

# **T-Labs Series in Telecommunication Services**

## **Series editors**

Sebastian Möller, Berlin, Germany

Axel Küpper, Berlin, Germany

Alexander Raake, Berlin, Germany

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/10013>

Benjamin Bähr

# Prototyping of User Interfaces for Mobile Applications

 Springer

Benjamin Bähr  
Quality and Usability Lab (TEL 18)  
Technische Universität Berlin  
Berlin  
Germany

ISSN 2192-2810                      ISSN 2192-2829 (electronic)  
T-Labs Series in Telecommunication Services  
ISBN 978-3-319-53209-7              ISBN 978-3-319-53210-3 (eBook)  
DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-53210-3

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016963744

© Springer International Publishing AG 2017

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature  
The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG  
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

# Acknowledgements

This text presents the results of the research I did for my dissertation. A number of persons supported me during this work. They shaped me in scientific debates, kept an open ear to my questions, or strengthened my back when work was tough. They helped to turn the past years into a time that was instructive, rich of experience, and overall very enjoyable to me. I do thank them very much.

First of all, there is Sebastian Möller. The commitment with which he supported me, even when his time was scarce, was exceptional and more I could ask for. He consulted me in countless meetings, kept replying to my needs in no time, and he established an atmosphere in his chair that was truly inspiring to me with its diversity and open-mindedness.

I am truly thankful for the support I received from Michael Rohs. Along with Sven Kratz, he put my scientific career onto track. Even though Michael left Berlin early to follow his academic career, he continued to support my research with regular remote mentoring and numerous meetings in Hannover.

Not less thankful I am for the support of Johann Habakuk Israel. With his constructive feedback he gave me inspiration and motivation even before I was able to gain him as a third supervisor. My work gained hugely from his input about the theory and psychology of sketching.

Further, I want to thank all the colleagues of my chair, the Quality and Usability Labs. They always showed interest and supported me in my work. I enjoyed an atmosphere, characterized not by concurrency, but by collegiality and helpfulness. Needless to say, I am truly happy about much friendship that evolved from my time at the chair.

Explicitly, I want to thank Irene Hube-Achter and Yasmin Hillebrenner for the administrative support and for steering my projects through the cliffs of bureaucracy!

Moreover, I want to thank my colleagues of the Telekom Innovation Laboratories that provided me with a generous and inspiring working surrounding.

I want to thank my fellow researchers of the Rethinking Prototyping research project that opened my view on the topic of prototyping to a more versatile and interdisciplinary perspective.

Thanks to my fellow stipendiats of the Prometei Graduate School that helped me getting into my research topic in the first years of my dissertation.

I want to thank the institutions that helped me in funding my research project, which are the DFG, the Einstein Stiftung Berlin, and the BMWi funded Software campus research grant.

Special thanks to Franzi and Rainer of the Berliner Ensemble, for helping me out with welding and serious metalwork in hard-hardware setup of my tabletop environment.

I am very thankful to all my friends and my family. They make my life!

I want to thank Alice for accompanying me throughout my dissertation, and turning this period into a wonderful part of my life.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	1
<b>2</b>	<b>State of Prototyping Mobile Application User-Interfaces</b>	5
2.1	Meaning and Purpose of Prototyping	5
2.1.1	Definition of Prototyping	5
2.1.2	Prototype Information Goals	6
2.1.3	Prototyping Paradigms	7
2.2	The Paper-Based Prototyping Approach	9
2.2.1	The Paper-Based Prototyping Session	10
2.2.2	Advantages of the Paper-Based Prototyping Method	11
2.3	Prototyping of Mobile User Interfaces	14
2.3.1	Low-Fidelity Prototyping in the Mobile Context	15
2.3.2	Mixed-Fidelity Prototyping Approaches	17
2.3.3	Influence of the Sketching Media on the Prototyping Process	27
2.3.4	Comparison of Mobile Prototyping Approaches	28
2.4	Research Objectives	32
<b>3</b>	<b>Prototyping Requirements</b>	37
3.1	Identification of Requirements from Literature Research	38
3.1.1	Requirements Regarding the Prototype Design Process	38
3.1.2	Requirements Regarding the Prototype Evaluation	41
3.1.3	Requirements Tool Implications on the Prototype's Nature	44
3.2	Assessment of the Requirement with Expert Practitioners	46
3.2.1	Study Objectives	46
3.2.2	Study Design	47
3.2.3	Results of Expert Survey	49
3.3	Discussion of the Results	56

<b>4 Blended Prototyping—Design and Implementation</b> . . . . .	59
4.1 Approach and Development . . . . .	59
4.1.1 Blended Prototyping Design Paradigms . . . . .	59
4.1.2 Feedback Driven Development . . . . .	60
4.2 Blended Prototyping—System and Process Architecture . . . . .	61
4.2.1 System Overview . . . . .	61
4.2.2 Module 1—The Design Tool . . . . .	63
4.2.3 Module 2—The Creation Tool . . . . .	71
4.2.4 Module 3—The Testing Tool . . . . .	76
4.3 Design Decisions in the System Implementation . . . . .	78
4.3.1 Implementation of the Design Tool. . . . .	78
4.3.2 Implementation of the Creation Tool. . . . .	85
4.3.3 Implementation of the Testing Tool . . . . .	86
4.4 Discussion of the System Implementation . . . . .	87
<b>5 Comparative Evaluation of Blended Prototyping</b> . . . . .	93
5.1 Choice of Comparative Prototyping Tools for the Evaluation. . . . .	93
5.2 Identifying Performance Indices for the Comparative Evaluation . . . . .	94
5.2.1 Identifying Candidates from the Requirements Catalog. . . . .	94
5.2.2 Considering the Type of Evaluation Method. . . . .	96
5.2.3 Discussing Assessment Methods for Identified Requirements . . . . .	97
5.3 Conducting the Comparative Study . . . . .	107
5.3.1 Study Objectives. . . . .	108
5.3.2 Study Design. . . . .	108
5.3.3 Study Results . . . . .	117
5.4 Conclusions of the Comparative Evaluation . . . . .	126
<b>6 Conclusion and Future Work.</b> . . . . .	129
6.1 Conclusion . . . . .	129
6.2 Future Work. . . . .	134
<b>Appendices.</b> . . . . .	137
<b>References</b> . . . . .	153



# Abbreviations

ANOVA	Analysis of variances
ATT	Measure of attractiveness
AX	Axure prototyping software
BP	Blended prototyping
CAQ	Creativity assessment questionnaire
CSCW	Computer supported collaborated work
CSV	File format of comma separated values
CV	Computer vision
DR	Design reviews
DSLR	Digital single-lens reflex camera
GPU	Graphics processing unit
GUI	Graphical user interface
HCI	Human computer interaction
HQ-I	Measure of hedonic quality—identification
HQ-S	Measure of hedonic quality—stimulation
HTTPS	Hypertext transfer protocol secure
ICC	Intra-class correlation coefficient
JSON	Javascript object notation
JVM	Java virtual machine
MVC	Model view controller design pattern
OTAS	Observational teamwork assessment survey
PBP	Paper-based prototyping
PQ	Measure of pragmatic quality
PT	Prototype
RQ	Research question
SD	Standard deviation
SDK	Standard development kit

SE	Standard error
UI	User interface
URL	Uniform resource locator
XML	Extensible markup language