Health and Lifestyle

Brian S. Everitt

Health and Lifestyle

Separating the Truth from the Myth with Statistics

Copernicus Books is a brand of Springer

Brian S. Everitt
London, United Kingdom

ISBN 978-3-319-42564-1 ISBN 978-3-319-42565-8 (eBook)
DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-42565-8

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016954120

© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Copernicus imprint is published by Springer Nature
The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG Switzerland

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to Rob Calver for giving me the idea for this book. Thanks are due to Dr. Alastair MacDonald for his insightful comments about various aspects of the book. I must also thank Michael Wilkins, organizer of the Edward Alleyn's 'Old Codgers' tennis matches played each Wednesday morning, for reading the book and making many useful comments which greatly improved the content. And Professor Graham Dunn pointed me towards some of the controversies associated with the use of Herceptin in treating breast cancer for which I am very grateful. A number of anonymous reviewers made very helpful suggestions which I have made use of. Most importantly, I thank my wonderful wife, Mary-Elizabeth Everitt, for pointing out places in the book that needed clarification and for sharing her first-hand experiences of some of the treatments described in Chap. 4.

To my daughters Joanna and Rachel and my grandsons Hywel and Dafydd

Medicine is one of science's great contributions to human well-being, with health arguably being more important than education, for it is perhaps better to be alive and ignorant than dead but informed.

Peter Atkins in *On Being*.

Human reason must never be subjugated to a dogma, or to a myth, or to a preconceived idea because for human reason to do so would be for human reason to cease to exist.

Henri Poincare.

Health is merely the slowest possible rate at which one can die.

Samuel Johnson.

Preface

In the early 1950s, ‘health’, at least in the UK, was an easy matter to deal with. Children, including the author of this book, were given daily doses of welfare orange juice and weekly doses of cod liver oil and told to eat their greens. And on those rare occasions when a child could convince one or other of their parents that they were ill enough to need a doctor, they were carted off to the usually avuncular local GP and, after a generally brief examination, were normally prescribed either a ‘tonic’ or a laxative. Ailing parents were often prescribed much the same, but perhaps told to take two rather than one teaspoonful of the mixture. Sick child and grateful parent, whilst not quite touching their forelocks, would offer thanks to the doctor, apologize for taking up his valuable time and leave the surgery. And life would carry on.

Roll forward 60–70 years and how things have changed. Health is now big business, and the master–servant relationship between doctor and patient has been replaced by patients often quizzing their doctor in detail about their condition and about his/her proposed treatment. The ‘well-informed’ patient is a relatively new phenomenon for medics to deal with and parallels the increasingly large amount of information easily available on almost any topic via the Internet. For example, googling ‘natural remedies for hay fever’ results in a considerable number of suggestions, along with descriptions of how they work. The following three are typical of what appears:

- Honey—the bee pollen can desensitize your body to other pollens (I think what this means is that the pollen collected by bees can desensitize your body to pollens in the environment, as there is no such thing as bee pollen and there is no pollen in honey!).
- Vitamin C—natural *antihistamine*.

- Hot peppers—the active component, *capsaicin*, when eaten opens nasal passages and reduces congestion.
- Chamomile tea—an *antioxidant* and antihistamine.

Each suggested remedy is accompanied by a number of testimonials from hay fever sufferers who swear to how effective it is and who offer up thanks for the relief from their months, even years, of misery endured before discovering a treatment that borders on the miraculous. Often a remedy is enthusiastically endorsed by a presumably qualified clinician.

A potential danger of having so much information at one's fingertips is that for relatively minor health problems, there is a temptation to bypass the doctor altogether and search for a suitable remedy by trawling the Internet. For example, a busy person feeling slightly 'under the weather', and perhaps also worrying about his/her increasing weight and lack of 'essential' nutrients as the result of a 'fast-food' diet, could have hours of fun searching, say, for food supplements and weight loss products. Here are a few that you may come across and the claims made for them:

- Weight Away—A natural aid to support healthy weight loss consisting of glucomannan and green coffee. Aids weight loss by absorbing water in the digestive system.
- MultiVitality—Three essential supplements in a single pack, namely omega 3, pro-live cultures and a premium multivitamin. Developed by experts.
- Dietrim—Suitable for men and women of all ages. Recommended in conjunction with exercise. Each capsule combines 26 bioactive ingredients including conjugated linoleic acid, a fatty acid derived from naturally sourced linoleic acid found in the Safflower plant.

And away from the joys of the Internet, we are all constantly bombarded with recommendations about how to improve our health. How many times, for example, do we see the tag lines 'eat five-a-day for improved health' or 'more fibre is the key to a healthy lifestyle'?

But apart from a few testimonials from users, which is generally referred to as *anecdotal evidence*, and support from unidentified 'experts', there is often little said about how the claims and recommendations made about a treatment or health product can really be justified. The problem is that anecdotal evidence about the effectiveness of a particular treatment for, say, hay fever or the benefits of a particular food supplement, either from patients or experts, is not sufficient. Anecdotal evidence, even if expanded into a series of anecdotes dignified by the title, *case series*, is inadequate for the task. Why? Well the

primary reason is that many health problems improve spontaneously, so that any ‘treatment’ a person may have received is likely to be credited for this improvement, both by the patient and by the doctor, if one is involved. Generations of physicians would, in all honesty, have reported that ‘bleeding’ was an effective treatment and would have been supported in this claim by patients lucky enough to have survived the intervention. In any health disorder which is not universally fatal, anecdotal opinion alone will invariably support any treatment claim.

Anecdotal evidence is also of little use in evaluating claims made about possible *risk factors* for health conditions. The type of headlines favoured in the tabloid press, for example, ‘My five-year-old developed leukaemia from living next to overhead power lines’ or ‘Smoking cannabis led to my teenage son becoming schizophrenic’, is often backed up only by one or two anecdotes from concerned parents.

In fact, claims made for how well any remedy, treatment or health recommendation works, or warnings about the dangers of a possible risk factors for a health condition, can only be taken seriously if there is supporting *empirical evidence* from a properly designed and performed investigation of the claim or warning. Empirical evidence implies *measurements, observations, data*—i.e. numbers. It really is numbers that count in evaluating the claims made for both the effectiveness of any ‘treatment’ and the reality of any warning about the dangers of a particular risk factor. The measurements and observations made need to be transformed into *scientific evidence* for or against the effectiveness of a treatment or the danger of a risk factor. Turning data into evidence needs those foot soldiers of modern science in general and medical science in particular *statisticians*. Experts in handling data, statisticians are an essential part of the correct evaluation of health-related studies. The work of medical statisticians forms the basis of what is now known as *evidence-based medicine*, a movement that aims to help clinicians have a greater chance of providing their patients with the most appropriate treatment for whatever ails them and in distinguishing between dangerous and harmless risk factors.

The details of the methods used by statisticians invariably involve some mathematics, complicated equations and lots of formulae, and this is perhaps one of the reasons why statisticians applying statistical techniques in the areas of health and medicine have their detractors. For example, James Le Fanu, in his otherwise excellent book, *The Rise and Fall of Modern Medicine*, tells his readers that ‘statistics are numbers to which complex mathematical formulae can be applied to produce conclusions of dubious veracity and from which all wit and human life is ingenuously excluded’. This seems both a little harsh, implicitly at least implying that statistics (and perhaps statisticians) offer little

to the study of medicine, and rather silly given how much both statistics and statisticians have assisted in the advancement of medicine in the twentieth century and are continuing to do so in the twenty-first century. Is it being overly optimistic to hope that reading this book will help Mr. Le Fanu overcome his obvious scepticism about statistics and then perhaps move on to make friends with some statisticians? Probably!

The aim of this book is to lead the reader through the various types of studies used in medicine, pointing out what they aim to achieve and their strengths and weaknesses and illustrating their use in many health and lifestyle-related areas. In addition, the results from the statistical analyses carried out on the data from some studies will be discussed. The book contains no mathematics beyond the simple arithmetic used to introduce some statistical concepts in Chap. 1; grasping the essence of these concepts will equip readers with enough statistical background to deal with the remainder of the material in the book.

This is not, however, a book for statisticians or for teaching people statistics. Rather, it is a book for people interested in being able to judge sensibly for themselves all kinds of claims and warnings made about health-related issues in the newspapers, television and the media in general. The aim is to help the reader in deciding which of the everyday barrage of health messages might actually be backed by sound evidence and so could be worth acting upon. Hopefully, they will not then be easily led astray by claims that, for example, eating almonds prevents cancer, taking ginseng improves sexual performance and children using mobile phones have an increased risk of developing a brain tumour, when such claims and warnings are not underpinned by strong, scientifically based, evidence.

Dulwich, London
December 2015

Brian S. Everitt

Contents

1	Some Medical History, a Little Statistics and a Very Small Dose of Genetics	1
1.1	Galen and His Influence	1
1.2	Treating the Sick in Medieval Times	2
1.3	An Early Example of a Medical Experiment	5
1.4	Medicine and Health in the Nineteenth Century	7
1.5	The Twentieth Century and Beyond	9
1.6	Evidence, Statistics and the Scientific Method	10
1.6.1	What Is Statistics and Why Is It Needed in Medicine?	10
1.6.2	Confidence Intervals	12
1.6.3	Relative Risk and Absolute Risk	14
1.7	Health: Is It All in Our Genes?	15
1.8	Final Comments	16
	References	18
2	Treatments Good, Bad and Indifferent and How Do We Tell? The Randomized Clinical Trial	19
2.1	Introduction	19
2.2	The Clinical Trial	22
2.2.1	Historical Controls	25
2.2.2	Blinding	26
2.2.3	Informed Consent	27
2.2.4	Compliance	27
2.3	Four Clinical Trials	28

2.3.1	An Early Major Trial: The Salk Polio Vaccine	28
2.3.2	Evaluating a Controversial Treatment in Psychiatry: ECT for Depression	29
2.3.3	AIDS and AZT	33
2.3.4	The US Carvedilol Program	34
2.4	Recognizing Good and Poor Clinical Trials	35
2.5	Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis	37
2.5.1	Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation for Depression	37
2.5.2	Publication Bias	38
2.6	Cost-Effectiveness in Clinical Trials	39
2.7	Ethical and Other Issues with Clinical Trials	40
2.8	Final Comments	43
	References	44
3	Will Drinking too Much Coffee Result in a Heart Attack? Risk Factors and Health	47
3.1	Introduction	47
3.2	An Early Example of an Epidemiological Study	49
3.3	Investigating Risk Factors	50
3.3.1	Surveys	51
3.3.2	Case–Control Studies	53
3.3.3	Cohort Studies	56
3.4	You’re Never Alone with a Mobile Phone	58
3.5	Should You Have that Extra Cup of Coffee?	60
3.6	Two Examples Where Results from Observational and Experimental Approaches Disagreed	61
3.6.1	Coronary Heart Disease	61
3.6.2	Postmenopausal Hormones	62
3.7	Dealing Sensibly with Risk	63
3.8	Final Comments	65
	References	66
4	Let’s Talk About Cancer	67
4.1	Introduction	67
4.2	Risk Factors for Cancer	69
4.2.1	Smoking, Passive Smoking and Cancer	69
4.2.2	Air Pollution and Cancer	75
4.2.3	Do Children Living Near Nuclear Installations Have an Increased Risk of Leukaemia?	76

4.3	Treating Cancer	79
4.3.1	A Little History	79
4.3.2	Cancer Treatment in the Twenty-First Century	80
4.3.3	Herceptin and HER2-Positive Breast Cancer	82
4.4	Caring for Terminally Ill Cancer Patients	85
4.5	Screening for Cancer	85
4.5.1	Screening for Breast Cancer	86
4.5.2	Screening for Cancer of the Uterine Cervix	91
4.5.3	Final Comments About Screening	93
4.6	Final Thoughts	94
	References	95
5	Food, Weight and Lifestyle: Is Eating Too Much and Moving Too Little Bad for Your Health?	97
5.1	Introduction	97
5.2	Too Much Weight	99
5.2.1	The Health Consequences of Being Obese	99
5.2.2	Can Fat People Become Thin?	103
5.3	Healthy Foods, Superfoods, Antioxidants and Food Supplements	107
5.3.1	Food and Cancer	109
5.3.2	Superfoods and Antioxidants	111
5.3.3	Food Supplements	112
5.3.4	Will Another Helping of Chicken Korma Protect You from Cancer?	114
5.4	The Demon Drink	115
5.5	Does 10,000 Steps a Day Keep Me Healthy?	117
5.6	Will Glucosamine Save Your Knees?	119
5.7	Final Comments	121
	References	123
6	Alternative Therapies: Magic, Miracles and Delusions	125
6.1	Introduction	125
6.2	Homeopathy: By Royal Appointment	127
6.3	Acupuncture: A Chinese Miracle?	129
6.3.1	Acupuncture for Losing Weight	130
6.3.2	Acupuncture for Stopping Smoking	131
6.4	Chiropractic Treatment	133
6.5	Magnets, Electromagnetism and Quantum Theory	135

xvi	Contents	
6.6	Reflexology	136
6.7	St John's Wort	137
6.8	Alternative Treatments for Cancer?	139
6.9	Final Comments	141
	References	144
	Epilogue	147
	Index	151