

# Biomimetic Design Method for Innovation and Sustainability

Yael Helfman Cohen · Yoram Reich

# Biomimetic Design Method for Innovation and Sustainability

 Springer

Yael Helfman Cohen  
Tel Aviv University  
Tel Aviv  
Israel

Yoram Reich  
Faculty of Engineering  
Tel Aviv University  
Tel Aviv  
Israel

ISBN 978-3-319-33996-2      ISBN 978-3-319-33997-9 (eBook)  
DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-33997-9

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016938673

© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature  
The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG Switzerland

# Preface

Biomimicry is captivating. It evokes and attracts the interest of people around the globe, from various disciplines, ages, and professions. It is made of the right ingredients: colorful images, nature, inspiration, innovation, and success stories. In technology, biomimicry provides us with amazing materials and structures and suggests solutions beyond our regular thinking patterns. It is a promising path to address some of the major sustainability challenges of humanity today. In science, biomimicry is a fascinating area for study. It is still in formation and therefore leaves ample space for creation and contribution. It involves many disciplines that should be integrated wisely and consequently challenges the disciplinary nature of science.

I (Yael) was exposed to biomimicry as a child during the eighties, when I got as a present the fascinating book “Bionics-Nature’s patents.” At that time, the prevailing term was bionics. The book waited on my shelf for almost 25 years until it became the beginning of my new career, as biomimicry researcher and consultant. As an adult, already experienced engineer, I discovered again the biomimicry field, this time under the name biomimicry, during one of my design projects. At that time, biomimicry was brand new in Israel with zero information in Hebrew. I was captivated by the endless inspiration that nature could provide to technology. Next, I became a cofounder of Biomimicry IL, a not-for-profit organization that spread the biomimicry seeds in the industrial, academic, and educational sectors in Israel. Not much later, I associated with Prof. Yoram Reich, and we began our biomimetic journey: Yoram as a supervisor and myself as a Ph.D. candidate. This book is the result of this journey, and it is mainly based on my doctoral thesis but includes also additional insights from our backgrounds in design theories and biomimicry practice.

When we first approached the field few years ago as scientific researchers and practitioners, we realized that below the appealing magic, there was almost no scaffolding to lean on. It was not clear what knowledge bases could support the conjunction of distant disciplines and what language should be used for this purpose.

Motivated by the appeal of biomimicry, and by the lacuna of practical biomimetic design methods, we aimed to develop a new biomimetic design method. We wanted to promote the scientific understanding of the field on one hand, but to provide useful method for practitioners on the other. It was clear that we first needed to develop the missing scaffolding: some solid knowledge bases and multidisciplinary language. Inspired by the theory of inventive problem solving (TRIZ), based on identification of recurring patterns in various disciplines, we intuitively believed that patterns may be the basic words of the missing language. We went out for our patterns journey and became “patterns hunters,” looking for design patterns that emerge from a large number of biological design solutions: structure–function patterns and sustainability patterns. From this moment, the patterns were the missing scaffolding and the new language to sustain the development of the new design method: the structural biomimetic design method. We invite you to join this journey and share with you our enthusiasm and insights.

Scientists in the field of biomimicry will find an extensive literature review about the biomimicry discipline including detailed review of current biomimetic design methods and tools, and a mapping of research gaps and challenges. Scientists in the field of design theories will find a unique documentation of design method formation accompanied with a detailed model for “Designing a design method.”

Practitioners will find a comprehensive design algorithm and practical tools to lead biomimetic design processes, including detailed case studies. Practitioners with special interest in sustainable design will find a bioinspired sustainability tool, the ideality tool, which can be integrated within biomimetic design processes or stand-alone as a sustainability tool.

The book could be used in an undergraduate or graduate course on biomimicry, design theory, product design, or sustainability to provide in-depth material on the subject.

# Acknowledgements

This book presents the results of research made possible by the interdisciplinary environment at the Porter School of Environmental Studies at Tel Aviv University. The authors also thank the following individuals for their contribution:

The engineering students at the mechanical engineering program who participated in the sustainability experiments.

The M.Sc. students Yoav Miraz and Ziv Nahari for the development of the case studies of the method.

The innovation experts Avi Sheinman and Amos Redlich for their help in assessing the results of the innovation study.

Alon Weiss for his assistance with the ideality tool case study.

Dr. Sara Greenberg for her assistance with TRIZ-based analyses and models.

I thank my parents Jacob and Dorit Helfman for providing me the seeds of curiosity; my husband Eytan for the support, confidences, and patience; and my beloved children, Maya, Gili, Aviv, Daniel, and Eyal for being my source of love and motivation.

I thank the Porter School of Environmental Studies, Tel-Aviv University, for supporting this study and providing an interdisciplinary research climate.

Yael Helfman Cohen

I thank my parents Rina and Yoseph Reich, for the strong roots and lifetime support; my children Clil (Arbol Del Amor) and Shaked (Almond), for carrying my fascination of nature in their names and personality; and my partner Nurit, for creating a nourishing, sustainable environment.

Yoram Reich

# Contents

## Part I Introduction

<b>1</b>	<b>The Biomimicry Discipline: Boundaries, Definitions, Drivers, Promises and Limits</b> . . . . .	3
1.1	The Origins of the Biomimicry Discipline . . . . .	3
1.2	The Biomimicry Discipline—Boundaries, Terminologies and Research Scope . . . . .	3
1.2.1	Criterion 1: Direction of Transfer . . . . .	4
1.2.2	Criterion 2: Knowledge Versus Substances . . . . .	5
1.2.3	Criterion 3: Imitation Versus Inspiration— The Biomimicry Zone . . . . .	5
1.2.4	Book Scope . . . . .	6
1.3	Biomimetic Development Strands . . . . .	7
1.4	Biomimicry Growth . . . . .	7
1.5	Biomimicry as an Innovation Engine . . . . .	7
1.5.1	Nature as an Idea Generator . . . . .	8
1.5.2	The Innovation Mechanism of the Biomimetic Design Process . . . . .	9
1.6	Biomimicry as a Sustainability Engine . . . . .	11
1.6.1	A Demand for Sustainability Tools . . . . .	11
1.6.2	Learning Sustainability from Nature . . . . .	12
1.6.3	Biomimicry as a Sustainability Tool . . . . .	13
1.7	The Imperfection of Nature . . . . .	15
1.8	Biomimicry—Promises and Obstacles . . . . .	16
<b>2</b>	<b>The Biomimicry Design Process: Characteristics, Stages and Main Challenge</b> . . . . .	19
2.1	Characteristics of the Biomimicry Design Process . . . . .	19
2.1.1	Bidirectional Design Process . . . . .	19
2.1.2	Analogical Based Design Process . . . . .	20
2.1.3	Interdisciplinary and Multidisciplinary Design Process . . . . .	20

2.2	Biomimetic Design Process Stages—From a Problem to Biology. . . . .	21
2.2.1	Problem Definition (Stages 1 and 2). . . . .	22
2.2.2	Identify the Analogy Source: Search for Biological System (Stage 3) . . . . .	23
2.2.3	Abstraction—Abstract Design Solutions (Stage 4) . . . . .	23
2.2.4	Transfer the Solution (Stage 5) . . . . .	24
2.2.5	Evaluation and Iteration (Stage 6) . . . . .	25
2.3	Biomimetic Design Process Stages—From Biology to an Application . . . . .	26
2.4	The Synapse Design Model Charts. . . . .	27
2.5	Biomimetic Design Process Stages—Literature Review. . . . .	28
2.6	Biomimetic Design Process—Main Challenge . . . . .	28
<b>3</b>	<b>Biomimetic Design Methods—Literature Review</b> . . . . .	<b>31</b>
3.1	Searching/Retrieval Methods . . . . .	32
3.1.1	Consult Biologists . . . . .	33
3.1.2	Search Designated Biomimetic Databases . . . . .	33
3.1.3	Search General Biological Databases . . . . .	34
3.1.4	Searching Methods—Summary . . . . .	38
3.2	Abstraction Methods . . . . .	39
3.2.1	Abstraction Methods Without Databases . . . . .	39
3.2.2	Abstraction Methods Accompanied with Database Tools . . . . .	41
3.3	Transfer Methods. . . . .	44
<b>4</b>	<b>Literature Review Conclusions and Definition of Research Target</b> . . . . .	<b>45</b>
4.1	Research Gap . . . . .	45
4.1.1	Structure-Function Relations . . . . .	45
4.1.2	Patterns. . . . .	46
4.1.3	System View. . . . .	46
4.1.4	Physical Effects . . . . .	47
4.1.5	TRIZ Knowledge Base is not Exhausted . . . . .	47
4.1.6	Design Space Analysis by Functions and Means . . . . .	47
4.1.7	Sustainability. . . . .	48
4.1.8	Transfer . . . . .	48
4.1.9	Biomimicry as a Multidisciplinary and Interdisciplinary Design Process. . . . .	48
4.1.10	Biomimetic Problem Definition . . . . .	48
4.2	Research Target. . . . .	49



**Part II Research Method**

**5 Research Model** . . . . . 53

5.1 Definitions . . . . . 53

5.1.1 Design Methodology . . . . . 53

5.1.2 Design Process . . . . . 54

5.1.3 Design Method . . . . . 54

5.1.4 Design Tools . . . . . 54

5.2 Developing a Design Method . . . . . 55

5.3 Research Model . . . . . 55

5.3.1 Explanation of Research Modules . . . . . 56

5.4 Implementation of the Research Model for Biomimetic Design . . . . . 58

**6 Theories, Knowledge Bases and Conceptual Frameworks that Support the Analysis of Observations** . . . . . 61

6.1 The Technical Lens Approach for Analyzing Biological Systems . . . . . 61

6.1.1 Systems . . . . . 61

6.1.2 Functions . . . . . 62

6.1.3 TRIZ—Inventive Problem Solving Theory . . . . . 64

6.2 The Patterns Approach for Analyzing Biological Systems . . . . . 67

6.2.1 What Are Patterns? . . . . . 68

6.2.2 Patterns Based Design Method . . . . . 68

6.2.3 Patterns and Biomimicry . . . . . 69

6.2.4 Structure-Function Patterns . . . . . 69

**Part III Research Methodology, Process and Results**

**7 Functional Patterns** . . . . . 75

7.1 The Analysis Process . . . . . 75

7.2 Results . . . . . 77

7.3 Discussion of Results, Explanations and Implications . . . . . 78

7.3.1 Comparing Su-Field Ontology to Selected References . . . . . 79

7.3.2 Summary . . . . . 80

**8 Structure-Function Patterns** . . . . . 83

8.1 The Analysis Process . . . . . 83

8.1.1 Analysis Examples . . . . . 83

8.1.2 The Analysis Stages . . . . . 87

8.2 Results—The Complete Viable Model . . . . . 88

8.2.1 Transmission Unit . . . . . 88

8.2.2 Engines and Brakes . . . . . 88

8.2.3 The Complete Viable System Model as an Abstraction Tool . . . . . 90

- 8.3 Results—Sustainability Aspects of Biological Systems . . . . . 90
- 8.4 Results—Structure-Function Patterns . . . . . 91
  - 8.4.1 Engines. . . . . 92
  - 8.4.2 Brakes . . . . . 96
  - 8.4.3 Statistics and Frequency of Patterns Occurrence. . . . . 99
  - 8.4.4 Findstructure Database . . . . . 100
- 8.5 Discussion of Results, Explanations and Implications . . . . . 100
- 9 Sustainability Patterns . . . . . 105**
  - 9.1 The Analysis Process . . . . . 105
    - 9.1.1 The Analysis Rationale: On the Relations of Ideality and Sustainability. . . . . 105
    - 9.1.2 The Analysis Stages. . . . . 106
  - 9.2 Results—Nature Ideality Strategies. . . . . 107
  - 9.3 Results—Ideality Tool for Sustainable and Biomimetic Design . . . . . 107
    - 9.3.1 Ideality as a Tool for Sustainable Design . . . . . 107
    - 9.3.2 Ideality as a Tool for Biomimetic Design . . . . . 115
  - 9.4 Discussion of Results, Explanations and Implications . . . . . 115
    - 9.4.1 The Ideality Strategies Characteristics . . . . . 115
    - 9.4.2 Ideality as an Evolution Law. . . . . 116
    - 9.4.3 Ideality Strategies Versus Life Principles. . . . . 116
    - 9.4.4 Ideality as a DFE Tool: A New Approach for Innovative Design for the Environment . . . . . 119
    - 9.4.5 Summary . . . . . 119
- 10 The Structural Biomimetic Design Method Manual: Process (Flow Charts), Tools, Templates and Guidelines . . . . . 121**
  - 10.1 Design Process Flow Charts . . . . . 121
  - 10.2 Tools . . . . . 123
    - 10.2.1 Design Path with Function-Means Tree. . . . . 123
    - 10.2.2 The Patterns Table . . . . . 124
    - 10.2.3 Findstructure Database . . . . . 125
    - 10.2.4 System Parts Analysis. . . . . 128
    - 10.2.5 The Complete Viable System Model Analysis . . . . . 129
    - 10.2.6 List of Potential Fields for Su-Field Analysis. . . . . 130
    - 10.2.7 The Ideality Framework for Sustainability Analysis . . . . . 131
    - 10.2.8 The Ideality Patterns Table . . . . . 132
    - 10.2.9 Transfer Platform: Analogy Comparison Components . . . . . 133

**Part IV Experimentation**

**11 Case Studies** . . . . . 137

    11.1 From Biology to an Application. . . . . 138

        11.1.1 From Papilionaceae Seed to an Application . . . . . 138

        11.1.2 From Lizard Tail Autotomy to an Application . . . . . 147

    11.2 From a Problem to Biology. . . . . 156

        11.2.1 Dynamic Screen Protector . . . . . 156

        11.2.2 Parking Space Reducer . . . . . 164

**12 Lab and Field Experiments** . . . . . 173

    12.1 Introduction. . . . . 173

    12.2 Experiment 1: Assessing Innovation Aspects of the Structural Biomimetic Design Method. . . . . 175

        12.2.1 Experiments Rationale . . . . . 175

        12.2.2 Innovation Assessment Process . . . . . 175

        12.2.3 Innovation Criteria . . . . . 176

        12.2.4 Experiment 1(a)—Lab Experiment in Class . . . . . 178

        12.2.5 Experiment 1(b)—Lab Experiment as Final Project . . . . . 181

        12.2.6 Experiment 1(c)—Field Experiment in Industry . . . . . 184

        12.2.7 Summary: Experiment 1—Assessing Innovation Aspects of the Structural Biomimetic Design Method. . . . . 187

    12.3 Experiment 2: Assessing the Ideality Framework as a Sustainability Analysis and Design Method . . . . . 190

        12.3.1 Experiment Rational. . . . . 190

        12.3.2 Measurers . . . . . 191

        12.3.3 Experiment Hypotheses. . . . . 191

        12.3.4 Experiment Design. . . . . 192

        12.3.5 Measuring Process . . . . . 193

        12.3.6 Statistical Analysis—Results . . . . . 196

**Part V Epilogue**

**13 Discussion and Summary** . . . . . 205

    13.1 Major Achievement—The Structural Biomimetic Design Method. . . . . 205

    13.2 Evaluation of Research Results Compared to Research Objectives. . . . . 205

    13.3 Innovative Aspects of This Research . . . . . 206

    13.4 By-Product Contributions (Added Value) . . . . . 207

    13.5 Future Research. . . . . 207

**Appendix A: Innovation Experiment—Biological System 1 . . . . . 209**

**Appendix B: Innovation Experiment—Biological System 2 . . . . . 211**

**Appendix C: Innovation Experiment—Student Form . . . . . 213**

**Appendix D: Structural Modeling Template Form . . . . . 215**

**Appendix E: Innovation Assessment Criteria Form . . . . . 219**

**Appendix F: Sustainability Analysis—Biological System 1. . . . . 221**

**Appendix G: Sustainability Analysis—Biological System 2 . . . . . 223**

**Appendix H: Students Instructions Before Exposure to Sustainability  
Tools . . . . . 225**

**Appendix I: Students Instructions After Exposure to Ideality Tool . . . . 227**

**Appendix J: Students Instructions After Exposure to Life  
Principles Tool . . . . . 229**

**Appendix K: Expert’s Analysis by Ideality Tool—Desert Snail . . . . . 231**

**Appendix L: Expert’s Analysis by Ideality Tool—Salvinia Fern . . . . . 233**

**Appendix M: Expert’s Analysis by Life Principles  
Tool—Desert Snail . . . . . 235**

**Appendix N: Expert’s Analysis by Life Principles  
Tool—Salvinia Fern . . . . . 237**

**Appendix O: Sustainability Analysis Students Questionnaire  
(After Stage 2) . . . . . 239**

**Appendix P: Sustainability Analysis Students Questionnaire  
(After Stage 4) . . . . . 241**

**References . . . . . 243**

**Index . . . . . 253**

# Abbreviations

ACC	Amorphous calcium carbonate
AD	Axiomatic design
ARIZ	(English: algorithm for inventive problem solving)
ASIT	Advanced systematic inventive thinking
BID	Bioinspired design
CTO	Chief technology officer
DANE	Design by Analogy to Nature Engine
DFE	Design for the environment
E2B	Engineering to biology
FBEI	Fermanian Business and Economic Institute
GNU	Recursive acronym for “GNU’s Not Unix!”
IEKG	Interdisciplinary engineering knowledge genome
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
MEMS	Microelectro mechanical system
NID	Nature inspired designs
ns	Not significant
PROSA	PRoduct Sustainability Assessment
PSI	Product, Social, Institutional
SAPPhIRE	State-Action-Part-Phenomenon-Input-oRgan-Effect
SBF	Structure behavior function
SEM	Scanning electron microscope
Su-Field	Substance-field
TRIZ	Teoriya Resheniya Izobretatelskikh Zadach (English: theory of the resolution of invention-related tasks)
VAS	Visual analogue scale
VDI	Verein Deutscher Ingenieure (English: Association of German Engineers)
WWH	What–why–how

# List of Figures

Figure 1.1	Road-map for the bio-terms. . . . .	4
Figure 1.2	Da Vinci index of biomimicry growth-updated to 2015. . . . .	8
Figure 1.3	A design process with an application of biomimicry in early design stages. . . . .	9
Figure 1.4	Life’s principles (adapted with permission from Biomimicry 3.8 [5]). . . . .	14
Figure 2.1	The biomimetic design process—from a problem to biology. . . . .	22
Figure 2.2	The biomimetic design process—from biology to an application. . . . .	26
Figure 2.3	The synapse design chart: from a problem to biology. . . . .	27
Figure 2.4	The synapse design chart: from biology to an application. . . . .	28
Figure 3.1	The SAPPhIRE model of causality. Reproduced from [112] by permission. . . . .	43
Figure 5.1	Hierarchy of, and relation between, major definitions in design. . . . .	54
Figure 5.2	Research model: knowledge construction for a new design method. . . . .	56
Figure 5.3	Application of the research model for biomimetic design. . . . .	59
Figure 6.1	Substance-field definition of function. . . . .	65
Figure 6.2	The law of system completeness model. . . . .	65
Figure 6.3	The complete viable system model: <i>Bold line</i> elements are part of the Su-Field model. <i>Dashed line</i> elements are part of the law of system completeness. . . . .	66
Figure 6.4	The law of ideality. . . . .	67

Figure 8.1 The lotus leaf cleaning mechanism. *Photo 1* by William Thielicke from Wikimedia under GNU Free Documentation License, Version 1.2. *Photo 2* by Peripitus adapted from Wikimedia under GNU Free Documentation License, Version 1.2 . . . . . 84

Figure 8.2 Lotus leaf cleaning mechanism—analysis by the complete viable system model . . . . . 85

Figure 8.3 The gecko’s feet adhesion mechanism. *Photo 1* by Yanpetro adapted from wikimedia under GNU Free Documentation License, Version 1.2. *Photo 2–3* by Kellar Autumn [177], reproduced with permission of the copyright owner, Kellar Autumn . . . . . 86

Figure 8.4 Gecko’s foot adhesion mechanism—analysis by the complete viable system model . . . . . 86

Figure 8.5 The click beetle jumping mechanism. *Photo 1* by Gal Ribak reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. . . . . 87

Figure 8.6 The click beetle jumping mechanism—analysis by the complete viable system model . . . . . 87

Figure 8.7 The complete viable system simplified engine model . . . . . 88

Figure 8.8 The complete viable system simplified brake model . . . . . 89

Figure 8.9 The abalone shell pressure absorption mechanism. *Photo 1* by N Yotaro adapted from Wikimedia under GNU Free Documentation License, Version 1.2. *Photo 2* by Paul Hansma [179], reproduced with permission of the copyright owner . . . . . 89

Figure 8.10 The abalone shell pressure absorption mechanism—analysis by the by the complete viable system model with a brake . . . . . 90

Figure 8.11 Streamlined shapes. **a** Spiral shell. **b** Penguins body contour. **c** Boxfish. **d** Kingfisher’s beak. *Photo a* by Andrew Butko from Wikimedia under GNU Free Documentation License, Version 1.3. *Photo b* and **c** from public domain. *Photo d* from Biomimicry IL, with permission . . . . . 98

Figure 8.12 Container structures. **a** Bird nests. **b** Jaw fish-burrow. **c** Geophytes. **d** Carnivorous plants cups. *Photo a* by Fir0002 from Wikimedia under GNU Free Documentation License, Version 1.2. *Photo b* from Wikimedia under GNU Free Documentation License, Version 1.2. *Photo c* by H. Zell from Wikimedia under GNU Free Documentation License, Version 1.2. *Photo d* from public domain . . . . . 99

Figure 10.1 Function/means tree with preferred design path (*shaded*) . . . . . 124

Figure 10.2 Findstructure icons. . . . . 127

Figure 10.3 Comparison between analogy components. . . . . 133

Figure 11.1 The Papilionaceae seed: schematic figure of the scar and the hilum area. *On the right*, a schematic figure of the scar location on the seed envelope. *On the left*, a schematic description of the hilum area itself. Image adapted from [207], reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. . . . . 139

Figure 11.2 The Papilionaceae seed hilum: pillar cells. The pillar cells that define the height of the epidermis are distributed asymmetrically as the pillars are getting shorten when we approach the hilum. Image adapted from [207], reproduced with permission of the copyright owner . . . . . 139

Figure 11.3 The Papilionaceae seed humidity regulation system models. \*When humidity outside the seed is lower than humidity inside the seed, the hilum opens and releases humidity. \*\*Time Asymmetry: Pillar cell can swell and shrink. \*\*\*Geometric Asymmetry: Epidermis layers are shortened towards the hilum . . . . . 141

Figure 11.4 Biomimetic unidirectional valve. . . . . 143

Figure 11.5 Biomimetic unidirectional valve system models. \*When humidity level outside the packaging is higher, the valve is closed and the product is protected by the impermeable layer. When humidity level outside the packaging is lower, the valve opens and releases humidity. \*\*Time Asymmetry: Matrix filling material can swell and shrink. \*\*\*Geometric Asymmetry: Matrix layers are shortened towards the valve opening (concave shape). . . . . 143

Figure 11.6 End of lizard tail after the shedding. Adapted from [208] under CC Attribution License. **a** End of lizard tail after the shedding—the “zipper” crown structure. **b** Zoom on one zipper protrusion. **c** Scanning electron microscopy of one “zipper” protrusion: Muscles terminals have a “mushroom-shaped” structure . . . . . 147



Figure 11.7	Lizard tail autotomy system models. *Biological Field—when shedding without a predator force. Mechanical Field—in case of predator grasp. **A predator can apply pressure on the tail that initiates the detachment process. In case of threat without a physical touch of a predator, the lizard brain commands the muscles to contract and initiate the detachment process. ***Muscles can contract or release ****Fracture plane is identified with material asymmetry as it is weakened relative the rest of the tail . . . . .	150
Figure 11.8	Biomimetic leading and releasing system for sealing plug . . . . .	151
Figure 11.9	Biomimetic sealing plug leading and releasing system models *Pulled or pushed . . . . .	152
Figure 11.10	Screen protector design challenge: function-means tree. Explanation of the function-means tree branching: <b>A</b> Separation by means and time—(i) mean during fall (ii) mean during regular use. <b>B</b> Separation by means and space—(i) mean located below the product—Jet propulsion (ii) mean located above the product—Parachute. <b>C</b> Separation by means—(i) mean that changes the volume of the cover (ii) mean that changes the position of the cover . . . . .	157
Figure 11.11	Pangolin scales. Image by Sandip Kumar, CC 3.0 . . . . .	158
Figure 11.12	Pangolin rolling into a ball system models . . . . .	159
Figure 11.13	Biomimetic dynamic cover in an opened state. The <i>dashed arrows</i> indicate the direction of the scales opening and closing . . . . .	161
Figure 11.14	Biomimetic dynamic cover system models . . . . .	161
Figure 11.15	Parking space reducer design challenge: function-means tree. Explanation of the tree branching: <b>A</b> Separation by means and space—(i) External mean—by a human operator (ii) Internal mean—by an automated computer. <b>B</b> Separation by functions and space—(i) Change car position vertically (setting up on front) (ii) Change car position horizontally (forwards and backwards). <b>C</b> Separation by means—various means to move the car forward and backwards . . . . .	165
Figure 11.16	The trunk of gopher snake. Muscle activity is indicated by the <i>filled bars</i> and the areas of presumed force exertion are indicated by the <i>arrows</i> . Image reproduced with permission from The Journal of Experimental Biology [211] . . . . .	166
Figure 11.17	The snake prey swallowing mechanism system models. . . . .	167

Figure 11.18 Biomimetic Parking reducer: **a** Sleeve sides are opened to eject a vehicle. **b** Vehicle located inside the sleeve. . . . . 169

Figure 11.19 Biomimetic parking reducer system models. . . . . 169

Figure A.1 End of lizard tail after the shedding. Adapted from [208] under CC Attribution License. **a** End of lizard tail after the shedding—the “zipper” crown structure. **b** Zoom on one zipper protrusion. **c** Scanning electron microscopy of one “zipper” protrusion: Muscles terminals have a “mushroom-shaped” structure . . . . . 209

Figure B.1 The Papilionaceae seed: schematic figure of the scar and the hilum area. **a** schematic description of the hilum area itself. **b** schematic figure of the scar location on the seed envelope. Image adapted from [207], reproduced with permission of the copyright owner . . . . . 211

Figure F.1 Image by Gretchen Hooker, Biomimicry 3.8 Institute. Reproduced with permission . . . . . 221

Figure G.1 **a–c** From Wikipedia, based on Barthlott et al. 2010 [223]. . . . . 223

# List of Tables

Table 2.1	Biomimetic design directions terminology . . . . .	20
Table 3.1	List of searching heuristics . . . . .	36
Table 3.2	Biology and engineering: system parts analogy . . . . .	37
Table 3.3	Advantages and disadvantages of searching levels . . . . .	38
Table 7.1	The procedure stages for the Su-Field functional analysis . . . . .	76
Table 7.2	Selected examples of Su-Field analysis of biological system . . . . .	76
Table 7.3	Su-Field function ontology . . . . .	78
Table 7.4	Comparison between Su-Field function ontology to previous engineering functional ontologies (adapted from Hirtz et al. [138, p. 69]) . . . . .	80
Table 8.1	The procedure stages for the law of systems completeness analysis . . . . .	84
Table 8.2	The procedure stages for the complete viable system analysis . . . . .	85
Table 8.3	Repeated protrusions structures: Examples and images . . . . .	93
Table 8.4	Repeated tubes/channels/tunnels structures: Examples and images . . . . .	94
Table 8.5	Asymmetric structures: Examples and images . . . . .	95
Table 8.6	Mechanical structures: Examples and images . . . . .	96
Table 8.7	Statistics of patterns appearance in our database . . . . .	99
Table 9.1	Technological ideality strategies, extracted from the TRIZ literature [145, 199]. . . . .	107
Table 9.2	Selected examples of ideality analyses per various structural patterns . . . . .	108
Table 9.3	Design concept suggestions for an ideal multiphase bicycle . . . . .	113
Table 9.4	Comparison between sustainability strategies defined by the complete viable system to sustainability strategies defined by the ideality model . . . . .	116

Table 9.5	Comparison of life principles and nature ideality strategies . . . . .	117
Table 9.6	The structural biomimetic design method: knowledge structure summary. . . . .	119
Table 10.1	The structural biomimetic design flow: from a problem to biology . . . . .	122
Table 10.2	The structural biomimetic design flow: from biology to an application . . . . .	123
Table 10.3	The design path tree: separation types, questions and examples . . . . .	124
Table 10.4	The patterns table: list of structure-function patterns . . . . .	125
Table 10.5	Findstructure contextual keywords. . . . .	127
Table 10.6	System parts analysis: definitions, guiding questions and examples . . . . .	128
Table 10.7	The complete viable system model analysis: engine model . . . . .	129
Table 10.8	The complete viable system model analysis: brake model . . . . .	130
Table 10.9	List of potential fields for Su-field analysis . . . . .	131
Table 10.10	Nature ideality patterns: strategies and design principles. . . . .	132
Table 10.11	The complete viable analogy comparison table . . . . .	133
Table 10.12	The ideality comparison table . . . . .	134
Table 11.1	Papilionaceae seed case study: system models comparison. . . . .	145
Table 11.2	Papilionaceae seed case study: ideality strategies comparison. . . . .	146
Table 11.3	Tail Autotomy case study: system models comparison. . . . .	154
Table 11.4	Tail Autotomy case study: ideality strategies comparison. . . . .	155
Table 11.5	The screen protector case study: system models comparison. . . . .	162
Table 11.6	The screen protector case study: ideality strategies comparison. . . . .	163
Table 11.7	Parking reducer case study: system models comparison . . . . .	170
Table 11.8	Parking reducer case study: ideality strategies comparison. . . . .	171
Table 12.1	Innovation and sustainability sub-experiment and stages . . . . .	174
Table 12.2	Innovation criteria for design concepts. . . . .	176
Table 12.3	Experiment rubric: innovations criteria. . . . .	178
Table 12.4	Innovation experiment design . . . . .	179
Table 12.5	Experiment 1(a): expert's innovation assessment. . . . .	180
Table 12.6	Experiment 1(b): expert's innovation assessment. . . . .	182
Table 12.7	Experiment 1(b): designer's innovation assessment . . . . .	183

Table 12.8	Experiment 1(c): industrial experiment meetings . . . . .	185
Table 12.9	Experiment 1(c): expert’s innovation assessment . . . . .	186
Table 12.10	Innovation experiment summary: user’s evaluations. . . . .	188
Table 12.11	Innovation experiment summary: expert’s evaluations . . . . .	189
Table 12.12	Sustainability experiment design . . . . .	192
Table 12.13	Sustainability experiment: students profile per group . . . . .	196
Table 12.14	Signed rank test to assess Hypothesis 1: change variables . . . . .	197
Table 12.15	Two sample t-test to assess Hypothesis 2: sustainability principles identification . . . . .	198
Table 12.16	% Repetition between students: ideality and life principles . . . . .	199
Table 12.17	Two sample t-test to assess Hypothesis 3: sustainability design . . . . .	200
Table 12.18	T-test procedure to assess Hypothesis 4: perceived usability. . . . .	201

# How to Read the Book

Chapters 1–3 provide an extensive introduction to the biomimicry discipline, including review of design processes, methods, and tools. It is recommended for both scientists and practitioners who are looking for a structured review.

Scientists/researchers who are looking for research directions and ideas may find interest in Chap. 4, a mapping of the research gap and challenges, as well as in the summary of future research in Chap. 13. Researchers in the field of design theories or biomimetic design will benefit from Chap. 5, a presentation of a research model: how to design a design method. They may also benefit from Chap. 6, a review of theories, knowledge bases, and conceptual frameworks that may serve as a foundation for biomimetic design scaffolding. Detailed description of the research methodology, process, and results appears in Chaps. 7–9. Chapter 12 has value for researchers who are interested in assessing design methods by field and class experiments. Innovation researchers may find interest in innovation assessment, criteria, and rubric, presented in Chap. 11, as well as in a review of the innovation aspects of this research, in Chap. 13.

Practitioners who want to gain practical tools should first focus on Chaps. 7–9 in order to understand the knowledge bases of the structural biomimetic design method. The core of the practical knowledge is located in Chap. 10, presenting in details the design algorithm and tools to support the structural biomimetic design process. Some of these tools stand-alone and may be used during general biomimetic design processes, not only with the structural design process. Special attention should be devoted to Chap. 11, which includes four detailed case studies from biology to an application and vice versa. Engineers may find special interest in this design method as it is based on the TRIZ knowledge base and views biological systems as if they were technological systems.

Sustainability researchers and practitioners of sustainable design will find interest mainly in Chap. 9. This chapter elaborates on sustainability patterns in nature and presents the ideality bioinspired tool for sustainable design.