

Springer Theses

Recognizing Outstanding Ph.D. Research

Aims and Scope

The series “Springer Theses” brings together a selection of the very best Ph.D. theses from around the world and across the physical sciences. Nominated and endorsed by two recognized specialists, each published volume has been selected for its scientific excellence and the high impact of its contents for the pertinent field of research. For greater accessibility to non-specialists, the published versions include an extended introduction, as well as a foreword by the student’s supervisor explaining the special relevance of the work for the field. As a whole, the series will provide a valuable resource both for newcomers to the research fields described, and for other scientists seeking detailed background information on special questions. Finally, it provides an accredited documentation of the valuable contributions made by today’s younger generation of scientists.

Theses are accepted into the series by invited nomination only and must fulfill all of the following criteria

- They must be written in good English.
- The topic should fall within the confines of Chemistry, Physics, Earth Sciences, Engineering and related interdisciplinary fields such as Materials, Nanoscience, Chemical Engineering, Complex Systems and Biophysics.
- The work reported in the thesis must represent a significant scientific advance.
- If the thesis includes previously published material, permission to reproduce this must be gained from the respective copyright holder.
- They must have been examined and passed during the 12 months prior to nomination.
- Each thesis should include a foreword by the supervisor outlining the significance of its content.
- The theses should have a clearly defined structure including an introduction accessible to scientists not expert in that particular field.

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/8790>

Corentin Schreiber

A Statistical and Multi-wavelength Study of Star Formation in Galaxies

Doctoral Thesis accepted by
Paris-Sud University, France

 Springer

Author

Dr. Corentin Schreiber
Leiden Observatory
Leiden University
Leiden
The Netherlands

Supervisor

Prof. David Elbaz
Service d'Astrophysique
CEA Saclay
Gif-sur-Yvette
France

ISSN 2190-5053

Springer Theses

ISBN 978-3-319-44292-1

DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-44293-8

ISSN 2190-5061 (electronic)

ISBN 978-3-319-44293-8 (eBook)

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016947473

© Springer International Publishing AG 2016

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature

The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG

The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Supervisor's Foreword

Our understanding of the processes ruling the formation and evolution of galaxies substantially progressed over the last years mostly due to new generations of observatories on the ground and in space. Yet we are still lacking a clear picture of the reasons why galaxies experienced a peak activity of star formation about ten billion years ago and why an increasing fraction of galaxies stopped forming new generations of stars since that epoch.

The astronomical community globally expected this behavior to be driven by environment effects through galaxy interactions or mergers and over the course of large-scale structure formation such as groups and clusters of galaxies. The morphology of galaxies would shift from flat rotating disks to dispersion-dominated galaxies with elliptical shapes.

Such expectation was driven not only by past observations but also by the commonly accepted hierarchical galaxy formation paradigm in which galaxies acquired their mass through successive mergers over cosmic time.

Instead, the main picture that is coming out of these new observations shows a surprising universality of galaxy properties and in particular of their growth rate with cosmic time. Despite their different morphologies, rotation, and local environment, galaxies of the same stellar mass appear to be forming stars with the same rate at a given distance from us. If confirmed over most of the cosmic history and with reliable tracers of galaxy properties, such behavior would favor a paradigm in which galaxies grow secularly rather than by episodic bursts.

In his thesis work, Corentin Schreiber addressed this issue using the deepest available data over a wide range in wavelength for galaxies out to 12.3 billion years ago, thus covering 90 % of the cosmic history since the Big Bang. The European Space Agency's cornerstone space observatory, Herschel, is central to this work. It carried the largest mirror ever launched in space offering the possibility to image the deep far-infrared Universe with a unique precision. Because massive stars are formed in giant molecular clouds, their ultraviolet radiation is absorbed by interstellar dust and reemitted in the far infrared. To reliably trace back the star

formation history of galaxies, astronomers therefore look after the far-infrared light radiated by dust grains heated at temperatures of typically 30 K.

Despite its large telescope and great sensitivity, the diffraction pattern of the distant galaxies observed at wavelengths ranging from 100 to 500 μ limited Herschel's view on the distant Universe to the most extreme objects—not representative of the main growth path of galaxies, or to the last 8–10 billion years just after the peak of the cosmic star formation history.

Corentin Schreiber proposed a new approach that made a major difference in our ability to probe the distant Universe. Starting by selecting galaxies in intervals of stellar masses, he developed a new method to determine how strongly did galaxies differ in their star formation rate even below the Herschel detection limit, which he called “scatter stacking.” Based on his new approach, he was able to show that more than 70 % of galaxy star formation did not happen in merger-driven starbursts over 90 % of the Universe. Instead, galaxies largely grew in mass through this secular mode of star formation. To validate his method, he designed a tool now publicly available to simulate a realistic Universe with galaxies representing accurately their observed colors, shapes, and environment over the whole cosmic history of the Universe. This tool called the Empirical Galaxy Generator (EGG), turned out to be powerful to calibrate not only his method but also source detection algorithms and is now even used in the preparation of the next-generation space mission of ESA, Euclid.

Then turning to the most massive galaxies in the Universe, Corentin Schreiber was able to show that about half of these galaxies, which stop forming stars before the others, happen to do so in a slow manner—through what he calls a “slow downfall”—as opposed to the classical “quenching” of star formation that is commonly advocated but still resisting a robust observational validation. If this process is confirmed, it suggests that all galaxies did die not from violent events but also from a natural exhaustion of their gas reservoirs. How this slow downfall and the more classical quenching of star formation can be reconciled with the paradigm in which galaxies are continuously fed by infalling intergalactic matter remains an open issue.

France
June 2016

Prof. David Elbaz

Publications Related to This Thesis

First-author publications

C. Schreiber, et al. 2016, The mid- to far-infrared spectral energy distribution of star-forming galaxies from $z = 3$ to $z = 0.5$, to be submitted to A&A.

C. Schreiber, M. Pannella, R. Leiton, et al. 2016, The ALMA Redshift 4 Survey (AR4S): I. The massive end of the $z = 4$ main sequence of galaxies, submitted to A&A. <http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016arXiv160606252S>

C. Schreiber, D. Elbaz, M. Pannella, et al. 2016, EGG: hatching a mock Universe from empirical prescriptions, submitted to A&A. <http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016arXiv160605354S>

C. Schreiber, D. Elbaz, M. Pannella, et al. 2016, Observational evidence of a slow downfall of star formation efficiency in massive galaxies during the past 10 Gyr, A&A, 589, 35. <http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016A>

C. Schreiber, M. Pannella, D. Elbaz, et al. 2015, The Herschel view of the dominant mode of galaxy growth from $z = 4$ to the present day, A&A, 575, 74. <http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015A&A...575A..74S>

Other publications related to this thesis

T. Wang, D. Elbaz, E. Daddi, A. Finoguenov, D. Liu, **C. Schreiber**, et al. 2016, Discovery of a galaxy cluster with a violently starbursting core at $z = 2.506$, accepted for publication in ApJ. <http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016arXiv160407404W>

- L. Ciesla, A. Boselli, D. Elbaz, **et al.** 2016, The imprint of rapid star formation quenching on the spectral energy distributions of galaxies, *ApJ*, 814, 118. <http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016ApJ...817..118T>
- A. Tomczak, R. Quadri, K.-V. Tran, **et al.** 2016, The SFR– M_* Relation and Empirical Star-Formation Histories from ZFOURGE* at $0.5 < z < 4$, *ApJ*, 814, 118. <http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016ApJ...817..118T>
- T. Wang, D. Elbaz, **C. Schreiber**, et al. 2015, An H and IRAC color selection of massive galaxies at $z > 3$, *ApJ*, 816, 84. <http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016ApJ...816...84W>
- X. Shu, D. Elbaz, N. Bourne, **C. Schreiber**, et al. 2015, Identification of $z \gtrsim 2$ *Herschel* 500 μm sources using color-deconfusion, *ApJS*, 222, 4. <http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016ApJS..222....4S>
- J.R. Mullaney, D.M. Alexander, J. Aird, **et al.** 2015, ALMA and *Herschel* reveal that AGN and main-sequence galaxies have different star formation rate distributions, *MNRAS*, 453, L83. <http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015MNRAS.453L..83M>
- R. Gobat, E. Daddi, M. Béthermin, **et al.** 2015, Satellite content and quenching of star formation in galaxy groups at $z \sim 1.8$, *A&A*, 581, 56. <http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015A>
- E. Merlin, A. Fontana, H.C. Ferguson, **et al.** 2015, T-PHOT: a new code for PSF-matched, prior-based, multiwavelength extragalactic deconvolution photometry, *A&A*, 590, 30. <http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016A>
- R. Leiton, D. Elbaz, K. Okomura, **et al.** 2015, GOODS-*Herschel* : identification of the individual galaxies responsible for the 80–290 μm cosmic infrared background, *A&A*, 579, 93. <http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015A>
- M. Béthermin, E. Daddi, G. Magdis, **et al.** 2015, Evolution of the dust emission of massive galaxies up to $z = 4$ and constraints on their dominant mode of star formation, *A&A*, 573, 113. <http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015A&A...573A.113B>
- M. Pannella, E. David, E. Daddi, M.E. Dickinson, H.S. Hwang, **C. Schreiber**, et al. 2015, GOODS-*Herschel*: star formation, dust attenuation and the FIR-radio correlation on the Main Sequence of star-forming galaxies up to $z \sim 4$, *ApJ*, 807, 141. <http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015ApJ...807..141P>
- S. Juneau, F. Bournaud, S. Charlot, **et al.** 2014, Active Galactic Nuclei Emission Line Diagnostics and the Mass-Metallicity Relation up to Redshift $z \sim 2$: The Impact of Selection Effects and Evolution, *ApJ*, 788, 88. <http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014ApJ...788...88J>

Acknowledgements

These three years have been the source of many great emotions. Everything started on June 11, 2012, by an immense joy: David, my advisor, told me that my Ph.D. will be funded by CEA.¹ This was the beginning of an adventure. I then met Maurilio. Both him and David were my *Jedi masters* all along my Ph.D. and will probably remain so for the years to come. They each taught me how research is done, in their own different and complementary way: sometimes so different that I came to think of myself as a disciple of both the *light* and *dark* sides of the force. One advocated rigorousness and patience and the other visibility and effectiveness. One would only swear by observations, while the other would sometime invite a theoretician to the discussion. This constant opposition gave me a few headaches, but also made me laugh on many more occasions. It was also an extremely useful experience, which prevented me from ever considering any point of view as “The Truth.” Consequently, David and Maurilio, I think I can say today that you taught me at least one important fact: Being sure of yourself does not come from knowing everything, but from knowing that you are not more mistaken than others. Obviously, I will not talk about all the *other important things* you made me discover—from limoncello to *el Baba*; instead, I will simply thank you for your dedication, for your time, and for all we shared together. The two of you combined were the best Ph.D. advisor one could ever hope for. Thank you for giving me this chance!

My thanks will now go to the two referees, Alberto Franceschini and David Alexander, who had to read the present thesis and validate its correctness. I was very touched by your reports, and I am very happy that you found my work worth a Ph.D. degree. On the day of the public defense, Guillaume Pineau des Forêts, Véronique Buat, and Ivo Labbé were added to the jury. I was very honored by your presence, and I would like to thank all for coming and laying a critical eye on my results. I would also like to thank Springer Nature for awarding me the Springer Thesis Prize.

¹The Commissariat à l’Energie Atomique et aux Energies Alternative.

So many thanks should also be awarded to my friends and family; an extensive list can be found in the French version of this Ph.D. Mom, dad, sis: thanks. You know why, I love you.

Leiden, The Netherlands
June 2016

Corentin Schreiber

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Studying Star Formation in Galaxies: A Problem of Scales	1
1.2	The Main Questions	3
1.2.1	Are Star Formation Histories Smooth or Irregular?	4
1.2.2	Why Are Some Galaxies Forming Much More Stars than Others?	8
1.2.3	Does the Interstellar Dust Hide a Significant Portion of the Star Formation Activity in the Universe?	13
1.2.4	Why Do Galaxies Stop Forming Stars?	19
	References	25
2	The Main Sequence of Star-Forming Galaxies as Seen by Herschel	29
2.1	Introduction	30
2.2	Sample and Observations	33
2.2.1	GOODS–North	33
2.2.2	GOODS–South, UDS, and COSMOS CANDELS	34
2.2.3	COSMOS UltraVISTA	36
2.2.4	Photometric Redshifts and Stellar Masses	37
2.2.5	Rest-Frame Luminosities and Star Formation Rates	38
2.2.6	A Mass-Complete Sample of Star-Forming Galaxies	39
2.2.7	Completeness and Mass Functions	42
2.3	Deriving Statistical Properties of Star-Forming Galaxies	45
2.3.1	Simulated Images	46
2.3.2	The Stacking Procedure	46
2.3.3	Measuring Flux Dispersion with <i>Scatter Stacking</i>	51
2.3.4	SFR Dispersion from <i>Scatter Stacking</i>	53

2.4	Results	56
2.4.1	The SFR of Main-Sequence Galaxies	56
2.4.2	Redshift Evolution of the sSFR: The Importance of Sample Selection and Dust Correction	57
2.4.3	Mass Evolution of the SFR and Varying Slope of the Main Sequence	58
2.4.4	Mass Evolution of the SFR Dispersion Around the Main Sequence	59
2.4.5	Contribution of the Main Sequence to the Cosmic SFR Density	61
2.4.6	Quantification of the Role of Starburst Galaxies and the Surprising Absence of Evolution of the Population	64
2.5	Discussion	70
2.5.1	Connection of the Main-Sequence Dispersion with Feedback Processes	70
2.5.2	Connection Between Starbursts and Mergers	71
2.6	Conclusions	72
2.7	Appendix: The <i>UVJ</i> Selection	73
2.8	Appendix: Tests of Our Methods on Simulated Images	74
2.8.1	Mean and Median Stacked Fluxes	76
2.8.2	Clustering Correction	78
2.8.3	Error Estimates	79
	References	84
3	Modelling the Integrated IR Photometry of Star-Forming Galaxies	87
3.1	Introduction	87
3.2	A New Far Infrared Template Library	88
3.2.1	Calibration on Stacked Photometry	92
3.2.2	Calibration on Individual Detections	94
3.3	On the Redshift and Stellar-Mass Dependence of f_{PAH}	96
3.4	Appendix: Recipe for Optimal FIR SED Fitting	98
	References	100
4	EGG: An Empirical Simulation of the Observable Universe	101
4.1	Introduction	101
4.2	Sample Description	102
4.2.1	Multi-wavelength Photometry	102
4.2.2	Redshifts and Stellar Masses	103
4.3	Stellar Properties	104
4.3.1	Redshift and Stellar Mass	104
4.3.2	Star Formation Rate and Obscuration	106
4.3.3	Optical Morphology	107

4.3.4	Optical Spectral Energy Distribution	111
4.3.5	Sky Position	113
4.4	Dust Properties	115
4.5	Generating a Light Cone	116
4.6	Results	117
4.6.1	Comparison to the Observed GOODS–South Field	117
4.6.2	Comparison to the Measured Cosmic Backgrounds.	121
4.7	Appendix: Efficiency of Monochromatic FIR Measurements	122
	References.	124
5	The Downfall of Massive Star-Forming Galaxies During the Last 10 Gyr	127
5.1	Introduction	128
5.2	Sample Selection and Galaxy Properties.	131
5.2.1	Multi-wavelength Photometry	131
5.2.2	Redshifts, Stellar Masses and Star Formation Rates	132
5.2.3	CANDELS Sample for the Gas Mass Measurements at $z = 1$	133
5.2.4	HRS Sample for the Gas Mass Measurements in the Local Universe	133
5.2.5	CANDELS Sample for the Morphological Decompositions at $z = 1$	134
5.2.6	Cleaning the $24\ \mu\text{m}$ Catalogs.	136
5.3	Measuring Disk Masses in Distant Galaxies.	138
5.3.1	The Bulge to Disk Decomposition	138
5.3.2	Simulated Galaxies	140
5.3.3	Estimating the Disk Mass	141
5.4	Measuring Gas Masses.	144
5.4.1	Dust Masses	145
5.4.2	Gas Masses	147
5.5	Results	150
5.5.1	The $\text{SFR}-M_{\text{disk}}$ Relation at $z = 1$	150
5.5.2	Gas Fraction and Star Formation Efficiency at $z = 1$	152
5.5.3	A Progressive Decrease of the SFE with Time	156
5.6	Discussion	157
5.6.1	Quantifying the “Quenching” and “Downfall” Rates.	157
5.6.2	Identifying the Actors that Regulate the SFE and the Gas Content	159
5.7	Conclusions	160
5.8	Appendix: Impact of the UVJ Selection	161
	References.	164
6	Reaching the Distant Universe with ALMA	167
6.1	Introduction	167
6.2	Sample Selection	168

- 6.3 Description of the Observations and Data. 169
 - 6.3.1 Notes on Interferometric Imaging 169
 - 6.3.2 General Properties of Our Data 171
- 6.4 Data Reduction. 174
- 6.5 Flux Measurement and Detection Rate 175
- 6.6 The $z = 4$ Main Sequence 179
 - 6.6.1 Calibration of the SFR 179
 - 6.6.2 The SFR- M_* Relation 180
- 6.7 Other Galaxies in the Field of View. 182
- 6.8 A Massive $z = 3$ Galaxy Hidden Behind a Bright Star. 183
- 6.9 Discovery of Two New High-Redshift Dusty Galaxies. 188
 - 6.9.1 Optical to NIR Photometry 188
 - 6.9.2 MIR to FIR Photometry 190
 - 6.9.3 A First Estimate of Their Physical Properties 191
 - 6.9.4 Measuring the Redshift with ALMA. 193
 - 6.9.5 Potential Scientific Outcome 196
- References. 197
- 7 Conclusions and Perspectives** 199
 - References. 203
- Appendix A: *phy++*: A C++ Library for Numerical Analysis** 205
- Index** 217

Abbreviations

AGN	Active Galactic Nucleus
ALMA	Atacama Large Millimeter Array
AOT	ALMA Observing Tool
CANDELS	Cosmic Assembly Near-infrared Deep Extragalactic Legacy Survey
CIRB	Cosmic InfraRed Background
CMB	Cosmic Microwave Background
COSMOS	Cosmic Evolution Survey
ECDFS	Extended Chandra Deep Field South
FIR	Far InfraRed
GOODS	Great Observatory Origins Deep Survey
HerMES	<i>Herschel</i> Multi-tiered Extragalactic Survey
HST	<i>Hubble</i> Space Telescope
IGL	Integrated Galaxy Light
IGM	InterGalactic Medium
IMF	Initial Mass Function
IR	InfraRed
IRS	InfraRed Spectrograph
ISM	InterStellar Medium
ISO	Infrared Space Observatory
JCMT	James Clerk Maxwell Telescope
LIRG	Luminous InfraRed Galaxy
MAD	Median Absolute Deviation
MIPS	Multiband Imaging Photometer for <i>Spitzer</i>
MIR	Mid InfraRed
MS	Main Sequence
NIR	Near InfraRed
PACS	Photodetector Array Camera and Spectrometer
PAH	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon
PEP	PACS Evolutionary Probe
PSF	Point Spread Function

QSO	Quasi-Stellar Object
SDSS	Sloan Digital Sky Survey
SED	Spectral Energy Distribution
SFE	Star Formation Efficiency
SFH	Star Formation History
SFR	Star Formation Rate
SLED	Spectral Line Energy Distribution
SMBH	SuperMassive Black Hole
SMC	Small Magellanic Cloud
SPIRE	Spectral and Photometric Imaging Receiver
sSFR	Specific Star Formation Rate
UDS	Ultra-Deep Survey
ULIRG	Ultra Luminous InfraRed Galaxy
UV	UltraViolet
Λ CDM	Cosmological constant (Λ) and Cold Dark Matter

Symbols

b	Birthrate parameter (–)
β	UV spectral slope (–)
f_{gas}	Fraction of the baryonic mass found in the form of hydrogen gas in the ISM (–)
f_{PAH}	Fraction of the dust mass found in PAH molecules (–)
Gyr	Billion years (time)
IR8	Ratio of total IR luminosity to 8- μm luminosity (–)
IRX	InfraRed eXcess (–)
kpc	Kiloparsec (length)
L_{\odot}	Solar luminosity, or bolometric luminosity of the Sun, 3.846×10^{26} W (energy/time)
M_{disk}	Stellar mass in the galactic disk (mass)
M_{dust}	Mass of dust particles in the ISM (mass)
M_{gas}	Mass of hydrogen gas in the ISM (mass)
Mpc	Megaparsec (length)
M_{*}	Stellar mass, or mass of stars (mass)
M_{\odot}	Solar mass, or mass of the Sun, 1.989×10^{30} kg (mass)
Myr	Million years (time)
$12 + \log_{10}(O/H)$	Oxygen abundance (–)
pc	Parsec, 3.087×10^{16} m (length)
SFR	Star formation rate (mass/time)
sSFR	Specific star formation rate (1/time)
t_{dep}	Depletion timescale (time)
T_{dust}	Dust temperature (temperature)
U	Intensity of the interstellar radiation field (energy/time/surface)
yr	Year (time)
Z	Metallicity (–)