

Communications in Computer and Information Science

614

Commenced Publication in 2007

Founding and Former Series Editors:

Alfredo Cuzzocrea, Dominik Ślęzak, and Xiaokang Yang

Editorial Board

Simone Diniz Junqueira Barbosa

*Pontifical Catholic University of Rio de Janeiro (PUC-Rio),
Rio de Janeiro, Brazil*

Phoebe Chen

La Trobe University, Melbourne, Australia

Xiaoyong Du

Renmin University of China, Beijing, China

Joaquim Filipe

Polytechnic Institute of Setúbal, Setúbal, Portugal

Orhun Kara

TÜBİTAK BİLGEM and Middle East Technical University, Ankara, Turkey

Igor Kotenko

*St. Petersburg Institute for Informatics and Automation of the Russian
Academy of Sciences, St. Petersburg, Russia*

Ting Liu

Harbin Institute of Technology (HIT), Harbin, China

Krishna M. Sivalingam

Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai, India

Takashi Washio

Osaka University, Osaka, Japan

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/7899>

Tristan Cazenave · Mark H.M. Winands
Stefan Edelkamp · Stephan Schiffel
Michael Thielscher · Julian Togelius (Eds.)

Computer Games

Fourth Workshop on Computer Games, CGW 2015
and the Fourth Workshop on General Intelligence
in Game-Playing Agents, GIGA 2015
Held in Conjunction with the 24th International Conference
on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI 2015
Buenos Aires, Argentina, July 26–27, 2015
Revised Selected Papers

Editors

Tristan Cazenave
Université Paris-Dauphine
Paris
France

Mark H.M. Winands
Maastricht University
Maastricht
The Netherlands

Stefan Edelkamp
Universität Bremen
Bremen
Germany

Stephan Schiffel
Reykjavik University
Reykjavik
Iceland

Michael Thielscher
The University of New South Wales
Sydney, NSW
Australia

Julian Togelius
New York University
Brooklyn, NY
USA

ISSN 1865-0929 ISSN 1865-0937 (electronic)
Communications in Computer and Information Science
ISBN 978-3-319-39401-5 ISBN 978-3-319-39402-2 (eBook)
DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-39402-2

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016939911

© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature
The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG Switzerland

Preface

These joint proceedings contain the papers of the Computer Games Workshop (CGW 2015) and the General Intelligence in Game-Playing Agents (GIGA 2015) workshop, which were both held in Buenos Aires, Argentina. These workshops took place on July 26 and 27, 2015, respectively, in conjunction with the 24th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI 2015). These two workshops reflect the large interest in AI research for games.

The Computer and Games Workshop series is an international forum for researchers interested in all aspects of artificial intelligence (AI) and computer game playing. Earlier workshops took place in Montpellier, France (2012), Beijing, China (2013), and Prague, Czech Republic (2014). For the fourth edition of the Computer Games Workshop, 16 submissions were received in 2015. Each paper was sent to two reviewers. In the end, 10 papers were accepted for presentation at the workshop, of which eight made it into these proceedings. The published papers cover a wide range of topics related to computer games. They collectively discuss eight abstract games: Chinese checkers, Go Fish, Lost Cities, Morpion Solitaire, Phantom Domineering, Phantom Go, Settlers of Catan, and Surakarta. Additionally, one paper is on a roguelike game and one paper is on the Pancake Problem.

The GIGA workshop series has been established to become the major forum for discussing, presenting, and promoting research on general game playing (GGP). It aims at building intelligent software agents that can, given the rules of any game, automatically learn a strategy for playing that game at an expert level without any human intervention. The workshop intends to bring together researchers from subfields of AI to discuss how best to address the challenges and further advance the state of the art of general game-playing systems and generic artificial intelligence. Following the inaugural GIGA Workshop at IJCAI 2009 in Pasadena (USA), follow-up events took place at IJCAI 2011 in Barcelona (Spain) and IJCAI 2013 in Beijing (China). This fourth workshop on General Intelligence in Game-Playing Agents received 11 submissions. Each paper was sent to two reviewers. In the end, 10 papers were accepted for presentation at the workshop, of which four made it into these proceedings. The accepted papers cover topics such as general video game playing, advanced simulation-based methods, heuristics, and learning.

In all, 44 % of the submitted papers for both workshops were selected for these proceedings. Here we provide a brief outline of the 12 contributions, in the order in which they appear in the proceedings. They are divided into two parts: the first eight belong to the Computer Games Workshop and the last four to the GIGA Workshop.

Computer Games Workshop

“Challenges and Progress on Using Large Lossy Endgame Databases in Chinese checkers,” written by Nathan Sturtevant, discusses using large endgame databases to improve the performance of minimax and Monte Carlo tree search (MCTS)-based agents in Chinese checkers. Several challenges are faced in how to properly integrate the endgame databases and how to correct errors that occur because of the compression that is used when storing the endgame data. Experimental results suggest that minimax-based approaches are able to do a better job of using the endgame data than MCTS approaches.

“Sequential Halving for Partially Observable Games,” authored by Tom Pepels, Tristan Cazenave, and Mark Winands, investigates sequential halving as a selection policy in the following four partially observable games: Go Fish, Lost Cities, Phantom Domineering, and Phantom Go. Additionally, H-MCTS is studied, which uses sequential halving at the root of the search tree, and UCB elsewhere. Experimental results reveal that H-MCTS performs the best in Go Fish, whereas its performance is on par in Lost Cities and Phantom Domineering. Sequential halving as a flat Monte Carlo search appears to be the stronger technique in Phantom Go.

“An Experimental Investigation on the Pancake Problem,” by Bruno Bouzy, discusses the pancake problem. It is an NP-hard problem and linked to the genome rearrangement problem also called sorting by reversals (SBR). To date, the best theoretical R-approximation was 2 with an algorithm, which gives a 1.22 experimental R-approximation on stacks with a size smaller than 70. In this paper a Monte Carlo search (MCS) approach with nested levels and specific domain-dependent simulations is used. The paper shows that MCS is an alternative to iterative deepening depth first search for sorting large stacks of pancakes. At a given level and with a given number of polynomial-time domain-dependent simulations, MCS is a polynomial-time algorithm as well. MCS at level 3 gives a 1.04 experimental R-approximation, which is a breakthrough. At level 1, MCS solves stacks of size 512 with an experimental R-approximation value of 1.20.

“485 – A New Upper Bound for Morpion Solitaire,” a joint collaboration by Henryk Michalewski, Andrzej Nagórko, and Jakub Pawlewicz, shows a new upper bound of 485 moves for the 5T variant of the Morpion Solitaire game. This is achieved by encoding Morpion 5T rules as a linear program and solving 126,912 instances of this program on special octagonal boards. To show the correctness of this method, the rules of the game have been analyzed and the potential of a given position has been used. By solving continuous-valued relaxations of linear programs on these boards, an upper bound of 586 moves is obtained. Further analysis of original, not relaxed, mixed-integer programs leads to an improvement of this bound to 485 moves. However, this is achieved at a significantly higher computational cost.

“Multi-Agent Retrograde Analysis,” by Tristan Cazenave, proposes a new predator-prey game. This domain is modeled as a board game where three predators are trying to capture a prey. Each agent has five possible moves: going up, down, left, right, or staying in the same location. The game terminates if the prey is on the same location as a predator or if the prey cannot move to an empty location. Small boards up to 9×9

have been solved using retrograde analysis. The outcome is that the predator–prey game is always lost for the prey when there are at least three predators.

“The Surakarta Bot Revealed,” by Mark Winands, presents the ideas behind the agent SIA, which won the Surakarta tournament at the ICGA Computer Olympiad five times. The paper first describes SIA’s $\alpha\beta$ -based variable-depth search mechanism. Enhancements such as multi-cut forward pruning and realization probability search improve the agent considerably. Next, features of the static evaluation function are discussed as well. Experimental results indicate that features, which reward distribution of the pieces and penalize pieces that clutter together, give a genuine improvement in the playing strength.

“Learning to Trade in Strategic Board Games,” written by Heriberto Cuayáhuatl, Simon Keizer, and Oliver Lemon, describes a data-driven approach for automatic trading in the game of Settlers of Catan. Their experiments are based on data collected from human players trading in text-based natural language. The performance of Bayesian networks, conditional random fields, and random forests have been compared in the task of ranking trading offers, and are evaluated both in an offline setting and online while playing the game against a rule-based baseline. Experimental results show that agents trained from data from average human players can outperform rule-based trading behavior, and that the random forest model achieves the best results.

“Argumentative AI Director Using Defeasible Logic Programming,” a joint effort by Ramiro Agis, Andrea Cohen, and Diego Martínez, presents a novel implementation of an AI director that uses argumentation techniques to decide dynamic adaptations in the level generation of a roguelike game called *HermitArg*. The architecture of the game introduces *smart items* with defeasible information to be analyzed in a dialectical process.

GIGA Workshop

“On the Cross-Domain Reusability of Neural Modules for General Video Game Playing,” written by Alex Braylan, Mark Hollenbeck, Elliot Meyerson, and Risto Miikkulainen, considers a general approach to knowledge transfer in which an agent learning with a neural network adapts how it reuses existing networks as it learns in a new domain. This approach is domain-agnostic and requires no prior assumptions about the nature of task relatedness or mappings. The method’s performance and applicability are analyzed in high-dimensional Atari 2600 general video game playing.

“The GRL System: Learning Board Game Rules with Piece-Move Interactions,” written by Peter Gregory, Henrique Coli Schumann, Yngvi Björnsson, and Stephan Schiffel, studies the problem of learning formal models of the rules of board games, using as input only example sequences of the moves made in playing those games. This work is distinguished from previous work in this area in that the interactions are learned between the pieces in the games. A previous game rule acquisition system is supplemented by allowing pieces to be added and removed from the board during play, and using a planning domain model acquisition system to encode the relationships between the pieces that interact during a move.

“Creating Action Heuristics for General Game Playing Agents,” authored by Michal Trutman and Stephan Schiffel, investigates an approach that learns online heuristics that guide the simulations of MCTS in GGP. This approach generates heuristics that estimate the usefulness of actions by analyzing the game rules as opposed to the simulation results. Experimental results show the potential of this approach.

“Space-Consistent Game Equivalence Detection in General Game Playing,” by Haifeng Zhang, Dangyi Liu, and Wenxin Li, discusses that GGP agents can efficiently enhance their intelligence by taking advantage of experience from past games. The authors argue that it is necessary for agents to detect equivalence between games. This paper defines game equivalence formally and concentrates on a specific scale, space-consistent game equivalence (SCGE). To detect SCGE, an approach is proposed mainly reducing the complex problem to some well-studied problems. An evaluation of the approach is performed at the end.

These proceedings would not have been produced without the help of many persons. In particular, we would like to mention the authors and reviewers for their help. Moreover, the organizers of IJCAI 2015 contributed substantially by bringing the researchers together.

March 2016

Tristan Cazenave
Mark H.M. Winands
Stefan Edelkamp
Stephan Schiffel
Michael Thielscher
Julian Togelius

Organization

Program Chairs

Tristan Cazenave	Université Paris–Dauphine, France
Mark Winands	Maastricht University, The Netherlands
Stefan Edelkamp	University of Bremen, Germany
Stephan Schiffel	Reykjavik University, Iceland
Michael Thielscher	University of New South Wales, Australia
Julian Togelius	New York University, USA

Program Committee

Yngvi Björnsson	Reykjavik University, Iceland
Bruno Bouzy	Université Paris–Descartes, France
Tristan Cazenave	Université Paris–Dauphine, France
Stefan Edelkamp	University of Bremen, Germany
Michael Genesereth	Stanford University, USA
Hiroyuki Iida	Japan Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Japan
Nicolas Jouandeau	Université Paris 8, France
Lukasz Kaiser	Université Paris–Diderot, France
Sylvain Lagrue	Université d'Artois, France
Marc Lanctot	Google DeepMind, UK
Simon Lucas	University of Essex, UK
Jacek Mańdziuk	Warsaw University of Technology, Poland
Jean Méhat	Université Paris 8, France
Martin Müller	University of Alberta, Canada
Diego Perez	University of Essex, UK
Thomas Philip Runarsson	University of Iceland, Iceland
Arpad Rimmel	Supelec, France
Ji Ruan	Auckland University of Technology, New Zealand
Abdallah Saffidine	University of New South Wales, Australia
Spyridon Samothrakis	University of Essex, UK
Tom Schaul	Google DeepMind, UK
Stephan Schiffel	Reykjavik University, Iceland
Sam Schreiber	Google Inc., USA
Nathan Sturtevant	University of Denver, USA
Fabien Teytaud	Université du Littoral Côte d'Opale, France
Michael Thielscher	University of New South Wales, Australia

Julian Togelius	New York University, USA
Mark Winands	Maastricht University, The Netherlands
Shi-Jim Yen	National Dong Hwa University, Taiwan

Additional Reviewers

Sumedh Ghaisas
Chiara Sironi
Maciej Świechowski

Contents

Computer Games Workshop 2015

Challenges and Progress on Using Large Lossy Endgame Databases in Chinese Checkers	3
<i>Nathan R. Sturtevant</i>	
Sequential Halving for Partially Observable Games	16
<i>Tom Pepels, Tristan Cazenave, and Mark H.M. Winands</i>	
An Experimental Investigation on the Pancake Problem	30
<i>Bruno Bouzy</i>	
485 – A New Upper Bound for Morpion Solitaire	44
<i>Henryk Michalewski, Andrzej Nagórko, and Jakub Pawlewicz</i>	
Multi-agent Retrograde Analysis	60
<i>Tristan Cazenave</i>	
The Surakarta Bot Revealed	71
<i>Mark H.M. Winands</i>	
Learning to Trade in Strategic Board Games	83
<i>Heriberto Cuayáhuítl, Simon Keizer, and Oliver Lemon</i>	
Argumentative AI Director Using Defeasible Logic Programming	96
<i>Ramiro A. Agis, Andrea Cohen, and Diego C. Martínez</i>	

General Intelligence in Game-Playing Agents 2015

On the Cross-Domain Reusability of Neural Modules for General Video Game Playing	115
<i>Alex Braylan, Mark Hollenbeck, Elliot Meyerson, and Risto Miikkulainen</i>	
The <i>GRL</i> System: Learning Board Game Rules with Piece-Move Interactions.	130
<i>Peter Gregory, Henrique Coli Schumann, Yngvi Björnsson, and Stephan Schiffel</i>	

Creating Action Heuristics for General Game Playing Agents 149
Michal Trutman and Stephan Schiffel

Space-Consistent Game Equivalence Detection in General Game Playing 165
Haifeng Zhang, Dangyi Liu, and Wenxin Li

Author Index 179