

Contributions to Management Science

For further volumes:
<http://www.springer.com/series/1505>

Julia Koralun-Bereźnicka

Corporate Performance

A Ratio-Based Approach to Country
and Industry Analyses



Springer

Julia Koralun-Bereźnicka
University of Gdańsk
Faculty of Management
Sopot
Poland

ISSN 1431-1941

ISBN 978-3-319-00344-3

ISBN 978-3-319-00345-0 (eBook)

DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-00345-0

Springer Cham Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London

Library of Congress Control Number: 2013939779

© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2013

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed. Exempted from this legal reservation are brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis or material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the Copyright Law of the Publisher's location, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Permissions for use may be obtained through RightsLink at the Copyright Clearance Center. Violations are liable to prosecution under the respective Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

While the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication, neither the authors nor the editors nor the publisher can accept any legal responsibility for any errors or omissions that may be made. The publisher makes no warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

Introduction

How to search for the factors determining corporate performance is a question asked by many researchers in the area of finance. The factors could be both external, e.g. macroeconomic, industrial, political or social conditions affecting an enterprise, as well as internal, i.e. controlled by an entity, such as the size or managerial competence of an enterprise. This study focuses on external aspects of corporate performance, specifically on the country and the industry in which a company operates. Both factors are believed to have a significant impact on corporate activity and therefore economic results, which are reflected in financial ratios.

The following research is involved in an important stream of contemporary economy and finance, which can be defined as the analysis of reasons and consequences of the diversity of objects. The objects can be treated here either as countries (country effect) or industries (industry effect). Most of the hitherto analyses focus on corporate performance reflected mainly in stock returns. There are few of those considering fundamental ratios, however, which can be an equally important criterion for investment decisions, especially long-term ones. Therefore, updating and broadening the study of the country and industry effects within the European Union area seems a useful addition of knowledge to this area.

Analysis of the country and industry effects is of particular importance for the theory and practice of modern finance. Projects undertaken by financial investors, especially institutional ones, are not limited to the local or national markets, but are often implemented at the sub-regional level and therefore have a global dimension. Identifying the factors responsible for the risk diversity for the selected groups of objects, measuring these differences as well as evaluating their significance are therefore important research tasks. The results of this type of research are used in the process of making investment decisions aiming at risk diversification both internationally and across industries.

On the one hand, the issue of corporate performance diversity depending on the industry, namely the industry effect in financial ratios, is of crucial importance for cross-industry diversification of investments. On the other hand, the country effect, understood as a variation of corporate performance due to the country of operation, is important mainly in the context of the integration processes taking

place in Europe. Studies of ratios diversity across countries are therefore justified in terms of international investments diversification.

The main purpose of this book is the comparative evaluation of international and industrial factors affecting the financial condition of enterprises in the selected European Union countries. In order to implement the above formulated concept, some theoretical and empirical studies were performed concerning the occurrence of the country and industry effects in the financial health of companies located in the analysed area. In the theoretical part, the results of previous research on this issue were reviewed. The aim of the empirical study was to determine which factors – national or industrial ones – have a greater impact on the performance of economic entities. The cross-country and cross-industry comparative analysis of corporate economic and financial results was performed in order to solve the main research problem of this book, which can otherwise be described as an assessment of the relative importance of the country effect and the industry effect in the financial condition of companies.

To characterise the financial condition of entities, a set of appropriately selected ratios was used, which enabled a comprehensive assessment of the examined objects. The adopted financial ratios reflect two basic criteria used for assessing corporate performance, i.e. the broadly understood effectiveness and solvency. These criteria represent some of the most important factors taken into account when making investment decisions. For the purpose of this study, the analysed ratios were grouped into three categories: profitability and turnover ratios, liquidity ratios and long-term solvency ratios.

The analysis of empirical data derived from the aggregated and harmonised financial statements enabled the verification of the research hypotheses concerning the existence of the country and industry effects, which involve the differentiation of corporate performance depending on the country and industry, respectively. The research also allowed indicating which of these two effects prevails in affecting the financial health of companies.

Thus, the hypothesis to be verified in this study can be formulated as follows: the national factors have greater impact on the corporate performance of enterprises than the industrial factors. Rejecting the tested hypothesis would be a statistical proof of the truthfulness of the alternative hypothesis, i.e., that industrial factors affect financial conditions of enterprises more than national ones.

If there is no reason for rejecting the hypothesis, it could be concluded that companies from different industries of the same country have more mutual similarity than companies in the same industry but from different countries. Rejecting the hypothesis would mean that companies in a given industry but from different countries should be less diverse than companies from different industries of the same country. It might also occur that cross-country and cross-industry diversity of corporate performance is fairly uniform and that none of the examined factors can be identified as the dominant one. Simplifying, the main research problem can be reduced to the question whether, e.g., the performance of the construction industry enterprises in France is more similar to the performance of French transport

companies or rather corresponds to the situation of enterprises in the construction industry, e.g., in Italy.

In addition, several more specific research tasks were formulated. One of them was to find out whether corporate financial parameters differ significantly across industries as well as internationally. Another problem to be solved was the question of the scope of the observed differences, i.e. whether they relate to all industries, countries and financial ratios or just to some of them. Financial analysis of companies in individual industries and countries was applied to establish whether and to what extent the corporate performance differs in these two sections and in which countries and industries the disparities are most apparent. In addition, the study was meant to specify which ratios or groups of ratios best reflect these differences. Consequently, one of the ancillary purposes was to identify financial ratios with the best discriminating properties, i.e. the most different in the analysed sections.

The study includes a total of thirteen industries (in line with the European Classification of Activities) in ten European Union countries. The territorial scope of the research was deliberately restricted mainly to the countries which have long been integrated in the European structures. In addition, most of the countries are also members of the euro zone – the highly integrated area. This helps to avoid artificial exaggeration of the country effect which might happen if the study included countries with significantly different levels of development. The only exception to this relatively homogeneous population is Poland. The inclusion of Poland, for which the accession to the common currency area is probably a close prospect, allowed to compare it with other peer EU countries and thus to show the likely gap between them.

The subject of the study is the economic and financial standing of enterprises, characterised by a set of financial ratios for each industry, each country and each year in the period 1999–2005. The calculation of ratios is based on the harmonised and aggregated annual accounts from the BACH database.

The methodology of this study involves mainly multivariate statistical analysis, the choice of which is justified by the nature of the data – its range and numerosness. Some methods of data classification were also applied, such as cluster analysis, factor analysis, or multidimensional scaling.

Due to the relatively wide range of data characterising many objects, the natural procedure of the research was to organize the elements of the analysed population, i.e. to classify them according to certain criteria. Therefore, grouping the objects into categories characterised by a greater within-group similarity was one of the purposes of the analysis. The classification was applied to the objects treated either as industries or countries. The binomial objects – industries in countries – were also systematised. Some kind of categorisation was also employed for the diagnostic variables, i.e. the financial ratios used in the study.

The classification of industries and countries based on the economic and financial condition of enterprises was meant to distinguish such categories of objects (countries, industries, or industries in countries), which differ in terms of

performance. The identification of such groups of objects – described by many features – was possible with the use of taxonomic methods.

Apart from this introduction, the study consists of five chapters and the ending which contains the summary and conclusions of the research.

The first chapter is a prolegomenon to the issues of cross-country and cross-industry diversification of business activity results. It discusses the various interpretations of the concept of corporate performance and also formulates its definition which is the most appropriate from the point of view of the empirical research performed in the later part of the study. It also outlines the theoretical foundations of the industrial economics which is the starting point for discussing the cross-industry diversity of enterprises. A classification of the factors influencing corporate performance was also attempted here. The author also discusses the nature, genesis and practical application of the financial ratios as measures of the corporate financial condition. Finally, various classification systems of ratios were presented and their limitations were signalled.

The second chapter is a methodical one. First of all, it reviews the previous research on the diversity of economic and financial performance of companies. The author also synthetically presents conclusions from earlier studies on the prevalence of the industry and country effects. This review was treated as the basis for the formulation of the essential methodical assumptions used in the following empirical research. Therefore, the chapter specifies the scope and methodology of the study of corporate performance diversity depending on the country and the industry.

The following part of the discourse contains a discussion of the findings of empirical research. The third chapter includes a preliminary analysis of corporate performance diversity. It mainly considers the basic statistics of financial ratios and, later, the diversification of corporate performance in various sections with the use of the analysis of variance.

The next – fourth – chapter aims to present the results of the classification of objects. It discusses the results of agglomerative cluster analysis of countries and industries as well as industries in countries. An important stage in the study was to assess the similarity of the clustering results between countries and industries. This part of the study also focused on the characteristics of the identified clusters.

The purpose of the last stage of analysis, presented in Chap. 5, was to identify the factors influencing corporate performance in different countries and industries. The factors isolated with the use of factor analysis were then compared across countries and industries. In order to simplify the data structure, a two-dimensional map of objects was constructed with the use of the multidimensional scaling method. Reduction of the number of dimensions was meant to increase the clarity of the detected patterns.

Deciding which of the two categories of factors considered in this research influences corporate performance more is an important theme of many studies on the coexistence of the country and industry effects. The evaluation of the relative importance of national and industrial factors may be significant in the context of investment diversification. If these are mainly industry factors which are responsible for the performance of enterprises, investment portfolios management strategies

should be based mainly on cross-industry sections. However, the traditional strategy of portfolio diversification by countries would be more justified in the case of the country effect domination.

Multiple attempts to solve the problem of the mutual relation between the country effect and the industry effect have led to formulating some suggestions about the methods of portfolio diversification as well as ways about conducting research in the area of finance. However, the apparent lack of consensus among researchers on the relative importance of the country and industry effects gives rise to further verification of this problem. Some contradictions between the results obtained by different researchers, depending on the method used, the period of study or the research sample, prove that it is purposeful and advisable to use alternative methods of resolving the issue and continue exploring the research problem, which particularly concerns the area of integrated Europe.

Contents

1 Corporate Performance Interpretation and Measuring Problems	1
1.1 Interpretation of Corporate Performance on the Grounds of the Industrial Organisation Theory	1
1.2 Corporate Performance Versus Corporate Finance Theory	4
1.3 Classification of Corporate Performance Determinants	7
1.4 The Nature and Genesis of Financial Ratios as Characteristics of Corporate Performance	14
1.5 Selected Classification Systems of Financial Ratios	17
1.6 Limitations of Financial Ratios	19
2 Country and Industry Factors as Determinants of Corporate Performance: Research Methodology	23
2.1 Review of Previous Research on Corporate Performance Diversity	23
2.2 Relative Importance of Country and Industry Effects in Stock Returns in Light of Previous Research	29
2.2.1 Country Effect as the Main Source of Stock Returns Volatility	30
2.2.2 The Importance of Industry Factors for Market Rates of Return	32
2.2.3 Impact of Integration on the Relative Importance of Country and Industry Factors	34
2.2.4 Financial Ratios Versus Market Returns	37
2.2.5 Summary of Studies Review	38
2.3 Empirical Research of the Impact of Country and Industry Factors on Corporate Performance: Aims and Data Description	39
2.4 Methodology of Corporate Performance Diversity Analysis	43

- 3 Analysis of the Corporate Performance Diversity in the Selected European Union Countries** 53
 - 3.1 Initial Analysis of the Financial Ratios in Industries and Countries 53
 - 3.2 Cross-Industry Analysis of Ratios Variance 64
 - 3.3 Cross-Country Analysis of Ratios Variance 71
 - 3.4 Analysis of Ratios Variance in Time 78
 - 3.5 Industry and Country Effect Through Two-Way Analysis of Ratios Variance 79
- 4 Cross-Industry and Cross-Country Comparative Cluster Analysis** 83
 - 4.1 Cluster Analysis of Industries 83
 - 4.2 Comparative Cluster Analysis of Industries Across Countries . . . 91
 - 4.3 Cluster Analysis of Countries 92
 - 4.4 Comparative Cluster Analysis of Countries Across Industries . . . 98
 - 4.5 Relative Importance of Country and Industry Effect in the Light of Cluster Analysis 100
- 5 Factors Affecting Corporate Performance in Countries and Industries** 111
 - 5.1 Factors Influencing Corporate Performance in Countries and Industries 111
 - 5.2 Factors Influencing Corporate Performance in Countries 113
 - 5.3 Factors Influencing Corporate Performance in Industries 115
 - 5.4 Two-Dimensional Map of Countries and Industries 117
- Ending** 123
- References** 129
- Appendices** 143

List of Tables

Table 1.1	Users of financial information	16
Table 2.1	Financial ratios used in the study	41
Table 2.2	Industrial sections by NACE	42
Table 2.3	Number and share of the companies aggregated in the BACH database (average for 1999–2005)	44
Table 2.4	Financial ratios selected for the study after removal of the correlated variables	50
Table 3.1	Conclusions from the analysis of descriptive statistics of country ratios based on average values from 1999 to 2005	55
Table 3.2	Conclusions from the analysis of descriptive statistics of industry ratios based on average values from 1999 to 2005	59
Table 3.3	Variation coefficient of corporate financial ratios across industries and across ten countries (higher values in bold)	63
Table 3.4	Variation coefficient of corporate financial ratios across industries and across nine countries (Poland excluded, higher values in bold)	63
Table 3.5	Probabilities of the post-hoc Tukey’s test for the aggregated profitability ratio in industries, $p = 0.05$ (significant differences are highlighted)	66
Table 3.6	Homogenous groups of industries based on the aggregated profitability ratio	67
Table 3.7	Probabilities of the post-hoc Tukey’s test for the aggregated liquidity ratio in industries, $p = 0.05$ (significant differences are highlighted)	68
Table 3.8	Homogenous groups of industries based on the aggregated liquidity ratio	69
Table 3.9	Probabilities of the post-hoc Tukey’s test for the aggregated debt ratio in industries, $p = 0.05$ (significant differences are highlighted)	70
Table 3.10	Homogenous groups of industries based on the aggregated debt ratio	71

Table 3.11	Probabilities of the post-hoc Tukey's test for the aggregated profitability ratio in countries, $p = 0.05$ (significant differences are highlighted)	73
Table 3.12	Homogenous groups of countries based on the aggregated profitability ratio	74
Table 3.13	Probabilities of the post-hoc Tukey's test for the aggregated liquidity ratio in countries, $p = 0.05$ (significant differences are highlighted)	75
Table 3.14	Homogenous groups of countries based on the aggregated liquidity ratio	76
Table 3.15	Probabilities of the post-hoc Tukey's test for the aggregated debt ratio in countries, $p = 0.05$ (significant differences are highlighted)	77
Table 3.16	Homogenous groups of countries based on the aggregated debt ratio	77
Table 3.17	One-way analysis of ratios variance over time (all countries and industries): F-statistic and p values, $p = 0.05$ (significant differences are highlighted)	78
Table 3.18	Two-way analysis of ratios variance – the country effect (C), the industry effect (I) and the combined effect (CI): values of F-statistic and p, $p = 0.05$ (deficiencies of significance are highlighted)	81
Table 4.1	Silhouette index for different numbers of industry clusters . . .	84
Table 4.2	The mean and standard deviation of ratios in industries for 1999–2005	85
Table 4.3	Ratios significantly different between clusters of industries ($p < 0.05$)	87
Table 4.4	Cluster analysis results based on average ratios for all countries (permanent elements of clusters are bolded)	88
Table 4.5	Similarity evaluation of industry clusters in countries – adjusted Rand's measure	93
Table 4.6	The mean and standard deviation of ratios in countries for 1999–2005	94
Table 4.7	Ratios significantly different between clusters of industries ($p < 0.05$)	95
Table 4.8	Cluster analysis results based on average ratios for all industries (permanent elements of clusters are bolded)	96
Table 4.9	Similarity evaluation of country clusters in industries – adjusted Rand's measure	101
Table 4.10	The number of different industries and countries in clusters of industries in countries	103
Table 4.11	Composition of clusters of industries in countries	107
Table 4.12	Analysis of variance of selected ratios in relation to clusters of industries in countries	108
Table 4.13	The mean ratios for clusters of industries in countries	108

Table 5.1	Eigenvalues of factors for industries in countries	112
Table 5.2	Factor loadings for industries in countries; rotation <i>Varimax</i> normalised (the absolute values > 0.7 are highlighted)	113
Table 5.3	Variables with factor loadings > 0.7 in the factor analysis for countries	114
Table 5.4	Variables with factor loadings > 0.7 in the factor analysis for industries	116

List of Figures

Fig. 1.1	Classification of corporate performance analysis	5
Fig. 1.2	Corporate performance determinants	8
Fig. 1.3	The SCP paradigm	11
Fig. 1.4	The basic process of business analysis	18
Fig. 2.1	Data cube analysed in the research	43
Fig. 3.1	Plot of marginal means and confidence limits (95 %) of the aggregated profitability ratio for industries	67
Fig. 3.2	Plot of marginal means and confidence limits (95 %) of the aggregated liquidity ratio for industries	68
Fig. 3.3	Plot of marginal means and confidence limits (95 %) of the aggregated debt ratio for industries	70
Fig. 3.4	Plot of marginal means and confidence limits (95 %) of the aggregated profitability ratio for countries	74
Fig. 3.5	Plot of marginal means and confidence limits (95 %) of the aggregated liquidity ratio for countries	75
Fig. 3.6	Plot of marginal means and confidence limits (95 %) of the aggregated debt ratio for countries	77
Fig. 3.7	Plot of marginal means of ratios with significant variation in time	79
Fig. 4.1	Tree diagram based on average ratios from 1999 to 2005 for ten countries; Ward method, square Euclidean distance	84
Fig. 4.2	Plot of means of the selected ratios for each cluster of industries in all countries	86
Fig. 4.3	Tree diagram based on average profitability ratios from 1999 to 2005 for ten countries; Ward method, square Euclidean distance	89
Fig. 4.4	Tree diagram based on average liquidity ratios from 1999 to 2005 for ten countries; Ward method, square Euclidean distance	89
Fig. 4.5	Tree diagram based on average debt ratios from 1999 to 2005 for ten countries; Ward method, square Euclidean distance	90

Fig. 4.6	Tree diagram based on average ratios for all industries from 1999 to 2005; Ward method, square Euclidean distance	93
Fig. 4.7	Plot of means of the selected ratios for each cluster of countries in all industries	94
Fig. 4.8	Tree diagram based on average profitability ratios from 1999 to 2005 for all industries; Ward method, square Euclidean distance	96
Fig. 4.9	Tree diagram based on average liquidity ratios from 1999 to 2005 for all industries; Ward method, square Euclidean distance	97
Fig. 4.10	Tree diagram based on average debt ratios from 1999 to 2005 for all industries; Ward method, square Euclidean distance	97
Fig. 5.1	Scree plot test for industries in countries	112
Fig. 5.2	Two-dimensional scatter plot of industries	118
Fig. 5.3	Two-dimensional scatter plot of countries	119
Fig. 5.4	Two-dimensional scatter plot of industries in countries for the 1st group of countries: NL, B, FR, ES, I	120
Fig. 5.5	Two-dimensional scatter plot of industries in countries for the 2nd group of countries: A, D, P, FIN, PL	121

List of Appendices

Appendix 1	Normalisation Procedure of Variables	145
Appendix 2	Correlation Matrix of Diagnostic Variables	147
Appendix 3	Silhouette Index Algorithm	149
Appendix 4	The Method of Calculating the Adjusted Rand's Measure (R_{AD})	151
Appendix 5	Descriptive Statistics of Industry Ratios: Means for All Countries from the Period 1999 to 2005	153
Appendix 6	Descriptive Statistics of Industry Ratios: Standard Deviations for all Countries from the Period 1999 to 2005	155
Appendix 7	Descriptive Statistics of Country Ratios: Means for All Industries from the Period 1999 to 2005	157
Appendix 8	Descriptive Statistics of Country Ratios: Standard Deviations for all Industries from the Period 1999 to 2005	159
Appendix 9	Taxonomic Measure of Development for Industries in Countries. A Separate Standard Object for Each Year	161
Appendix 10	The Economic Potential of Industries in Countries Based on the Taxonomic Measure of Development (1st Group of Industries)	163
Appendix 11	The Economic Potential of Industries in Countries Based on the Taxonomic Measure of Development (2nd Group of Industries)	165
Appendix 12	One-Way Analysis of Variance Across Industries: Values of F-Statistics and p; p = 0.05 (Deficiencies of Significance Were Highlighted)	167
Appendix 13	Tukey's Test	169
Appendix 14	Selected Results of the Post-Hoc Analysis of Cross-Industry Variance for Profitability and Turnover Ratios (Disjoint Homogeneous Groups Are Bolded)	171
Appendix 15	Selected Results of the Post-Hoc Analysis of Cross-Industry Variance for Liquidity Ratios (Disjoint Homogeneous Groups Are Bolded)	173

Appendix 16 Selected Results of the Post-Hoc Analysis of Cross-Industry Variance for Debt Ratios (Disjoint Homogeneous Groups Are Bolded) 175

Appendix 17 One-Way Analysis of Variance Across Countries: Values of F-Statistics and p; $p = 0.05$ (Deficiencies of Significance Were Highlighted) 177

Appendix 18 Selected Results of the *Post-Hoc* Analysis of Cross-Country Variance for Profitability and Turnover Ratios (Disjoint Homogeneous Groups Are Bolded) 179

Appendix 19 Selected Results of the Post-Hoc Analysis of Cross-Country Variance for Liquidity Ratios (Disjoint Homogeneous Groups Are Bolded) 181

Appendix 20 Selected Results of the Post-Hoc Analysis of Cross-Country Variance for Debt Ratios (Disjoint Homogeneous Groups Are Bolded) 183

Appendix 21 Two-Way Analysis of Ratios Variance – the Year Effect (Y), the Country Effect (C) and the Combined Effect (YC): Values of F-Statistic and p, $p = 0.05$ (Significant Effects Are Highlighted) 185

Appendix 22 Two-Way Analysis of Ratios Variance – the Year Effect (Y), the Industry Effect (I) and the Combined Effect (YI): Values of F-Statistic and p, $p = 0.05$ (Significant Effects Are Highlighted) 187

Appendix 23 Tree Diagrams in Countries Based on Average Ratios for 1999–2005; Ward Method, Square Euclidean Distance 189

Appendix 24 Tree Diagrams in Industries Based on Average Ratios for 1999–2005; Ward Method, Square Euclidean Distance 191

Appendix 25 Tree Diagram of Industries in Countries Based on Average Ratios for 1999–2005; Ward Method, Square Euclidean Distance 193