

Commenced Publication in 1973

Founding and Former Series Editors:

Gerhard Goos, Juris Hartmanis, and Jan van Leeuwen

Editorial Board

David Hutchison

Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK

Takeo Kanade

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

Josef Kittler

University of Surrey, Guildford, UK

Jon M. Kleinberg

Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

Friedemann Mattern

ETH Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland

John C. Mitchell

Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA

Moni Naor

Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel

C. Pandu Rangan

Indian Institute of Technology, Madras, India

Bernhard Steffen

TU Dortmund University, Dortmund, Germany

Demetri Terzopoulos

University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

Doug Tygar

University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA

Gerhard Weikum

Max Planck Institute for Informatics, Saarbrücken, Germany


More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/7407>


Faith Ellen · Antonina Kolokolova
Jörg-Rüdiger Sack (Eds.)

Algorithms and Data Structures

15th International Symposium, WADS 2017
St. John's, NL, Canada, July 31 – August 2, 2017
Proceedings

Editors

Faith Ellen 
University of Toronto
Toronto, ON
Canada

Jörg-Rüdiger Sack 
Carleton University
Ottawa, ON
Canada

Antonina Kolokolova
Memorial University of Newfoundland
St. John's, NL
Canada

ISSN 0302-9743 ISSN 1611-3349 (electronic)
Lecture Notes in Computer Science
ISBN 978-3-319-62126-5 ISBN 978-3-319-62127-2 (eBook)
DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-62127-2

Library of Congress Control Number: 2017945725

LNCS Sublibrary: SL1 – Theoretical Computer Science and General Issues

© Springer International Publishing AG 2017

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature
The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Preface

This volume contains the papers presented at the 15th International Algorithms and Data Structures Symposium (WADS 2017), which was held from July 31 to August 2, 2017, in St. John's, Newfoundland, Canada. WADS, which alternates with the Scandinavian Symposium and Workshops on Algorithm Theory, SWAT, is a forum for researchers in the area of design and analysis of algorithms and data structures.

In response to the call for papers, 109 papers were submitted. From these submissions, the Program Committee selected 49 papers for presentation at WADS 2017, using a combination of online discussion in EasyChair and a one-day video conference. In addition, invited lectures were given by Pankaj Agarwal (Duke University), Michael Saks (Rutgers University), and Virginia Vassilevska Williams (MIT).

Special issues of papers selected from WADS 2017 are planned for two journals, *Algorithmica* and *Computational Geometry: Theory and Applications*.

We gratefully acknowledge the support of the WADS 2017 sponsors: Memorial University of Newfoundland, The Fields Institute for Research in Mathematical Sciences, Elsevier, and Springer.

July 2017

Faith Ellen
Antonina Kolokolova
Jörg-Rüdiger Sack

Organization

Conference Chair and Local Arrangements Chair

Antonina Kolokolova Memorial University of Newfoundland, Canada

Program Committee Co-chairs

Faith Ellen University of Toronto, Canada
Antonina Kolokolova Memorial University of Newfoundland, Canada
Jörg-Rüdiger Sack Carleton University, Canada

Steering Committee

Frank Dehne Carleton University, Canada
Faith Ellen University of Toronto, Canada
Ian Munro University of Waterloo, Canada
Jörg-Rüdiger Sack Carleton University, Canada
Roberto Tamassia Brown University, USA

Program Committee

Ittai Abraham VMware Research, Israel
Hee-Kap Ahn Pohang University of Science and Technology, Korea
Nina Amenta University of California, Davis, USA
Sayan Bhattacharya University of Warwick, UK
Therese Biedl University of Waterloo, Canada
Joan Boyar University of Southern Denmark, Denmark
Martin Dietzfelbinger Technische Universität Ilmenau, Germany
Stephane Durocher University of Manitoba, Canada
Funda Ergun Indiana University, USA
Martín Farach-Colton Rutgers University, USA
Fedor Fomin University of Bergen, Norway
Travis Gagie Diego Portales University, Chile
Thore Husfeld IT University of Copenhagen, Denmark
 and Lund University, Sweden
Michael Kerber Graz University of Technology, Austria
David Kirkpatrick University of British Columbia, Canada
Ramesh Krishnamurti Simon Fraser University, Canada
Kasper Green Larsen Aarhus University, Denmark
Vangelis Markakis Athens University of Economics and Business, Greece
Aleksandar Nikolov University of Toronto, Canada
Naomi Nishimura University of Waterloo, Canada

Richard Peng	Georgia Institute of Technology, USA
Seth Pettie	University of Michigan, USA
Liam Roditty	Bar-Ilan University, Israel
Sandeep Sen	Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi, India
Monique Teillaud	Inria Nancy-Grand Est, France
Takeshi Tokuyama	Tohoku University, Japan
Virginia Vassilevska Williams	Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA
Todd Wareham	Memorial University of Newfoundland, Canada
Qin Zhang	Indiana University Bloomington, USA

Additional Reviewers

Mohammad Ali Abam	Olivier Devillers
Josh Alman	Michael Dinitz
Spyros Angelopoulos	Yago Diez Donoso
Esther Arkin	Feodor Dragan
Martin Aumüller	Ran Duan
Franz Aurenhammer	Charilaos Efthymiou
Mauricio Ayala-Rincon	Eduard Eiben
Erfan Sadeqi Azer	Matthias Englert
Sang Won Bae	David Eppstein
Aritra Banik	Leah Epstein
Gill Barequet	William Evans
Djamal Belazzougui	Rolf Fagerberg
Robert Benkoczi	Lene Favrholdt
Binay Bhattacharya	Andreas Emil Feldmann
Arijit Bishnu	Yuval Filmus
Hans L. Bodlaender	Johannes Fischer
Piotr Borowiecki	Kyle Fox
Jean Cardinal	Mathew Francis
Paz Carmi	Jie Gao
Timothy M. Chan	Daya Gaur
Steven Chaplick	Ellen Gethner
Jiecao Chen	Arijit Ghosh
Man Kwun Chiu	Archontia Giannopoulou
Keerti Choudhary	Petr Golovach
Nachshon Cohen	Carsten Grimm
Vincent Cohen-Adda	Allan Grønlund
Alexander Conway	Martin Groß
Søren Dahlgaard	Joachim Gudmundsson
Peter Damaschke	Manoj Gupta
Syamantak Das	Shalmoli Gupta
Mark de Berg	Sariel Har-Peled
Jean-Lou De Carufel	Meng He

Martin Hoefler
Chien-Chung Huang
Thomas Hull
Ehsan Iranmanesh
Mark Jerrum
Hossein Jowhari
Stasys Jukna
Mark Keil
Kamyar Khodamoradi
Elena Khramtcova
Philipp Kindermann
Peter Kling
Chip Klostermeyer
Christian Knauer
Mathias Bæk Tejs Knudsen
Janne H. Korhonen
Matias Korman
Daniel Kral
Stefan Kratsch
Ravishankar Krishnaswamy
Janardhan Kulkarni
Amit Kumar
Woden Kusner
Jakub Łacki
Kim Skak Larsen
Samuli Leppänen
Jian Li
Pak Ching Li
Yi Li
Bingkai Lin
Daniel Lokshtanov
Aaron Lowe
Anna Lubiw
Bin Ma
Pasin Manurangsi
Euripides Markou
Tyler Mayer
Saeed Mehrabi
Moti Medina
Victor Milenkovic
Tillmann Miltzow
Gopinath Misra
Joseph Mitchell
Dieter Mitsche
Valia Mitsou
Reza Modarres
Debajyoti Mondal
Gila Morgenstern
Miguel A. Mosteiro
Wolfgang Mulzer
Ian Munro
Nabil Mustafa
Petra Mutzel
Jesper Nederlof
Stefan Neumann
Huy L. Nguyen
Patrick Nicholson
Jesper Sindahl Nielsen
Arnur Nigmatov
Nicolas Nisse
Jakob Nordström
Eunjin Oh
Yota Otachi
John Owens
Jeff M. Phillips
Rameshwar Pratap
Sharath Raghvendra
Benjamin Raichel
Rajiv Raman
Mathias Rav
Saurabh Ray
Jean-Florent Raymond
André van Renssen
Marcel Roeloffzen
Luis M.S. Russo
Yogish Sabharwal
Sushant Sachdeva
Vera Sacristan
Toshiki Saitoh
Paweł Schmidt
Hannah Schreiber
Oded Schwartz
Roy Schwartz
Akiyoshi Shioura
Rakesh Sinha
Michiel Smid
Shay Solomon
Bettina Speckmann
Abhinav Srivastav
Frank Staals
Thomas Steinke
Torstein Strømme

Jan Arne Telle
Sharma V. Thankachan
Csaba Toth
Meng-Tsung Tsai
Charalampos Tsourakakis
Ryuhei Uehara
Seeun William Umboh
Birgit Vogtenhuber
Erik Waingarten
Di Wang
Haitao Wang
Junxing Wang

Josh Wang
Sebastian Wild
Ryan Williams
Steve Wismath
Prudence Wong
Christian Wulff-Nilsen
Jie Xue
Sang Duk Yoon
Hamid Zarrabi-Zadeh
Haoyu Zhang
Yuan Zhou

Abstracts of Invited Lectures

Algorithms for Geometric Similarity: Recent Developments

Pankaj K. Agarwal

Department of Computer Science, Duke University, Durham, USA
pankaj@cs.duke.edu

Abstract. A basic problem in classifying, or searching for similar objects, in a large set of geometric objects is computing similarity between two objects. There has been extensive work on computing geometric similarity between two objects. In many applications, it is not sufficient to return a single similarity score. Instead, a map between two objects that identifies shared structures is needed.

This talk discusses some recent work on computing maps between two or more objects. The talk consists of three parts. The first part focuses on computing maps between two weighted point sets, say, distributions. The second part is devoted to computing maps between a pair of trajectories. The third part will briefly discuss computing Gromov-Hausdorff distance between two metric spaces.

How efficiently can easy dynamic programs be approximated?

Michael Saks

Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, USA
saks@math.rutgers.edu

Abstract. In many of the simplest examples of dynamic programming, inputs of size n are processed by constructing an $n \times n$ matrix, where each entry is obtained by a simple function of a few entries above and to the left. This yields a simple $O(n^2)$ algorithm for such problems. These algorithms naturally arise, for example, in evaluating various distance measures between two strings, such as LCS (longest common subsequence) distance, Edit Distance, Frechet Distance, and Dynamic Time Warping Distance, and the i, j entry of the matrix gives the desired measure between the length i prefix of the first string, and the length j prefix of the second. With few exceptions (such as the Longest Increasing Subsequence (LIS) problem where the quadratic time algorithm has been improved to $O(n \log(n))$), these quadratic time dynamic programming algorithms remain essentially the fastest exact algorithms (except for $n^{o(1)}$ factor improvements). This phenomenon has been the focus of much recent research in *fine grain complexity*, and it has been shown that for many such problems, reducing the running time to $O(n^{2-\epsilon})$ would contradict the Strong Exponential Time Hypothesis (e.g., Bringmann [7], Abboud, Backurs and Williams [2], Backurs and Indyk [6] and Bringmann and Kunnemann [8].)

If we are willing to accept a good approximation (rather than the exact answer), then there is much less evidence that quadratic complexity is needed. Bringmann [7] proved that the Strong Exponential Hypothesis implies that truly subquadratic algorithms cannot achieve approximation factors arbitrarily close to 1. Abboud and Backurs [1] provided complexity theoretic evidence that truly subquadratic deterministic algorithms cannot achieve approximation factors arbitrarily close to 1 for edit distance and LCS-distance.

If we allow randomized algorithms, it is quite possible that problems such as edit distance and LCS distance have constant factor approximation algorithms that are significantly faster than quadratic. Andoni, Krauthgamer and Onak [4] gave a nearly linear time algorithm that achieves a polylogarithmic approximation to edit distance. For certain special cases of LCS-distance, arbitrarily good *additive error* approximation algorithms are known that are substantially faster than the best exact algorithms. For the LIS Problem, Saks and Seshadhri [11] (following the work of Ailon, Chazelle, Comandur and Liu [3] and Parnas, Ron and Rubinfeld [10]) developed such an additive approximation

whose running time is only polylogarithmic in the length of the input. For the special case of LCS-distance between two permutations of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ (Ulam Distance), Naumovitz, Saks and Seshadhri [9] (following earlier work of Andoni and Nguyen [5]) obtained such an additive approximation running in time $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{n})$.

References

1. Abboud, A., Backurs, A.: Towards hardness of approximation for polynomial time problems. In: Conference on Innovations in Theoretical Computer Science (ITCS) (2017)
2. Abboud, A., Backurs, A., Williams, V.V.: Quadratic-Time Hardness of LCS and other Sequence Similarity Measures. CoRR, abs/1501.07053 (2015)
3. Ailon, N., Chazelle, B., Comandur, S., Liu, D.: Estimating the distance to a monotone function. *Random Struct. Algorithms* **31**, 371–383 (2017)
4. Andoni, A., Krauthgamer, R., Onak, K.: Polylogarithmic approximation for edit distance and the asymmetric query complexity. In: IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS), pp. 377–386 (2010)
5. Andoni, A., Nguyen, H.L.: Near-optimal sublinear time algorithms for Ulam distance. In: Proceedings of the 21st Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA), pp. 76–86 (2010)
6. Backurs, A., Indyk, P.: Edit distance cannot be computed in strongly subquadratic time (unless SETH is false). In: Proceedings of the Forty-Seventh Annual ACM on Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC), pp. 51–58 (2015)
7. Bringmann, K.: Why walking the dog takes time: Frechet distance has no strongly subquadratic algorithms unless SETH fails. CoRR, abs/1404.1448 (2014)
8. Bringmann, K., Kunnemann, M.: Quadratic conditional lower bounds for string problems and dynamic time warping. In: Proceedings of the 56th Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS), pp. 79–97 (2015)
9. Naumovitz, T., Saks, M., Seshadhri, C.: Accurate and nearly optimal sublinear approximations to Ulam distance. In: SIAM-ACM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, pp. 2012–2031 (2017)
10. Parnas, M., Ron, D., Rubinfeld, R.: Tolerant property testing and distance approximation. *J. Comput. Syst. Sci.* **6**, 1012–1042 (2006)
11. Saks, M., Seshadhri, C.: Estimating the longest increasing sequence in polylogarithmic time. *SIAM J. Comput.* **46**(2), 774–823 (2017)

Fine-Grained Complexity of Problems in P

Virginia Vassilevska Williams

Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence Laboratory,
Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, USA
virgi@mit.edu

Abstract. A central goal of algorithmic research is to determine how fast computational problems can be solved in the worst case. Theorems from complexity theory state that there are problems that, on inputs of size n , can be solved in $t(n)$ time but not in $t(n)^{1-\varepsilon}$ time for $\varepsilon > 0$. The main challenge is to determine where in this hierarchy various natural and important problems lie. Throughout the years, many ingenious algorithmic techniques have been developed and applied to obtain blazingly fast algorithms for many problems. Nevertheless, for many other central problems, the best known running times are essentially those of their classical algorithms from the 1950s and 1960s.

Unconditional lower bounds seem very difficult to obtain, and so practically all known time lower bounds are conditional. For years, the main tool for proving hardness of computational problems have been NP-hardness reductions, basing hardness on $P \neq NP$. However, when we care about the exact running time (as opposed to merely polynomial vs non-polynomial), NP-hardness is not applicable, especially if the problem is already solvable in polynomial time. In recent years, a new theory has been developed, based on “fine-grained reductions” that focus on exact running times. Mimicking NP-hardness, the approach is to (1) select a key problem X that is conjectured to require essentially $t(n)$ time for some t , and (2) reduce X in a fine-grained way to many important problems. This approach has led to the discovery of many meaningful relationships between problems, and even sometimes to equivalence classes.

The main key problems used to base hardness on have been: the 3SUM problem, the CNF-SAT problem (based on the Strong Exponential Time Hypothesis (SETH)) and the All Pairs Shortest Paths Problem. Research on SETH-based lower bounds has flourished in particular in recent years showing that the classical algorithms are optimal for problems such as Approximate Diameter, Edit Distance, Frechet Distance, Longest Common Subsequence, many dynamic graph problems, etc.

In this talk I will give an overview of the current progress in this area of study, and will highlight some exciting new developments.

Contents

Covering Segments with Unit Squares	1
<i>Ankush Acharyya, Subhas C. Nandy, Supantha Pandit, and Sasanka Roy</i>	
Replica Placement on Bounded Treewidth Graphs.	13
<i>Anshul Aggarwal, Venkatesan T. Chakaravarthy, Neelima Gupta, Yogish Sabharwal, Sachin Sharma, and Sonika Thakral</i>	
Fast Exact Algorithms for Survivable Network Design with Uniform Requirements	25
<i>Akanksha Agrawal, Pranabendu Misra, Fahad Panolan, and Saket Saurabh</i>	
The Complexity of Tree Partitioning	37
<i>Zhao An, Qilong Feng, Iyad Kanj, and Ge Xia</i>	
Effectiveness of Local Search for Art Gallery Problems.	49
<i>Sayan Bandyopadhyay and Aniket Basu Roy</i>	
Parameterized Complexity of Geometric Covering Problems Having Conflicts.	61
<i>Aritra Banik, Fahad Panolan, Venkatesh Raman, Vibha Sahlot, and Saket Saurabh</i>	
Obedient Plane Drawings for Disk Intersection Graphs	73
<i>Bahareh Banyassady, Michael Hoffmann, Boris Klemz, Maarten Löffler, and Tillmann Miltzow</i>	
δ -Greedy t -spanner	85
<i>Gali Bar-On and Paz Carmi</i>	
Dynamic Graph Coloring	97
<i>Luis Barba, Jean Cardinal, Matias Korman, Stefan Langerman, André van Renssen, Marcel Roeloffzen, and Sander Verdonschot</i>	
Universal Hinge Patterns for Folding Strips Efficiently into Any Grid Polyhedron	109
<i>Nadia M. Benbernou, Erik D. Demaine, Martin L. Demaine, and Anna Lubiw</i>	
An Optimal XP Algorithm for Hamiltonian Cycle on Graphs of Bounded Clique-width	121
<i>Benjamin Bergougnoux, Mamadou Moustapha Kanté, and O-Joung Kwon</i>	

Improved Algorithms for Computing k -Sink on Dynamic Flow Path Networks	133
<i>Binay Bhattacharya, Mordecai J. Golin, Yuya Higashikawa, Tsunehiko Kameda, and Naoki Katoh</i>	
A 2-Approximation for the Height of Maximal Outerplanar Graph Drawings	145
<i>Therese Biedl and Philippe Demontigny</i>	
Splitting B_2 -VPG Graphs into Outer-string and Co-comparability Graphs	157
<i>Therese Biedl and Martin Derka</i>	
A Deterministic Algorithm for Online Steiner Tree Leasing	169
<i>Marcin Bienkowski, Artur Kraska, and Paweł Schmidt</i>	
The I/O Complexity of Strassen's Matrix Multiplication with Recomputation.	181
<i>Gianfranco Bilardi and Lorenzo De Stefani</i>	
Maximum Plane Trees in Multipartite Geometric Graphs	193
<i>Ahmad Biniarz, Prosenjit Bose, Kimberly Crosbie, Jean-Lou De Carufel, David Eppstein, Anil Maheshwari, and Michiel Smid</i>	
Local Routing in Spanners Based on WSPDs	205
<i>Prosenjit Bose, Jean-Lou De Carufel, Vida Dujmović, and Frédéric Paradis</i>	
Relaxing the Irrevocability Requirement for Online Graph Algorithms.	217
<i>Joan Boyar, Lene M. Favrholdt, Michal Kotrbčák, and Kim S. Larsen</i>	
Approximating Small Balanced Vertex Separators in Almost Linear Time . . .	229
<i>Sebastian Brandt and Roger Wattenhofer</i>	
Balanced Line Separators of Unit Disk Graphs	241
<i>Paz Carmi, Man Kwun Chiu, Matthew J. Katz, Matias Korman, Yoshio Okamoto, André van Renssen, Marcel Roeloffzen, Taichi Shitada, and Shakhar Smorodinsky</i>	
All-Pairs Shortest Paths in Geometric Intersection Graphs	253
<i>Timothy M. Chan and Dimitrios Skrepetos</i>	
The Complexity of Drawing Graphs on Few Lines and Few Planes.	265
<i>Steven Chaplick, Krzysztof Fleszar, Fabian Lipp, Alexander Ravsky, Oleg Verbitsky, and Alexander Wolff</i>	
Modular Circulation and Applications to Traffic Management.	277
<i>Philip Dasler and David M. Mount</i>	

Contents	XIX
The Homogeneous Broadcast Problem in Narrow and Wide Strips	289
<i>Mark de Berg, Hans L. Bodlaender, and Sándor Kisfaludi-Bak</i>	
Minimizing the Continuous Diameter when Augmenting a Tree with a Shortcut	301
<i>Jean-Lou De Carufel, Carsten Grimm, Stefan Schirra, and Michiel Smid</i>	
Inapproximability of the Standard Pebble Game and Hard to Pebble Graphs	313
<i>Erik D. Demaine and Quanquan C. Liu</i>	
Capacitated Center Problems with Two-Sided Bounds and Outliers	325
<i>Hu Ding, Lunjia Hu, Lingxiao Huang, and Jian Li</i>	
Faster Randomized Worst-Case Update Time for Dynamic Subgraph Connectivity	337
<i>Ran Duan and Le Zhang</i>	
Improved Distance Sensitivity Oracles via Tree Partitioning	349
<i>Ran Duan and Tianyi Zhang</i>	
Delta-Fast Tries: Local Searches in Bounded Universes with Linear Space	361
<i>Marcel Ehrhardt and Wolfgang Mulzer</i>	
Split Packing: Packing Circles into Triangles with Optimal Worst-Case Density	373
<i>Sándor P. Fekete, Sebastian Morr, and Christian Scheffer</i>	
Fast and Compact Planar Embeddings	385
<i>Leo Ferres, José Fuentes, Travis Gagie, Meng He, and Gonzalo Navarro</i>	
When Can Graph Hyperbolicity be Computed in Linear Time?	397
<i>Till Fluschnik, Christian Komusiewicz, George B. Mertzios, André Nichterlein, Rolf Niedermeier, and Nimrod Talmon</i>	
Optimal Query Time for Encoding Range Majority	409
<i>Paweł Gawrychowski and Patrick K. Nicholson</i>	
Conditional Lower Bounds for Space/Time Tradeoffs	421
<i>Isaac Goldstein, Tsvi Kopelowitz, Moshe Lewenstein, and Ely Porat</i>	
Posimodular Function Optimization	437
<i>Magnús M. Halldórsson, Toshimasa Ishii, Kazuhisa Makino, and Kenjiro Takazawa</i>	

How to Play Hot and Cold on a Line	449
<i>Herman Haverkort, David Kübel, Elmar Langetepe, and Barbara Schwarzwald</i>	
Faster Algorithm for Truth Discovery via Range Cover	461
<i>Ziyun Huang, Hu Ding, and Jinhui Xu</i>	
Searching Edges in the Overlap of Two Plane Graphs	473
<i>John Iacono, Elena Khramtcova, and Stefan Langerman</i>	
Improved Average Complexity for Comparison-Based Sorting	485
<i>Kazuo Iwama and Junichi Teruyama</i>	
An EPTAS for Scheduling on Unrelated Machines of Few Different Types	497
<i>Klaus Jansen and Marten Maack</i>	
A Polynomial Kernel for Distance-Hereditary Vertex Deletion	509
<i>Eun Jung Kim and O-joung Kwon</i>	
Busy Time Scheduling on a Bounded Number of Machines (Extended Abstract).	521
<i>Frederic Koehler and Samir Khuller</i>	
Algorithms for Covering Multiple Barriers	533
<i>Shimin Li and Haitao Wang</i>	
An Improved Algorithm for Diameter-Optimally Augmenting Paths in a Metric Space	545
<i>Haitao Wang</i>	
Covering Uncertain Points in a Tree	557
<i>Haitao Wang and Jingru Zhang</i>	
Stochastic Closest-pair Problem and Most-likely Nearest-neighbor Search in Tree Spaces	569
<i>Jie Xue and Yuan Li</i>	
On the Expected Diameter, Width, and Complexity of a Stochastic Convex-hull	581
<i>Jie Xue, Yuan Li, and Ravi Janardan</i>	
Author Index	593