

Introduction to Analytical Methods for Internal Combustion Engine Cam Mechanisms



A typical finger follower cam mechanism for a high performance engine

J. J. Williams

Introduction to Analytical Methods for Internal Combustion Engine Cam Mechanisms

J. J. Williams
Oxendon Software
Market Harborough
UK

ISBN 978-1-4471-4563-9 ISBN 978-1-4471-4564-6 (eBook)
DOI 10.1007/978-1-4471-4564-6
Springer London Heidelberg New York Dordrecht

Library of Congress Control Number: 2012946758

© Springer-Verlag London 2013

NASCAR is a trademark of NASCAR
Mercedes Benz is a trademark of Daimler AG
Penske is a trademark of Penske Racing, Inc.

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed. Exempted from this legal reservation are brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis or material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the Copyright Law of the Publisher's location, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Permissions for use may be obtained through RightsLink at the Copyright Clearance Center. Violations are liable to prosecution under the respective Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

While the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication, neither the authors nor the editors nor the publisher can accept any legal responsibility for any errors or omissions that may be made. The publisher makes no warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

To Wendy

Foreword

It is now over 40 years since the Cosworth DFV made its race winning debut in a Formula 1 event and surprisingly since then there has been a remarkable lack of good technical information relating to race engine design. It was, therefore, with great pleasure I received the first draft of this book that covers Jeff Williams's significant contribution to design and manufacture of race engine cam mechanisms.

During my career I have been very fortunate to have worked at Cosworth, Ferrari and Ilmor. I saw first-hand how the challenge of race engine design was approached at each of these successful companies.

The design-led approach at Cosworth was an inspiration. The clear thinking and directness of the late Keith Duckworth was unforgettable.

The development-led approach at Ferrari was in complete contrast. However, not until witnessing horse power increases that in a season were multiples of anything achieved at Cosworth did the value of a well-organised development activity become clear.

At Ilmor the leadership of the late Paul Morgan demonstrated very successfully an approach that was focused on gathering the input from all departments rather than biasing the activity towards any one of them. Few projects embodied this good working relationship between departments better than that of the F1 cylinder head design to incorporate a curved finger follower. All departments worked well together, design, simulation, metallurgy, rig test and manufacturing.

All strove to solve the not insignificant problems encountered on the way to the introduction of a very successful arrangement with measurable performance benefits. Such projects clearly require all departments to play their part, yet significant performance steps always seem to be preceded by one or more extraordinary contributions and for this project I can recall two. Finding a surface coating that allowed the steel finger follower to survive was one such contribution and the cam design software written by Jeff Williams the other.

The software, used by the designers, allowed them to quickly evaluate different arrangements viewing outputs such as pivot loads, entrainment velocity, pressure angles, cam profiles, etc., and allowed modification to inputs such as valve

accelerations, finger follower geometry, spring loads, etc. The huge number of equations and manipulations required for the presentation of this information as a useable design and manufacturing aid, is in itself a significant achievement. However, achieving it within the very tight time frame imposed by the engine run date, along with the incorporation of features peculiar to each designer's preference was in my view key to the project's success and a clear indication that good information is crucial and particularly welcome when it arrives. So to now have this and a wealth of other good information in this one excellent publication will, I am sure, see its widespread use as a teaching aid as well as a great reference for the experienced engine design or development engineer.

Stuart Grove
Chief Engine Designer F1 (1994–2005)
Ilmor Engineering Ltd

Preface

Not long after I graduated, I was involved in looking into a problem with one of the early nuclear power stations. What surprised me was that those who had designed it, together with those responsible for overseeing the commissioning, seemed to have very little relevant knowledge. Some years later I found that due to government policies, university teaching had ceased to provide attractive employment, and I would need to move back into industry. I remembered my experience with the power station, and sought a subject about which little seemed to be known to those involved. I found that automotive cam design and analysis seemed to be such a subject. I therefore studied the literature and then the current practice and managed to gain employment back in industry.

The literature did not address many of the problems which needed to be solved. It was helpful in presenting solutions to some problems, but many aspects were not covered. It was eventually decided that it would be worthwhile to try and produce new solutions from first principles. These were frequently based on diagrams which others had already produced in part or whole. Therefore the notation may, in places, have been copied from existing diagrams. Once a mechanism has been correctly represented by a diagram, and the relevant parameters have been identified, the analysis should follow fairly easily. Obtaining the correct diagram and establishing which quantities will be prescribed by the designer, and which need to be determined is an iterative process, which may not be apparent in the following chapters.

There are two schools of thought as to how design decisions should be made using digital computers. There are those who believe that the more complex the computer model is the better and having written a suitable program, they are more inclined to have implicit belief in the results. Unfortunately this has led to many engineering failures. The mathematical model will be no better than the accuracy of the boundary conditions, loads and material properties which are specified and frequently assumed to be linear. The results, which are obtained from computations involving complex computer models, are less likely to be questioned by the designer, because the software has been expensive to purchase or develop. Engineering judgement is needed and very often, nonlinear behaviour of components,

material or boundary conditions, or failure to create the mathematical model correctly can lead to misleading results, which may be assumed to be correct by inexperienced designers.

An alternative approach is to create a model which is simple and relies on a linear model and boundary conditions, which if they are approximate, or time and wear dependent are understood to be so and allowed for empirically by the designer. The nonlinear behaviour of pneumatic valve springs and some steel springs with unevenly wound coils can however be included with simple but approximate models. This method allows a design decision to be made relatively quickly and if a more complex model is subsequently needed, this can be used together with rig testing and prototype development. I am a believer in this approach which also requires judgment experience and thought from the designer, but I have been very fortunate in having worked with experienced, talented and knowledgeable designers.

The lack of knowledge of the relevant tribology and the best materials and surface coatings together with financial constraints has resulted in many failures in cams and followers. The latter can fail due to excessive wear on surfaces which involve interaction between cam and follower, and the heel of finger followers and the valve stem. The same problem can occur with rockers which can also lead to wear of the end of the valve stem.

The most commonly used heel is cylindrical as it is easy to machine. This leads to movement of the line of contact across the end of the valve stem together with a relative rotation by the follower heel. The movement across the valve stem causes a bending moment in the valve stem, and it is unlikely the combined motion will generate much of an oil film. The use of a suitable involute heel can ensure that a centrally positioned line of contact will remain central, which obviates the bending moment in the valve stem due to axial loads, but there is still relative motion between the two components due to follower rotation, and again there is little prospect of a substantial oil film being entrained. A good surface coating and finish, and a material which permits a hard substrate, are essential to ensure these poorly lubricated contact areas do not fail, due to avoidable wear.

The premature failure of some finger followers and the associated cams was explained by A. Dyson in a seminal paper, published in 1980. He showed that for some cam shapes and finger follower curvatures insufficient oil was entrained between the contacting surfaces and that this could be avoided by decreasing the follower radius. This has the effect of increasing the contact stress and to avoid surface pitting superior, but more expensive materials are required which have a higher yield stress. At this time this form of failure was prevalent in cars manufactured in large numbers by two multinational motor companies, and resulted in a large after-market in third party replacement camshafts and followers.

During the Second World War some cams and followers made for the Rolls Royce Merlin engine suffered from the same problem. This was not so much a problem in war time as many engines were destroyed due to enemy action. However these engines are still used in the Spitfire, Hurricane and Lancaster of the Battle of Britain flight, and in Spitfires, Hurricanes and P51 Mustangs used for

recreational flying, where this problem is often apparent. It would now be very easy to design new cams and followers with good oil entrainment. Other cam mechanisms can suffer from the same problem, but failures due to poor entrainment are less common than with finger followers. In this book the method for computing the entrainment velocity is given for all the cam mechanisms considered.

Algorithms are now available for computing the oil film thickness between cam and follower, but these require considerable knowledge of the many properties of the oil and these are frequently highly nonlinearly dependent on the temperature and pressure of the oil at and in the immediate vicinity of the line of contact. In the writer's opinion, for an initial design, ensuring good entrainment velocity should normally suffice.

The advent of the digital computer and related software and in particular the widespread use of personal computers which are relatively cheap and powerful now permits every designer to have his own machine. This has transformed methods of analysis, but there is always the problem that designers, who lack sufficient experience and knowledge will still produce poor designs which they consider acceptable because a computer has been used. This is in many ways similar and as equally dangerous as the belief that if something has been published in a book or a technical paper it is necessarily correct.

The completed analysis can be initially checked by writing a computer program and looking at the results. Most designers will have their own preferences and ideas on how they want the design data input, especially the units, which may not be those used for the calculations. For example, valve mass may be input in g, but the calculations will be easier if masses are in kg. There will also be preferences as to what is output both graphically and numerically and how the user interface is arranged. If the designer finds the program easy to use, fatigue and mistakes should be reduced. The final design of the user interface and establishing what the designer requires is often also an iterative process.

The engine designer has to consider many parts of the engine before finalising his design. A few years ago there was a V10 racing engine with a wide V-angle to achieve a lower centre of gravity. Unfortunately this engine suffered from vibration problems and the V-angle was eventually reduced by about 35 %. Although the valve timing was not known exactly, a good guess could be made, and as the V-angle was known, a calculation similar to those described in [Chap. 9](#) showed that there would be significant interaction between the camshaft torques, which was likely to induce torsional vibration. If designing a V engine the valve timing and the V-angle should be considered and the total camshaft torque checked, before designing the cylinder block.

There is no point in designing something, if it cannot be manufactured, or if it is unlikely to work reliably. Interaction with those involved in manufacturing, assembling and developing the engines can be very worthwhile, not only for the designer, but also for the analyst. Those on the shop floor may have problems whose solution result in improved design, performance or reliability. Their experience in their particular part of the whole production process is frequently considerable, and suggestions and criticism are often very valuable.

This text is intended as an introduction to a large subject, and where possible the mathematics used is elementary and should be familiar to most Engineers. Cubic Spline Interpolation and the Fast Fourier Transform do not need to be completely understood for the reader to use them, as the relevant algorithms are well documented. Some parts of the text, in particular, [Chap. 3](#), is not easy to read, and although the contents of [Chap. 10](#) is well documented elsewhere, this work has been included as some of the subject matter may be hard to access, as the best book on this subject is out of print.

I have been very fortunate in having had many colleagues, who have been talented, knowledgeable and helpful, and to whom I give sincere thanks for the help, advice and information they have given me. Amongst these were: H. Alten, K. P. Baglin, T. Brightwell, A. J. Cook, M. Dhaens, the late A. Dyson, S. B. Grove, M. J. Illien, G. Langham, the late P. J. Morgan, the late C. J. Morrison, H. Tsuda, and I. Watson.

However all errors and omissions in this book are mine.

Great Oxendon, November 2011

J. J. Williams

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Cam Mechanisms	1
1.1.1	Early Cam Design Methods	2
1.1.2	Modern Cam Design Methods	3
1.2	The Cam Lift Curve	4
1.2.1	The Opening Ramp	6
1.2.2	The Closing Ramp	6
1.2.3	Cam Lift and Dwell	7
1.3	Forces and Cam Tribology	7
1.4	Implementation	8
1.5	Cam Mechanisms for Automotive Applications	8
1.5.1	The Flat Translating Follower or Inverted Bucket	8
1.5.2	The Curved Translating Follower	9
1.5.3	The Curved Finger Follower	10
1.6	The Effect of Follower Radius on Cam Shape	11
1.7	Quantities Required by the Cam Designer	12
1.8	Elementary Concepts for Curvature	13
1.8.1	Notation	13
1.8.2	Curvature	13
1.9	Cam Torque	16
1.10	The Concept of Entrainment Velocity	16
1.10.1	Oil Entrainment for a Flat Translating Follower	18
1.10.2	Oil Entrainment for a Curved Finger Follower	19
1.11	Forces for Translating Followers	20
1.11.1	Notation	20
1.11.2	Contact Force	20
1.12	Contact Stresses	21
1.12.1	Notation	21
1.12.2	Contact Modulus	22
1.12.3	Effective Radius of Curvature	22

1.13	Kinetics of Plane Motion of a Rigid Body	23
1.13.1	Notation	23
1.14	Maximum Speed to Maintain Cam Contact for Translating Flat Follower	25
1.14.1	Notation	25
1.14.2	Calculation of Engine Speed for Zero Cam Force.	25
1.14.3	Spring Stiffness	26
1.15	Desmodromic Cam Mechanisms	28
1.16	A Note on Units	28
1.16.1	Units for Data Input	28
1.16.2	Units for Calculations	29
1.16.3	Units for Data Output	30
	References	30
2	Elementary Cam Lift Curve Synthesis	31
2.1	Introduction	31
2.2	An Elementary Cam Lift Curve	32
2.2.1	Notation	33
2.2.2	An Elementary Acceleration Curve	33
3	Low Jerk Cam Lift Curve	41
3.1	Introduction	41
3.2	A Low Jerk Cam Lift Curve	42
3.2.1	Notation	42
3.2.2	Fifth Order Polynomial	42
3.3	Integration of the Acceleration	45
3.4	Calculation of the Parameters A and F	59
3.4.1	Note: Minimisation of Maximum Valve Velocity	67
4	Flat Translating Follower	69
4.1	Introduction	69
4.2	Analytical Method	70
4.3	Notation	70
4.4	Cam Shape and Torque	71
4.5	Cam Curvature	72
4.6	Entrainment Velocity	73
4.7	Contact Force and Stress	74
4.8	Maximum Speed to Maintain Cam-Follower Contact	74
5	Curved Translating Radial Follower	75
5.1	Introduction	75
5.2	Notation	75
5.3	Pressure Angle	76
5.4	Cam Shape	77

5.5	Cam Curvature	77
5.6	Entrainment Velocity	79
5.7	Contact Force and Stress	80
5.8	Engine Speed for Zero Contact Force Between Cam and Follower	80
	Reference	81
6	Offset Curved Translating Follower	83
6.1	Introduction	83
6.2	Notation	83
6.3	Pressure Angle	84
6.4	Cam Shape	86
6.5	Cam Curvature	86
6.6	Entrainment Velocity	87
6.7	Contact Force and Stress	88
6.8	Engine Speed for Zero Contact Force	88
7	Curved Finger Follower	89
7.1	Introduction	89
7.2	Notation	89
7.3	Pressure Angle	90
	7.3.1 Correct Sign for Pressure Angle	92
7.4	Cam Shape	94
7.5	Cam Curvature	94
7.6	Entrainment Velocity	95
7.7	Kinematics for Curved Finger Follower.	96
	7.7.1 Notation	96
7.8	Kinetics for Curved Finger Follower.	99
	7.8.1 Notation	99
	7.8.2 Contact Stress	102
	7.8.3 Maximum Speed to Maintain Cam-Follower Contact for Curved Finger Follower	102
8	Asymmetric Cam Mechanisms	103
8.1	Introduction	103
8.2	Curved Finger Follower.	104
	8.2.1 Notation	104
	8.2.2 Transformation to Radially Translating Curved Follower.	105
8.3	Offset Translating Curved Follower	108
	8.3.1 Notation	108
	8.3.2 Transformation to Radially Translating Curved Follower.	109
8.4	Unequally Spaced Contact Points	112

8.5	Further Consequences of Asymmetry	113
8.5.1	Towards and Away	113
8.5.2	Alignment of the Cam Shape Data	115
	References	117
9	Camshaft Torques	119
9.1	Introduction	119
9.2	Valve Timing and the Addition of Torques	120
9.2.1	Inlet Cam Torque	120
9.2.2	Exhaust Cam Torque	121
9.2.3	Inlet Camshaft Torque	122
9.2.4	Exhaust Camshaft Torque	123
9.3	Combined Camshaft Torques for One Bank	124
9.3.1	Effect of Valve Timing on Torques for One Bank	125
9.4	Torques for Both Banks	126
9.4.1	Effect of Valve Timing and V-Angle on Torques for Both Banks	126
9.5	Choice of Orders for Pendulum Damper	127
9.5.1	One Bank	127
9.5.2	Both Banks	128
	References	130
10	Theory and Design of Pendulum Dampers	131
10.1	Introduction	131
10.2	Notation	132
10.3	An Introduction to Elementary Mechanical Vibration	133
10.3.1	Single Degree of Freedom System	133
10.3.2	The Dynamic Absorber	136
10.4	The Simple Pendulum	137
10.5	The Pendulum Damper	139
10.5.1	Pendulum Damper Dynamics	139
10.6	Practical Design	143
10.6.1	Damper Size	143
10.6.2	Need to Avoid Wear	144
10.7	Effect of Gearing on Damper Orders	145
	References	146
11	Push Rod Mechanisms	147
11.1	Introduction	147
11.2	Simple Push Rod Mechanism Kinematics	148
11.2.1	Notation	148
11.2.2	Rocker Kinematics	148
11.2.3	Push Rod Kinematics	149

11.3	Kinetics	153
11.3.1	Notation	153
11.3.2	Rocker Kinetics	154
11.3.3	Push Rod Kinetics	155
11.3.4	Follower Kinetics	156
11.4	Cam Shape and Other Parameters	157
11.5	Maximum Speed to Maintain Contact	157
11.6	Introduction to Four Bar Chain Push Rod Mechanism.	158
11.7	Kinematics of Four Bar Chain	159
11.7.1	Notation	159
11.7.2	Displacements	160
11.7.3	Velocities.	163
11.7.4	Accelerations	163
11.7.5	Push Rod Angular Displacement	164
11.7.6	Push Rod Angular Velocity	164
11.7.7	Push Rod Angular Acceleration	165
11.7.8	Cam Follower Angular Displacement	166
11.7.9	Cam Follower Angular Velocity.	166
11.8	Kinetics of Four Bar Chain Mechanism.	167
11.8.1	Notation	167
11.8.2	Kinetics of Rocker and Push Rod.	168
11.8.3	Kinetics of Cam and Follower	168
11.9	Maximum Speed to Maintain Contact	169
11.10	Pressure Angle, Cam Shape and Other Parameters	172
11.11	The Effects of Elastic Deformation.	172
	References	173
Appendix 1		175
Appendix 2		177
Appendix 3		179
Appendix 4		181
Appendix 5		185
Appendix 6		187
Appendix 7		189
Subject Index		193
Author Index		197