

Commenced Publication in 1973

Founding and Former Series Editors:

Gerhard Goos, Juris Hartmanis, and Jan van Leeuwen

Editorial Board

David Hutchison

Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK

Takeo Kanade

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

Josef Kittler

University of Surrey, Guildford, UK

Jon M. Kleinberg

Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

Friedemann Mattern

ETH Zurich, Zürich, Switzerland

John C. Mitchell

Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA

Moni Naor

Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel

C. Pandu Rangan

Indian Institute of Technology, Madras, India

Bernhard Steffen

TU Dortmund University, Dortmund, Germany

Demetri Terzopoulos

University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

Doug Tygar

University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA

Gerhard Weikum

Max Planck Institute for Informatics, Saarbrücken, Germany

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/7409>

Selmin Nurcan · Pnina Soffer
Marko Bajec · Johann Eder (Eds.)

Advanced Information Systems Engineering

28th International Conference, CAiSE 2016
Ljubljana, Slovenia, June 13–17, 2016
Proceedings

Editors

Selmin Nurcan
Université Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne
Paris
France

Pnina Soffer
Department of Information Systems
University of Haifa
Haifa
Israel

Marko Bajec
University of Ljubljana
Ljubljana
Slovenia

Johann Eder
Alpen-Adria Universität Klagenfurt
Klagenfurt
Austria

ISSN 0302-9743 ISSN 1611-3349 (electronic)
Lecture Notes in Computer Science
ISBN 978-3-319-39695-8 ISBN 978-3-319-39696-5 (eBook)
DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-39696-5

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016939988

LNCS Sublibrary: SL3 – Information Systems and Applications, incl. Internet/Web, and HCI

© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature
The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG Switzerland

Preface

This volume of the LNCS series contains the papers accepted for presentation at the 28th International Conference on Advanced Information Systems Engineering (CAiSE 2016), held in Ljubljana, Slovenia, during June 13–17, 2016. CAiSE has established itself as a leading venue on information systems engineering. It serves as a forum for the exchange of ideas for researchers, practitioners, and students, where the most recent results in the domain are presented and discussed. In addition, it is a place to learn, meet the community, start new projects, and identify future trends.

Information systems are developed by people and for people. The CAiSE 2016 theme was “Information Systems for Connecting People,” emphasizing the wish to satisfy the needs and requirements of people, both as individuals and as parts of organizations, which are socio-technical systems. In particular, this theme emphasized the role of information systems in communication among individuals, organizational units, and organizations themselves. It could also imply knowledge building and knowledge sharing, all kinds of decision making, negotiating and reaching agreements, bridging differences and distances among various points of view, perspectives, positions, and/or cultures.

Following this theme, the scientific program of CAiSE 2016, whose papers appear in this volume, included “traditional” topics associated with information systems engineering, as well as more contemporary topics and ones specifically related to the theme. The program included the following paper sessions:

- Collaboration
- Innovation, gamification
- Cloud and services
- Open source software
- Requirements engineering
- Business process modelling
- Business process management
- Variability and configuration
- Process mining
- Mining and business process performance
- Mining and decision support
- Conceptual modelling

CAiSE 2016 received 211 full-paper submissions from all over the world: papers were submitted from 48 countries in all five continents. After a rigorous reviewing process, involving the CAiSE Program Committee and Program Board, 35 high-quality papers were selected for presentation at the conference (acceptance rate of 16.5 %). Notably, the papers accepted for publication in the conference include representatives of all five continents, demonstrating how international CAiSE is.

The scientific program also included three keynotes and four tutorials, whose abstracts appear in this volume. The keynotes are: “Three Projects and a Projection” by Jonathan Grudin, “Making Your Users and You Tick” by Igor Benko, and “Processes and Quality of Data” by Barbara Pernici. The tutorials are: “Sustainability in Information Systems Engineering and Research” by Sergio España, Patricia Lago, and Sjaak Brinkkemper; “Quality of Business Process Models” by John Krogstie; “ICT-Based Creativity and Innovation” by Michele Missikoff; “Capability-Driven Development for Building Sustainable Information Systems” by Janis Stirna, Jelena Zdravkovic, and Hrvoje Simic.

In addition, the conference featured a variety of workshops, three attached working conferences, an industry track, a doctoral consortium, and a forum devoted to fresh research ideas. Separate proceedings have been published for all these events.

As editors of this volume, we would like to thank all the members of the Program Board and of the Program Committee, as well as external reviewers for their dedication in providing thorough and fair evaluations. Our deepest thanks to Richard van de Stadt, who helped us with the CyberChairPRO conference management system in an extremely effective way. We also warmly thank the local organization team and the CAiSE webmaster, publicity chairs, workshop organization chairs, forum chairs, tutorial and panel chairs, doctoral consortium chairs, publication chair, and industry chairs. Last but not least, we thank the general chairs, Marko Bajec and Johann Eder, who helped us with patience and dedication, combining experience with enthusiasm, to deliver a program that we are sure the community found interesting and inspiring.

April 2016

Selmin Nurcan
Pnina Soffer

Organization

Steering Committee

Barbara Pernici	Politecnico di Milano, Italy
Óscar Pastor	Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain
John Krogstie	Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway

Advisory Committee

Janis Bubenko Jr.	Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden
Arne Sølvberg	Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway
Colette Rolland	Université Paris 1 Panthéon Sorbonne, France

General Chairs

Marko Bajec	University of Ljubljana, Slovenia
Johann Eder	University of Klagenfurt, Austria

Program Chairs

Selmin Nurcan	University of Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne, France
Pnina Soffer	University of Haifa, Israel

Organization Chairs

Vida Groznik	University of Ljubljana, Slovenia
Slavko Žitnik	University of Ljubljana, Slovenia
Marko Janković	University of Ljubljana, Slovenia

Workshop Chairs

Haris Mouratidis	University of Brighton, UK
Jianwen Su	University of California at Santa Barbara, USA
John Krogstie	Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway

Forum Chairs

Sergio España	Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, Spain
Mirjana Ivanović	University of Novi Sad, Serbia

Doctoral Consortium Chairs

Roel Wieringa University of Twente, The Netherlands
Stefanie Rinderle-Ma University of Vienna, Austria
Óscar Pastor Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, Spain

Tutorial and Panel Chairs

Xavier Franch Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Spain
Jolita Ralyté University of Geneva, Switzerland

Publicity Chairs

Rébecca Deneckère Université Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne, France
Marta Indulska The University of Queensland, Australia
Renata Guizzardi Federal University of Espírito Santo, Brazil

Publication Chair

Saïd Assar Mines-Telecom Institute, France

Industry Chairs

Dimitris Karagiannis University of Vienna, Austria
Niko Schlamberger Slovenia Society Informatica, Slovenia

Webmaster

Marko Janković University of Ljubljana, Slovenia

Program Committee Board

Sjaak Brinkkemper, The Netherlands	Óscar Pastor, Spain
Eric Dubois, Luxembourg	Barbara Pernici, Italy
Marlon Dumas, Estonia	Klaus Pohl, Germany
Xavier Franch, Spain	Manfred Reichert, Germany
Giancarlo Guizzardi, Brazil	Hajo Reijers, Netherlands
John Krogstie, Norway	Colette Rolland, France
Pericles Loucopoulos, UK	Janis Stirna, Sweden
Raimundas Matulevičius, Estonia	Mathias Weske, Germany
Haralambos Mouratidis, UK	Roel J. Wieringa, The Netherlands
Andreas L. Opdahl, Norway	

Program Committee

Wil van der Aalst, The Netherlands
 João Paulo Almeida, Brazil
 Daniel Amyot, Canada
 Yuan An, USA
 Eric Andonoff, France
 Saïd Assar, France
 Paris Avgeriou, The Netherlands
 Luciano Baresi, Italy
 Carlo Batini, Italy
 Zohra Bellahsene, France
 Boualem Benatallah, Australia
 Balázs Benyó, Hungary
 Giuseppe Berio, France
 Ilia Bider, Sweden
 Nacer Boudjlida, France
 Jordi Cabot, Spain
 Albertas Caplinskas, Lithuania
 Silvana Castano, Italy
 Corine Cauvet, France
 Lawrence Chung, USA
 Isabelle Comyn-Wattiau, France
 Panos Constantopoulos, Greece
 Alfredo Cuzzocrea, Italy
 Fabiano Dalpiaz, The Netherlands
 Maya Daneva, The Netherlands
 Valeria De Antonellis, Italy
 Rébecca Deneckère, France
 Michael Derntl, Germany
 Neil Ernst, USA
 Sergio España, Spain
 João Falcão e Cunha, Portugal
 Kathrin Figl, Austria
 Ulrich Frank, Germany
 Avigdor Gal, Israel
 Paolo Giorgini, Italy
 Claude Godart, France
 Cesar Gonzalez-Perez, Spain
 Michael Grossniklaus, Germany
 Francesco Guerra, Italy
 Renata Guizzardi, Brazil
 Irit Hadar, Israel
 Anne Hakansson, Sweden
 Chihab Hanachi, France
 Brian Henderson-Sellers, Australia
 Jennifer Horkoff, UK
 Marta Indulska, Australia
 Matthias Jarke, Germany
 Manfred Jeusfeld, Sweden
 Paul Johannesson, Sweden
 Ivan Jureta, Belgium
 Haruhiko Kaiya, Japan
 Dimitris Karagiannis, Austria
 Evangelia Kavakli, Greece
 David Kenschke, Germany
 Larry Kerschberg, USA
 Marite Kirikova, Latvia
 Christian Kop, Austria
 Agnes Koschmider, Germany
 Lea Kutvonen, Finland
 Marcello La Rosa, Australia
 Regine Laleau, France
 Alexei Lapouchnian, Canada
 Dejan Lavbič, Slovenia
 Julio Cesar Leite, Brazil
 Sotirios Liaskos, Canada
 Kalle Lyytinen, USA
 Michel Léonard, Switzerland
 Alexander Mädche, Germany
 Heinrich Mayr, Austria
 Jan Mendling, Austria
 Miguel Mira da Silva, Portugal
 Isabelle Mirbel, France
 John Mylopoulos, Italy
 Moira Norrie, Switzerland
 Michael Pantazoglou, Greece
 Jeffrey Parsons, Canada
 Anna Perini, Italy
 Gilles Perrouin, Belgium
 Anne Persson, Sweden
 Geert Poels, Belgium
 Jaroslav Pokorny, Czech Republic
 Artem Polyvyanyy, Australia
 Erik Proper, Luxembourg
 Christoph Quix, Germany
 Jolita Ralyté, Switzerland
 Gil Regev, Switzerland
 Iris Reinhartz-Berger, Israel
 Dominique Rieu, France

Stefanie Rinderle-Ma, Austria
Thomas Rose, Germany
Michael Rosemann, Australia
Gustavo Rossi, Argentina
Antonio Ruiz Cortés, Spain
Irina Rychkova, France
Shazia Sadiq, Australia
Motoshi Saeki, Japan
Camille Salinesi, France
Rainer Schmidt, Germany
Michael Schrefl, Austria
Samira Si-Said Cherfi, France
Vítor E. Silva Souza, Brazil
Guttorm Sindre, Norway
Monique Snoeck, Belgium
Arnon Sturm, Israel
Angelo Susi, Italy

David Taniar, Australia
Ernest Teniente, Spain
Denis Trček, Slovenia
Juan-Carlos Trujillo Mondejar, Spain
Aphrodite Tsalgatidou, Greece
Francisco Valverde Girome, Spain
Irene Vanderfeesten, The Netherlands
Olegas Vasilecas, Lithuania
Panos Vassiliadis, Greece
Gianluigi Viscusi, Switzerland
Barbara Weber, Austria
Alain Wegmann, Switzerland
Matthias Weidlich, Germany
Hans Weigand, The Netherlands
Yijun Yu, UK
Eric Yu, Canada
Jelena Zdravkovic, Sweden

Additional Reviewers

Manel Achichi
Basmah Almoaber
Areti Ampatzoglou
George Athanasopoulos
Fatma Başak Aydemir
Zia Babar
Christian Bartelt
Malak Baslyman
Maria Bergholtz
Devis Bianchini
Alexander Bock
Dominik Bork
Andrej Bugajev
Andrea Burattin
Federico Cabitza
Javier Canovas
Victorio A. Carvalho
Sofia Charalampidou
Marco Comerio
Mario Cortes Cornax
Xavier Devroey
Mohamed Ben Ellefi
Walid Fdhila
Pablo Fernandez
Alfio Ferrara

José María García
Frédéric Gervais
Mohamad Gharib
Mahdi Ghasemi
Christophe Gnaho
Vangelis Gongolidis
Bénédicte Le Grand
Jens Gulden
Antonio-Manuel Gutierrez-Fernandez
Mehdi Haddad
Fayçal Hamdi
Median Hilal
Tobias Hildebrandt
Monika Kaczmarek
Georg Kaes
Sybren de Kinderen
Eleni Koutrouli
Martin Kretzer
Alexei Lapouchnian
Dirk van der Linden
Angela Locoro
Ioanna Lykourentzou
Abderrahmane Maaradji
José Antonio Parejo Maestre
Fabrizio Maria Maggi

Petros Manousis
Christian Manteuffel
Salvador Martinez
Andrea Maurino
Michele Melchiori
Stefano Montanelli
Carlos Müller
Mario Nolte
Kestutis Normantas
Elda Paja
Óscar Pastor
Jesús Peral
Marcela Ruiz
Mattia Salnitri

Titas Savickas
Silvia Schacht
Christoph G. Schuetz
Arik Senderovich
Zhou Shao
Dieter Steiner
Florian Stertz
Jonathan Svirsky
Nikolaos Tantouris
Chouki Tibermacine
Christina Tsagakani
Maria Jose Villanueva
Michael Walch
Andreas Weiler

Keynotes

Three Projects and a Projection

Jonathan Grudin

Microsoft Research, USA
jgrudin@microsoft.com

Abstract. Thirty years ago, working as a software engineer, I was asked to help develop information systems for connecting people—a range of communication and collaboration support applications and features. It proved difficult to develop systems that people wanted to use. To understand why I gravitated to research, continuing to work with developers and HCI practitioners when opportunities arise. In this talk I will discuss three favorite projects in recent years that had directly applied goals. The goals were fully realized in one case, partly realized in a second, and the third is a favorite because of what we learned. The project areas were an enterprise email system extension, an enterprise ‘serious game’ platform, and K-12 (primary and secondary) education. I will then step back to describe changes over thirty years that seem salient, and some of the challenges and opportunities confronting us today.

Making Your Users and You Tick

Igor Benko

Google, USA

ibenko@google.com

Abstract. A successful system delights its users, makers, and operators. Making such a system requires melding of different disciplines, practices, a good timing, perseverance, social responsibility, risk management, and some luck. The challenge amplifies when the system targets users across continents and vastly different cultures. In this talk we will illustrate some of the challenges with lessons from Google Search and Google Maps. In particular, we will look into features that help connecting people and that help connect people to the issues they care about. As examples we will use My Maps that connect people over social issues. We will also look at experimental features in Google Search where we enabled interactions between people and cultural moments, and where we enabled American presidential candidates post content directly to Google Search.

Processes and Quality of Data

Barbara Pernici

Politecnico di Milano - DEIB
Piazza Leonardo da Vinci 32, 20133 Milano, Italy
barbara.pernici@polimi.it

Abstract. While a great emphasis has been given in the literature on modeling and analyzing the structure of processes, data being processed and managed within processes are often considered with less attention. In the talk, the importance of data in processes will be analyzed mainly from the point of view of its quality. The data being considered include both the ones directly managed by the process and also the ones that are available in the process execution environment, providing information about its context of execution. In particular the presentation will discuss the issues and possible techniques that can be adopted for evaluating the impact of poor data quality on processes, for assessing the importance of different data quality dimensions, such as, for instance, accuracy, consistency, and completeness, for improving processes adding data quality controls, for repairing processes when failures due to poor data quality occur during execution. Finally, future directions for research considering the opportunities and issues arising from the larger and larger amounts of data available in process environments from different sources will be analyzed and discussed.

Tutorials

CAiSE 2016 Tutorials

This section contains the abstracts of the tutorials accepted for presentation at the 28th International Conference on Advanced Information Systems Engineering (CAiSE 2016), held in Ljubljana, Slovenia, from the 13th to the 17th of June, 2016.

The objective of the tutorials is offering new insights, knowledge and skills to managers, teachers, researchers, and students seeking to gain a better understanding of the state-of-the-art in Information Systems engineering. They are a good way to get a broad overview of a topic beyond a current paper presentation.

This year, 9 tutorial proposals were submitted for consideration at CAiSE 2016. The tutorials were evaluated according to several criteria: relevance to CAiSE, structure and contents of the proposal, attractiveness, novelty of the topic, perceived importance in the field, methodology for the presentation, background of the speaker(s) and past experience.

As a result, 4 tutorials were selected for presentation at the conference: “Sustainability in Information Systems Engineering and Research”, by Sergio España, Patricia Lago and Sjaak Brinkkemper; “Quality of Business Process Models”, by John Krogstie; “ICT-based Creativity and Innovation”, by Michele Missikoff; “Capability Driven Development for Building Sustainable Information Systems”, by Janis Stirna, Jelena Zdravkovic and Hrvoje Simic. All the tutorials were assigned 90 minutes for presentation and were included in the main conference program.

We would like to thank all the people involved in the organization of the event: the CAiSE 2016 Program Chairs, Selmin Nurcan and Pnina Soffer; the CAiSE 2016 General Chairs, Marko Bajec and Johann Eder; and all the colleagues who submitted their tutorial proposal for consideration to the conference.

Barcelona/Geneva, March 2016

Xavier Franch, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Spain
Jolita Ralyté, University of Geneva, Switzerland

Sustainability in Information Systems Engineering and Research

Sergio España¹, Patricia Lago², Sjaak Brinkkemper¹

¹ Department of Information and Computing Sciences, Utrecht University,
The Netherlands

s.espana@uu.nl, s.brinkkemper@uu.nl

² Department of Computer Science, VU University Amsterdam, The Netherlands

p.lago@vu.nl

Abstract. Academic and industrial interest in sustainability-related topics is increasing. There is a growing awareness that, when it comes to improving the impact in our surroundings, every little helps. This tutorial provides an overview of sustainability in the realm of information systems, both from a research perspective and from the point of view of industry practitioners. Starting from the basics of sustainability and its relation with information technology, we then review methods and technologies applicable to this domain. Moreover, we will discuss the current challenges in sustainable information systems research and development. Interlarded with examples and interactions with the participants, our ultimate goal is to motivate you to take part in this interesting area with a strong societal impact.

Keywords: Sustainability · Green IT · Information systems · Responsible software · Responsible enterprise · Socio-environmental impact · Tutorial

1 ICT Sustainability Is Not Only a Hot Topic, but also Necessary

The behaviour of enterprises and citizens has an impact on the sustainability of the economic, social and environmental systems [1]. Responsible enterprises and well-informed citizens are agents of change towards a better world. Given the great challenges to be faced, their efforts need to be supported by the appropriate information and communication technology (ICT).

The impressive advances in ICT over the last few decades have brought big threats and opportunities. Among the threats, data centres serving the ever-growing demand for information are now responsible for around 2 % of greenhouse gas emissions, a similar share to aviation [2]. Advances in energy-efficient hardware and software are expected to alleviate this problem [3]. Among the opportunities, the emerging second wave of sustainable ICT is becoming more externally focused and service-oriented, applying technology not only to exploit enterprise and customer opportunities but also to address broader societal problems [4]. In this promising landscape there are many opportunities for successful innovations to be applied in sectors such as agriculture, construction, power, consumer services, manufacturing or transportation.

2 Overview of the Tutorial: From Basics to Challenges

The tutorial aims to raise awareness on the role that advanced information systems research and engineering can have in creating a more sustainable world. It is a call for participation in a growing movement to save the planet and its people, while keeping profit in mind. The main intended learning outcomes of the tutorial are the following:

- Know the basics of sustainability from the economic, social and environment points of view (a.k.a. triple bottom line).
- Understand the role played by information systems research and engineering in technical sustainability.
- Be aware of the relevant toolset of methods and technologies applicable to this research domain.
- Be able to outline past and current trends on sustainable software, as well as the open challenges of the area.

The tutorial provides a bird's eye view on sustainable information systems engineering and research. It intends to produce insights in the audience on how they can contribute to a more sustainable world as researchers and as individuals, presenting the necessary methods and tools to do so.

Eventually, we intend to motivate you to participate in improving our world: practitioners will learn what they can do to improve the responsibility of their enterprises (well-established practices and tools); researchers will learn how they can expand the frontiers of knowledge on sustainable software (relevant theories, research methods and challenges).

References

1. Gibson, R.B.: Beyond the pillars: sustainability assessment as a framework for effective integration of social, economic and ecological considerations in significant decision-making. *J. Environ. Assess. Policy Manage.* **8**(3), 259–280 (2006)
2. Global e-Sustainability Initiative -GeSI- and Boston Consulting Group: SMARTer 2020: the role of ICT in driving a sustainable future (2012). <http://gesi.org/portfolio/report/72>
3. Procaccianti, G., Lago, P., Lewis, G.A.: Green architectural tactics for the cloud. In: 11th Working IEEE/IFIP Conference on Software Architecture. WICSA 2014, pp. 41–44. IEEE (2014)
4. Harmon, R.R., Demirkan, H.: The next wave of sustainable IT. *IT Prof.* **13**(1), 19–25 (2011)

Quality of Business Process Models

John Krogstie

NTNU, Trondheim, Norway
John.krogstie@idi.ntnu.no

Abstract. The goal of the tutorial was to discuss issues of quality of business process models, and how the SEQUAL framework on quality of models and modeling languages can be used in practice to assess and evaluate a business process model. Based on the tutorial and accompanying material, the participants should be able to use the framework in their own work. The expected audience of the tutorial was people with intermediate or advanced background in (process) modeling, although anyone with some familiarity with modeling, and in particular business process modelling should be able to benefit from the tutorial.

Keywords: Business process modelling · Quality of models · Modeling languages

Tutorial Details

Business processes is at the core of organizational activities. A (business) process is a collection of related, structured tasks that produce a specific service or product to address a certain (organizational) goal for a particular actor or set of actors. The management of business processes receives increasing interest [2]. An important area in this regard is the modelling of processes - Business Process Modelling. Although a lot of work is done in this area, we still have not developed a common agreement relative to central notions such as:

- Quality of business process models so they can be used to achieve their purpose.
- Appropriate modelling formalisms and extensions of modelling formalisms and approaches to support achieving and maintaining model quality.
- Needs for tools and methods to support process modelling.

Within process modeling we have found the move towards standardization, e.g. to the use of BPMN, but it can be argued that BPMN do not address all the goals of modeling [1]. To understand the issues of quality of conceptual models we have for many years worked with SEQUAL, a framework for understanding the quality of models and modeling languages, which we have seen can subsume all main aspects relative to quality of models. SEQUAL builds on early work on quality of model [7], but has been extended based on theoretical results [8, 9, 10] and practical experiences [3, 4, 6, 11] with the original framework.

SEQUAL has three unique properties compared to other frameworks for quality of models:

- It distinguishes between quality characteristics (goals) and means to potentially achieve these goals.
- It is closely linked to linguistic and semiotic concepts. In particular, the core of the framework including the discussion on syntax, semantics, and pragmatics is parallel to the use of these terms in the semiotic theory of Morris.
- It is based on a constructivistic world-view, recognizing that models are usually created as part of a dialogue between those involved in modeling, whose knowledge of the modeling domain changes as modeling takes place.

Work to specialize SEQUAL for investigating the quality of business process models is the topic of an upcoming book [5] and is the basis for this tutorial which contains the following parts:

1. Characteristics of business process models
2. Quality of models relative to different goals of business process modeling
3. Overall presentation of the SEQUAL framework
4. Exemplifying the different aspects of the framework
5. Extensive examples of how the framework has been used in industrial settings
6. Summary with a quiz and take home lessons

References

1. Aagesen, G., Krogstie, J.: Analysis and design of business processes using BPMN. In: vom Brocke, J., Rosemann, M. (eds.) *Handbook on Business Process Management*. Springer, Berlin (2010)
2. Von Brocke, J., Rosemann, M. (eds.): *Handbook on Business Process Management*, 2nd edn. Springer, Berlin (2015)
3. Heggset, M., Krogstie, J., Wesenberg, H.: Understanding model quality concerns when using process models in an industrial company. In: Gaaloul, K., Schmidt, R., Nurcan, S., Guerreiro, S., Ma, Q. (eds.) *EMMSAD 2015. LNBIP*, vol. 214, pp. 395–409. Springer, Berlin (2015)
4. Krogstie, J.: Model-driven development and evolution of information systems. In: Konstantas, D., Léonard, M., Pigneur, Y., Patel, S. (eds.) *Object-Oriented Information Systems. LNCS*, vol. 2817, p. 2. Springer, Berlin (2012)
5. Krogstie, J.: *Quality in Business Process Modeling*. Springer (2016)
6. Krogstie, J., Dalberg, V., Jensen, S.M.: Process modeling value framework. In: Manolopoulos, Y., Filipe, J., Constantopoulos, P., Cordeiro, J. (eds.) *ICEIS 2006. LNBIP*, vol. 3, pp. 309–321. Springer, Berlin (2008)
7. Lindland, O.I., Sindre, G., Sølvsberg, A.: Understanding quality in conceptual modelling. *IEEE Softw.* **11**(2), 42–49 (1994)
8. Moody, D.L.: Theoretical and practical issues in evaluating the quality of conceptual models: current state and future directions. *Data Knowl. Eng.* **55**, 243–276 (2005)
9. Nelson, H.J., Poels, G., Genero, M., Piattini, M.: A conceptual modeling quality framework. *Softw. Qual. J.* **20**, 201–228 (2012)

10. Price, R., Shanks, G.: A semiotic information quality framework: development and comparative analysis. *J. Inf. Technol.* **20**(2), 88–102 (2005)
11. Moody, D.L., Sindre, G., Brasethvik, T., Sølvsberg, A.: Evaluating the quality of process models: empirical testing of a quality framework. In: Spaccapietra, S., March, S.T., Kambayashi, Y. (eds.) *ER 2002. LNCS*, vol. 2503, pp. 214–231. Springer, Berlin (2002)

ICT-Based Creativity and Innovation

Michele Missikoff

Institute of Sciences and Technologies of Cognition, CNR, Rome, Italy
michele.missikoff@cnr.it

1 Framing Innovation

Innovation is a key factor to relaunch the EU industrial system. In particular SMEs, that represent the 99 % of the enterprises active in Europe, need to systematically adopt innovation as part of their everyday business (see: *continuous innovation* [1]). To this end, SMEs need to rethink their culture, organization and, overall, their strategies in adopting advanced ICT infrastructures. Hence, ICT plays a central role in carrying out successful innovation; in particular, there is a new generation of ICT-based socio-technical platforms that are proving to be very effective in supporting the challenging activities of an innovation project.

This tutorial illustrates some of the key competences necessary to carry out a successful innovation project. Some of them are directly derived from the experience in Information Systems Engineering, but need to be revisited in the light of the advent of a new breed of socio-technical systems, aimed at supporting innovation in networked organizations (i.e., SMEs, but also large highly decentralised corporations, public institutions, etc.).

Carrying out an innovation project is not an easily job for a single enterprise, then it is really a challenge for a network of enterprise, having the problem of achieving the necessary coordination and synergy in a distributed, multi-player operational and decisional context. Managing an innovation project requires approaches, methods and tools inherently different from those used in ‘traditional’ Project Management. It is necessary a deep rethinking of existing tools and methods and, at the same time, new tools and methods need to be developed. The BIVEE (Business Innovation in Virtual Enterprise Environment) platform, developed by an European project (that received the European Excellence Award), represents a valid example [2].

2 Objectives of the Tutorial

The objectives of this tutorial is to illustrate the opportunities that advanced ICT solutions can offer to improve the innovation capacity of complex organizations, in particular SMEs organized in virtual (networked) enterprises. To this end, the tutorial starts with a reflection on the nature of Innovation, since this term represents a rich and

articulated domain, often addressed without the awareness of its complexity. Then, the tutorial proceeds addressing the following topics.

- Nature and essence of Innovation.
- Different types of innovation and the main approaches that can be used to address them.
- Focusing on selected topics, e.g., how to improve creativity, by using game-based technique, how to promote divergent thinking and serendipity in innovation.
- ICT methods and tools able to support the various activities found in the different phases of the lifecycle of Innovation: from its inception (e.g., Creativity phase) to its conclusion (e.g., Engineering and transfer to production).
- Practical issues to be considered when developing an ICT-based platform for innovation support and management, starting from a specific case: the European project BIVÉE.
- Sharing conclusions on how to starting and carrying out an Innovation project.

The core of the presentation will be based on the 5-dimensional Open Innovation Space. The 5 dimensions are: (i) *key supporting disciplines* (from Economics to Design Science, from Art and Creativity to Engineering), (ii) *enterprise facets* (Process, Product, Service, Organization, Market, Technology), (iii) *digital enablers* (from Collaboration platform to Knowledge Management, from Big Data Analytics to Decision Support Systems), (iv) *application domains* (see below), (v) *enterprise innovation lifecycle* (where the core is represented by the BIVÉE Innovation Waves: *Creativity, Feasibility, Prototyping, Engineering*).

It is important to remark once more that the approach and the addressed topics are positioned at a meta-level, therefore the presentation is not concerned with innovation in a specific application domain, such as automotive, health, agro-food, or aerospace. We concentrate on innovation as a discipline per se, addressing it in a sufficiently general fashion to seize the commonalities, principles, guidelines, but also methods and tools, that are valid for a large variety of enterprises operating in different application domains. But, naturally, the tutorial does not pretend to be exhaustive over all the problems and solutions connected to innovation (that include, e.g., from change management to HR, from organizational issues to business models); similarly, despite the generality of the adopted approach and the proposed solutions, when such solutions are actually applied to a concrete situation, a number of refinements, integrations and customization need to be carried out.

References

1. Boer, H., Gertsen, F.: From continuous improvement to continuous innovation: a (retro)(per)spective. *Int. J. Technol. Manage.* **26**, 8 (2003)
2. Missikoff, M., Canducci, M., Maiden, N. (eds.): *Enterprise Innovation: from Creativity to Engineering*. Wiley (2015)

Capability Driven Development for Building Sustainable Information Systems

Janis Stirna¹, Jelena Zdravkovic¹, Hrvoje Simic²

¹ Department of Computer and Systems Sciences, Stockholm University,
Forum 100, SE-16440, Kista, Sweden

{js, jelenaz}@dsv.su.se

² Croz d.o.o., Lastovska 23, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia

hsimic@croz.net

Abstract. The notion of capability has emerged in information system (IS) engineering as the means to support development of context dependent organizational solutions and supporting IS applications. To this end a Capability Driven Development (CDD) has been proposed. The CDD methodology supports IS development and designing as well as running applications that need to be adjusted according to changes in the context. This tutorial presents the methodology, demonstrates the tool support for CDD, as well as summarizes our experiences of using CDD in four companies.

Keywords: Enterprise modeling · Capability design · Capability development

Introduction

A significant objective of today's enterprise Information Systems (IS) is to be sustainable, which entails producing value to their stakeholders over time. A major concern is how IS can successfully support constant variations in business conditions originating, for instance, from changes in customers' demand, environmental aspects, regulations, etc. A key challenge is the need to adjust according to change at runtime.

Capability as a concept originates from competence-based management and military frameworks. It offers a complement to traditional Enterprise Modeling (EM) approaches by representing organizational knowledge from a result-based perspective. Lately, the notion has emerged in IS engineering as an instrument to context-dependent business and application design. To ensure the needs of business stakeholders for the variety of business contexts that an enterprise faces and thus facilitate sustainable application delivery, we see the capability notion as the central concept to enable a holistic approach to model-oriented IS development that integrates both the business and technological development perspectives. Capability is seen as *the ability and capacity that enable an enterprise to achieve a business goal in a certain context* [1]. It is operationalized in a capability-oriented approach that integrates organizational development with IS development taking into account changes in the application context of the solution. This is referred to as Capability Driven Development (CDD). It requires a number of organizational concepts to be modeled, such as business goals,

processes, resources, Key Performance Indicators (KPIs), as well as the parameters for describing business environmental contexts for organizations capabilities. CDD consists of the following method components:

- *Capability Design* for design, evaluation and development of capabilities by using process models, goal models and other types of models.
- *Enterprise Modeling* is included in CDD for the creation of enterprise models that are used as input for capability design.
- *Context Modeling* for analyzing the capability context, and the variations needed to deal with variations.
- *Reuse of Capability Design* for elicitation and documentation of patterns for capability design.
- *Run-time Delivery Adjustment* for defining capability adjustments at runtime.

The CDD methodology is supported by the CDD environment consisting of the following key components:

- *Capability Design Tool (CDT)*: a graphical modelling tool for supporting the creation of models according to the capability meta-model. The CDT will provide the developers with a suitable notation for EM and capability design.
- *Capability Navigation Application (CNA)*: an application that uses the models created in the CDT to monitor relevant context and handle run-time capability adjustments.
- *Capability Context Platform (CCP)*: the context platform supports capturing and distributing context information to the CNA.
- *Capability Delivery Application (CDA)*: the business application that are used to support the capability delivery. This can be a custom-made IS, or a configured IS such as an ERP. The CNA communicates, or configures the CDA to adjust for changing contexts during capability design and delivery.

CDD been applied in the following cases: SIV AG (Germany) for standard business processes execution capability; FreshTL Ltd (UK) for maritime compliance capability; CLMS Ltd (UK) for collaborative IS development using the MDD technology; Everis (Spain) for service promotion capability, marriage registration capability, and SOA platform capability.

References

1. Bērziša, S., Bravos, G., Gonzalez, T., Czubayko, U., España, S., Grabis, J., Henkel, M., Jokste, L., Kampars, J., Koç, H., Kuhr, J., Llorca, C., Loucopoulos, P., Juanes, R., Pastor, O., Sandkuhl, K., Simic, H., Stirna, J., Valverde, F., Zdravkovic, J.: Capability driven development: an approach to designing digital enterprises. *Int. J. Bus. Inf. Syst. Eng. (BISE)* **57/1**, 15–25 (2015)

Contents

Collaboration

- View-Based Near Real-Time Collaborative Modeling for Information Systems Engineering 3
Petru Nicolaescu, Mario Rosenstengel, Michael Derntl, Ralf Klamma, and Matthias Jarke
- A Framework for Model-Driven Execution of Collaboration Structures 18
Christoph Mayr-Dorn and Schahram Dustdar
- Social Business Intelligence in Action 33
Matteo Francia, Enrico Gallinucci, Matteo Golfarelli, and Stefano Rizzi

Business Process Modeling

- To Integrate or Not to Integrate – The Business Rules Question 51
Wei Wang, Marta Indulska, and Shazia Sadiq
- Micro-Benchmarking BPMN 2.0 Workflow Management Systems with Workflow Patterns 67
Marigianna Skouradaki, Vincenzo Ferme, Cesare Pautasso, Frank Leymann, and André van Hoorn
- Improving Understandability of Declarative Process Models by Revealing Hidden Dependencies 83
Johannes De Smedt, Jochen De Weerd, Estefanía Serral, and Jan Vanthienen

Innovation, Gamification

- The Authentication Game - Secure User Authentication by Gamification? . . . 101
Frank Ebbers and Philipp Brune
- Improving the Length of Customer Relationships on the Mobile Computer Game Business 116
Erno Vanhala and Jussi Kasurinen
- ADInnov: An Intentional Method to Instil Innovation in Socio-Technical Ecosystems 133
Mario Cortes-Cornax, Agnès Front, Dominique Rieu, Christine Verdier, and Fabrice Forest

Mining and Business Process Performance

A Visual Approach to Spot Statistically-Significant Differences in Event Logs Based on Process Metrics. 151
Alfredo Bolt, Massimiliano de Leoni, and Wil M.P. van der Aalst

Business Process Performance Mining with Staged Process Flows. 167
Hoang Nguyen, Marlon Dumas, Arthur H.M. ter Hofstede, Marcello La Rosa, and Fabrizio Maria Maggi

Minimizing Overprocessing Waste in Business Processes via Predictive Activity Ordering 186
Ilya Verenich, Marlon Dumas, Marcello La Rosa, Fabrizio Maria Maggi, and Chiara Di Francescomarino

Requirements Engineering

Formalizing and Modeling Enterprise Architecture (EA) Principles with Goal-Oriented Requirements Language (GRL) 205
Diana Marosin, Marc van Zee, and Sepideh Ghanavati

Engineering Requirements with *Desiree*: An Empirical Evaluation 221
Feng-Lin Li, Jennifer Horkoff, Lin Liu, Alex Borgida, Giancarlo Guizzardi, and John Mylopoulos

A Modelling Language for Transparency Requirements in Business Information Systems 239
Mahmood Hosseini, Alimohammad Shahri, Keith Phalp, and Raian Ali

Process Mining

The ROAD from Sensor Data to Process Instances via Interaction Mining . . . 257
Arik Senderovich, Andreas Rogge-Solti, Avigdor Gal, Jan Mendling, and Avishai Mandelbaum

Correlating Unlabeled Events from Cyclic Business Processes Execution 274
Dina Bayomie, Ahmed Awad, and Ehab Ezat

Efficient and Customisable Declarative Process Mining with SQL. 290
Stefan Schönig, Andreas Rogge-Solti, Cristina Cabanillas, Stefan Jablonski, and Jan Mendling

Conceptual Modeling

- Using a Well-Founded Multi-level Theory to Support the Analysis and Representation of the Powertype Pattern in Conceptual Modeling 309
Victorio Albani Carvalho, João Paulo A. Almeida, and Giancarlo Guizzardi
- Mutation Operators for UML Class Diagrams 325
Maria Fernanda Granda, Nelly Condori-Fernández, Tanja E.J. Vos, and Oscar Pastor
- Automated Clustering of Metamodel Repositories 342
Francesco Basciani, Juri Di Rocco, Davide Di Ruscio, Ludovico Iovino, and Alfonso Pierantonio

Mining and Decision Support

- Predictive Business Process Monitoring Framework with Hyperparameter Optimization. 361
Chiara Di Francescomarino, Marlon Dumas, Marco Federici, Chiara Ghidini, Fabrizio Maria Maggi, and Williams Rizzi
- Decision Mining Revisited - Discovering Overlapping Rules 377
Felix Mannhardt, Massimiliano de Leoni, Hajo A. Reijers, and Wil M.P. van der Aalst
- An Adaptability-Driven Model and Tool for Analysis of Service Profitability 393
Ouh Eng Lieh and Stan Jarzabek

Cloud and Services

- Optimizing Monitorability of Multi-cloud Applications 411
Edoardo Fadda, Pierluigi Plebani, and Monica Vitali
- CloudMap*: A Visual Notation for Representing and Managing Cloud Resources. 427
Denis Weerasiri, Moshe Chai Barukh, Boualem Benatallah, and Cao Jian
- Keep Calm and Wait for the Spike! Insights on the Evolution of Amazon Services. 444
Apostolos V. Zarras, Panos Vassiliadis, and Ioannis Dinos

Variability and Configuration

Comprehensive Variability Analysis of Requirements and Testing Artifacts 461
Michal Steinberger and Iris Reinhartz-Berger

Comprehensibility of Variability in Model Fragments for Product
 Configuration 476
Jorge Echeverría, Francisca Pérez, Carlos Cetina, and Óscar Pastor

Static Analysis of Dynamic Database Usage in Java Systems 491
Loup Meurice, Csaba Nagy, and Anthony Cleve

Open Source Software

A Longitudinal Study of Community-Oriented Open Source Software
 Development 509
*Kateryna Neulinger, Anna Hannemann, Ralf Klamma,
 and Matthias Jarke*

OSSAP – A Situational Method for Defining Open Source Software
 Adoption Processes 524
*Lidia López, Dolors Costal, Jolita Ralyté, Xavier Franch,
 Lucía Méndez, and Maria Carmela Annosi*

Business Process Management

Narrowing the Business-IT Gap in Process Performance Measurement 543
*Han van der Aa, Adela del-Río-Ortega, Manuel Resinas,
 Henrik Leopold, Antonio Ruiz-Cortés, Jan Mendling,
 and Hajo A. Reijers*

A Configurable Resource Allocation for Multi-tenant Process Development
 in the Cloud 558
Emna Hachicha, Nour Assy, Walid Gaaloul, and Jan Mendling

Context-Aware Analysis of Past Process Executions to Aid Resource
 Allocation Decisions 575
Renuka Sindhgatta, Aditya Ghose, and Hoa Khanh Dam

Author Index 591