

Lecture Notes
in Control and Information Sciences 394

Editors: M. Thoma, F. Allgöwer, M. Morari

Goele Pipeleers, Bram Demeulenaere,
Jan Swevers

Optimal Linear Controller Design for Periodic Inputs

Series Advisory Board

P. Fleming, P. Kokotovic,
A.B. Kurzhanski, H. Kwakernaak,
A. Rantzer, J.N. Tsitsiklis

Authors

Dr. Goele Pipeleers

Katholieke Universiteit Leuven
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Division PMA
Celestijnenlaan 300B
B-3001 Heverlee
Belgium
E-mail: goele.pipeleers@mech.kuleuven.be

Prof. Jan Swevers

Katholieke Universiteit Leuven
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Division PMA
Celestijnenlaan 300B
B-3001 Heverlee
Belgium
E-mail: jan.swevers@mech.kuleuven.be

Dr. Bram Demeulenaere

Atlas Copco Airpower NV
Airtec Division
Boomsesteenweg 957
B-2610 Wilrijk
Belgium
E-mail: bram.demeulenaere@be.atlascopco.com

ISBN 978-1-84882-974-9

e-ISBN 978-1-84882-975-6

DOI 10.1007/978-1-84882-975-6

Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences ISSN 0170-8643

Library of Congress Control Number: 2009937153

©2009 Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg

Intel® Core™2 Duo are trademarks or registered trademarks of Intel Corporation in the U.S. and other countries. <http://www.intel.com>

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilm or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Violations are liable for prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

Typeset & Cover Design: Scientific Publishing Services Pvt. Ltd., Chennai, India.

Printed in acid-free paper

5 4 3 2 1 0

springer.com

Preface

Periodic reference and disturbance signals are widespread in engineering practice, as every rotating machine and repeated process involves periodicity. Exploiting the periodic input characteristics in the controller design is indispensable to meet tight performance demands in spite of measurement noise, model inaccuracies. . .

This monograph proposes a general design methodology for linear controllers facing periodic inputs, which applies to all controller types reported in the literature. The proposed design methodology is able to reproduce and outperform major current design approaches, where this superior performance stems from the following properties: (i) uncertainty on the input period is explicitly accounted for; (ii) periodic performance is traded-off against conflicting design objectives; and (iii) the controller design is translated into a convex optimization problem, guaranteeing the efficient computation of its global optimum. Apart from extensive numerical evaluation, the potential of the design methodology is experimentally illustrated on an active air bearing setup.

This monograph is the result of four years of PhD research at the Division of Production Engineering, Machine Design & Automation (PMA), Department of Mechanical Engineering, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium. I am grateful to all people who contributed to this work. I would like to give a special word of thanks to my supervisors Jan Swevers and Bram Demeulenaere for offering me support and guidance, while at the same time giving me the freedom to choose my research niche. I wish to acknowledge Z. Liu and Prof. L. Vandenberghe (UCLA, Electrical Engineering Department) for their kind assistance and pertinent comments concerning the numerical solution of the SDPs involved. Also many thanks to the Research Foundation–Flanders (FWO–Vlaanderen) for providing a fellowship for this research. Last but not least, I am indebted to my parents who always encouraged and supported me.

Leuven, Belgium,
August 2009

Goele Pipeleers

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Motivation	1
1.1.1	Periodic Inputs Deserve Special Attention	1
1.1.2	Linear Control for Periodic Inputs	1
1.1.3	Problem Statement	3
1.2	Contribution	3
1.3	Outline	4
2	Design Methodology for Controllers Facing Periodic Inputs	5
2.1	Introduction	5
2.2	Control Problem Formulation	6
2.2.1	General Control Configuration	6
2.2.2	Periodic Input	8
2.3	Optimal Controller Design	9
2.3.1	Formal Multi-objective Control Problem	9
2.3.2	Periodic Performance Index	10
2.3.3	Control Problem of Particular Interest	12
2.4	Youla Parametrization	14
2.4.1	Parametrization of $H(z)$	14
2.4.2	Parametrization of $X(z)$	16
2.5	Numerical Solution of Optimal Controller Design	18
2.5.1	Gridding	19
2.5.2	KYP and Generalized KYP Lemma	19
2.6	Note on Continuous-time and MIMO Control	21
2.7	Conclusion	21
3	Application to Feedforward Control	23
3.1	Introduction	23
3.1.1	State of the Art	23

3.1.2	Contribution	24
3.1.3	Outline	25
3.2	Background	25
3.2.1	Control Configuration	25
3.2.2	Current Design Approach	27
3.3	Application of the Design Methodology	28
3.3.1	General Control Configuration	28
3.3.2	Youla Parametrization	29
3.3.3	Optimal Design	30
3.3.4	Optimal Robust Design for Plant Uncertainty	31
3.4	Numerical Results	32
3.4.1	Simulation Example	33
3.4.2	Optimal Design	36
3.4.3	Optimal Robust Design for Plant Uncertainty	38
3.5	Conclusion	42
4	Application to Estimated Disturbance Feedback Control	43
4.1	Introduction	43
4.1.1	State of the Art	43
4.1.2	Contribution	44
4.1.3	Outline	45
4.2	Background	45
4.2.1	Control Configuration	45
4.2.2	Current Design Approach	47
4.3	Application of the Design Methodology	47
4.3.1	General Control Configuration	47
4.3.2	Youla Parametrization	48
4.3.3	Optimal Design	50
4.3.4	Optimal Robust Design for Plant Uncertainty	51
4.4	Numerical Results	53
4.4.1	Feedforward Versus Disturbance Feedback Control	54
4.4.2	Disturbance Feedback Controller	56
4.5	Conclusion	59
5	Application to Repetitive Control	61
5.1	Introduction	61
5.1.1	State of the Art	61
5.1.2	Contribution	62
5.1.3	Outline	63
5.2	Background	63
5.2.1	Control Configuration	63
5.2.2	Current Design Approaches	67
5.3	Application of the Design Methodology	70
5.3.1	Optimal Design	70
5.4	Numerical Results	72

5.4.1	Trade-off $\gamma_p - \gamma_{np}$ for Fixed μ	72
5.4.2	Trade-off $\gamma_p - \gamma_{np} - \mu$	74
5.4.3	Comparison with the Literature	79
5.5	Conclusion	82
6	Application to Feedback Control	83
6.1	Introduction	83
6.1.1	State of the Art	83
6.1.2	Contribution	84
6.1.3	Outline	85
6.2	Background	85
6.2.1	Control Configuration	86
6.2.2	Current Design Approaches	88
6.3	Relation with Alternative Controller Structures	90
6.3.1	Relation with Typical Repetitive Control	90
6.3.2	Relation with Estimated Disturbance Feedback Control ...	92
6.4	Application of the Design Methodology	93
6.4.1	General Control Configuration	94
6.4.2	Youla Parametrization	96
6.4.3	Optimal Design	98
6.5	Numerical Results	98
6.5.1	Generalized Versus Typical Repetitive Control	99
6.5.2	Trade-off $\gamma_p - \gamma_{np} - M$	104
6.5.3	Comparison with the Literature	110
6.6	Conclusion	118
7	Experimental Validation on an Active Air Bearing Setup	119
7.1	Introduction	119
7.2	Experimental Setup	120
7.2.1	Description	120
7.2.2	Control Configuration	122
7.2.3	Identification	123
7.3	Repetitive Controller Design	127
7.3.1	Repetitive Controller Design Strategy	127
7.3.2	Selected Repetitive Controllers	130
7.4	Experimental Results	131
7.4.1	Transient Response (1200 rpm)	132
7.4.2	Steady-state Response (1200 rpm)	134
7.4.3	Adaptive Response (900-1200 rpm)	137
7.5	Conclusion	140
8	Conclusions	141

A	Semi-definite Programming Reformulation of Optimal Controller Design	143
A.1	Introduction	143
A.2	Application of the (Generalized) KYP Lemma	144
A.2.1	KYP Lemma and Its Generalization	144
A.2.2	Primal SDP	145
A.2.3	Dual SDP	147
A.3	Eliminated Dual SDP	148
A.3.1	Elimination of Z_{np}	149
A.3.2	Elimination of $Z_{p,l}$	149
A.4	Low-rank Structured Primal SDP	150
A.4.1	Popov Functions	151
A.4.2	Reformulated Constraints	153
B	Introduction to Output Regulation	155
B.1	Control Problem Formulation	155
B.2	Internal Model Principle	157
B.3	Auxiliary Plant	158
B.4	Special Regulators	159
B.5	Extension to Approximate Regulation	159
C	Robust Controller Design Using the Structured Singular Value	161
C.1	Structured Singular Value	161
C.2	Robust Feedforward Controller Design	163
C.3	Robust Estimated Disturbance Feedback Controller Design	165
	References	167
	Index	177

Abbreviations

Sets

\mathbf{R}	real numbers
\mathbf{R}_n	real n -vectors
$\mathbf{R}_{n \times m}$	real $n \times m$ matrices
\mathbf{C}	complex numbers
\mathbf{C}_n	complex n -vectors
$\mathbf{C}_{n \times m}$	complex $n \times m$ matrices
\mathbf{S}_n	symmetric $n \times n$ matrices
\mathbf{H}_n	Hermitian $n \times n$ matrices

General Symbols

I_n	$n \times n$ identity matrix, where the subscript is omitted if the dimension is clear from the context
$0_{n \times m}$	$n \times m$ zero matrix, where the subscript is omitted if the dimension is clear from the context
X^T	transpose of matrix X
X^H	Hermitian (complex conjugate) transpose of matrix X
$\text{Tr}\{X\}$	trace of matrix X
\otimes	matrix Kronecker product
$ X $	cardinal number of finite set X
$\sigma_{\max}\{X\}$	largest singular value of matrix X
$\Re\{X\}$	real part of X
$\Im\{X\}$	imaginary part of X

Discrete-time, Linear Time-invariant Systems

f_s	sample frequency [Hz]
T_s	sample period [s]: $T_s = 1/f_s$
q	one-sample-advance operator
z	discrete-time Laplace variable
k	index labeling the sampled time instants kT_s
ω	frequency [rad/s]
$X(q)$	difference equation of system X
$X(z)$	transfer function (matrix) of system X
$X(\omega)$	FRF (matrix) of system X
$X_+(z)$	noninvertible part of SISO transfer function $X(z)$, comprising a delay equal to the relative degree of $X(z)$ and its nonminimum-phase zeros
$X_-(z)$	invertible part of SISO transfer function $X(z)$: $X(z) = X_+(z)X_-(z)$
$\ X(z)\ _\infty$	\mathcal{H}_∞ norm of system X

Control Configuration

$w(k)$	exogenous input
$u(k)$	control input
$v(k)$	regulated output
$y(k)$	measured output
$r(k)$	reference input
$d(k)$	disturbance input
$\eta(k)$	plant output
$e(k)$	tracking error: $e(k) = r(k) - \eta(k)$
G	plant
P	generalized plant
K	controller
H	closed-loop system
S	closed-loop sensitivity
T	closed-loop complementary sensitivity

Periodic Input

T_p	nominal value of the period [s]
f_p	nominal value of the fundamental frequency [Hz]: $f_p = 1/T_p$
ω_p	nominal value of the fundamental frequency [rad/s]: $\omega_p = 2\pi f_p$
δ	relative uncertainty on f_p and ω_p
$f_{p,\delta}$	potential values of the fundamental frequency [Hz]: $f_{p,\delta} = f_p(1 + \delta)$, where $ \delta \leq \delta$
$\omega_{p,\delta}$	potential values of the fundamental frequency [rad/s]: $\omega_{p,\delta} = 2\pi f_{p,\delta}$
l	index labeling the harmonics
\mathcal{L}	set of harmonics to be suppressed

$n_{\mathcal{L}}$	number of elements in \mathcal{L}
W_l	positive weight quantifying the relative importance of the l 'th harmonic in the periodic input
Ω_l	uncertainty interval on the l 'th harmonic frequency [rad/s]: $\Omega_l = [l\omega_p(1 - \delta), l\omega_p(1 + \delta)]$
Λ	signal generator of the periodic input, for nominal period T_p
n_{Λ}	order of Λ

Abbreviations

FIR	finite impulse response
FRF	frequency response function
KYP	Kalman-Yakubovich-Popov
LMI	linear matrix inequality
LTI	linear time-invariant
MIMO	multiple-input multiple-output
rms	root-mean-square
SDP	semi-definite programming problem
SISO	single-input single-output
SOCP	second-order cone problem