Springer Theses

Recognizing Outstanding Ph.D. Research

For further volumes:

<http://www.springer.com/series/8790>

Aims and Scope

The series “Springer Theses” brings together a selection of the very best Ph.D. theses from around the world and across the physical sciences. Nominated and endorsed by two recognized specialists, each published volume has been selected for its scientific excellence and the high impact of its contents for the pertinent field of research. For greater accessibility to non-specialists, the published versions include an extended introduction, as well as a foreword by the student’s supervisor explaining the special relevance of the work for the field. As a whole, the series will provide a valuable resource both for newcomers to the research fields described, and for other scientists seeking detailed background information on special questions. Finally, it provides an accredited documentation of the valuable contributions made by today’s younger generation of scientists.

Theses are accepted into the series by invited nomination only and must fulfill all of the following criteria

- They must be written in good English.
- The topic should fall within the confines of Chemistry, Physics and related interdisciplinary fields such as Materials, Nanoscience, Chemical Engineering, Complex Systems and Biophysics.
- The work reported in the thesis must represent a significant scientific advance.
- If the thesis includes previously published material, permission to reproduce this must be gained from the respective copyright holder.
- They must have been examined and passed during the 12 months prior to nomination.
- Each thesis should include a foreword by the supervisor outlining the significance of its content.
- The theses should have a clearly defined structure including an introduction accessible to scientists not expert in that particular field.

Xin Zhang

Multistate GTPase Control Co-translational Protein Targeting

Doctoral Thesis for Chemistry accepted by
California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA

Author

Dr. Xin Zhang
The Scripps Research Institute
North Torrey Pines Rd 10550
Mail Stop: BCC265, La Jolla
CA 92037
USA
e-mail: xinzhang@scripps.edu

Supervisor

Shu-ou Shan
Assistant Professor
Division of Chemistry and Chemical
Engineering
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, CA 91125
USA

ISSN 2190-5053

ISBN 978-1-4419-7807-3

DOI 10.1007/978-1-4419-7808-0

Springer New York Dordrecht Heidelberg London

e-ISSN 2190-5061

e-ISBN 978-1-4419-7808-0

© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2012

All rights reserved. This work may not be translated or copied in whole or in part without the written permission of the publisher (Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, 233 Spring Street, New York, NY 10013, USA), except for brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis. Use in connection with any form of information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed is forbidden.

The use in this publication of trade names, trademarks, service marks, and similar terms, even if they are not identified as such, is not to be taken as an expression of opinion as to whether or not they are subject to proprietary rights.

Cover design: eStudio Calamar, Berlin/Figueres

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

Contents

1	Introduction	1
	References	4
2	A Multistep Mechanism for Assembly of the SRP–SR Complex	5
2.1	Introduction	5
2.2	Results	7
2.2.1	A GTP-Independent Complex is Detected by the FRET Assay	7
2.2.2	The GTP-Independent Complex Represents a Transient Intermediate on the Pathway for Formation of the GTP-Stabilized Complex	11
2.2.3	Defects of Mutant 4.5S RNAs in Formation of the Early Intermediate Correlates with Defects in Accelerating SRP–SR Complex Formation	13
2.3	Discussion	17
2.4	Materials and Methods	24
2.4.1	Material	24
2.4.2	Fluorescence Labeling	24
2.4.3	Fluorescence Measurement	24
2.4.4	Translocation Assay	25
2.4.5	GTPase Assay	25
	References	26
3	Direct Visualization Reveals Dynamics of a Transient Intermediate During Protein Assembly	27
3.1	Introduction	27
3.2	Results and Discussion	28
3.3	Materials and Methods	39
3.3.1	Materials	39
3.3.2	RNC _{FtsQ} Purification	40

3.3.3	Fluorescence Labeling	41
3.3.4	Spin Labeling	41
3.3.5	Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR) Measurements	41
3.3.6	GTPase Assay	44
3.3.7	Steady-state Fluorescence Measurements	44
3.3.8	TR-FRET Measurements	45
3.3.9	Data Fitting and Analysis for TR-FRET Measurements	45
3.3.10	Docking	46
3.3.11	Brownian Dynamics	47
	References	47
4	Multiple Conformational Switches Control Co-translational Protein Targeting.	49
4.1	Introduction	49
4.2	Results	51
4.2.1	General Experimental Approach	51
4.2.2	Cargo Accelerates Assembly of a Stable SRP–SR Complex Over 100-Fold	53
4.2.3	Cargo Stabilizes the Early Intermediate by Two Orders of Magnitude	54
4.2.4	Cargo Stalls the SRP–SR Complex at Earlier Conformational Stages	55
4.3	Discussion	59
4.4	Materials and Methods	63
4.4.1	Materials	63
4.4.2	Fluorescence Labeling	64
4.4.3	Fluorescence Measurement	64
4.4.4	GTPase Assay	64
4.4.5	Preparation of 70S Ribosomes and RNCs	65
	References	66
5	Sequential Checkpoints Govern Substrate Selection During Co-translational Protein Targeting	67
5.1	Introduction	67
5.2	Results	69
5.3	Discussion	79
5.4	Materials and Methods	84
5.4.1	Materials	84
5.4.2	Signal Sequence Mutants	84
5.4.3	RNC and Ribosome Purification	84
5.4.4	SRP- and Ribosome-Free E. coli (-ffh) Total Cytoplasmic Extract	84

5.4.5	Fluorescence Labeling	85
5.4.6	Fluorescence Anisotropy Measurements	85
5.4.7	Fluorescence Measurements	86
5.4.8	Strategy to Isolate Individual Reaction Steps During Protein Targeting	86
5.4.9	Co-translational Protein Targeting and Translocation . . .	90
5.4.10	Numerical Analysis of Protein Targeting Efficiency . . .	91
5.5	Supplementary Text	91
5.5.1	A Sequential Model for Rejection of Incorrect Cargos by Additional Checkpoints in the SRP Pathway Following the Cargo-Binding Step.	91
5.5.2	E. coli Cytosolic Factors Do Not Compete With SRP for Binding the RNC	92
5.5.3	Additional Considerations of Substrate Selection by the SRP In Vivo	92
	References	94