

*Commenced Publication in 1973*

Founding and Former Series Editors:

Gerhard Goos, Juris Hartmanis, and Jan van Leeuwen

## Editorial Board

David Hutchison

*Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK*

Takeo Kanade

*Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, USA*

Josef Kittler

*University of Surrey, Guildford, UK*

Jon M. Kleinberg

*Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA*

Friedemann Mattern

*ETH Zurich, Zürich, Switzerland*

John C. Mitchell

*Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA*

Moni Naor

*Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel*

C. Pandu Rangan

*Indian Institute of Technology, Madras, India*

Bernhard Steffen

*TU Dortmund University, Dortmund, Germany*

Demetri Terzopoulos

*University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA*

Doug Tygar

*University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA*

Gerhard Weikum

*Max Planck Institute for Informatics, Saarbrücken, Germany*

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/7408>

Jose Julio Alferes · Leopoldo Bertossi  
Guido Governatori · Paul Fodor  
Dumitru Roman (Eds.)

# Rule Technologies

Research, Tools, and Applications

10th International Symposium, RuleML 2016  
Stony Brook, NY, USA, July 6–9, 2016  
Proceedings

*Editors*

Jose Julio Alferes  
Universidade Nova de Lisboa  
Lisboa  
Portugal

Leopoldo Bertossi  
Carleton University  
Ottawa, ON  
Canada

Guido Governatori  
NICTA Queensland  
Brisbane, QLD  
Australia

Paul Fodor  
Stony Brook University  
Stony Brook, NY  
USA

Dumitru Roman  
SINTEF/University of Oslo  
Oslo  
Norway

ISSN 0302-9743                      ISSN 1611-3349 (electronic)  
Lecture Notes in Computer Science  
ISBN 978-3-319-42018-9              ISBN 978-3-319-42019-6 (eBook)  
DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-42019-6

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016943419

LNCS Sublibrary: SL2 – Programming and Software Engineering

© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature  
The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG Switzerland

# Preface

The annual International Web Rule Symposium (RuleML) is an international conference on research, applications, languages, and standards for rule technologies. It has evolved from an annual series of international workshops since 2002, international conferences in 2005 and 2006, and international symposia since 2007. RuleML 2016 was the 10th symposium of this series, co-located in New York State with the 25th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (July 9–15, 2016) and the Joint Multi-Conference on Human-Level Artificial Intelligence 2016 (July 16–19, 2016).

RuleML is a leading conference aiming to build bridges between academia and industry in the field of rules and its applications, especially as part of the semantic technology stack. It is devoted to rule-based programming and rule-based systems including production rule systems, logic programming rule engines, and business rule engines and business rule management systems, Semantic Web rule languages and rule standards (e.g., RuleML, SWRL, RIF, PRR, SBVR, DMN, CL, Prolog), rule-based event processing languages (EPLs) and technologies, and research on inference rules, transformation rules, decision rules, and ECA rules.

This annual symposium is the flagship event of RuleML. The RuleML Initiative (<http://ruleml.org>) is a non-profit umbrella organization with a Steering Committee, an advisory board, taskforces, and technical groups, whose participants from academia, industry, and government work on rule technology and its applications. Its aim is to promote the study, research, and use of rules in heterogeneous distributed environments such as the Web. RuleML maintains effective links with other major international societies and acts as intermediary between various “specialized” rule vendors, applications, industrial and academic research groups, as well as standardization efforts from, e.g., W3C, OMG, OASIS, and ISO. One of its major contributions is the unifying RuleML system of families of rule languages, serialized in XML and spanning across all industrially relevant kinds of Web rules.

The technical program of RuleML 2016 included presentations of novel rule-based technologies, such as Semantic Web rule languages and standards, rule engines, formal and operational semantics, rule-based systems, as well as new emerging topics relevant to rules. Besides the regular research track, RuleML 2016 included six special research tracks: Smart Contracts, Blockchain, and Rules; Constraint Handling Rules; Event-Driven Architectures and Active Database Systems; Legal Rules and Reasoning; Rule- and Ontology-Based Data Access and Transformation; Rule Induction and Learning. These tracks reflect the significant role of rules in several research and application areas, which include: blockchains and smart contract, ontology-based data access, active databases and rules, legal rules, constraint handling rule, and rule induction and learning.

After a successful industry track at RuleML 2015, RuleML 2016 again included such a track, describing practical applications of rules, and aspects of the state of the art of rule-based business cases.

The highlights of this year’s RuleML Symposium included the following invited presentations:

Two keynote talks:

- Richard Waldinger, from Artificial Intelligence Center, SRI International, USA, presenting “Natural Language Access to Data: It Needs Reasoning”
- Bruce Silver from Bruce Silver Associates, presenting “DMN as a Decision Modeling Language”

Two tutorials:

- “Programming in Picat” by Neng-Fa Zhou, City University of New York
- “Practical Knowledge Representation and Reasoning in Ergo” by Michael Kifer, Theresa Swift and Benjamin Grosf (Coherent Knowledge Systems)

A RuleML standards talk:

- “The RuleML Knowledge-Interoperation Hub” by Harold Boley, Faculty of Computer Science, University of New Brunswick

In addition, the program included the 10th International Rule Challenge, dedicated to practical experiences with rule-based applications, the 6th RuleML Doctoral Consortium, which focused on PhD research in the area of rules, and finally, the DecisionCAMP 2016.

The contributions in this volume include a set of invited papers and research track papers. Invited presentations include two full papers and three abstracts for the keynotes, tutorials, and standards talk. Research papers include a selection of 20 papers, which were presented during the technical program of RuleML 2016. The research papers were selected from 36 submissions through a peer-review process. Each paper was reviewed by at least three members of the Program Committee.

RuleML 2016, like its predecessors, offered a high-quality technical and applications program, which was the result of the joint effort of the members of the RuleML 2016 Program Committee.

Special thanks are due to the Track Chairs, the excellent Program Committee, and the additional reviewers for their hard work in reviewing the submitted papers. Their criticisms and very useful comments and suggestions were instrumental in achieving a high-quality publication. We also thank the symposium authors for submitting high-quality papers, responding to the reviewers’ comments, and abiding by our production schedule. We further wish to thank the invited speakers for contributing their inspiring presentations. RuleML 2016 was financially supported by industrial companies and scientific journals and was technically supported by several professional societies. We wish to thank our sponsors, whose financial support helped us to offer this event, and whose technical support allowed us to attract high-quality submissions. Last, but not least, we would like to thank the development team of the EasyChair conference

management system and our publisher, Springer, for their support in the preparation of this volume and the publication of the proceedings.

May 2016

Jose Julio Alferes  
Leopoldo Bertossi  
Guido Governatori  
Paul Fodor  
Dimitru Roman





### **Industry Track**

Tara Athan Athan Services, USA  
Marc Proctor Red Hat, UK

### **Proceedings Chair**

Dumitru Roman SINTEF/University of Oslo, Norway

### **Doctoral Workshop Chair**

Kia Teymourian Rice University, USA

### **10th International Rule Challenge Co-chairs**

Adrian Giurca Brandenburg University of Technology  
Cottbus–Senftenberg, Germany  
William Van Woensel Dalhousie University, Canada  
Rolf Grütter Swiss Federal Research Institute, Switzerland

### **DecisionCAMP**

Jacob Feldman Open Rules, USA

### **Web Chair**

Tiantian Gao Stony Brook University, USA

### **Local and Finance Chair**

Christine Cesaria Stony Brook University, USA

### **Program Committee**

Slim Abdennadher German University in Cairo, Egypt  
Martin Atzmueller University of Kassel, Germany  
Ebrahim Bagheri Ryerson University, Canada  
Christopher Baker UNB Saint John, Canada  
Nick Bassiliades Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece  
Bernhard Bauer University of Augsburg, Germany  
Andrea Bracciali University of Stirling, UK  
Lars Braubach University of Hamburg, Germany  
Christoph Bussler Oracle Corporation, USA  
Jean-Paul Calbimonte EPFL, Switzerland  
Henning Christiansen Roskilde University, Denmark  
Gökhan Coskun Universität Bonn, Germany

Claudia D'Amato	University of Bari, Italy
Célia Da Costa Pereira	Université Nice Sophia Anipolis, France
Agnieszka Dardzinska	Bialystok University of Technology, Poland
Primavera De'Filippi	National Center of Scientific Research (CNRS) in Paris, France
Emanuele Della Valle	DEIB, Politecnico di Milano, Italy
Juergen Dix	Clausthal University of Technology, Germany
Gregory Duck	National University of Singapore, Singapore
Vadim Ermolayev	Zaporozhye National University, Ukraine
Luis Ferreira Pires	University of Twente, Italy
Giorgos Flouris	FORTH-ICS, Crete
Enrico Francesconi	ITTIG-CNR, Italy
Fred Freitas	Universidade Federal de Pernambuco (UFPE), Brazil
Johannes Fürnkranz	TU Darmstadt, Germany
Daniel Gall	Ulm University, Germany
Aldo Gangemi	Université Paris 13 and CNR-ISTC, France
Marco Gavanelli	University of Ferrara, Italy
Matthias Grabmair	Intelligent Systems Program, University of Pittsburgh, USA
Brigitte Grau	LIMSI, CNRS, France
Christophe Gravier	Université Jean Monnet, France
Sergio Greco	University of Calabria, Italy
Todd Green	LogicBlox, USA
Giancarlo Guizzardi	Ontology and Conceptual Modeling Research Group (NEMO)/Federal University of Espirito Santo (UFES), Italy
Peter Haase	metaphacts, Germany
Margaret Hagan	Stanford, USA
Ioannis Hatzilygeroudis	University of Patras, Greece
José Hernández-Orallo	Universitat Politècnica de Valencia, Italy
Martin Holena	Institute of Computer Science, Czech Republic
Yuh-Jong Hu	National Chengchi University, Taiwan
Efstathios Kontopoulos	CERTH-ITI, Greece
Manolis Koubarakis	University of Athens, Greece
Nicolas Lachiche	University of Strasbourg, France
Ho-Pun Lam	Data61, CSIRO, Australia
Edmund Lam	University of Hong Kong, SAR China
Evelina Lamma	ENDIF, University of Ferrara, Italy
Steffen Lamparter	KIT, Germany
Florian Lemmerich	University of Würzburg, Germany
Maurizio Lenzerini	University of Rome La Sapienza, Italy
Francesca Alessandra Lisi	Università degli Studi di Bari Aldo Moro, Italy
Emiliano Lorini	IRIT, France
Markus Luczak-Rösch	University of Southampton, UK
Michael Maher	University of New South Wales, Canberra, Australia
Marco Manna	University of Calabria, Italy

Andrew Miller	University of Wollongong, Australia
Angelo Montanari	University of Udine, Italy
Grzegorz J. Nalepa	AGH University of Science and Technology, Poland
Sergey Nazarov	SmartContract.com, USA
Alex Norta	Tallinn University of Technology, Estonia
Philipp Obermeier	University of Postdam, Germany
Jose Ignacio Panach Navarrete	Universitat de València, Spain
Gareth W. Peters	University College London, UK
Andreas Pieris	Vienna University of Technology, Austria
Zbigniew Ras	University of North Carolina, USA
Oliver Ray	University of Bristol, UK
Fabrizio Riguzzi	University of Ferrara, Italy
Mariano Rodríguez Muro	IBM Research, USA
Fariba Sadri	Imperial College London, UK
Giovanni Sartor	EUI/CIRSFID, Italy
Uli Sattler	University of Manchester, UK
Ute Schmid	Universität Bamberg, Germany
Rolf Schwitter	Macquarie University, Australia
Ralph Schäfermeierz	Freie Universität Berlin, Germany
Omair Shafiq	University of Calgary, Canada
Rishabh Singh	MIT, USA
Martin G. Skjæveland	University of Oslo, Norway
Ahmet Soylu	University of Oslo, Norway
Francois Levy	LIPN, University of Paris, France
Petros Stefaness	National Technical University of Athens, Greece
Christian De Sainte Marie	IBM, Paris, France
Giorgos Stoilos	National Technical University of Athens, Greece
Umberto Straccia	ISTI-CNR, Italy
Olga Streibel	National Institute of Informatics, Russia
Martin Sulzmann	Karlsruhe University of Applied Sciences, Germany
Melanie Swan	IEET, USA
Ioan Toma	STI Innsbruck, Austria
David Toman	University of Waterloo, Canada
Emilio Tuosto	University of Leicester, UK
Wamberto Vasconcelos	University of Aberdeen, UK
George Vouros	University of Piraeus, Greece
Renata Wassermann	University of São Paulo, Brazil
Frank Wolter	University of Liverpool, UK
Adam Wyner	University of Aberdeen, UK
Michael Zakharyashev	Birkbeck College London, UK
Amal Zouaq	Royal Military College of Canada, Canada
Leon van der Torre	University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg
Özgür Lütfü Özcep	Institute of Information Systems, University of Lübeck, Germany

## Additional Reviewers

Leif Harald Karlsen  
Francesco Parisi  
Riccardo Zese  
Nada Sharaf

Kalliopi Kravari  
Marcelo Finger  
Elena Bellodi  
Amira Zaki

## RuleML 2016 Sponsors





## **Invited Papers Abstracts**

# **Natural Language Access to Data: It Needs Reasoning**

Richard Waldinger

Artificial Intelligence Center, SRI International

Researchers have been working on natural language access to data for decades. We argue that to do a good job, we must have knowledge of the subject domain and the ability to reason with that knowledge. We are interested in queries for which the answer does not exist explicitly in any one data source but must be deduced or computed from information provided by many sources. Furthermore, we consider queries which are not be expressed in a single question but are distributed over a sequence of questions, each one refining or elaborating on earlier ones. We have adopted a deductive approach to this problem, in which the query is translated into a logical form, which is submitted as a conjecture to a theorem prover; answers are extracted from proofs. A proof is conducted over an axiomatic theory of the subject domain; symbols in the theory are linked to tables in appropriate databases, which may be consulted as the proof is underway. Reasoning is necessary to link the query to the relevant databases, to compose answers from information provided by those databases, and to resolve ambiguities in the English query. We illustrate the approach with the SAP Quest system, which answers questions in a business enterprise domain.

# DMN as a Decision Modeling Language

Bruce Silver

Bruce Silver Associates

Decision Model and Notation (DMN) is a relatively new decision modeling standard maintained by the Object Management Group. Based on a formal metamodel, it combines a business-oriented graphical notation with precise rule-based decision logic semantics. As such, DMN tools allow non-technical users to define, validate, and maintain executable decision logic themselves, as opposed to the traditional error-prone approach of writing business requirements for programmers. In the notation, the dependencies of a complex decision on other supporting decisions and input data are represented graphically by a Decision Requirements Diagram (DRD). The decision logic of each decision node in the DRD is defined by a variety of tabular formats called boxed expressions, and DMN also specifies a new expression language, FEEL, used in the boxed expressions. In combination, the DRD, boxed expressions, and FEEL constitute a powerful decision modeling language standard. In fact, the XML serialization of a DMN model captures all the essential semantic details of the notation, so that it can be validated for completeness and consistency, and supplied with input data values directly executed on a suitable engine.

This keynote talk reviews the structure and key features of DMN 1.1 as a decision modeling language.



# Programming in Picat

Neng-Fa Zhou

CUNY Brooklyn College and Graduate Center, Brooklyn, USA  
zhou@sci.brooklyn.cuny.edu

**Abstract.** Picat ([picat-lang.org](http://picat-lang.org)) is a logic-based multi-paradigm programming language that integrates logic programming, functional programming, constraint programming, and scripting. Picat takes many features from other languages, including logic variables, unification, backtracking, pattern-matching rules, functions, list/array comprehensions, loops, assignments, tabling for dynamic programming and planning, and constraint solving with CP (constraint programming), SAT (satisfiability), and MIP (mixed integer programming). These features make Picat more convenient than Prolog for scripting and modeling, and more suitable than functional languages (such as Haskell and F#) and scripting languages (such as Python and Ruby) for symbolic computations. This article provides a quick introduction to Picat using examples from Google Code Jam (GCJ).

# Practical Knowledge Representation and Reasoning in Ergo

Michael Kifer, Theresa Swift, and Benjamin N. Grosz

Coherent Knowledge Systems, LLC

This tutorial covers the latest progress in Ergo<sup>1</sup>, a cutting-edge practical knowledge representation and reasoning system. Ergo is the most complete and highly optimized implementation of Rulelog, an expressive yet scalable extension of Datalog and logic programs. Some of the salient (and often unique) features of Ergo include:

- *frame-based* object syntax [5]
- *higher-order* statements [3, 12]
- support for *general quantification and general formulas* [4]
- *dynamically* evolving knowledge [2]
- *hypothetical* reasoning
- *modularity*
- argumentation-based *defeasible reasoning* [10, 11]
- *user-defined functions*, which provide a limited form of functional programming
- *ErgoText*, which relates controlled natural language phrases (sprinkled with variables and other syntactic elements) to logic sentences
- *explanations* that are fully detailed, interactively navigable, and presented in natural language – understandable by those who are not expert in logic or programming [1]
- flexible probabilistic reasoning, including distribution semantics [9], evidential probability [6], and tight integration with inductive machine learning

Ergo also has connectors for fast loading of data, SQL and SPARQL querying, graph databases, Java and C interfaces, and more. Probabilistic uncertainty and machine learning capabilities are under development. In case studies, Ergo enables cost-effective, agile development of knowledge bases for automated decisions/analytics support in finance, defense, e-commerce, health, and in domains that utilize complex knowledge such as terminology mappings, policies, regulations, contracts, and science.

Much of this tutorial will be dedicated to Ergo's development environment, *Ergo Studio*, especially to its unique advanced support for debugging knowledge. For instance, execution of Ergo queries can be paused and the state of the evaluation examined. Information that can be gleaned at that point includes the various statistics as well as indication of whether the query may be inefficient or even that it might not terminate. Ergo's *Terminizer* [7, 8] is a tool that performs a more detailed analysis and can point to the specific parts of the knowledge base that are likely to cause objectionable behavior. The user can also set up various *tripwires*, which would trigger

---

<sup>1</sup> Ergo is a product of Coherent Knowledge ([coherentknowledge.com](http://coherentknowledge.com)). It is available free of charge to selected academic researchers.

various actions if certain conditions are met. Last, but not least, Ergo can be asked to explain the answers it returns as well as the answers it does not return. These explanations can use either logical expressions or English sentences (through ErgoText).

In conclusion, we will also briefly discuss key frontiers for research, including probabilistic, machine learning, natural language, and multi-processor inferencing.

This tutorial requires prerequisite knowledge of neither Ergo nor Rulelog. However, familiarity with logic rules, semantic technology, and logic programming is very desirable.

## References

1. Andersen, C., Benyo, B., Calejo, M., Dean, M., Fodor, P., Grosf, B.N., Kifer, M., Liang, S., Swift, T.: Advanced knowledge base debugging for rulelog. In: Joint Proceedings of the 7th International Rule Challenge, the Special Track on Human Language Technology and the 3rd RuleML Doctoral Consortium, Seattle, USA, July 11–13, 2013 (2013). <http://ceur-ws.org/Vol-1004/paper8.pdf>
2. Bonner, A., Kifer, M.: Transaction logic: Unifying declarative and procedural knowledge (1993), manuscript
3. Chen, W., Kifer, M., Warren, D.: HiLog: a foundation for higher-order logic programming. *J. Logic Program.* **15**(3), 187–230 (1993)
4. Grosf, B.: Rapid text-based authoring of defeasible higher-order logic formulas, via textual logic and rulelog. In: Morgenstern, L., Stefanias, P., Lvy, F., Wyner, A., Paschke, A. (eds.) RuleML 2013, LNCS 8035, pp. 2–11. Springer, Heidelberg (2013). [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-39617-5\\_2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-39617-5_2)
5. Kifer, M., Lausen, G., Wu, J.: Logical foundations of object-oriented and frame-based languages. *J. ACM* **42**, 741–843 (1995)
6. Kyburg, H., Teng, C.: *Uncertain Inference*. Cambridge University Press (2001)
7. Liang, S., Kifer, M.: A practical analysis of non-termination in large logic programs. *Theor. Pract. Logic Program.* **13**, 705–719 (2013)
8. Liang, S., Kifer, M.: Terminuser: an automatic non-termination analyzer for large logic programs. In: Sagonas, K. (ed.) PADL 2013, LNCS 7752, pp. 173–189. Springer, Heidelberg (2013)
9. Riguzzi, F., Swift, T.: Well-definedness and efficient inference for probabilistic logic programming under the distribution semantics. *Theor. Pract. Logic Program.* **13**(2), 279–302 (2013)
10. Wan, H., Grosf, B., Kifer, M., Fodor, P., Liang, S.: Logic programming with defaults and argumentation theories. In: Hill, P.M., Warren, D.S. (eds.) ICLP 2009, LNCS 5649, pp. 432–448. Springer, Heidelberg (2009)
11. Wan, H., Kifer, M., Grosf, B.: Defeasibility in answer set programs with defaults and argumentation rules. *Semant. Web J.* (2014)
12. Yang, G., Kifer, M.: Reasoning about anonymous resources and meta statements on the Semantic Web. In: Spaccapietra et al. (eds.) Journal on Data Semantics 1, LNCS 2800, pp. 69–98. Springer, Heidelberg (2003)

# The RuleML Knowledge-Interoperation Hub

Harold Boley

Faculty of Computer Science, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton,  
Canada

harold[DT]boley[AT]unb[DT]ca

**Abstract.** The RuleML knowledge-interoperation hub provides for syntactic/semantic representation and internal/external transformation of formal knowledge. The representation system permits the configuration of textbook and enriched Relax NG syntax as well as the association of syntax with semantics. The transformation tool suite includes serialized formatters (normalizers and compactifiers), polarized parsers and generators (the RuleML $\leftrightarrow$ POSL tool and the RuleML $\rightarrow$ PSOA/PS generator and PSOA/PS $\rightarrow$ AST parser), as well as importers and exporters (the importer from Dexlog to Naf Datalog RuleML and the exporter from FOL RuleML languages to TPTP). An N3-PSOA-Flora knowledge-interoperation use case is introduced for illustration.

# Contents

## Invited Papers

Programming in Picat . . . . .	3
<i>Neng-Fa Zhou</i>	
The RuleML Knowledge-Interoperation Hub . . . . .	19
<i>Harold Boley</i>	

## General RuleML Track

Handling Complex Process Models Conditions Using First-Order Horn Clauses . . . . .	37
<i>Stefano Ferilli</i>	
Business Rules Uncertainty Management with Probabilistic Relational Models . . . . .	53
<i>Hamza Agli, Philippe Bonnard, Christophe Gonzales, and Pierre-Henri Wuillemin</i>	
A Declarative Semantics for a Fuzzy Logic Language Managing Similarities and Truth Degrees . . . . .	68
<i>Pascual Julián-Iranzo, Ginés Moreno, Jaime Penabad, and Carlos Vázquez</i>	
Controlling the Average Behavior of Business Rules Programs . . . . .	83
<i>Olivier Wang, Leo Liberti, Claudia D'Ambrosio, Christian de Sainte Marie, and Changhai Ke</i>	
Bridge Rules for Reasoning in Component-Based Heterogeneous Environments . . . . .	97
<i>Stefania Costantini and Giovanni De Gasperis</i>	
Choreographic Compilation of Decentralized Comprehension Patterns . . . . .	113
<i>Iliano Cervesato, Edmund Soon Lee Lam, and Ali Elgazar</i>	
Minimal Objectification and Maximal Unnesting in PSOA RuleML . . . . .	130
<i>Gen Zou and Harold Boley</i>	

## Smart Contracts, Blockchain and Rules

Setting Standards for Altering and Undoing Smart Contracts . . . . .	151
<i>Bill Marino and Ari Juels</i>	

Evaluation of Logic-Based Smart Contracts for Blockchain Systems . . . . .	167
<i>Florian Idelberger, Guido Governatori, Régis Riveret, and Giovanni Sartor</i>	
Blockchain Temporality: Smart Contract Time Specifiability with Blocktime . . . . .	184
<i>Melanie Swan</i>	
<b>Constraint Handling Rules</b>	
A Numerical Optimisation Based Characterisation of Spatial Reasoning . . . . .	199
<i>Carl Schultz and Mehul Bhatt</i>	
Why Can't You Behave? Non-termination Analysis of Direct Recursive Rules with Constraints . . . . .	208
<i>Thom Frühwirth</i>	
Translation of Cognitive Models from ACT-R to Constraint Handling Rules . . . . .	223
<i>Daniel Gall and Thom Frühwirth</i>	
<b>Legal Rules and Reasoning</b>	
Enabling Reasoning with LegalRuleML . . . . .	241
<i>Ho-Pun Lam, Mustafa Hashmi, and Brendan Scofield</i>	
SBVR to OWL 2 Mapping in the Domain of Legal Rules . . . . .	258
<i>Firas Al Khalil, Marcello Ceci, Kosala Yapa, and Leona O'Brien</i>	
<b>Rule- and Ontology-Based Data Access and Transformation</b>	
OBDA Constraints for Effective Query Answering . . . . .	269
<i>Dag Hovland, Davide Lanti, Martin Rezk, and Guohui Xiao</i>	
A Framework Enhancing the User Search Activity Through Data Posting . . . . .	287
<i>Nunziato Cassavia, Elio Masciari, Chiara Pulice, and Domenico Saccà</i>	
<b>Rule Induction and Learning</b>	
PRIMER – A Regression-Rule Learning System for Intervention Optimization. . . . .	307
<i>Greg Harris, Anand Panangadan, and Viktor K. Prasanna</i>	
<b>Event Driven Architectures and Active Database Systems</b>	
Rule-Based Real-Time ADL Recognition in a Smart Home Environment . . . . .	325
<i>George Baryannis, Przemyslaw Woznowski, and Grigoris Antoniou</i>	

SmartRL: A Context-Sensitive, Ontology-Based Rule Language  
for Assisted Living in Smart Environments . . . . . 341  
*William Van Woensel, Patrice C. Roy, and Syed Sibte Raza Abidi*

**Author Index** . . . . . 351