

Springer Theses

Recognizing Outstanding Ph.D. Research

Aims and Scope

The series “Springer Theses” brings together a selection of the very best Ph.D. theses from around the world and across the physical sciences. Nominated and endorsed by two recognized specialists, each published volume has been selected for its scientific excellence and the high impact of its contents for the pertinent field of research. For greater accessibility to non-specialists, the published versions include an extended introduction, as well as a foreword by the student’s supervisor explaining the special relevance of the work for the field. As a whole, the series will provide a valuable resource both for newcomers to the research fields described, and for other scientists seeking detailed background information on special questions. Finally, it provides an accredited documentation of the valuable contributions made by today’s younger generation of scientists.

Theses are accepted into the series by invited nomination only and must fulfill all of the following criteria

- They must be written in good English.
- The topic should fall within the confines of Chemistry, Physics, Earth Sciences, Engineering and related interdisciplinary fields such as Materials, Nanoscience, Chemical Engineering, Complex Systems and Biophysics.
- The work reported in the thesis must represent a significant scientific advance.
- If the thesis includes previously published material, permission to reproduce this must be gained from the respective copyright holder.
- They must have been examined and passed during the 12 months prior to nomination.
- Each thesis should include a foreword by the supervisor outlining the significance of its content.
- The theses should have a clearly defined structure including an introduction accessible to scientists not expert in that particular field.

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/8790>

Hayder Al-Kashoash

Congestion Control for 6LoWPAN Wireless Sensor Networks: Toward the Internet of Things

Doctoral Thesis accepted by
the University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

 Springer

Author

Dr. Hayder Al-Kashoash
Technical Institute/Qurna
Southern Technical University
Basra, Iraq

Supervisor

Prof. Andrew Kemp
Electronic and Electrical Engineering School
The University of Leeds
Leeds, UK

ISSN 2190-5053

Springer Theses

ISBN 978-3-030-17731-7

<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-17732-4>

ISSN 2190-5061 (electronic)

ISBN 978-3-030-17732-4 (eBook)

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2020

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Supervisor's Foreword

The internet of things (IoT) is rapidly becoming widely accepted and recognized as the communications paradigm of our time. This was not the case when this student was starting his Ph.D. studies but it has rapidly moved forward, at that stage wireless sensor networks (WSNs) were the dominant solution and this migrated to WSNs and 6LoWPAN networks. Indeed in this thesis, the IoT is largely considered to be a combination of WSNs and 6LoWPAN networks. The work contained in this thesis traces the work of a very gifted student who with small encouragement from his supervisor developed a thorough investigation into the control of congestion for IoT applications. Congestion is the overloading of traffic across networks and clearly needs to be avoided. As a result of congestion, routers in a network will find their input and their output buffers will become full and overflow leading to data loss. Fundamentally, it occurs when the aggregate data coming into a network exceeds the total data leaving the network. Methods to avoid congestion are not straightforward but 'boil down' to reducing the data entering the network or increasing the rate at which data leaves the network. In the TCP/IP suite, TCP itself deals with congestion control through managing the rate at which nodes transmit data into the network. TCP is not a ready solution for the IoT due to its heavy header overhead; something more lightweight is needed. The lightweight solution is fundamentally UDP but this does not have any provision for congestion control. Consequently, there is a real need in the rapidly expanding IoT for the provision of congestion control. In this thesis, Hayder examines the problem of congestion, how it can be detected, what action can be taken to avoid it and offers suggestions of how best to alleviate it. He details the networks he is dealing with and the propagation mechanisms which will lead to congestion. This work uses the simulation of networks to explore the actions which can be taken and the anticipated results of those actions. He also uses real network experiments to validate his simulation work. In conclusion, I feel that by working through this thesis the reader have their understanding of networking, and of congestion very greatly enhanced.

Leeds, UK

Prof. Andrew Kemp

Abstract

The internet of things (IoT) is the next big challenge for the research community. The IPv6 over low-power wireless personal area network (6LoWPAN) protocol stack is considered a key part of the IoT. Due to power, bandwidth, memory, and processing resources limitation, heavy network traffic in 6LoWPAN networks causes congestion which significantly degrades network performance and impacts on the quality of service (QoS) aspects. This thesis addresses the congestion control issue in 6LoWPAN networks. In addition, the related literature is examined to define the set of current issues and to define the set of objectives based upon this.

An analytical model of congestion for 6LoWPAN networks is proposed using Markov chain and queuing theory. The derived model calculates the buffer-loss probability and the number of received packets at the final destination in the presence of congestion. Simulation results show that the analytical modelling of congestion has a good agreement with simulation. Next, the impact of congestion on 6LoWPAN networks is explored through simulations and real experiments where an extensive analysis is carried out with different scenarios and parameters. Analysis results show that when congestion occurs, the majority of packets are lost due to buffer overflow as compared to channel loss. Therefore, it is important to consider buffer occupancy in protocol design to improve network performance.

Based on the analysis concluded, a new IPv6 routing protocol for low-power and lossy network (RPL) routing metric called buffer occupancy is proposed that reduces the number of lost packets due to buffer overflow when congestion occurs. Also, a new RPL objective function called congestion-aware objective function (CA-OF) is presented. The proposed objective function works efficiently and improves the network performance by selecting less congested paths. However, sometimes the non-congested paths are not available and adapting the sending rates of source nodes is important to mitigate the congestion.

Accordingly, the congestion problem is formulated as a non-cooperative game framework where the nodes (players) behave uncooperatively and demand high data rate in a selfish way. Based on this framework, a novel and simple congestion control mechanism called game theory based congestion control framework (GTCCF) is proposed to adopt the sending rates of nodes and therefore, congestion

can be solved. The existence and uniqueness of Nash equilibrium in the designed game are proved and the optimal game solution is computed by using Lagrange multipliers and Karush–Kuhn–Tucker (KKT) conditions. GTCCF is aware of node priorities and application priorities to support the IoT application requirements. On the other hand, combining and utilizing the resource control strategy (i.e. finding non-congested paths) and the traffic control strategy (i.e. adapting sending rate of nodes) into a hybrid scheme is important to efficiently utilize the network resources. Based on this, a novel congestion control algorithm called optimization-based hybrid congestion alleviation (OHCA) is proposed. The proposed algorithm combines traffic control and resource control strategies into a hybrid solution by using the network utility maximization (NUM) framework and a multi-attribute optimization methodology, respectively. Also, the proposed algorithm is aware of node priorities and application priorities to support the IoT application requirements.

Declaration

The candidate confirms that the work submitted is his own, except where work which has formed part of jointly authored publications has been included. The contribution of the candidate and the other authors to this work has been explicitly indicated below. The candidate confirms that appropriate credit has been given within the thesis, where reference has been made to the work of others. Most materials contained in the chapters of this thesis have been previously published in research articles written by the author of this work (Hayder Ahmed Abdulmohsin Al-Kashoash), who appears as lead (first) author in all of them. The research has been supervised and guided by Prof. Andrew Kemp, and he appears as a co-author on these articles. All the materials included in this document is of the author's entire intellectual ownership.

A. Details of the publications which have been used (e.g. titles, journals, dates, names of authors):

In Chapter 2:

'Congestion Control for Wireless Sensor and 6LoWPAN Networks: Toward the Internet of Things', *Wireless Networks Journal*, Springer, Published. Co-authors: Harith Kharrufa, Yaarob Al-Nidawi and Andrew Kemp.

In Chapter 3:

'Congestion Analysis for Low Power and Lossy Networks', *IEEE 2016 Wireless Telecommunications Symposium (WTS)*, Published. Co-authors: Yaarob Al-Nidawi and Andrew Kemp. (DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/WTS.2016.7482027>).

'Analytical Modelling of Congestion for 6LoWPAN Networks', *Elsevier ICT Express Journal*, Published. Co-authors: Fadoua Hassen, Harith Kharrufa and Andrew Kemp.

In Chapter 4:

‘Congestion-Aware RPL for 6LoWPAN Networks’, *IEEE 2016 Wireless Telecommunications Symposium (WTS)*, Published. Co-authors: Yaarob Al-Nidawi and Andrew Kemp. (DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/WTS.2016.7482026>). “*Best Student Paper Award*”.

In Chapter 5:

‘Congestion Control for 6LoWPAN Networks: A Game Theoretic Framework’, *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, Published. Co-authors: Maryam Hafeez and Andrew Kemp. (DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/JIOT.2017.2666269>).

In Chapter 6:

‘Optimization Based Hybrid Congestion Alleviation for 6LoWPAN Networks’, *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, Published. Co-authors: Hayder Amer, Lyudmila Mihaylova and Andrew Kemp.

B. Details of the work contained within these publications which is directly attributable to Hayder A. A. Al-Kashoash:

With the exceptions detailed in section C, the published work is entirely attributable to Hayder A. A. Al-Kashoash: the literature review necessary to construct and originate the ideas behind the published manuscripts, the novel ideas presented in the papers, the implementation of congestion analysis and the proposed algorithms used in the Contiki OS and all the work necessary in the editing process of the manuscripts.

C. Details of the contributions of other authors to the work:

Prof. Andrew Kemp is the co-author for all the publications listed above. These publications have been written under his supervision, benefiting from excellent technical advice and editorial, patient guidance and valuable feedback.

Yaarob Al-Nidawi and Maryam Hafeez contributed with recommendations about how to efficiently structure papers and how to emphasize the originality of the work and to make it more accessible to the reader.

Harith Kharrufa, Fadoua Hassen, Hayder Amer and Lyudmila Mihaylova performed proofreading to the final drafts of the papers to ensure the solidity of the papers.

This copy has been supplied on the understanding that it is copyright material and that no quotation from the thesis may be published without proper acknowledgement.

The right of Hayder A. A. Al-Kashoash to be identified as Author of this work has been asserted by him in accordance with the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

©2017 The University of Leeds and Hayder A. A. Al-Kashoash

Acknowledgements

First, I would like to express my sincere appreciation and thanks to my supervisor Prof. Andrew Kemp for his guidance, patience, motivation, continues support and immense knowledge. Your guidance helped me in all the time of research and writing of this thesis. I would like to thank you for encouraging my research and for allowing me to grow as a researcher.

A special thanks to my caring father and loving mother. Words cannot express how grateful I am to you. Your prayers for me was what sustained me this far. It was really difficult for me to be away from you all these years, but you were always beating inside my heart. Whatever I am now is because of you. Thank you from the heart.

I am thankful to my wife for her love, patience and support that have always been my strength. You were always been here with me. Also I am thankful for my sons, you brought the joy to my life and I have found my smile with you in all difficult times throughout this Ph.D. Also, I would like to express my deepest gratitude for all my family members for their love and prayers. Thank you all for being a part of my life.

I also thank the Higher Committee for Education Development/Iraqi Prime Minister Office and Technical Institute/Qurna, Southern Technical University, Basra, Iraq for their valuable support. Without their precious help, it would not be possible to conduct this research.

For all my friends in the school, thank you all for your valuable support and I was really lucky to work with wonderful friends like you.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	6LoWPAN Protocol Stack	3
1.2.1	Application Layer	3
1.2.2	Transport Layer	4
1.2.3	Network Layer	5
1.2.4	Adaptation Layer	9
1.2.5	MAC and Physical Layers	9
1.3	Research Contributions	10
1.4	Thesis Outline	13
1.5	List of Publications	14
	References	15
2	Background and Literature Review	17
2.1	Introduction	17
2.2	Mathematical Background	18
2.2.1	Game Theory	18
2.2.2	Multi-attribute Decision-Making	19
2.2.3	Network Utility Maximization	20
2.3	Congestion in WSNs and 6LoWPANs	20
2.4	Performance Evaluation Metrics	24
2.5	Operating Systems and Simulators for WSNs and 6LoWPANs	25
2.6	Congestion Control Algorithms for WSNs	29
2.6.1	Traffic Control Algorithms	29
2.6.2	Resource Control Algorithms	37
2.6.3	Hybrid Schemes	41
2.7	Congestion Control Algorithms for 6LoWPAN Networks	44
2.7.1	Traffic Control Algorithms	44
2.7.2	Resource Control Algorithms	50

2.8	Discussion and Future Direction	54
2.9	Conclusion	56
	References	57
3	Comprehensive Congestion Analysis for 6LoWPANs	63
3.1	Introduction	63
3.2	Analytical Modelling of Congestion for 6LoWPAN	63
3.2.1	System Model	64
3.2.2	Buffer Loss Probability	66
3.2.3	Channel Loss Probability	68
3.2.4	Contiki-Based IEEE 802.15.4 Effective Channel Capacity	69
3.2.5	Simulation Results	73
3.3	Simulation-Based Congestion Analysis for 6LoWPAN	76
3.3.1	Without Fragmentation	77
3.3.2	With Fragmentation	80
3.3.3	Discussion	85
3.4	Testbed-Based Congestion Analysis for 6LoWPAN	86
3.4.1	Without Fragmentation	87
3.4.2	With Fragmentation	88
3.5	Conclusion	92
	References	93
4	Congestion-Aware Routing Protocol for 6LoWPANs	95
4.1	Introduction	95
4.2	Objective Function Related Work	96
4.3	Congestion-Aware Objective Function Design	97
4.4	Performance Evaluation	99
4.5	Conclusion	106
	References	107
5	Game Theory Based Congestion Control Framework	109
5.1	Introduction	109
5.2	Game Theoretic Formulation	111
5.2.1	Network Setup and Problem Formulation	111
5.2.2	Game Solution Computation	116
5.2.3	Distribution of Node's Sending Rate Among Applications	117
5.3	Game Theory Framework Implementation	117
5.4	Performance Evaluation	118
5.4.1	DCCC6 and Griping Implementation	120
5.4.2	Sending Rate Adaptation Comparison	121
5.4.3	Scenario 1	122
5.4.4	Scenario 2	127

- 5.5 Conclusion 132
- References 132
- 6 Optimization-Based Hybrid Congestion Alleviation 135**
 - 6.1 Introduction 135
 - 6.2 Network Setup and Problem Formulation 137
 - 6.3 MADM-Based Resource Control 138
 - 6.3.1 Grey Relational Analysis Procedure 139
 - 6.3.2 Routing Metric Weights Calculation 140
 - 6.4 Optimization-Based Traffic Control 141
 - 6.4.1 Optimal Sending Rate Computation 142
 - 6.4.2 Allocation of Node’s Sending Rate Among Its Applications 143
 - 6.5 Hybrid Congestion Alleviation Algorithm Implementation 145
 - 6.6 Performance Evaluation 146
 - 6.6.1 Network Topology 147
 - 6.6.2 Throughput 148
 - 6.6.3 Throughput per Node 149
 - 6.6.4 Applications’ Sending Rate 150
 - 6.6.5 Weighted Fairness Index 150
 - 6.6.6 End-to-End Delay 151
 - 6.6.7 Energy Consumption 153
 - 6.6.8 Lost Packets 153
 - 6.7 Conclusion 154
 - References 155
- 7 Conclusion and Future Work 157**
 - 7.1 Conclusion 157
 - 7.2 Future Work 158

Abbreviations

6LoWPAN	IPv6 over Low-Power Wireless Personal Area Network
ACK	Acknowledgement
ACO	Ant Colony Optimization
AHP	Analytical Hierarchy Process
AIMD	Additive-Increase/Multiplicative-Decrease
AODV	Ad hoc On-Demand Distance Vector
BER	Bit Error Rate
BLE	Bluetooth Low Energy
BLIP	Berkeley Low-power IP stack
CCA	Clear Channel Assessment
CoAP	Constrained Application Protocol
CoRE	Constrained RESTfull Environments
CRC	Cyclic Redundancy Check
CSMA	Carrier-Sense Multiple Access
DAG	Directed Acyclic Graph
DAO	Destination Advertisement Object
DGRM	Directed Graph Radio Medium
DIO	DODAG Information Object
DIS	DODAG Information Solicitation
DODAG	Destination-Oriented DAG
DSDV	Destination-Sequenced Distance Vector
DYMO-low	Dynamic MANET On-Demand for 6LoWPAN
ESB	Embedded Sensor Board
ETX	Expected Transmission Count
FCS	Frame Check Sequence
FFD	Full-Function Device
GRA	Grey Relational Analysis
GTS	Guaranteed Time Slots
HiLow	Hierarchical Routing over 6LoWPAN
HTTP	Hypertext Transfer Protocol

IEEE	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
IETF	Internet Engineering Task Force
IoT	Internet of Things
IP	Internet Protocol
IPHC	Improved Header Compression
IS-IS	Intermediate System-to-Intermediate System
KKT	Karush–Kuhn–Tucker
LLN	Low-Power and Lossy Network
LOAD	6LoWPAN Ad hoc On-Demand Distance Vector
LPWAN	Low-Power Wide Area Network
LR-WPAN	Low-Rate Wireless Personal Area Network
LQI	Link Quality Indicator
MAC	Medium Access Control
MADM	Multiple Attribute Decision-Making
MANET	Mobile Ad Hoc NETWORK
MTU	Maximum Transmission Unit
Nam	Network animator
NED	NETwork Description
NesC	Network embedded system C
NFC	Near-Field Communication
NHC	Next Header Compression
NUM	Network Utility Maximization
OF	Objective Function
OF0	Objective Function zero
OLSR	Optimized Link State Routing Protocol
OS	Operating System
OSPF	Open Shortest Path First
PDR	Packet Delivery Ratio
PHY	PHYSical layer
POS	Personal Operating Space
QoD	Quality of Data
QoS	Quality of Service
RDC	Radio Duty Cycle
REST	REpresentational State Transfer
RFC	Request For Comment
RFD	Reduced-Function Device
RFID	Radio-Frequency Identification
RPL	IPv6 Routing Protocol for Low-Power and Lossy Networks
SAW	Simple Additive Weighting
SD	Standard Deviation
TCP	Transmission Control Protocol
TOPSIS	Technique for Order Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution
UDGM	Unit Disk Graph Medium
UDP	User Datagram Protocol
UGRM	Objective Function

VANET	Vehicular Ad hoc NETWORK
WBAN	Wireless Body Area Network
WLAN	Wireless Local Area Network
WSN	Wireless Sensor Network

Symbols

μ^I	Average departure rate of intermediate node I_l
μ^L	Average departure rate of leaf node L
B	Buffer size
BE	Backoff exponent of CSMA
CC_b	Channel Capacity in bit/s
CC_p	Channel Capacity in packet/s
I_l	Intermediate node
L_{inter}	Average number of lost packets/s at intermedaite node's buffer
L_k	Leaf node L_k
L_{leaf}	Average number of lost packets/s at leaf node's buffer
M	Number of leaf nodes
m	Maximum number of backoffs in CSMA
PL	Data packet length (bit)
n	Maximum number of retransmissions in CSMA
NB	Number of backoffs in CSMA
P_{arr}	Probability of packet arrival to node's buffer
$P_{buffer-loss}$	Probability of packet loss at node's buffer
P_{caf}^j	Probability of packet loss due to channel access failure at node j
$P_{ch-loss}^j$	Probability of channel loss for node j
$P_{coll,j}$	Probability that transmitted packet encounters collision at node j
$P_{dep.}$	Probability of packet departure from node's buffer
P_{mrl}^j	Probability of packet loss due to maximum number of retransmissions limit at node j
S	Sink node
T	Time step of Markov chain state transition
T_{ACK}	Time required to transmit ACK packet
T_{data}	Time required to transmit data packet
$T_{data,coll}$	Actual time required to transmit one data packet with collision
$T_{data,nocoll}$	Actual time required to transmit one data packet without collision
w_{etx}	Weight of ETX metric in CA-OF

w_{bo}	Weight of BO metric in CA-OF
$P_{cca,j}$	Probability that CCA is busy in node j
λ_k^I	Average arrival rate at intermediate node I_l from leaf node L_k
λ_{total}^I	Total arrival rates at intermediate node I_l from all leaf nodes
λ_k	Average data rate of leaf node L_k
λ_S	Average number of received packets at sink node S every second
p_k	Priority of leaf node L_k
N	Number of applications hosted in a leaf node
p_k^j	Priority of application j hosted in leaf node L_k
λ_k^{max}	Maximum sending rate of leaf node L_k
S_k	Strategy space of leaf node L_k
SS	Strategy space of all leaf nodes
Φ_k	Payoff function of leaf node L_k
λ_{-k}	Vector of sending rates of all leaf nodes except leaf node L_k
$U_k(\lambda_k)$	Utility function of leaf node L_k
$C_k(\lambda_k, \lambda_{-k})$	Congestion cost function of leaf node L_k
$P_k(\lambda_k; p_k)$	Priority cost function of leaf node L_k
λ_{out}	Forwarding rate of the parent node
λ_{in}	Incoming rate to the parent node
ω_k	Preference parameter of function $U_k(\lambda_k)$
α_k	Preference parameter of function $C_k(\lambda_k, \lambda_{-k})$
β_k	Preference parameter of function $P_k(\lambda_k; p_k)$
λ_k^*	Optimal sending rate (Nash Equilibrium) of leaf node L_k
s^*	Vector of optimal sending rates (Nash Equilibrium) of all leaf nodes
$H(s)$	Hessian matrix of payoff function $\Phi_k(s)$
\mathcal{L}_k	Lagrangian function of leaf node L_k
λ_k^j	Sending rate of application j hosted in leaf node L_k
θ_j	Weight of application j
I_{check}	Congestion check interval time
ψ	Smoothing factor
A	Set of candidate parents
R	Set of routing metrics
G	Weight vector
D	Decision matrix
a_i	Candidate parent i
r_j	Routing metric j
x_{ij}	Normalized value of routing metric r_j for parent a_i
$\gamma(x_{ij}, x_{0j})$	Grey relational coefficient
$\Gamma(a_i)$	Grey relational grade
L	Set of children nodes
N_l	Child node N_l
p_l	Priority of child node N_l
K	Set of hosted applications in child node N_l
app^k	Application k

p_l^k	Priority of application app^k hosted in node N_l
λ_l	Sending rate of child node N_l
$U_l(\lambda_l)$	Utility function of child node N_l
λ	Vector of sending rates of all children nodes
ϕ_l	Weight of $U_l(\lambda_l)$
λ_l^k	Sending rate of application app^k hosted in child node N_l
$U^k(\lambda_l^k)$	Utility function of application app^k hosted in child node N_l
ϕ^k	Weight of $U^k(\lambda_l^k)$

List of Figures

Fig. 1.1	6LoWPAN protocol stack.	3
Fig. 1.2	Abstract layering of CoAP [9]	4
Fig. 1.3	a Flow control problem. b Congestion control problem [10].	5
Fig. 1.4	Mesh-under versus route-over.	6
Fig. 1.5	DODAG construction process [19].	7
Fig. 1.6	Trickle algorithm	8
Fig. 1.7	General MAC frame structure [23].	10
Fig. 1.8	Thesis contributions	12
Fig. 2.1	Congestion control steps.	22
Fig. 2.2	Operating systems and simulators for WSN and 6LoWPAN networks.	26
Fig. 3.1	Network topology.	64
Fig. 3.2	Leaf and intermediate nodes model	65
Fig. 3.3	State transition diagram	66
Fig. 3.4	Packet transmission process in Contiki OS.	71
Fig. 3.5	TimeLine of six motes in Contiki OS (blue indicates transmitting, green indicates receiving and red indicates collision).	72
Fig. 3.6	Average number of dropped packets with different number of leaf nodes.	74
Fig. 3.7	Average number of dropped packets with different buffer sizes	75
Fig. 3.8	Average number of dropped packets with various offered loads.	75
Fig. 3.9	Average number of received packets at sink node	76
Fig. 3.10	Network 1, 2 and 3 topologies	78
Fig. 3.11	Packet loss [buffer size = 8 packets]	78
Fig. 3.12	Number of lost packets due to buffer overflow and wireless channel loss [buffer size = 8 packets]	79
Fig. 3.13	Packet loss [buffer size = 16 packets]	79

Fig. 3.14	Number of lost packets due to buffer overflow and wireless channel loss [buffer size = 16 packets]	80
Fig. 3.15	Packet loss with varying POS.	80
Fig. 3.16	Number of lost packets due to buffer overflow and wireless channel loss with varying POS.	81
Fig. 3.17	Number of received packets (when broken into two fragments) at sink in 5-node network.	82
Fig. 3.18	Number of received packets (when broken into two fragments) at sink in 15-node network.	82
Fig. 3.19	Number of received packets (when broken into two fragments) at sink in 25-node network.	83
Fig. 3.20	Number of received packets (when broken into four fragments) at sink in 5-node network.	83
Fig. 3.21	Number of received packets (when broken into four fragments) at sink in 15-node network.	84
Fig. 3.22	Number of received packets (when broken into four fragments) at sink in 25-node network.	84
Fig. 3.23	Real sensor nodes distribution in testbed experiments	86
Fig. 3.24	Packet loss [buffer size = 8 packets]	88
Fig. 3.25	Packet loss [buffer size = 16 packets]	88
Fig. 3.26	Number of received packets (when broken into two fragments) for indoor test.	89
Fig. 3.27	Number of received packets (when broken into two fragments) for outdoor test.	90
Fig. 3.28	Number of received packets (when broken into two fragments) for simulation test.	90
Fig. 3.29	Number of received packets (when broken into four fragments) for indoor	91
Fig. 3.30	Number of received packets (when broken into four fragments) for outdoor	91
Fig. 3.31	Number of received packets (when broken into four fragments) for simulation	92
Fig. 4.1	Network topology within congestion	98
Fig. 4.2	Total number of lost packets in network 1	100
Fig. 4.3	Network 1 throughput.	101
Fig. 4.4	Packet delivery Ratio in network 1.	101
Fig. 4.5	Tx and Rx energy consumption per successful packet in network 1	102
Fig. 4.6	Total number of lost packets in network 2	102
Fig. 4.7	Network 2 throughput.	103
Fig. 4.8	Packet delivery ratio in network 2	103
Fig. 4.9	Tx and Rx energy consumption per successful packet in network 2	104
Fig. 4.10	Total number of lost packets in network 3	104

Fig. 4.11 Network 3 throughput. 105

Fig. 4.12 Packet delivery ratio in network 3 105

Fig. 4.13 Tx and RX energy consumption per successful packet
in network 3 106

Fig. 5.1 RPL-based network topology 111

Fig. 5.2 Sending rate adaptation comparison 121

Fig. 5.3 Number of received packets/s from leaf nodes at sink 122

Fig. 5.4 Number of received packets/second at sink 123

Fig. 5.5 Applications' sending rate for GTCCF 124

Fig. 5.6 End-to-end delay. 125

Fig. 5.7 Energy consumption per successful packet. 125

Fig. 5.8 Number of lost packets. 126

Fig. 5.9 Weighted fairness index in scenario 1 126

Fig. 5.10 Number of received packets/s from leaf nodes at sink 128

Fig. 5.11 Number of received packets/second at sink 129

Fig. 5.12 Applications' sending rate for GTCCF 129

Fig. 5.13 End-to-end delay. 130

Fig. 5.14 Energy consumption per successful packet. 130

Fig. 5.15 Number of lost packets. 131

Fig. 5.16 Weighted fairness index in scenario 2 131

Fig. 6.1 Network topology based on RPL 138

Fig. 6.2 Node model 144

Fig. 6.3 Network topology in scenario 1 (left) DCCC6 (right)
OHCA and QU-RPL. 146

Fig. 6.4 Throughput. 148

Fig. 6.5 Received packets/s from nodes in scenario 1 149

Fig. 6.6 Received packets/s from nodes in scenario 2 150

Fig. 6.7 Applications' rate of OHCA in scenario 1 151

Fig. 6.8 Applications' rate of OHCA in scenario 2 151

Fig. 6.9 Weighted fairness index 152

Fig. 6.10 End-to-end delay. 152

Fig. 6.11 Energy consumption per successful packet. 153

Fig. 6.12 Number of lost packets. 154

List of Tables

Table 1.1	IEEE 802.15.4 frequency bands and data rates [23].	10
Table 2.1	Simulation parameters	29
Table 2.2	Traffic control algorithms in WSNs	30
Table 2.3	Resource control algorithms in WSNs	38
Table 2.4	Hybrid algorithms in WSNs.	42
Table 2.5	Traffic and resource control algorithms in 6LoWPAN networks	45
Table 2.6	Pros and cons of congestion control algorithms in 6LoWPANs	47
Table 3.1	Protocol stack and simulation parameters.	74
Table 3.2	Protocol stack	77
Table 4.1	Protocol stack	100
Table 4.2	CA-OF performance compared with others	106
Table 5.1	Protocol stack and simulation parameters.	120
Table 5.2	Algorithms performance summarization in scenario 1	127
Table 5.3	Algorithms performance summarization in scenario 2	131
Table 6.1	Protocol stack and simulation parameters.	147