

# **Environmental Biotechnology for Waste Treatment**

# ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE RESEARCH

*Series Editor:*

Herbert S. Rosenkranz  
*Department of Environmental and Occupational Health  
Graduate School of Public Health  
University of Pittsburgh  
130 DeSoto Street  
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania*

*Founding Editor:*

Alexander Hollaender

---

*Recent Volumes in this Series*

Volume 34—ARCTIC AND ALPINE MYCOLOGY II

Edited by Gary A. Laursen, Joseph R. Ammirati, and  
Scott A. Redhead

Volume 35—ENVIRONMENTAL RADON

Edited by C. Richard Cothorn and James E. Smith, Jr.

Volume 36—SHORT-TERM BIOASSAYS IN THE ANALYSIS OF COMPLEX  
ENVIRONMENTAL MIXTURES V

Edited by Shahbeg S. Sandhu, David M. DeMarini, Marc J. Mass,  
Martha M. Moore, and Judy L. Mumford

Volume 37—HAZARDS, DECONTAMINATION, AND REPLACEMENT OF PCB:  
A Comprehensive Guide

Edited by Jean-Pierre Crine

Volume 38—*IN SITU* EVALUATION OF BIOLOGICAL HAZARDS OF  
ENVIRONMENTAL POLLUTANTS

Edited by Shahbeg S. Sandhu, William R. Lower, Frederick J. de Serres,  
William A. Suk, and Raymond R. Tice

Volume 39—GENETIC TOXICOLOGY OF COMPLEX MIXTURES

Edited by Michael D. Waters, F. Bernard Daniel, Joellen Lewtas,  
Martha M. Moore, and Stephen Nesnow

Volume 40—NITROARENES: Occurrence, Metabolism, and Biological Impact

Edited by Paul C. Howard, Stephen S. Hecht, and Frederick A. Beland

Volume 41—ENVIRONMENTAL BIOTECHNOLOGY FOR WASTE TREATMENT

Edited by Gary S. Saylor, Robert Fox, and James W. Blackburn

---

A Continuation Order Plan is available for this series. A continuation order will bring delivery of each new volume immediately upon publication. Volumes are billed only upon actual shipment. For further information please contact the publisher.

# **Environmental Biotechnology for Waste Treatment**

**Edited by**

**Gary S. Saylor**

*Center for Environmental Biotechnology  
Knoxville, Tennessee*

**Robert Fox**

*International Technology Corporation  
Knoxville, Tennessee*

**and**

**James W. Blackburn**

*Center for Environmental Biotechnology  
Knoxville, Tennessee*

**Plenum Press • New York and London**

---

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Symposium on Environmental Biotechnology: Moving from the Flask to the Field  
(1990: Knoxville, Tenn.)

Environmental biotechnology for waste treatment / edited by Gary S. Saylor,  
Robert Fox, and James W. Blackburn.

p. cm. — (Environmental science research: v. 41)

“Proceedings of the Symposium on Environmental Biotechnology: Moving from  
the Flask to the Field, held October 17–19, 1990, in Knoxville, Tennessee”—T.p.  
verso.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

I. Environmental biotechnology—Congresses. I. Saylor, Gary S., 1949- . II.  
Fox, Robert. III. Blackburn, James W. IV. Title. V. Series.

TD192.5.S96 1990

91-10620

628—dc20

CIP

---

Proceedings of the symposium on Environmental Biotechnology:  
Moving from the Flask to the Field, held October 17–19, 1990,  
in Knoxville, Tennessee

ISBN-13: 978-1-4684-5957-9

e-ISBN-13: 978-1-4684-5955-5

DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4684-5955-5

© 1991 Plenum Press, New York

Softcover reprint of the hardcover 1st edition 1991

A Division of Plenum Publishing Corporation

233 Spring Street, New York, N.Y. 10013

All rights reserved

No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted  
in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming,  
recording, or otherwise, without written permission from the Publisher

## Preface

The use of biotechnical processes in control of environmental pollution and in hazardous waste treatment is viewed as an advantageous alternative or adduct to physical chemical treatment technologies. Yet, the development and implementation of both conventional and advanced biotechnologies in predictable and efficacious field applications suffer from numerous technical, regulatory, and societal uncertainties.

With the application of modern molecular biology and genetic engineering, there is clear potential for biotechnical developments that will lead to breakthroughs in controlled and optimized hazardous waste treatment for *in situ* and unit process use. There is, however, great concern that the development of these technologies may be needlessly hindered in their applications and that the fundamental research base may not be able to sustain continued technology development.

Some of these issues have been discussed in a fragmented fashion within the research and development community. A basic research agenda has been established to promote a sustainable cross-disciplinary technology base. This agenda includes developing new and improved strains for biodegradation, improving bioanalytical methods to measure strain and biodegradation performance, and providing an integrated environmental and reactor systems analysis approach for process control and optimization.

There remains an identified need to promote cross-disciplinary communication of technology development and application, and to identify choke points that impinge on the effective commercial application of the technology. For these reasons, industrial, federal, and academic partners joined together to sponsor this current dialogue on moving modern environmental biotechnology from the laboratory to successful field application. Unlike other efforts to communicate the technology, this symposium was planned to not only identify current practices and state-of-the-science, but also to identify perceptual and regulatory issues that affect credible applications and evaluation of the technology. In this regard, we must acknowledge the concerned foresight of the sponsors of the symposium, International Technology Corporation; the American Cyanamid Company; the U.S. Air Force, Office of Scientific Research; the University of Tennessee, Waste Management Research and Education Institute; support from the Oak Ridge Waste Management Association; the planning and steering committee and the symposium participants.

A goal of the symposium was to communicate a broad view of environmental biotechnology ranging from conventional practices in biological waste treatment to genetic engineering perspectives in *in situ* treatment technology. From the beginning it was acknowledged that the biology was intimately linked to the environmental application and the engineering design in implementing the technology. This major scale-up consideration is the critical technical hurdle in moving the technology from the lab to practical field use. In this scale up, there are major limitations in monitoring and controlling biotechnical processes, and these limitations further confound societal and regulatory perception of the credibility of the technology.

The outcome of this symposium contributes to identifying applications of fundamental research in emerging technology and to defining industrial research needs. It is also anticipated that strategies will be forthcoming to overcome concerns of the safety and efficacy of the technology. There appear to be numerous opportunities for environmental biotechnology to contribute to integrated waste management, but care must be taken to demonstrate reliable technology in order to capitalize on these opportunities.

Gary S. Sayler

# Contents

## CURRENT PERCEPTIONS

Environmental Biotechnology: Perceptions, Reality, and Applications . . .	1
<i>Gary S. Saylor and Robert Fox</i>	
Media Images of Environmental Biotechnology: What Does the Public See? . . . . .	15
<i>Amy S. McCabe and Michael R. Fitzgerald</i>	
Perspectives on Bioremediation in the Gas Industry . . . . .	25
<i>David G. Linz, Edward F. Neuhauser, and Andrew C. Middleton</i>	
Considerations in the Selection of Environmental Biotechnology as Viable in Field-Scale Waste Treatment Applications . . . . .	37
<i>Patricia Taylor Woodyard</i>	
The Technical, Economic, and Regulatory Future for Bioremediation: An Industry Perspective . . . . .	47
<i>A. Keith Kaufman</i>	
Removing Impediments to the Use of Bioremediation and Other Innovative Technologies . . . . .	53
<i>Walter W. Kovalick, Jr.</i>	
Bioremediation Research Issues . . . . .	61
<i>John H. Skinner</i>	

## FIELD-SCALE CASE STUDIES

Evaluation of Bioremediation in a Coal-Coking Waste Lagoon . . . . .	71
<i>Maureen E. Leavitt, Duane A. Graves, and Craig A. Lang</i>	

Evaluation Process for the Selection of Bioremediation Technologies for <i>Exxon Valdez</i> Oil Spill . . . . .	85
<i>Edgar Berkey, Jessica M. Cogen, Val J. Kelmeckis, Lawrence T. McGeehan, and A. Thomas Merski</i>	
Full-Scale Bioremediation of Contaminated Soil and Water . . . . .	91
<i>Geoffrey C. Compeau, William D. Mahaffey, and Lori Patras</i>	
<b>TECHNICAL ISSUES AND CONCERNS IN IMPLEMENTATION</b>	
Feasibility and Other Considerations for Use of Bioremediation in Subsurface Areas . . . . .	111
<i>Karolyn L. Hardaway, Mark S. Katterjohn, Craig A. Lang, and Maureen E. Leavitt</i>	
Integration of Biotechnology to Waste Minimization Programs . . . . .	127
<i>Godfred E. Tong</i>	
Bioremediation of Explosives Contaminated Soils (Scientific Questions/Engineering Realities) . . . . .	137
<i>Craig A. Myler and Wayne Sisk</i>	
Practices, Potential, and Pitfalls in the Application of Biotechnology to Environmental Problems . . . . .	147
<i>Carol D. Litchfield</i>	
What is the $K_m$ of Disappearase? . . . . .	159
<i>Ronald Unterman</i>	
Use of Treatability Studies in Developing Remediation Strategies for Contaminated Soils . . . . .	163
<i>Michael J. McFarland, Ronald C. Sims, and James W. Blackburn</i>	
Biodegradation of Mixed Solvents by a Strain of <i>Pseudomonas</i> . . . . .	175
<i>J. C. Spain, C. A. Pettigrew, and B. E. Haigler</i>	
<b>NONTECHNICAL ISSUES AND CONCERNS IN IMPLEMENTATION</b>	
The Field Implementation of Bioremediation: An EPA Perspective . . . . .	185
<i>Fran V. Kremer and Walter W. Kovalick, Jr.</i>	



An Historical Perspective: Does Good Science or Good Press Generate Demand? . . . . .	191
<i>T. G. Zitrides</i>	
Ways to Identify and Obtain Rights to Technology from Federal Facilities . . . . .	197
<i>John C. Corey, Gerald J. Hooker, and Cindy K. Tew</i>	
An Overview of Current Attitudes on the Use of Biotreatment for Cleanup . . . . .	203
<i>William J. Lacy</i>	
What Are the Critical Issues Necessary to Win the Confidence of State Regulators? Views of a Project Manager on Bioremediation Sites . . . . .	211
<i>Frank R. Peduto</i>	
Federal Regulations: How They Impact Research and Commercialization of Biological Treatment . . . . .	217
<i>Sue Markland Day</i>	
 <b>INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES</b>	
Polluted Heterogeneous Environments: Macro-scale Fluxes, Micro-scale Mechanisms, and Molecular Scale Control . . . . .	233
<i>Geoffrey Hamer and Armin Heitzer</i>	
The Pilot Plant Testing of the Continuous Extraction of Radionuclides Using Immobilized Biomass . . . . .	249
<i>Marios Tsezos and Ronald G. L. McCready</i>	
Research and Development Programs for Biological Hazardous Waste Treatment in the Netherlands . . . . .	261
<i>Esther Soczo and Klaus Visscher</i>	
 <b>SUMMARY</b>	
Environmental Biotechnology—From Flask to Field: A Review . . . . .	271
<i>Robert A. Goldstein, Al W. Bourquin, Thomas W. Federle, C. P. Leslie Grady, and William D. Mahaffey</i>	
Contributors . . . . .	279
Index . . . . .	283