pluridisciplinarity in Research Frameworks: The Allegory of the User.....	66
3.2.1	Disembodied Technologies: The Rise of the Ellipse .....	66
3.2.2	Re-embodied Technologies: The Allegory of the User .....	69
3.2.3	First Conclusion: Frameworks for the Allegory of the User .....	70
3.3	Who Is the User? How to Organize Different Viewpoints on the Subject .....	71
3.3.1	PLUG: The Multifaceted User.....	72
3.3.2	From the Non-descript User to a Complex Visitor .....	74
3.3.3	The Users Challenge the Users.....	77
3.3.4	The Role of the “Figure of the User” in the Invention .....	77
3.4	Three Multidisciplinary Figures of the “User”: Multi-tasker, Aesthete, Reflective Practitioner.....	79
3.4.1	The User as a Figure of Multi-tasker and the Designer as the Efficient Inventor .....	79
3.4.2	The User as the Figure of the Aesthete and the Designer as a Virtuoso of Norms .....	81

3.4.3	The User as an Interpreter, and the Designer as a Messenger .....	82
3.5	Is the User a “Figure of Speech”?.....	84
	References .....	86
<b>4</b>	<b>The Poetics of Invention .....</b>	<b>89</b>
4.1	Questions and Methods.....	89
4.2	Poetic Versus Rhetoric .....	91
4.2.1	From the Rhetoric of Science... ..	92
4.2.2	... To the Poetics of Science .....	93
4.3	Speaking of Which... Word Invention.....	96
4.3.1	Scientific Puns: Play on Words and Definitions .....	97
4.3.2	Naming Projects: Acrobatic Acronyms.....	101
4.3.3	Scientific Logos: A Question of Identity .....	104
4.3.4	Literary and Visual Productions Supporting Engineering .....	107
4.4	Use Case and Story Boards: The Researcher as a Story Teller.....	109
4.4.1	Engineering “Use Cases” as Fairy Tales? .....	110
4.4.2	The designer’s Storyboards as Theater Sets?.....	117
4.4.3	Narrative Cultures.....	121
4.5	A New Genre: “Expansive Literature”, Suspension of Disbelief and Future Building .....	122
4.5.1	Fictions of Science as Reflection on the Present Times .....	123
4.5.2	How to Produce Good Narratives? .....	124
4.5.3	Beginning of a Typology .....	126
4.5.4	Staging “Change” .....	130
4.6	Conclusion: Design as Projection, Condensation and Expansion .....	131
	References .....	132
<b>5</b>	<b>Design as Composition of Tensions.....</b>	<b>135</b>
5.1	How to Organize a Design Crisis?.....	135
5.2	“Contrasting Semiotic Analysis”: The Semiotic Organization of a Confrontation.....	137
5.2.1	First Case: The e-Learning Platform VUE .....	139
5.2.2	“The Loneliness of the Long-Distance Learner” .....	140
5.2.3	“Empathy” and Togetherness in Other Media .....	143
5.2.4	The Result: VUE as a Digital Control Room to Fight Loneliness.....	144
5.2.5	Definition of the “Contrasting Semiotic Analysis” .....	145
5.3	Using Several Tools as a Confrontational Technique .....	147
5.3.1	A Lesson from Art: Designing a Three-Stage Show .....	147
5.3.2	From Writing a Text to Exploring Writing Tools .....	149
5.3.3	Mixing Software: The Organization of the Confrontation .....	152
5.3.4	Designing a Field of Tensions to Fight the Apparatuses .....	156
5.4	Conclusion: “Two to Start” .....	158

5.4.1	Going from a Metaphysics of Design to a Pragmatic of Design.....	159
5.4.2	The Art of Composing .....	161
5.4.3	From Inductive/ Deductive Methods to Projective Abductive Methods in Design .....	162
5.4.4	“Projective Abduction” .....	164
5.4.5	“The Earth Is Blue Like an Orange”. The Claim to Paradox and Coherence .....	167
5.4.6	Open Conclusion: Design as an Apparatus of Tensions.....	169
	References .....	171
<b>6</b>	<b>Design as Debate: The Thing Beyond the Object.....</b>	<b>175</b>
6.1	Design as Debate: First Definition.....	175
6.2	Nicolas Frespech: “Tell Me Your Secrets”. A Story of Censorship in Art.....	177
6.2.1	Public Art and Private Contributions: Aesthetics of the Internet.....	178
6.2.2	Offering a Space for Debate .....	179
6.2.3	Lessons from Nicolas Frespech’s “Tell Me Your Secrets” .....	181
6.3	Debate in Research: Designing the Demonstrator.....	183
6.3.1	The Epistemological Complexity of the Demonstrator .....	184
6.3.2	A Feeling of Closure.....	186
6.3.3	Nothing Is Forever: A Very Special Design, “Infra Design” .....	188
6.3.4	A “Transparent” Design of “Open Objects” .....	190
6.3.5	The Demonstrator in Action: Tests and Interpretation .....	191
6.3.6	Lessons from Demonstrators: An Aesthetic of Bugs and a Crisis Communication .....	193
6.4	Critical Design: Designers Questioning Their Contribution .....	197
6.4.1	Design Exploration as a Step Beyond Sociology of Technologies.....	197
6.4.2	Tobie Kerridge – The Biojewelry Project .....	199
6.4.3	Designing for a Design Space “Out of Place” .....	202
6.5	Conclusion: The “Thing” Reopening the “Object” .....	203
6.5.1	Critical Strategies and the Incomplete Thing .....	204
6.5.2	“Critical Technical Practice”: For a General Theory of Scientific Change .....	205
6.5.3	Ethical and Political Stakes: Who Can Debate? .....	208
6.5.4	From Objects to Things: The New Design Rationale.....	209
	References .....	211
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusion: The Indiscipline of Design.....</b>	<b>213</b>
7.1	Introduction: Far Too Many Disciplines or Not Enough? .....	213
7.2	Addition of Disciplines: The “Leonardesque Aspiration” .....	214
7.2.1	What Comes First? Object or Activity?.....	215
7.2.2	Hybridization of Concepts.....	216

- 7.3 « Under-Determination » as the Design Episteme..... 218
  - 7.3.1 “Under-Determination” of Disciplines  
and “Integrative Thing” ..... 219
  - 7.3.2 Pluri-Disciplinarity as the Foundation: Challenging  
the Subordination of Disciplines ..... 223
  - 7.3.3 The Aesthetics of the Demonstrator ..... 224
- 7.4 Under-Determination in Action: Discovering the Generative  
Properties of the Integrative Thing ..... 225
  - 7.4.1 Computer Sciences’ Under-Determination of Game Design  
Theories ..... 225
  - 7.4.2 Museology and Human Sciences’ Under-Determination  
of Computer Sciences ..... 226
  - 7.4.3 Human-Machine Interfaces’ Under-Determination  
of Information and Communication Sciences ..... 227
  - 7.4.4 The Demonstrator as an Integrative Thing Between the  
Disciplines ..... 228
- 7.5 The Forgotten Discipline: The Humanities..... 229
  - 7.5.1 Science as a Generative Space of Fiction and Experience ..... 229
  - 7.5.2 Lessons Learned Along the Way ..... 232
- 7.6 Conclusion: Contriving Observation and Analysis of the  
In-Discipline of Design..... 237
  - 7.6.1 The Methodological Benefit of the Integrative Thing ..... 237
  - 7.6.2 The In-Discipline of Design ..... 238
  - 7.6.3 Pluri-Disciplinarity in Practice ..... 243
  - 7.6.4 Last Word on In-Discipline: The Grace of the  
Heterogeneous ..... 244
- References ..... 245