
Natural Science in Archaeology

Series editors

Günther A. Wagner
Christopher E. Miller
Holger Schutkowski

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/3703>

Ervan Garrison

Techniques in Archaeological Geology

Second Edition

 Springer

Ervan Garrison
Department of Geology, University of Georgia
Athens, Georgia, USA

ISSN 1613-9712
Natural Science in Archaeology
ISBN 978-3-319-30230-0 ISBN 978-3-319-30232-4 (eBook)
DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-30232-4

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016933149

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2016

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature
The registered company is Springer Verlag GmbH Berlin Heidelberg

This book is dedicated to my grandchildren, Kelsey and Hunter.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Organization of This Book	6
2	The Geomorphological and Geological Context	11
2.1	Introduction	11
2.2	Landscapes and People	11
2.3	Geomorphic Concepts	13
2.4	Geomorphic Setting	14
2.4.1	Fluvial Landforms	15
2.4.2	Coastal Landforms	17
2.4.3	Submerged Landforms	19
2.4.4	Mountain and Glacial Landforms	22
2.4.5	Ice Patches	25
2.4.6	Lacustrine Landforms	28
2.4.7	Loessic and Glacial Landscapes: Midwestern United States	30
2.4.8	Desert/Arid Landforms	33
2.4.9	The Sahara: Geomorphology of Paleolakes and Paleoclimate	33
2.4.10	Karst/Cave Landforms	36
2.4.11	Combe Grenal	37
2.4.12	Volcanic Landforms	40
2.5	Earthquakes: Volcanic or Otherwise	42
2.6	Mapping	43
2.7	Map Scale	45
2.8	Data Sources for Mapping	46
2.9	LiDAR	48
2.10	Structure from Motion (SfM)	48
2.11	Drones	49
2.12	Making the Map: ArcGIS to Google Earth	50
2.13	Other Types of Maps	52
3	Sediments, Soils, and Stratigraphy in Archaeological Geology	55
3.1	Introduction	55
3.2	A Brief Review of Sediments and Soils	56

3.3	The Soil Catena	63
3.4	The Soil Chronosequence	63
3.5	Describing Archaeological Sediments and Soils in Profile	64
3.6	The Geological Stratigraphic Section	72
3.7	Nomenclature	72
	3.7.1 Allostratigraphy	74
	3.7.2 Sequence Stratigraphy	75
4	Techniques for Archaeological Sediments and Soils	77
4.1	Sampling Sediments and Soils: Monoliths to Sediment Grabs	77
4.2	One Method for Constructing a Soil Monolith	79
4.3	Handling and Description of Cores: Some General Considerations	84
4.4	Standard Operating Procedure for Collection of Sediment Samples	85
4.5	“1700 Sondages”: Geological Testing of the Plateau of Bevaix, Neuchâtel (Switzerland)	89
4.6	Analytical Procedures for Sediments and Soils	90
4.7	Particle Size Analysis	92
	4.7.1 Hydrometer Method	94
	4.7.2 The Pipette Method	94
	4.7.3 The Modified Pipette or “Fleaker” Method	95
	4.7.4 The Imhoff Cone Method	95
	4.7.5 Organic Content Determination Methods	98
4.8	Determination of Total Phosphorus by Perchloric Digestion	100
4.9	Absolute Phosphate Analysis Versus Qualitative Color Tests	101
4.10	Colorimetry and Spectrophotometry	102
4.11	Micromorphology: Describing Archaeological Sediments and Soils with the Microscope	103
4.12	Palynology: A Micromorphological Study of Archaeological Pollen	104
4.13	Phytoliths for Archaeology	109
4.14	Phytolith Identification and Morphology	110
4.15	Phytolith Extraction and Counting	111
5	Geophysical Techniques for Archaeology	115
5.1	Introduction	115
5.2	The International Society for Archaeological Prospection (ISAP)	117
5.3	Electrical Methods: Resistivity	117
	5.3.1 Resistivity Arrays	119
5.4	Vertical Sounding Methods in Archaeology	122
5.5	Electrical Methods: Electromagnetic/Conductivity	123
	5.5.1 Application of EM Methods	124

5.6	Conductivity (EM) Survey of a Burned and Buried Cherokee Seminary Building, Tahlequah, Oklahoma, Cherokee Nation, USA	124
5.6.1	Magnetic Methods	124
5.6.2	Magnetometers	127
5.6.3	Gradiometers	129
5.6.4	Magnetic Anomaly Interpretation: Basic Principles	129
5.6.5	Magnetic Prospection: Practice	130
5.6.6	Date Acquisition and Display	131
5.6.7	Advantages and Disadvantages	131
5.7	An Abandoned Nineteenth-Century Cherokee Cemetery, Park Hill, Oklahoma, Cherokee Nation, USA	132
5.7.1	Ground-Penetrating Radar: GPR	132
5.7.2	Field Survey Methods	137
5.7.3	Digital Post-Processing of GPR Data	137
5.7.4	Multiple Geophysical Techniques	139
5.7.5	Underwater Geophysical Survey Techniques in Archaeology	139
5.8	The Sabine River Paleovalley, Northern Gulf of Mexico, USA	140
5.9	Doggerland: Mesolithic Landscapes of the Southern North Sea	141
6	Petrography for Archaeological Geology	145
6.1	Introduction	145
6.2	Major Rock Types and Archaeology	146
6.3	Sedimentary Rocks	146
6.3.1	Arenite or Arenitic Sandstone	148
6.3.2	Arkose or Arkosic Sandstone	149
6.3.3	Graywackes or Clay-Rich Sandstone (“Lutitic”)	150
6.4	A Roman Quern Production and Smithy Works	154
6.4.1	Clastic or Calcarenite Limestones	154
6.4.2	Aphanitic Limestones	155
6.4.3	Dolomite and Dolomitic Limestones	156
6.4.4	Cherts, Gypsum, and Ironstones	157
6.5	Igneous Rocks	159
6.5.1	Granite	160
6.5.2	Diorite	161
6.5.3	Andesite	161
6.5.4	Basalt	161
6.5.5	Rhyolite and Obsidian	162
6.5.6	Gabbro/Diabase	163
6.5.7	Tuff	164
6.6	Metamorphic Rocks	164
6.6.1	Metamorphic Rocks: Foliated	165
6.6.2	Metamorphic Rocks: Non-foliated	166

6.6.3	Metamorphic Rocks of Archaeological Interest	166
6.6.4	“Greenstone”	170
6.7	Techniques: Optical and Otherwise	173
6.7.1	Hand-Specimen Macroscopic Analysis of Rocks and Minerals	174
6.7.2	Optical Properties of Minerals	175
6.7.3	Quantifying Minerals in Thin Sections	177
7	Clay Minerals and Ceramics	179
7.1	Introduction	179
7.2	Clays	180
7.2.1	Clays in Paleosols	181
7.2.2	Clay Deposits	183
7.3	Ceramics and “Ceramics”	185
7.3.1	Ceramic Properties of Clay	187
7.3.2	Ceramic Ethnotechnological Study: Making Navaho/Diné Pottery	193
7.3.3	Geoarchaeological Study of Ceramics	195
7.4	Provenance	198
7.5	Methods	199
7.6	Optical Petrography	199
7.7	X-Ray Radiography	201
7.8	Chemical Analysis	201
7.8.1	An Example of Chemical Analysis Using X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)	202
7.8.2	Diagenetic Changes	204
7.8.3	Analysis of Residues	205
8	Instrumental Analytical Techniques for Archaeological Geology	209
8.1	Introduction	209
8.2	Analytical Techniques and Their Pluses (and Minuses) for Archaeology	210
8.3	X-ray Diffraction (XRD)	213
8.4	X-ray Fluorescence (XRF)	215
8.5	Is pXRF a Revolution or Just the Latest Development in XRF?	217
8.6	Electron Microprobe Analysis and Proton-Induced X-ray Emission (EMPA and PIXE; SEM)	218
8.7	Examples of Chemical Analysis of Nineteenth Century Transfer Printed Whitewares Using EMPA	222
8.8	Atomic Absorption, Inductively Coupled Plasma/Atomic Emission Spectroscopy (AAS, ICP/AES)	224
8.9	Mass Spectroscopy	225
8.10	Aphrodite? An Example of Isotopic Analysis Using Mass Spectroscopy	228

8.11	Inductively Coupled Plasma: Mass Spectroscopy (ICP-MS; LA-ICP-MS)	230
8.12	Neutron Activation Analysis (NAA/INAA)	232
8.12.1	Provenance Determination	234
8.13	An Example of Neutron Activation Analysis: Coinage and a Celtic Mint: The Titelberg, Luxembourg	234
8.14	Electron Spin Resonance (ESR)	237
8.15	Magnetic Susceptibility	239
8.16	Cathodoluminescence Microscopy (CL)	240
8.17	Infrared and Raman Spectroscopy	241
8.18	Instrumental Geochemical Techniques and Their Availability to Archaeology	243
8.19	A Closing Quote or Quotes	245
9	Metallic Minerals, Ores, and Metals	247
9.1	Overview	247
9.2	Early Metallurgy: Copper	247
9.3	Metallurgy: Copper to Bronze	249
9.4	Iron Metallurgy	254
9.5	A Metallurgy for the Common Man: African Iron	257
9.6	Metallic Minerals and Ore Genesis	260
9.7	Model Studies for the Analysis of Metal in Archaeological Geology	265
9.7.1	Slag: “The Archaeometallurgists’s Friend”	265
9.7.2	Example	268
9.8	Artifacts: “When Fortune Smiles”	268
9.8.1	Example	268
10	Statistics in Archaeological Geology	271
10.1	Introduction	271
10.2	Descriptive Statistics	272
10.2.1	Variables	272
10.2.2	Frequency Distributions, the Normal Distribution, and Dispersion	273
10.2.3	The Normal Distribution	273
10.2.4	Central Tendency	275
10.2.5	Arithmetic Mean and Its Standard Deviation	275
10.3	Inference: Hypothesis Testing, Types of Error, and Sample Size	276
10.3.1	Hypotheses and Testing	276
10.3.2	Probability	276
10.3.3	Types of Error	277
10.3.4	Confidence Interval and the Z-Score	277
10.3.5	Sample Size	278
10.3.6	Example 1: Cranial Capacity in <i>H. Erectus</i>	278
10.3.7	Scale and Size: Adequacy of the Sample in Geomorphology and Pedology	280
10.4	Data Analysis	281

10.4.1 Example 2: Single Variable Data-Phosphorus in Soils 281

10.4.2 Data Analysis of More than One Variable 281

10.4.3 Covariation–Correlation, Causality or “Not” . . . 281

10.4.4 Least-Squares Analysis and Linear Regression 282

10.4.5 Example 3: Alloys in Iron Age Metallurgy 284

10.4.6 “SHE” Measures of Variability of Assemblages 285

10.4.7 Paired Comparisons or Paired Difference Experiments 286

10.5 Exploration: Multivariate Approaches to Large Data Sets 287

10.5.1 Exploring Correlation Among n Greater than 2 Variables: Some Multivariate Statistical Techniques 287

10.5.2 Discriminant Function Analysis (DA) and Principal Components Analysis (PCA) 288

10.5.3 Cluster Analysis 291

10.5.4 Chi-Square: “Nonparametric” Calculation of Association 292

10.5.5 The Next “New” Thing?: Bayesian Analysis and Archaeological Age Determination 293

11 Theory and Practice in Geoarchaeology: A Brief Discussion with Examples 297

11.1 Introduction 297

11.2 Geoarchaeology and Landscapes 298

11.3 Geoarchaeology at the Scale of Sites and Artifacts 299

11.4 Geoarchaeology and the Origins of the Deliberate Human Use of Fire 300

11.5 Geoarchaeology and the Study of Natural Disaster 301

11.6 Geoarchaeology and a Brief History of Archaeological Theory 301

11.7 Enter Probability 304

11.8 Geoarchaeology, Theory, and Practice: Examples 305

11.8.1 The Medieval Norse Colonies of Greenland: What Were They Thinking? 305

11.8.2 Medieval Iceland to AD 1783–1784: Tephra and Time 306

11.9 Example 2: The Scull Shoals Mill Village Site, Oconee River, Georgia, USA 307

11.10 Concluding Thoughts 309

References 311

List of Figures

- Fig. 2.1 Map of nearly 200 springs around Menngen Station (in *red*), Northern Territory, Australia (Map drawn by Senior Elder Yidumduma Bill Harney in 2010)
- Fig. 2.2 Variety of fluvial terraces, paired and unpaired, created by erosional and depositional cycles
- Fig. 2.3 The Hjulstrom diagram. Flow velocity is juxtaposed to sediment size to indicate “transport” (suspension) versus “sedimentation” (deposition) for various sediment grain sizes
- Fig. 2.4 Jekyll Island, Georgia, with overlay of a paleochannel or “Old Channel” of the Brunswick River, occupied, in part, by Jekyll Creek, today (Chowns et al. 2008, Fig. 9)
- Fig. 2.5 Present-day view of Jekyll Creek looking eastward from the “Old Channel” or “paleochannel” of the Brunswick River toward the “Pleistocene core” per Chowns et al. (2008) (cf. Fig. 2.4). Modern oyster reefs line the shoreline (Photograph by the author)
- Fig. 2.6 (*L*) Illustration of the English Channel River (Redrawn from Osburn 1916, Fig. 26); (*R*) early Holocene Doggerland (Redrawn from Coles 1998)
- Fig. 2.7 *Lower*. Maxilla of adult male mammoth dredged from the North Sea off the Netherlands (Photograph by author)
- Fig. 2.8 Paleochannels of the “Transect River,” Georgia Bight, Atlantic Ocean, offshore South Carolina (see *inset, lower left*), as imaged using multibeam sonar data (Stubbs et al. 2007; Harris et al. 2013). *Inset, upper right*, shows incision of the paleochannel into Pliocene lithology
- Fig. 2.9 (a) Shaded map showing the AD 563 turbidite deposits (“déplacements des sédiments,” in *red*) and the supposed propagation of the tsunami (“raz-de-marée,” *blue arcs*) (Source. *24 Heures*, Lausanne, March 8, 2011). (b) Shaded relief map (DEM) (Swiss Federal Office of Topography) of the Lake Geneva. Water depths are indicated by bathymetric contour *lines* (meters). The 563 AD turbidite is color shaded (*orange-blue*) as to extent and sediment thickness (meters). Vessel tracks for seismic lines are indicated. Sediment core locations are indicated by *black*

- squares*. The *inset* bathymetric profile, X-Y, shows the gradient of sediment thickness
- Fig. 2.10 Schnidejoch (*site circled*) in the Bernese Alps of Switzerland (Fig. 2, Hafner 2012)
- Fig. 2.11 The first underwater archaeology dive—August 24, 1854, Lac Léman (Lake Geneva). François Marie Etienne Forel, father of a then 13-year-old François Alphonse Forel, is seated in the boat with his back turned, presumably operating the air pump for the diver (Adolphe Morot), while Frédéric Troyon holds a safety line (Water color by Adolphe von Morot)
- Fig. 2.12 Water color portrait of François Alphonse Forel in the room he used as his study and laboratory, at his home in Morges on the shores of Lake Geneva (Lac Léman), Switzerland (Watercolor by Ernest Bieler, no date, private collection)
- Fig. 2.13 Map by Bettis et al. (2003) showing loess distribution and thickness in the Great Plains of the United States
- Fig. 2.14 The Brady paleosol (Photograph courtesy of Charles Trautmann)
- Fig. 2.15 The area of the Sahara Lakes (Adapted from: *Saudi Aramco World*, vol. 65 (3), 2014)
- Fig. 2.16 The Rift Paleolakes: *NA* Northern Awash, *CB* Chew Bahir, *WT* West Turkhana, *TH* Tugen Hills, and *LM* Lake Magadi
- Fig. 2.17 Combe-Grenal stratigraphy—Mousterian levels 24–54 are shown in photo and inset. The rear portion of the inset fence diagram (*B*) is correlated with the photograph, from Bordes (1968), above. Levels 56–64 are shown in the elevation section, below, which corresponds to the far left of the fence diagram (Bordes 1972)
- Fig. 2.18 West profile at Pech de l’Aze´ IV. This profile typifies the deposits in this region. It consists of variously sized blocks of limestone *éboulis* fallen from the roof and walls of the cave within a sandy, iron-stained matrix. The sand is derived ultimately from breakdown of the limestone and accumulated via different sources from outside and mainly within the cave, including slope wash, creep, and solifluction (Photograph by Philippe Jugie)
- Fig. 2.19 Tsunamigenic deposits for the Santorini eruption or eruptions, 17th c. BC, at East Beach cliff, Crete. The *white line* indicates the erosional contact, termed the basal unconformity, between the chaotic archaeological debris layer and the underlying geological strata. Wall remains and dislocated building stones are present as reworked material in the lower part of the archaeological layer
- Fig. 2.20 Block diagram, with strata and folding illustrated (**a**) and geological map (**b**) further illustrating synclinal, anticlinal folding, strike-dip directions (From Allard and Whitney, *Environmental Geology Lab Manual*, Wm. C. Brown, Fig. 4 (1994))
- Fig. 2.21 The first Landsat 8 image. Fort Collins, Colorado (Courtesy NASA/USGS)

- Fig. 2.22 Three views of a historic slave cabin, Wormsloe Plantation, Savannah, Georgia, USA. The *upper* two views illustrate LiDAR views, and the lower view is a photograph of the cabin with the LiDAR unit shown (LiDAR and photo images courtesy Geospatial Mapping Center, the University of Georgia)
- Fig. 2.23 Illustrating SfM. The *upper* view of an excavation was produced using the program 123D Catch. The *lower* view is a digital-surface view of the same unit using another program, Smart3D. Both views are scalable and rotatable (Images courtesy Geospatial Mapping Center, the University of Georgia)
- Fig. 2.24 A small, commercial drone (eBee by SenseFly) being preflight programmed for a photographic survey. The photomosaic shown on the *right* is the result of this particular flight (Photographs courtesy of Chet Walker, shown programming the eBee drone)
- Fig. 2.25 A Geo.pdf with the capability for embedding geographic coordinate information. The *red circles* are location of selected test locations across the survey area (Image courtesy of ARI, Tallahassee, Florida)
- Fig. 2.26 Linear band ceramic (LBK) sites (*black dots*) plotted relative to loess soils (*gray*) in northwestern Germany (Adapted from Kuper et al. 1977)
- Fig. 3.1 Soil profile with commonly found horizons
- Fig. 3.2 The Munsell color system. Using this diagram, an example soil color such as “2.5YR5/4” can be understood as 2.5 *yellow-red* on the *hue circle*, 5 on the *vertical scale* for value, and 4 on the *horizontal section* for chroma
- Fig. 3.3 Above, sand grains, roundness, and sphericity (Modified from Powers 1953), below, sorting of sand grains (Modified from Compton 1962, *Manual of Field Geology*)
- Fig. 3.4 Example ternary diagram plots for, *left*, the United States and, *right*, Great Britain and Wales
- Fig. 3.5 Bounding in a spodosol. The A horizon is bounded as “clear to abrupt”; that between the “A” and “E” is “gradual to diffuse” (Photograph by the author)
- Fig. 3.6 Disconformable (allo)contact between the Central Builders member of the Wyoming Formation and the coarse gravel in the cutbank of Cayuta Creek in Sayre, Pennsylvania, at river km 452 (Thieme 2003)
- Fig. 3.7 Olduvai Gorge, Tanzania. *Upper*, the *red* sandstone of Bed III is clearly visible as well as the *top* of Bed II, lower, stratigraphy of Olduvai (Photograph courtesy of Dr. Sandra Whitney)
- Fig. 4.1 Cutting out a soil monolith
- Fig. 4.2 Rotary corer. Shown deployed in the excavation of a Roman era cemetery in urban Tunis, Tunisia, the auger rapidly bores through the clastic sediments. Photograph by Nina Šerman
- Fig. 4.3 A Giddings hydraulic coring system. The operators are exchanging a “Kelly (extension) bar” from the adjacent rack, to increase the depth of the core. The vertical “mast” contains a hydraulic

- “ram” powered by a small engine (not shown) to push and pull, utilizing the lever controls (*red*), the core barrels used to collect sediments. The system is easily deployed using a vehicle as shown. A typical core takes less than 30 min to collect
- Fig. 4.4 Ewing piston corer
- Fig. 4.5 Sediment sampling log
- Fig. 4.6 Sediment box corer
- Fig. 4.7 The sediment grab sampler
- Fig. 4.8 (a) Above, shallow sediment corer; (b) right, a sediment grab deployed at Jekyll Creek, Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway (AIWW), Georgia, USA
- Fig. 4.9 Sediment grab sample on a screen box
- Fig. 4.10 Typical sequence of sondages used to systematically characterize the landscape of the Plateau of Bevaix (Courtesy of the Archaeological Service, Canton of Neuchâtel)
- Fig. 4.11 Typical stratigraphic sequence seen in the profile for a test unit. The “complexes” listed represent sediment deposits of colluvium and soils formed thereon
- Fig. 4.12 The Hjulstrom diagram relating particle movement to size and stream velocity
- Fig. 4.13 Imhoff cone and soil auger sample. Suspected B horizon shown separating in Imhoff cone
- Fig. 4.14 Phosphate intensity plot for Great Zimbabwe using the colorimetric method (Courtesy of Paul Sinclair)
- Fig. 4.15 The Neolithic site of Arbon-Bleiche 3 demonstrating the use of micromorphology; (a) site map with the ground floors of the houses and with the eight phases of the village. The pilings of the houses are marked as *black dots*. The position of the profile section B and the profile photograph (c) are marked with *white arrows* and highlighted in *red*. (b) The west profile through house A showing the typical sequence with two main organic cultural layers divided by a sandy layer. (c) The Arbon-Bleiche 3 thin sections of the column M 1030 (profile B) with the micromorphologically identified phases of installation, organic accumulations beneath the house’s floor and inwash of sand from the hinterland surrounding the village (Fig. 4.2 in Ismail-Meyer et al. 2013, used with permission)
- Fig. 4.16 Typical triporate pollen grains: *left*, beech; *right*, oak
- Fig. 4.17 Various phytolith types. *Arrows* indicate “cross-body” chlorodoid types, whereas a festicoid type may be present, center of the photomicrograph. The photomicrograph courtesy Dr. Liovando Marciano da Costa. The two close-ups of chlorodoids and festicoid types are from Ball et al. (1996)
- Fig. 5.1 General multielectrode resistivity system. This system can be programmed for both Wenner and Schlumberger array configurations

- Fig. 5.2 Resistivity pseudosection of Mound A, Etowah Mounds State Park, Cartersville, Georgia, USA. This 20 m-high Mississippian period temple mound was built sometime prior to 1250 AD. It has been the subject of several geophysical studies beginning in 1993 with the author's initial radar studies of this and adjacent mounds B (Garrison 1998). This figure is the result of a later electrical study (Garrison et al. 2005). The near-surface features in red are well-documented "robber trenches" but the deeper anomalies, in green, are prehistoric structural features
- Fig. 5.3 The Cherokee female seminary, ca. 1,848. Courtesy of the Oklahoma Historical Society
- Fig. 5.4 Three plots of geophysical data from surveys of the site of the Cherokee Female Seminary, Tahlequah, Oklahoma. The upper plots are, respectively, a plan view of quadrature phase data (330 Hz) and the isometric view of the same data. The lower image is a GPR "time slice" of the same area showing good correlation between the two sensors. Architectural interpretation has been added to the radar plot. The area outlined in red is buried ruin of the building
- Fig. 5.5 Rose Cottage, from a painting of the house done from memory. Oklahoma Historical Society
- Fig. 5.6 A GeoPDF showing the location of UGA survey grids and other landmarks at the Rose Cottage site
- Fig. 5.7 Multisensor representations—left and above, magnetic; right, ground radar—of an abandoned Native American cemetery, Park Hill, Oklahoma, Cherokee Nation, USA. The magnetic anomalies correspond to rows of grave pits while the radargram indicates three sides of a buried stone wall. The latter view is 1.74 m depth and clearly shows the rectangular subsurface feature interpreted as a remnant of a stone or masonry wall. The magnetic features (*orange red*) in the plots show linear patterns. The separation between the anomalies indicate individual graves
- Fig. 5.8 A profile view of radar data. The image is of a Chumash pit dwelling, roughly 2 m in width, as illustrated in the artist conception adjacent. This is an idealized view of an actual archaeological site on the island of Santa Cruz, California, USA, where the author conducted research on the late twentieth century
- Fig. 5.9 The effects of refraction on the radar wave as the dielectric increases (*upper*) or decreases with depth (*lower*) (Adapted from Goodman 1994, Fig. 5.6)
- Fig. 5.10 A typical survey grid and GPR units; foreground, a control unit and antenna using a survey wheel; background, another control unit mounted, along with an antenna, on a survey cart. Tapes indicate *transect lines* (Photograph by the author)
- Fig. 5.11 Examples of post-processed radar data. Both represent a 2004 radar survey of a Roman villa site in western Switzerland. The plot on the left shows the burial depth at almost a meter, while the plot on the right highlights architectural details of the villa's principal building, the *pars urbana*

- Fig. 5.12 Study area, in *red*, for the Sabine River paleovalley study, northern Gulf of Mexico, USA
- Fig. 5.13 Acoustical profile and schematic view of sonar images produced by an acoustic sub-bottom profiler of a drowned paleochannel in 15 m water depth in the Gulf of Mexico. Sediment vibracore locations (Fig. 9.14) are indicated. This ancient estuary is illustrated its drowning at transgression ca. 6000 BC (Pearson et al. 2008)
- Fig. 5.14 *Left*, sampling the drowned Sabine estuary shown in Fig. 9.13 with a vibracore; *right*, analyzing the sediments in a vibracore taken from the estuary (Pearson et al. 2008)
- Fig. 6.1 Ancient and historic jewelry (Source: *National Geographic Magazine*). 1 Pendant of perforated *shell* ca.1000 BC; Syria. 2 Seal, *shell*, 7000–4000 BC; Syria. 3 Tubular bead, *bone*, 3000 BC; Syria. 4 Eye pendant, *glass*, 2000 BC; Egypt. 5 Pendant, *glass*, at least exterior; 600 BC; Punic-Phoenician? 6 Object made of *carneian*, 600 AD; Central Asia. 7 “Bat wing” object—“parietal”? *Jasper*; Mesoamerica. 8 Bead, *lapis lazuli*, 1000 AD; West Asia. 9 Object fashioned from *fossilized shell*, 1000 AD; West Asia. 10 Incised disk, *shell*, 1900 AD; Mauritania. 11 *Kiffa* bead, *powdered glass*, 1930 AD; Mauritania. 12 Glass art depicting Marilyn Monroe, 2004; USA
- Fig. 6.2 Dimension stone. Middle Jurassic sandstones. Dun Beag Broch, west coast of the Isle of Skye, Scotland. Historic Scotland
- Fig. 6.3 The rock cycle
- Fig. 6.4 Ternary diagram using Folk’s sandstone classification (1948, 1980) (Reproduced from Zahid and Barbeau (2011)). Arenitic, arkosic, and lithic categories are subdivided according to the percentage of each within the diagram. Subcategories, such as lithic arkose and feldspathic litharenite, are illustrated following a 75–50–25 % breakdown
- Fig. 6.5 Sandstone Pictish standing stone. Perthshire, Scotland. So-called Class 1 Stone by virtue of only “pagan” Pictish symbols used in its decoration (Photograph by author)
- Fig. 6.6 Two thin-section views of arenitic sandstones. *Left*, XPL showing undulatory extinction of the quartz grains (*black* grains). Crystals of sparite cement are clearly seen along the edges of holes (*blue* voids) in the thin section. The quartz grains show shattering due to sawing. *Right*, XPL image showing “twinning” of feldspar grains (*Left* image by author, *right* image courtesy the University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill)
- Fig. 6.7 Neolithic Period stelae carved from sandstone, from the megalithic site of Petit-Chasseur, Sion, Valais, Switzerland (Musée d’histoire du Valais, Sion, photograph by R. Hofer)
- Fig. 6.8 Rear, a siltstone, green “molasse” dimension stone; foreground, a “grès coquille” or bioclastic limestone (Photograph by the author)

- Fig. 6.9 Thin-section views of Grès coquille bioclastic limestone, western Switzerland. The high density and lamination of shell and quartz are clearly visible (Image courtesy of the Archaeological Service, Canton of Fribourg, Switzerland)
- Fig. 6.10 The Roman millstone quarry at Châbles-les-Saux, Switzerland (Photograph courtesy of Dr. Timothy A. Anderson (shown in lower left))
- Fig. 6.11 Backscatter electron (BEI) image showing dolomite rhombs in thin section. A large rotaliid foraminifera (*center*) is surrounded by dolomite rhombs; large apatite grain appears in the *upper, center* portion of the image (SEM image by author)
- Fig. 6.12 Novaculite. *Left*, in thin section; *right*, projectile points (Flenniken and Garrison 1975; Used with permission of Maney Publishing)
- Fig. 6.13 Pillow lava basalts in road cut, Curaçao, the Netherlands Antilles. Clipboard for scale (Photograph by author)
- Fig. 6.14 Bluestone rhyolite quarries, Fishguard Volcanic Group at Pont Saeson, North Pembrokeshire (Map modified from Fig. 1, Bevins et al. 2011)
- Fig. 6.15 (a) Thin-section views of strongly foliated rhyolite with small, dark (1 mm) lenses elongated in the plane of foliation. Sample 142/1947.22 from Wilsford, described originally by Stone (1948) and subsequently by Ixer and Bevins (2010) (Courtesy of Professor Michael Parker Pearson). (b) Photomicrograph of strongly foliated rhyolite with small, dark (2 mm) lenses elongated in the plane of foliation. Sample SH08/265 from the 2008 Stonehenge excavations (Courtesy of Professors Tim Darvill and Geoffrey Wainright). (c) Photomicrograph of strongly foliated rhyolite with small, dark (0.5–1.5 mm) lenses elongated in the plane of foliation. Sample PS8 from the Fishguard Volcanic Group at Pont Saeson, North Pembrokeshire (cf. Fig. 6.14) (Photomicrographs per Richard E. Bevins; the National Museum of Wales and Wiltshire Studies)
- Fig. 6.16 Metamorphic facies by pressure and temperature. “Low” temperature or “blueschist” facies are typified by steatite (talc); marbles are more “greenschist” facies
- Fig. 6.17 The “almond-eyed *korai*” at the Acropolis Museum, Athens (Photograph by the author)
- Fig. 6.18 Livia. Marble portrait (Courtesy the Estate of Dr. Norman Herz)
- Fig. 6.19 *Left*, Botticino Agglomerate limestone (Italy); *right*, Rojo Alicante limestone (Spain)
- Fig. 6.20 Limestone used for sculptural relief of a Roman tower tomb, *Aventicum, Germania Superior* (modern-day Switzerland). The subject is that of Greek mythology, Triton abducting a sea nymph or Nereide. Roman Museum of Avenches (Photograph by the author)
- Fig. 6.21 Soapstone Ridge, Georgia, USA. *Upper*, bowl “blank” in situ; *left*, thin section showing high birefringence of talc; *right*, bowl
- Fig. 6.22 Petroglyphic boulder. Granitic gneiss

- Fig. 6.23 Menhir carved from schist discovered in the Canton of Neuchâtel, in 1997, during excavations along the then proposed autoroute A5, in the vicinity of the village of Bevaix. The stone is clearly carved as *stela*e and is dated to the Neolithic Period, ca. 5000 BP. The height is 3.2 m and the weight is 2,800 kg. On exhibit, Latanium Museum, Neuchâtel, Switzerland (Photograph by the author)
- Fig. 7.1 Weathering of silicate minerals (After Horger 2000). Granites gneisses of the Appalachians produce kaolinite with gabbros yielding smectite and olivine-rich rocks yielding montmorillonite via the mechanisms illustrated below
- Fig. 7.2 The silica and alumina tetrahedral/octahedral units
- Fig. 7.3 Closed kiln
- Fig. 7.4 Phase changes in pottery
- Fig. 7.5 Forming the “wet” pot. Note appliqué
- Fig. 7.6 Modern Navaho pottery (Photograph by Robert Hill)
- Fig. 7.7 Two thin sections of late prehistoric earthenwares, Georgia, USA. In the *left*, firing differences—*black* indicating reducing conditions and *red-brown*, oxidizing. Such differences are not unusual for pit kiln-fired wares. The thin section on the *right* illustrates more uniform firing conditions as well as a more uniform grain-temper sizes. The temper in both is primarily quartz-dominated medium sand. Both photomicrographs were in PPL (Courtesy of Mark Williams)
- Fig. 7.8 Sandhills regions, North Carolina. Clay sample locations are marked (Fig. 7.3, p. 34, Herbert and McReynolds 2008)
- Fig. 7.9 Various pottery shards from the Sandhills regions. Pottery samples from the Kolb site (38 Da75): (a) JMH051, Yadkin Fabric Impressed; (b) JMH052, Yadkin/Hanover Fabric Impressed; (c) JMH053, Yadkin/Hanover Cord Marked; (d) JMH054, New River Cord Marked; (e) JMH055, Yadkin Cord Marked; (f) JMH056, New River Fabric Impressed (flex warp); (g) JMH057, New River Cord Marked; (h) JMH058, Cape Fear Fabric Impressed; (i) JMH059, Cape Fear Fabric Impressed; (j) JMH060, Hanover I Fabric Impressed (Fig. 7.8, p. 28, Herbert and McReynolds 2008)
- Fig. 7.10 Ternary plots of Sandhills’ clay groups (Fig. 7.2, p. 117, Herbert and McReynolds 2008)
- Fig. 7.11 The total ion chromatogram (*TIC*) of maize lipids. This shows primarily the plant and/or fish fatty acid ratio (more unsaturated C18:1 than saturated C16:0), plant biomarkers (sitosterol), and acylglycerols. Triacylglycerols are referred to as TAGs, diacylglycerols as DAGs, and monoacylglycerols as MAGs (Reproduced from Reber and Evershed 2004, Fig. 7.1)
- Fig. 8.1 Schematic of X-ray diffraction. The diffractometer measures the “d-spacing” between the crystal planes following Bragg’s Law, $n\lambda = 2d \sin \varphi$, where n is the integer representing the peak of interest, d is the lattice spacing of the specific crystallized mineral or element, φ is the angle between the scattered X-ray and the incident radiation, and λ is the wavelength of the incident light

- Fig. 8.2 Micro-X-ray fluorescence spectrometer examining painted and unpainted areas on the Orpheus Relief (Photograph by Jeff Speakman)
- Fig. 8.3 Typical handheld pXRF instrument. Bruker Tracer pXRF model
- Fig. 8.4 Electron and X-ray emission for EMPA showing the relationship between penetration depth, energy, and the sample's atomic number (Z)
- Fig. 8.5 *Left*, green transfer printed whiteware shards; *right*, flow-blue transfer printed whiteware shard (scale bars = 1 cm)
- Fig. 8.6 Schematic of atomic absorption spectrometer
- Fig. 8.7 Schematic for a modern thermal ionization mass spectrometer (TIMS)
- Fig. 8.8 Lead isotope ratios of lead curse tables and those of potential ore sources in Greece, England, Spain, Tunisia, and Wales (figure 5, Skaggs et al. 2012, p. 980)
- Fig. 8.9 National Gallery of Art, Aphrodite
- Fig. 8.10 Distribution of isotopes for various Aphrodites relative to known isotopic fields for ancient Mediterranean marble quarries (Herz and Pike 2005)
- Fig. 8.11 Schematic of an inductively coupled plasma (ICP) spectrometer
- Fig. 8.12 Schematic of a laser ablation inductively coupled mass spectrometer (LA-ICP-MS) system
- Fig. 8.13 *Upper*, plan of Dalles Floor (*green*); *lower*, Dalles Floor (*green*) in stratigraphic section
- Fig. 8.14 Coin mold fragments and coin flans from the Dalles Floor, Titelberg
- Fig. 8.15 ESR spectra of marble showing typical hyperfine splitting of manganese (Mn)
- Fig. 9.1 Central Vinča culture area (*left*); detail showing early Balkan mines (*right*)
- Fig. 9.2 Chalcopyrite ore with a replica of Neolithic copper axe shown (Photograph by the author)
- Fig. 9.3 Early metal site of Vucedol, located overlooking the Danube River, in eastern Croatia (Photograph by author)
- Fig. 9.4 Experimental archaeology. Melting bronze ingots for casting in the stone mold shown in the foreground (Courtesy Latenium, Hauterive, Switzerland)
- Fig. 9.5 Experimental archaeology. Cast duplicate of a bronze spear (Courtesy Latenium, Hauterive, Switzerland)
- Fig. 9.6 Experimental iron metallurgy. A pit forge, stone hammer, and anvil (Photograph by author)
- Fig. 9.7 A hypothesized crucible steel—"wootz"—process
- Fig. 9.8 The Ncherenje mine on the Malawian Nyika Plateau (Photograph by David Wenner)
- Fig. 9.9 One of the deep pits used to extract ore from the Mbiri iron mines, northern Malawi (Wenner and van der Merwe 1987) (Photograph by David Wenner)

- Fig. 9.10 Malawian iron manufacturing, 1982, Chulu, Malawi; above, *left*, furnaces prior to firing; *above*, in operation; *above, right*, micrograph (1000 \times) showing iron (bright spheres) in bloom matrix; *right*, bloom showing large (macroscopic) iron inclusions produced by the smaller, forced-draft furnace (Photographs by Nicholas van der Merve)
- Fig. 9.11 Characteristics of an ophiolite complex: axial magma chamber; pillow lava basalts; sheeted basaltic dikes; layered gabbro; dunite/peridotite
- Fig. 9.12 European metal deposits with central European mines highlighted
- Fig. 9.13 Copper ore, azurite (*left*); native copper (*right*)
- Fig. 9.14 Schematic of Laurion's geology of alternating schist and limestone with metal bearing contacts (1–3) between them. The ore contacts, 1 and 3, the richest. Argentite: "silver ore" is associated with the lead ore, cerussite (PbCO_3). The principal silver ore mineral is silver chloride, cerargyrite (AgCl), and silver sulfide (Ag_2S). The latter is mixed with galena, PbS
- Fig. 9.15 Back-scattered electron image of iron slag
- Fig. 10.1 The normal distribution. (a) The area under the curve is, by definition, 1; (b) the measure of central tendency is the mean, which is, again, by definition, zero; (c) the curve is perfectly symmetrical about the mean; (d) the standard deviation, along with mean, completely defines the normal curve; (e) the mean, mode, and the median *all* have the *same* value. The probability $p(x)$ of occurrence of any observed values from 1 to 2 and 8 to 9 (a two-tailed σ is shown in shaded areas). The range of values in this example is 0–10 with the mean (x) = 5.2; 1.5σ indicates the standard deviations from the mean (Adapted from Waltham 1994, fig. 7.7, p. 119)
- Fig. 10.2 Linear regression. Two correlations between the observed alpha-recoil track (ART) density in mica inclusions used as a tempering agent for prehistoric Hohokam pottery ("Sacaton Red," "Vahki Plain," etc.) versus age in years before the present (BP). The line width for each pottery type track density value is the standard deviation (Garrison 1973; Garrison et al. 1978)
- Fig. 10.3 Pair-wise comparison of mtDNA sequences of observed differences in human-Neanderthal sequences and human-chimpanzee sequences
- Fig. 10.4 Bivariate plot of elements within Pentelic marble. Two ellipses denote specific marble groups based on discriminating elements (Courtesy Scott Pike)
- Fig. 10.5 *Left*: Spode blue printed design. *Right*: back-scattered electron (BSE) image of dye, with brighter areas those of high cobalt content
- Fig. 10.6 Binary diagram of PbO and SiO_2 data for all Spode samples. Clusters of "open" samples manufactured before and after 1833, correlating with a change in ownership at the Spode factory

- (cf. Table 10.3); the “unknown” (closed) samples are highlighted in gray and occur in their respective manufacturing group
- Fig. 10.7 Three chi-square distribution curves. Note the higher degrees of freedom ($df = 19$) approximate the normal distribution
- Fig. 10.8 For an assumed set of 6 samples #1 to #6 from the Hallstatt period (750–400 BC) with ages of 750 BC (#1), 745 BC (#2), 740 BC (#3), 735 BC (#4), 730 BC (#5), and 725 BC (#6) indicated by vertical thin lines, the corresponding ^{14}C ages were looked up in the calibration curve. Due to the flatness of the calibration curve, we get the same ^{14}C age of 2455 BP for all six samples. After adding a random scatter of ± 40 year, we obtain the following ^{14}C ages: 2546 BP, 2490 BP, 2402 BP, 2446 BP, 2386 BP, and 2491 BP. By individual calibration, the samples can no more be assigned to distinct regions. The resulting probability distributions (*gray curves*) rather cover the whole Hallstatt period. These probability distributions correspond to our simulated ^{14}C measurement data. After the Bayesian sequence algorithm is applied, one can see its tendency to divide the period into six parts of equal size (*black curves*). Due to the flatness of the calibration curve, the general shape of the individually calibrated and of the “sequenced” probability distributions is the same which true ages ever are assumed. In our example, the posterior 95 % confidence intervals of samples #4, #5, and #6 are not in agreement with their assumed true ages. All the calculations (single calibration and sequencing) were performed with OxCal v2.18 (Ramsey 1995) using the INTCAL98 ^{14}C calibration curve (Stuiver et al. 1998). The program normalizes the individual and the “sequenced” probability distributions to the same maximum (*probability*) value (Italics added for emphasis)
- Fig. 11.1 Painting of the Scull Shoals mill, in the nineteenth century; at its peak
- Fig. 11.2 A 1.5 m sediment core, using a cryoprobe at the Scull Shoals site, *right* (Photographs by the author)

List of Tables

Table 3.1	Designations of master soil horizons and subordinate symbols for soils (Adapted from Olson 1981)
Table 4.1	The standard grain-size scale for clastic sediments
Table 4.2	Sample preparation for pollen analysis
Table 4.3	Palynological composition of samples from Gray's Reef
Table 4.4	Process of phytolith extraction
Table 5.1	Resistivity of common materials
Table 5.2	Magnetic susceptibilities of common rocks and minerals (cgs units)
Table 5.3	Magnetic properties of soil minerals
Table 5.4	Typical values of radar parameters of common materials
Table 6.1	Classification of sedimentary rocks
Table 6.2	Common igneous rocks
Table 7.1	Climate and weathering of silicate precursor minerals
Table 7.2	Consistency water %
Table 7.3	Characteristics of ceramic bodies
Table 8.1	a: Green sherd, WDS data. b: Blue sherd, WDS data
Table 8.2	Isotopes utilized in NAA analysis of coins and molds from the Titelberg
Table 8.3	CL and other characteristics of selected white marbles
Table 8.4	Instrumental techniques and their detection limits
Table 9.1	Major mineral processes and deposits by origin (Carr and Herz 1989)
Table 9.2	a: Whole-rock chemical analyses of samples from the Mbiri mine. b: Whole-rock chemical analyses of samples from the Ncherenie mine
Table 9.3	Principal metallic ores
Table 10.1	Scales and statistical measures
Table 10.2	Compositional data (in %) for Iron Age artifact
Table 10.3	Spode pottery statistical analysis (Douglas 2000)
Table 10.4	Contingency table for winged versus socketed axes