

Interdisciplinary Studies in Human Rights

Volume 4

Editor-in-chief

Markus Krajewski

Faculty of Law, Friedrich-Alexander-University Erlangen-Nürnberg,
Erlangen, Germany

Series editors

Petra Bendel

Center for Area Studies, Friedrich-Alexander-University Erlangen-Nürnberg,
Erlangen, Germany

Heiner Bielefeldt

Institute of Political Science, Friedrich-Alexander-University
Erlangen-Nürnberg, Erlangen, Germany

Andreas Frewer

Institute for History and Ethics of Medicine, Friedrich-Alexander-University
Erlangen-Nürnberg, Erlangen, Germany

Manfred L. Pirner

Religious Education, Friedrich-Alexander-University Erlangen-Nürnberg,
Nürnberg, Germany

Human rights are one of the normative cornerstones of contemporary international law and global governance. Due to the complexities of actual or potential violations of human rights and in light of current crises, new and interdisciplinary research is urgently needed. The series *Interdisciplinary Studies in Human Rights* recognizes the growing importance and necessity of interdisciplinary research in human rights. The series consists of monographs and collected volumes addressing human rights research from different disciplinary and interdisciplinary perspectives, including but not limited to philosophy, law, political science, education, and medical ethics. Its goal is to explore new and contested questions such as the extraterritorial application of human rights and their relevance for non-state actors, as well as the philosophical and theoretical foundations of human rights.

The series also addresses policy questions of current interest including the human rights of migrants and refugees, LGBTI rights, and bioethics, as well as business and human rights.

The series editors are Members of the Centre for Human Rights Erlangen-Nürnberg (CHREN), an interdisciplinary research center at Friedrich-Alexander-University Erlangen-Nürnberg. The Advisory Board brings together human rights scholars from a wide range of academic disciplines and regional backgrounds. The series welcomes suggestions for publications of academic research falling into the series subject matter.

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/15339>

Vivian Kube

EU Human Rights, International Investment Law and Participation

Operationalizing the EU Foreign Policy
Objective to Global Human Rights Protection

 Springer

Vivian Kube
Hamburg, Germany

ISSN 2509-2960 ISSN 2509-2979 (electronic)
Interdisciplinary Studies in Human Rights
ISBN 978-3-030-20606-2 ISBN 978-3-030-20607-9 (eBook)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-20607-9>

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2019

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG.
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Acknowledgments

The four-and-a-half years, which I was allowed to spend at the EUI while writing the thesis this book is based on, will certainly be one of the greatest learning experiences of my life—intellectually, professionally and personally.

The first words of gratitude have to be dedicated to the person who made possible both embarking on this journey and completing it, my supervisor, Professor Marise Cremona. I am deeply thankful for the trust she had in me and in my work—not only in the moment she took me on board as her supervisee, but also throughout these years. I was in many aspects a beginner and it is thanks to her trust in me, her encouragement and guidance that I soon felt equipped to navigate myself through the research fields, the diverse methods one can draw on and academic professional life. With trust comes freedom, and I would like to thank Marise for the incredible freedom I had for developing and testing my own ideas in my research as well as in the pursuit of other projects including those in the framework of the EU External Relations working group. Yet, at the same time, the door to her office and therewith to a stimulating discussion and good advice was always open. Besides having had the enormous privilege to learn from one of the greatest teachers of EU law, I could also learn a great deal about teaching and about critically engaging with others. I was always impressed by how she approached other researchers with genuine interest and respect and never forgot to listen—not even in the most hectic times.

This book benefited extensively from the input of many great minds I met along the way of my research. Their ways of thinking and their profound knowledge certainly left an imprint on my work and will continue to influence it in the future. The support I received and the time and patience that were dedicated to discussing my research still leaves me impressed and will shape my way of approaching other people's work. Among those are the other members of my jury, Professor Joanne Scott, Professor Olivier De Schutter and Professor Markus Krajewski. I feel deeply honored by having received such thorough and encouraging feedback from this extraordinary jury. I would like to especially express my gratitude to Professor Krajewski who supported me and my research early on with an invitation to teach at his university. The many things I learned during my stay at the University of Erlangen

and while following Markus and his team in the midst of the TTIP debates would turn out to be deeply influential for my research and my visions of academia.

Another milestone for my way of thinking about international economic law and my academic development was the tremendous support of Professor Ulli Petersmann. I am incredibly thankful for all the opportunities he offered to me—to attend conferences together, to collaborate on publications and to co-teach a seminar.

I am also indebted to all the discussants and members of the EU External Relations working group and of the EUI Law in Action working group as well as the entire faculty of the EUI. Although all of them deserve special credit, I would like to particularly thank Malte Marwedel, Ilaria Vianello, Mireia Estrada, Professor Bruno de Witte and Professor Martin Scheinin for their time, support and invaluable comments.

I would also like to express my gratitude towards the DAAD for granting me the scholarship that made all these possible. Having enjoyed the true privilege of meeting and engaging with all those brilliant and enthusiastic minds at the EUI, I strongly believe in the need of maintaining such a space of intellectual freedom and the importance of keeping it accessible to people from all social and financial backgrounds.

It was at the European Center for Constitutional and Human Rights (ECCHR), where I first came into contact with the topic of my book. Working as an intern at this organization after law-school restored my confidence in the relevance of law for social change and taught me the importance of questioning all boundaries—whether made by law or by our minds. Until today, the network of contacts that I developed at the ECCHR, which by now includes many good friends, remains a great source of support, inspiration and encouragement.

The four-and-a-half years of research that is the basis for this book have also been years of tremendous challenges. I cannot thank my family enough for bearing with me throughout this time: My brother who has been my accomplice, my friend and an inspiration as long as I can remember—in our personal and political lives, both. It must have been one of the most meaningful moments in the last years, when you came over to Florence to accompany me during the last weeks of writing the PhD thesis. My parents, who taught me more than any book ever could—about tolerance, open-mindedness, solidarity, passion, standing up for your convictions and most importantly about how to enjoy life and every simple thing it has to offer. I will be eternally thankful for your unconditional support, for believing in me and my plans—how strange and unconventional they may have sounded sometimes—and for providing me with the greatest insurance of all: a place to which I could always return, where failures and achievements do not matter.

I would also like to thank my friends back home, Anna Blümel, Mara Johannsen, Lisa Lehmann, Sarah und Janina Stade, Annabell Ketels, Martin Götze, Fabian Kühne, Rico Albert, Liza Herberger and Pia Detjen for staying my closest friends, wherever I went, for all the things we have been through together, for all the great laughter, and for a treasure of memories. You might not know but you were with me at every difficult moment—standing behind me like an army that would always have my back. I would like to use this opportunity to say to Max Blümel what I unfortunately did not say before he passed away some years ago. Our conversations

and your encouragements have greatly influenced my choices throughout these years. Your courage, strength and self-respect made me humble.

Living and working within the community of the EUI has certainly been a rewarding and enriching experience, and I am truly grateful for having met so many courageous and critical minds, true visionaries, activists and great thinkers; for having experienced this environment, in which ideas are being discussed that might one day change the world and for the people I met here who—I have no doubt—have all the courage it takes to turn those ideas into reality. There is no way I can thank all those wonderful people I met at the EUI. Some, however, deserve special mentioning. Credits have to go to my writing group, Liam McHugh-Russell, Sofie Møller and Przem Palka, for their tireless efforts in making so many of my writings—from my application to the thesis—better by far (which goes especially to ‘Imr’), for the respect with which they approached any of my ideas and for always filling me with awe.

I am proud to have been part of the best football team at the EUI, the Ladybirds: Four years of trying and we made it. More than playing football, I learned that only giving 80% is sometimes enough—and always more fun.

I am very grateful for all those inspiring and incredibly kind people I shared a home with: Gabo Fachini, Nicolas Aragon aka Chaco and especially James. Thank you, James, for making the last and most writing-intensive year of my PhD another great one in Florence, with curries and coziness, with art and movies, and with your wisdoms and curiosity for all the things that move the world.

Among those wonderful people I met at the EUI are many I would now call my closest friends. Thank you Javo Habibi, Virginia Passalacqua, Lore Piccoli, Niels Selling, Joni Zaragoza-Cristiani, Jonas van Kalben, Johannes Jüde, Theodosia Stavroulaki, Aga Smolenska, Laura Borgese, Miriam Curci, Juan Masullo, Albert Arcarons, Quique Hernández and Maca Ares. Thank you for exploring Florence together, for passionate discussions about everything, for this wonderful mix of cultures, for the nights and days that matter, for your trust, support and love, and for all the things I learned from you. For being the salt of the earth.

All of this and so much more which I cannot put into words go to the incredible ladies I met during our first weeks in Florence and who became like a family to me: Anna Kat Fleischer, Mariana Mendes and Anna Kyriazi. Thank you for everything, really!

The day Pauli moved into my place must be one of the luckiest of my life. Thank you for being the most incredible friend one could wish for, for flying with me through the good times and carrying me through the tougher ones, for sharing your strength and your vulnerability, and for climbing those peaks together—in every sense of the word. If I managed to turn challenge into growth, I owe this to you.

Finally, I would like to thank my boyfriend, Ludvig, who I met during the first months at the EUI and who helped me to transition from the LL.M. to the PhD and with everything that came after. Thank you for being there and for staying—throughout all these turbulent times. Thank you for making me seeing things with different eyes. Thank you for walking with me on this professional and personal journey.

It was the best of times, it was the worst of times. It was an age of wisdom, it was an age of foolishness. Thank you all—it has been a great adventure.

Contents

1	Introduction: The EU Objective to Global Human Rights Protection and Becoming an Actor in International Investment Law	1
1.1	A Short Summary of the Findings	1
1.2	The Research Question	2
1.3	The Structure and the Methods	4
	References	8
Part I	The Legal Equipment: Competence, Constraints and Objectives	
2	EU Human Rights as a Framework for Foreign Policies	11
2.1	Human Rights as a General Objective and Principle	12
2.2	Applicable Human Rights Norms and Their Relation to the Human Rights Objective	15
2.2.1	Human Rights as International Law	17
2.2.2	The EU Charter of Fundamental Rights: Responsibility Beyond the Territorial Paradigm	29
2.2.3	Conclusion	38
2.3	Other Provisions Governing EU Investment Policy	39
2.3.1	Specific Objective of Investment Liberalization	39
2.3.2	Principle of Coherence	45
2.3.3	Other External Objectives and Principles	47
2.3.4	Other Constitutional Principles	49
2.3.5	General Horizontal Clauses	50
2.3.6	Conclusion	51
2.4	Policy Implications	52
2.4.1	Competence: The ‘Can’	52
2.4.2	Obligations and Constraints: The ‘Must’	67
2.5	Judicial Implications	75
2.5.1	Expansion of the Legal Protection of Individuals Outside the EU?	77

2.5.2	New Benchmarks for Material Legality?	80
2.5.3	Conclusion	84
2.6	Conclusion	85
	References	86
3	The International Investment Competence of the EU	93
3.1	Introduction	94
3.2	The Traditional Scope of International Investment Regulation . . .	96
3.3	The Common Commercial Policy: Exclusive Competence	97
3.3.1	Foreign Direct Investment, Portfolio and Other Forms of Investment	98
3.3.2	Market Access and Post Admission Protection	101
3.3.3	Limitation on Grounds of Art. 345 TFEU	105
3.4	Investment Powers Under Other Treaty Competences	107
3.4.1	Free Movement of Capital and Payments	107
3.4.2	Implied Exclusive Powers	109
3.4.3	Implied Shared Powers.	112
3.5	Derogations	113
3.6	Investor-State-Dispute Settlement	114
3.7	Termination of Member States' BITs	118
3.8	Summing Up: Mapping the Competences and Discussing the Options	119
3.9	The Remaining Role for Member States	121
3.10	Conclusion	124
	References	125

Part II The Context: Entering an Unjust Regime?

4	Tensions Between the International Investment Regime and Human Rights: A Regulatory Tilt?	131
4.1	Introduction	132
4.2	Overview of the Emergence of International Investment Law	132
4.3	Substantive International Investment Law: The Investor Privileges	142
4.3.1	Scope of Protection	143
4.3.2	Protection Against Direct and Indirect Expropriation	152
4.3.3	Fair and Equitable Treatment	161
4.3.4	Full Protection and Security	173
4.3.5	National Treatment	175
4.3.6	Umbrella Clause and the Stabilization Clause	176
4.3.7	Conclusion	182
4.4	International Investment Arbitration: Entry Barriers for Other Interests	182
4.4.1	Introduction	182
4.4.2	Procedural Exclusion of Third Parties	183

- 4.4.3 The Set-Up and Composition of ISDS Tribunals: Bias in the System 187
- 4.5 Conclusion 192
- References 193
- 5 The EU Approach to International Investment Agreements 199**
 - 5.1 Introduction 199
 - 5.2 Reformed Substantive Provisions 200
 - 5.2.1 Definition of Investor, Investment and Jurisdiction 200
 - 5.2.2 Protection Against Expropriation 205
 - 5.2.3 Fair and Equitable Treatment 208
 - 5.2.4 Full Protection and Security 213
 - 5.2.5 National Treatment 214
 - 5.2.6 The Umbrella Clause 214
 - 5.2.7 Non-Derogation and Non-Lowering of Standards 216
 - 5.2.8 Most-Favoured-Nation Standard 218
 - 5.2.9 Preamble 219
 - 5.2.10 Including an Expressed Right to Regulate 219
 - 5.2.11 Interpretative Declarations 222
 - 5.2.12 Exclusion of Direct Effect 223
 - 5.2.13 The Trade and Sustainable Development Chapter 224
 - 5.2.14 In Sum: The Place for Human Rights 226
 - 5.3 Reforms of the Arbitration System 227
 - 5.3.1 Third Party Participation 228
 - 5.3.2 The Reformed ISDS or the Investment Court System 229
 - 5.4 Conclusion 234
 - References 236

Part III Resolving the Failures, Utilizing the Possibilities: Two Proposals

- 6 Assessment and Participation Through Human Rights Impact Assessments 241**
 - 6.1 Introduction 241
 - 6.2 The Legal Framework 243
 - 6.2.1 The International Human Rights Approach to HRIA 243
 - 6.2.2 Other Relevant Constitutional Principles of EU Law 247
 - 6.2.3 Summarizing the Legal Framework for HRIA 261
 - 6.3 The EU Rules on Human Rights Impact Assessments 262
 - 6.3.1 The Design According to EU Soft Law 263
 - 6.3.2 Procedures 268
 - 6.3.3 Legal Consequences: Linking the Results to the Final Decision 272
 - 6.3.4 Conclusion on the Implementing Rules 274
 - 6.4 The Practice: Examples of Shortcomings and Potential 276
 - 6.4.1 Substantive Scope 277
 - 6.4.2 Inclusive Participation and Balanced Representation 278

6.4.3	Transparency and Independence	280
6.4.4	Conceptual Limitations as to the Legal Consequences, the Possibility to Influence and the Substantive Scope . . .	281
6.5	Conclusion	285
	References	286
7	Consultation and Participation Through Domestic Advisory Groups and Civil Society Meetings	289
7.1	Introduction	289
7.2	The Legal Framework	291
7.2.1	Duty to Assess the EU's Own Action	291
7.2.2	Duty to Promote: Realizing Human Rights Through Participation and Empowerment	298
7.2.3	Conclusion on the Parameters	306
7.3	Potential and Shortcomings of the Current Concept, Set Up and Practice	307
7.3.1	The Institutional Design	308
7.3.2	The Practice	311
7.4	Summary of the Criticism and Necessary Reforms	316
7.4.1	Conceptual Limitations and Substantive Scope	316
7.4.2	Independence	318
7.4.3	Guaranteeing Inclusiveness	318
7.4.4	Room for True Contestation.	319
7.4.5	Real Influence and the Lack of Any Institutionalized Response Mechanisms	319
7.5	Conclusion	320
	References	321
8	Concluding Remarks on the EU Obligation to Empower Neglected Interests and on Ways Forward to More Inclusive Global Economic Regulation	323
	References	329