

Microfluidic Methods for Molecular Biology

Chang Lu • Scott S. Verbridge
Editors

Microfluidic Methods for Molecular Biology

 Springer

Editors

Chang Lu
Department of Chemical Engineering
Virginia Tech
Blacksburg, VA, USA

Scott S. Verbridge
Department of Biomedical Engineering
and Mechanics
Virginia Tech
Blacksburg, VA, USA

ISBN 978-3-319-30017-7 ISBN 978-3-319-30019-1 (eBook)
DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-30019-1

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016938159

© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature
The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG Switzerland

Preface

From the optical instruments that first provided a window into the microscopic world, to molecular analysis tools that have helped clarify the genetic underpinnings of life, the most significant advancements in the biological sciences over the past century have largely been driven by the availability of powerful new quantitative tools. A more recent theme in biotechnology has been the miniaturization of analytical tools, enabled in large part by adapting and developing the methods of the microelectronics industry. Microfluidics as a field has experienced a period of rapid development and evolution since the 1980s. Most of the early microfluidics work focused on miniaturization of tools used in analytical chemistry (e.g., chromatography and electrophoresis). Microfluidics provided unparalleled flexibility for miniaturization, integration, and automation. This permitted the creation of devices that were substantially more sophisticated than tools used in conventional analytical chemistry, and at a substantially lower cost of production and operation. Seminal early efforts of the field led to major innovations in both material science (e.g., the wide use of polydimethylsiloxane) and technology (e.g., two-layered pneumatic valves). These important developments greatly expanded the applications of microfluidics and have underpinned a more recent renaissance in microfluidics for biological applications.

With the explosion of genomics in the 1980s (and later of additional “omics” fields), molecular biology has always been an important area of application for miniaturized devices, given these devices’ unique access to the size scales relevant to the function of cells. Protein/nucleic acid separation and PCR-based analysis are widely practiced on microfluidic devices. Single-cell analysis has been an intensively explored direction in recent years, due to the unique size advantage associated with microfluidics for single-cell manipulation. With the decreasing cost of next-generation sequencing, recent years have witnessed substantial efforts directed towards genome-wide studies (as opposed to investigations focused on specific loci).

In parallel to new opportunities in basic science, there has been an increasing demand for well-established and robust microfluidic technologies that may have a

direct impact on clinical practice and therapies. Personalized Medicine (PM), more recently re-branded as Precision Medicine, provides just such a unique opportunity. PM is based on the premise that every patient is unique at the tissue, cellular, and molecular level. Thus conducting molecular biology tests on patient samples is essential for providing clinicians with genomics, transcriptomics, epigenomics, and proteomics information that will ultimately improve their ability to optimize decision making for individual patients. Microfluidics offers the ideal platforms for handling and analyzing low quantities of cell/molecular samples to enable this exciting personalized approach; however there remains much work to be done before this paradigm is routine practice.

This book volume highlights recent progress on the topic of microfluidics for molecular biology studies. We cover various aspects of current microfluidics research in this growing field, which now spans the disciplines of biology, physics, chemistry, forensics, engineering, earth and atmospheric sciences, and beyond. Chapters are presented on various types of molecular analysis (genetic, epigenetic, proteomic, and next-generation sequencing), use of model organisms and patient materials, analysis at bulk and single-cell levels, techniques on cell culture and isolation, and a variety of different platforms at the technological cutting edge of these respective fields (flow, droplet, and paper-based microfluidics). We are hopeful that new microfluidic tools will continue to enable new insights into basic science as well as technology and biomedicine. To analyze molecular populations at the resolution of single cells or even single molecules will undoubtedly provide new ways of understanding complex biological processes, for example the dynamics of the adaptive immune system, or the role of tumor heterogeneity in cancer. High-resolution tools translated to the clinic could enable entirely new ways to treat a patient's own disease, as opposed to treating a hypothetical average patient as is the current pharmaceutical paradigm. We hope to provide a succinct but comprehensive picture of the state of the art for microfluidic molecular assays, and we look forward to the advancements yet to come in this exciting and rapidly progressing field.

Blacksburg, VA, USA
December 15, 2015

Chang Lu
Scott S. Verbridge

Contents

1	Microfluidic Platforms for Quantitative Biology Studies in Model Organisms	1
	Daniel A. Porto, Tel M. Rouse, Adriana San-Miguel, and Hang Lu	
2	Microfluidic Methods in Single Cell Biology	19
	Arnab Mukherjee and Charles M. Schroeder	
3	Convective PCR Thermocycling with Smartphone-Based Detection: A Versatile Platform for Rapid, Inexpensive, and Robust Mobile Diagnostics	55
	Aashish Priye and Victor M. Ugaz	
4	Forensic Typing of Single Cells Using Droplet Microfluidics	71
	Tao Geng and Richard A. Mathies	
5	Microfluidic Approaches to Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization (FISH) for Detecting RNA Targets in Single Cells	95
	Robert J. Meagher and Meiye Wu	
6	Microfluidic Multistage Integration for Analysis of Circulating Exosomes	113
	Mei He, Andrew Godwin, and Yong Zeng	
7	Microfluidic Single-Cell Functional Proteomics	141
	Shay Mailloux, Lisa Ramirez, and Jun Wang	
8	Microfluidics for DNA and Protein Analysis with Multiplex Microbead-Based Assays	161
	Wanqing Yue and Mengsu Yang	
9	Single-Cell Phenotypic Screening in Inverse Metabolic Engineering	189
	A.E. Vasdekis and G. Stephanopoulos	

10	Microfluidic Paper-Based Multiplexing Biosensors for Electrochemical Detection of Metabolic Biomarkers	205
	Chen Zhao, Martin M. Thuo, and Xinyu Liu	
11	Droplet Microfluidics for Screening of Surface-Marker and Secretory Protein Expression	219
	Pooja Sabhachandani, Saheli Sarkar, and Tania Konry	
12	Wire-guided Droplet Manipulation for Molecular Biology	235
	Dustin K. Harshman and Jeong-Yeol Yoon	
13	Mechanical and Electrical Principles for Separation of Rare Cells	253
	Elisa M. Wasson, Temple A. Douglas, and Rafael V. Davalos	
14	Microfluidics for High-Throughput Cellular Isolation and Analysis in Biomedicine	295
	Caroline N. Jones and Joseph M. Martel-Foley	
15	Microfluidics for Cell Culture	323
	Deepika Devadas and Edmond W.K. Young	
16	Microfluidic Chromatin Immunoprecipitation for Analysis of Epigenomic Regulations	349
	Yan Zhu and Chang Lu	
	Index	365

Contributors

Rafael V. Davalos, Ph.D. Bioelectromechanical Systems Lab, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Virginia

Tech—Wake Forest University, School of Biomedical Engineering and Sciences, Blacksburg, VA, USA

Deepika Devadas, Ph.D. Department of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

Temple A. Douglas Bioelectromechanical Systems Lab, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Virginia

Tech—Wake Forest University, School of Biomedical Engineering and Sciences, Blacksburg, VA, USA

Tao Geng, Ph.D. Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA

Environmental Molecular Sciences Laboratory, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA, USA

Andrew Godwin Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, KS, USA

University of Kansas Cancer Center, Kansas City, KS, USA

Dustin K. Harshman, Ph.D. Biomedical Engineering Graduate Interdisciplinary Program and Department of Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering, The University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA

Mei He, Ph.D. Department of Biological and Agricultural Engineering, Johnson Cancer Research Center, College of Engineering, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS, USA

Caroline N. Jones, Ph.D. Department of Biological Sciences, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA, USA

Tania Konry, Ph.D. Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Northeastern University, Boston, MA, USA

Xinyu Liu, Ph.D. Department of Mechanical Engineering, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada

Chang Lu, Ph.D. Department of Chemical Engineering, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA, USA

Hang Lu, Ph.D. Interdisciplinary Program of Bioengineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, USA

School of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, USA

Shay Mailloux, Ph.D. Multiplex Biotechnology Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, University at Albany, State University of New York, Albany, NY, USA

Joseph M. Martel-Foley, Ph.D. Department of Biomedical Engineering, Wentworth Institute of Technology, Boston, MA, USA

Richard A. Mathies, Ph.D. Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA

Robert J. Meagher, Ph.D. Biotechnology and Bioengineering Department, Sandia National Laboratories, Livermore, CA, USA

Arnab Mukherjee, Ph.D. Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA

Daniel A. Porto Interdisciplinary Program of Bioengineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, USA

Aashish Priye, Ph.D. Artie McFerrin Department of Chemical Engineering, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA

Lisa Ramirez, B.S. Multiplex Biotechnology Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, University at Albany, State University of New York, Albany, NY, USA

Tel M. Rouse Interdisciplinary Program of Bioengineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, USA

School of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, USA

Pooja Sabhachandani, M.S. Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Northeastern University, Boston, MA, USA

Adriana San-Miguel School of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, USA

Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, USA

Saheli Sarkar, Ph.D. Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Northeastern University, Boston, MA, USA

Charles M. Schroeder, Ph.D. Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, Center for Biophysics and Computational Biology, Institute for Genomic Biology/Biosystems Design, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL, USA

G. Stephanopoulos Department of Chemical Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA

Martin M. Thuo, Ph.D. Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Iowa State University, Ames, IA, USA

Victor M. Ugaz, Ph.D. Artie McFerrin Department of Chemical Engineering, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA

Department of Biomedical Engineering, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA

A.E. Vasdekis Department of Physics, University of Idaho, Moscow, ID, USA

Jun Wang, Ph.D. Cancer Research Center, University at Albany, State University of New York, Rensselaer, NY, USA

Elisa M. Wasson Bioelectromechanical Systems Lab, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Virginia

Tech—Wake Forest University, School of Biomedical Engineering and Sciences, Blacksburg, VA, USA

Meiye Wu, M.A., Ph.D. Biotechnology and Bioengineering Department, Sandia National Laboratories, Livermore, CA, USA

Mengsu Yang, Ph.D. Department of Biomedical Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Kowloon, Hong Kong, People's Republic of China

Key Laboratory of Biochip Technology, Biotech and Health Centre, Shenzhen Research Institutes of City University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen, People's Republic of China

Jeong-Yeol Yoon, Ph.D. Biomedical Engineering Graduate Interdisciplinary Program and Department of Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering, The University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA

Edmond W.K. Young, Ph.D. Department of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

Wanqing Yue, Ph.D. Department of Biomedical Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Kowloon, Hong Kong, People's Republic of China

School of Sciences, China Pharmaceutical University, Nanjing, People's Republic of China

Yong Zeng, Ph.D. University of Kansas Cancer Center, Kansas City, KS, USA

Department of Chemistry, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS, USA

Chen Zhao Department of Mechanical Engineering, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada

Yan Zhu Department of Chemical Engineering, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA, USA