
Springer Texts in Education

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/13812>

Garland E. Allen · Jeffrey J.W. Baker

Scientific Process and Social Issues in Biology Education

Garland E. Allen
Department of Biology
Washington University in St. Louis
St. Louis, MO
USA

Jeffrey J.W. Baker
Wesleyan University
Middletown, CT
USA

ISSN 2366-7672

ISSN 2366-7980 (electronic)

Springer Texts in Education

ISBN 978-3-319-44378-2

ISBN 978-3-319-44380-5 (eBook)

DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-44380-5

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016947760

© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2017

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature

The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG Switzerland

Preface

This book is the product of the authors' teaching introductory biology and related science courses at several different colleges and universities, though primarily at Wesleyan University, Middletown, Connecticut (Baker) and Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri (Allen). One of us remembers in his earliest years of teaching having the distinct feeling that, more often than not, some of his most creative students received only mediocre grades: unmotivated by the presentation of a dazzling array of detailed factual information, they would often ask unexpectedly thoughtful and highly reflective questions. Conversely, students receiving As, while able to regurgitate biological "facts," (e.g., details of the Krebs citric acid cycle), were often totally incapable of mapping out a strategy for investigating even the simplest of research projects. A variation on this theme was the comment of one faculty colleague that he found himself becoming increasingly uncomfortable with the recognition that a few of his students could complete a basic introductory biology course satisfactorily yet still remain convinced of the intellectual validity of Creationism!

These and other such experiences convinced us that students may do well in a science course but fail completely to comprehend the nature of science itself, the underlying reasons for its immense power as an intellectual process, and the relationship between the natural sciences, social sciences, and humanities. Perhaps worse, when considering science at all, students seem to drift from one extreme to another, either buying into popular press versions of science as the ultimate source of all truth or viewing it as just one of many systems of intellectual thought, of no greater or lesser validity than any other. Unfortunately, this latter view is one often found even among the ranks of college faculty in the social sciences and the humanities, in which modern science may be referred to as "just another system of myths," "the predominant myth of the twentieth century," or other words to that effect. Such a view does a profound disservice to the meaning of both the terms "science" and "myth." If indeed modern science is to be viewed as a myth, then clearly it is by far the most powerful one yet devised by the human imagination!

It was in an attempt to deal with this confusion that, over the years, we began to incorporate material into our course designed to confront this and other such problems. Ironically, one of the anonymous reviewers of the manuscript for this

book wrote a comment that reflects beautifully the sort of resistance one encounters to such efforts:

As part of a debate with faculty over curricular issues some years ago, I asked one of my colleagues how our science students would fare if they were asked to distinguish between science and the humanities. . . . The response of my colleague was silence. This silence was born of what I believe to be a pervasive fact: that those of us who teach science rarely considered these issue so explicitly. . . . It is much easier to present the facts of normal science (what we know) than to consider how we know it.

In one of our earlier books we put forward what we think is the essence of a process approach to science: “. . . it is the process, not merely the content or “facts” of biology, that should form the basic thrust of introductory courses. By the process of science we mean *how we know what we know*; how experiments are designed, data analyzed and conclusions drawn—in short, the logic and method of science.”¹ This approach is designed to stress to students that today’s scientific “facts” may be tomorrow’s errors. In our efforts over the past few years to produce an updated version of our approach, however, we found ourselves immersed in a publishing world far more concerned with the commercial success of encyclopedic and fact-driven textbooks and much less in scientific process. Beyond that, the introduction of full color to both art and photographs has driven the cost to the student of such textbooks to astronomical heights, in some cases, well over \$100! As lovely as these books may appear, in this day and age of widespread student access to color TV, photography and the Internet, one cannot help but wonder if such an expense is justifiable. Thus when the Fitzgerald Science Press originally expressed interest in the underlying philosophy of our work and in publishing it as a series of low cost yet high quality, two-color volumes, we felt that a genuine meeting of the minds had occurred.

The format of this book is designed specifically to address the nature of science in general and of the biological sciences in particular. Chapter 1 deals with the historical development of the life sciences and looks ahead to what the field might be like in the next century. It also uses examples of current research in the field to stress that biology, like all the natural sciences, has many unsolved problems researchers today are still trying to understand and is therefore a field no less dynamic now than in the past.

Chapter 2 begins with an in-depth look at biology as a science in the context of hypothesis formulation and the underlying inductive and deductive logic involved. Here we stress the differing types of hypotheses, the role that conscious and unconscious bias may play in both formulating questions and evaluating answers, as well as the strengths and limitations of science as an intellectual discipline.

Chapter 3 deals with how scientific hypotheses are tested and how the logic involved may be analyzed in terms of its inductive and deductive framework. We have often elected here and elsewhere in this book to use older studies from the

¹Preface, *The Study of Biology*, 4th, edition, Baker, Jeffrey J. W., and Allen, Garland E. Reading, MA. Addison Wesley Publishing Company, 1982, p. v.

seventeenth, eighteenth, and nineteenth centuries. So doing enables us to avoid having to provide a great deal of subject matter background and, since the student reader more than likely already knows the “answer,” he or she is forced to concentrate instead on the underlying intellectual structure involved. We then apply insights from these older case studies to contemporary issues: e.g., conflicting hypotheses concerning the cause of the AIDS epidemic now sweeping many developed and developing nations. For those instructors interested in introducing early the concept of statistical analysis in hypotheses evaluation, we provide an appendix dealing with some of the essential concepts and techniques and means used to illustrate experimentally established correlation.

Chapter 4 compares three case studies, the first an example of research carried out in the laboratory and the second an example of research in the field, with all the difficulties in terms of controlling variables that such studies entail. The third case study deals with problems inherent in evolutionary studies in which events have occurred that cannot be observed directly.

Finally, Chapter 5 is concerned with the interrelationship of science and the society within which it develops and the social responsibility of scientists to the society that funds their research. Using Creationism as our example, we end with issues raised by the still all-too-powerful attraction of pseudoscience and its misuse to further a particular political agenda. Doubtless here instructors may wish to bring in other examples of their own.

It is only appropriate here that we express our gratitude for the assistance provided us by the late Irma Morose, Teresa L. Tate (née Lowe), and Cindy Marks. All too often such valuable input goes unrecognized, despite the fact that little or nothing could be accomplished without it. It would be difficult to find greater justification for this book.

St. Louis, MO, USA
Ivy, VA, USA
March 2014

Garland E. Allen
Jeffrey J.W. Baker

Contents

1	Biology as a Process of Inquiry	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	The Growth of Biological Thought: The Nineteenth Century	2
1.3	The Twentieth Century Revolution in Biology	7
1.4	The Spirit of Inquiry: Some Unsolved Problems in Biology	13
1.4.1	The Excitement of Unexpected Discovery	13
1.4.2	Butterfly Migration	15
1.4.3	Hen’s Teeth and Evolution	20
1.4.4	The Chemical Origin of Life	22
1.5	Conclusion	26
	Further Reading	27
2	The Nature and Logic of Science	29
2.1	Introduction	30
2.2	Science as an Intellectual Discipline	30
2.3	The Logic of Science	37
2.4	The Logic of Science: Induction and Deduction	44
2.5	The “Dissection” of an Experiment	48
2.6	The Logic of Science: Hypotheses as Explanations	52
2.7	Bias in Science	56
2.8	The Concept of Paradigms	63
2.9	Modern Science, Materialism and Idealism	71
2.10	Conclusion: The Strengths and Limitations of Science	77
2.11	Exercises	78
	Further Reading	81
3	The Nature and Logic of Science: Testing Hypotheses	83
3.1	Introduction	83
3.2	Testing Hypotheses: Some General Principles	83
3.2.1	Testing Hypotheses by Observation	83
3.2.2	Testing Hypotheses by Experiments	84
3.2.3	The Importance of Uniformity and Sample Size	86

3.3	A Case Study in Hypothesis Formulation and Testing: How Is Cholera Transmitted?	88
3.3.1	Disease: The Early Views.	88
3.3.2	Snow's Observations	89
3.3.3	An Alternative Hypothesis	90
3.3.4	The Case of the Broad Street Pump.	92
3.3.5	Objections to Snow's Water-Borne Hypothesis	93
3.3.6	The Critical Test	94
3.3.7	A Wider Applicability and the Role of Chance	95
3.3.8	The Mechanism of Cholera Action: A Modern Perspective	96
3.4	A Modern-Day Epidemic: The AIDS Crisis, 1981–2000.	96
3.4.1	Background: The Origin of the AIDS Crisis	96
3.4.2	The Nature of HIV Infection.	100
3.4.3	Treatment and/or Cure for AIDS	104
3.5	Conclusion	105
3.5.1	Questions for Critical Thinking	106
	Further Reading.	107
4	Doing Biology: Three Case Studies	109
4.1	Introduction	109
4.2	Formulating and Testing Hypotheses in the Laboratory: The Discovery of Nerve Growth Factor	110
4.3	Research in the Field: Homing in Salmon.	115
4.3.1	The Organism.	116
4.3.2	Two Possible Hypotheses	118
4.3.3	Tabulating the Results	119
4.3.4	Further Questions	121
4.3.5	Testing the Pheromone Hypothesis Further.	124
4.3.6	“Dissecting” the Experiments	126
4.3.7	Statistical Significance	127
4.4	An Evolutionary Historical Case: Mass Extinctions and the End of the Dinosaurs: The Nemesis Affair.	129
4.4.1	Testing the Impact Hypothesis	131
4.4.2	Extinctions and the Paleontological Record.	132
4.4.3	Periodic Mass Extinctions	133
4.4.4	The Nemesis Hypothesis	135
4.5	Conclusion	136
	Further Reading.	137
5	The Social Context of Science: The Interaction of Science and Society	139
5.1	Introduction	140
5.2	Science and Technology—The Public Confusion	140
5.3	The Social Construction of Science	141

5.4	The Social Responsibility of Science	148
5.4.1	“Breeding Better People”: Genetics and Eugenics, 1900–1945	148
5.4.2	Herbicides in Southeast Asia	152
5.4.3	Stem Cell Biology: The Ethical Concerns.	153
5.4.4	Genetically Modified Organisms (GMOs).	157
5.5	Ethical and Social Issues in the Use of Human Subjects for Research	174
5.6	Science and “Pseudoscience”: “Scientific Creationism” and “Intelligent Design”	178
5.6.1	“Scientific” Creationism.	179
5.7	Science and Religion: An Underlying Difference.	191
5.8	Conclusion	192
5.9	Exercises	193
	Further Reading.	194
	Appendix A: The Analysis and Interpretation of Data.	197
	Appendix B.	215
	Further Reading	225
	Index	227