

# Approximate Global Convergence and Adaptivity for Coefficient Inverse Problems



Larisa Beilina • Michael Victor Klibanov

# Approximate Global Convergence and Adaptivity for Coefficient Inverse Problems

 Springer

Larisa Beilina  
Department of Mathematical Sciences  
Chalmers University of Technology  
Gothenburg University  
Gothenburg, Sweden

Michael Victor Klibanov  
University of North Carolina  
Charlotte, North Carolina, USA

ISBN 978-1-4419-7804-2 e-ISBN 978-1-4419-7805-9  
DOI 10.1007/978-1-4419-7805-9  
Springer New York Dordrecht Heidelberg London

Library of Congress Control Number: 2012931927

© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2012

All rights reserved. This work may not be translated or copied in whole or in part without the written permission of the publisher (Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, 233 Spring Street, New York, NY 10013, USA), except for brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis. Use in connection with any form of information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed is forbidden.

The use in this publication of trade names, trademarks, service marks, and similar terms, even if they are not identified as such, is not to be taken as an expression of opinion as to whether or not they are subject to proprietary rights.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media ([www.springer.com](http://www.springer.com))

*To our parents Yefrosiniya, Vladimir, Ada,  
and Victor*



# Preface

This book focuses on two new ideas of the authors: approximate global convergence and adaptive finite element method (FEM) for coefficient inverse problems (CIPs) for a hyperbolic partial differential equation (PDE). The first chapter might be used as an introductory course to the theory of ill-posed problems. In addition, a number of uniqueness theorems for CIPs are proved in this chapter via the method of Carleman estimates. The book features many recipes for numerical implementations of developed algorithms. Those readers who would wish to focus on numerical studies, might skip the reading of the convergence analysis. Naturally, those recipes are accompanied by many numerical examples. These examples address both synthetic (computational) and experimental data.

Two types of experimental data are studied: the data collected in a laboratory and the data collected in the field by a forward-looking radar of the US Army Research laboratory (ARL); see [126] for the description of this radar. In both cases, the most challenging case of *blind* experimental data is considered. Results of numerical testing for both synthetic and experimental data are in a good agreement with the convergence analysis. Results for ARL data address a real world problem of imaging of explosives using the data of the forward-looking radar of ARL.

Suppose that the propagation of a signal through a medium of interest is governed by a PDE. Assume that one wants to calculate a certain spatially distributed internal property of that medium using measurements of the output signal either at the entire boundary or at a part of the boundary of that medium. This property is usually described by a spatially dependent coefficient of that PDE. Thus, one arrives at a CIP for that PDE. This CIP is about the computation of that coefficient using those boundary measurements.

Having a good approximation for the coefficient of interest, one can visualize its spatial distribution. In other words, one can create an image of the interior of that medium. Hence, in simple terms, a CIP is a problem of “seeing through” the medium, i.e., this is the problem of imaging of the interior structure of that medium. Some examples of those properties of interest are spatially distributed dielectric permittivity, electric conductivity, and sound speed. It is clear from the above that CIPs have a broad range of applications in, for example, geophysics, imaging of land

mines, and, more generally, hidden explosives, geophysics, and medical imaging, etc. However, having said this, the next question is: *Given measurements of an output signal, how to actually calculate the unknown coefficient of interest?*

CIPs are both nonlinear and ill-posed. These two factors combined cause very substantial difficulties in the goal of addressing this question. The very first idea which comes in mind is to minimize a least squares objective functional and approximate solution this way. However, these functionals suffer from the phenomenon of local minima and ravines. Hence, any gradient-like technique of the minimization of such a functional will likely stop at such a point of a local minimum which is the closest one to the starting point of that iteration process. Because of the local minima problem, all conventional algorithms for CIPs are locally convergent ones. This means that their convergence can be rigorously guaranteed only if the starting point of iterations is sufficiently close to the exact solution. However, a knowledge of a sufficiently small neighborhood of the exact coefficient is a luxury in the majority of applications.

Therefore, it is important for many applications to develop such numerical methods which would provide good approximations for exact solutions of CIPs without any advanced knowledge of small neighborhoods of exact solutions. This goal is an enormously challenging one. Hence, to achieve it, one can work with some approximate mathematical models. Still, these models should be verified numerically. It is also desirable to verify those approximate mathematical models on experimental data, provided of course that such data are available (usually it is both hard and expensive to get experimental data). Thus, we use a new term for such numerical methods “approximate global convergence.” Results of abovementioned testing on synthetic and experimental data validate approximate mathematical models.

The development of approximately globally convergent numerical methods for CIPs with single measurement data has started from the so-called convexification algorithm [101, 102, 157–160], which the authors consider as an approximately globally convergent method of the first generation. The book focuses on a substantially different approach, which can be regarded as the approximately globally convergent method of the second generation. This approach was developed by the authors in 2008–2011 [9, 24–29, 109, 114–117, 160].

Only the single measurement case is considered in this book. The term “single measurement” means that only a single position of the point source or a single direction of the incident plane wave is used to generate the data. This case is preferable in, for example, military applications in which one wants to minimize the number of measurements because of many dangers on the battlefield.

The main interest in computations of applied CIPs is an accurate imaging of both locations of small inclusions as well as values of unknown coefficients inside them. Those inclusions are embedded in an otherwise slowly changing background medium. This is because those inclusions are, for example, land mines, tumors, defects in materials, etc. It is important to accurately calculate values of unknown coefficients, because they can help to identify those inclusions. We do not separate



between inclusions and backgrounds. Rather we just calculate unknown coefficients. A different approach to the topic of imaging of small inclusions can be found in [4–6].

This book considers both two-dimensional and three-dimensional CIPs for an important hyperbolic PDE and addresses two questions which are the central ones for numerical treatments of those CIPs:

1. How to calculate a good approximation for the exact solution without an advanced knowledge of a small neighborhood of this solution?
2. How to refine that approximation?

The first question is addressed via a new approximately globally convergent numerical method of the authors. Corresponding approximate mathematical models basically amount to the truncation of a certain asymptotic series. The second question is addressed via a locally convergent adaptive finite element method (adaptivity). It is natural therefore that a two-stage numerical procedure is developed. On the first stage, the approximately globally convergent method provides a good approximation for the exact coefficient. On the second stage, this approximation is refined via the adaptivity. A detailed convergence analysis for both stages is an important part of this book.

The work on this book was generously supported by US Army Research Laboratory and US Army Research Office (ARO) grants W911NF-08-1-0470, W911NF-09-1-0409, and W911NF-11-1-0399, by National Institutes of Health (USA) grant 1R21NS052850-01A1, by Swedish Research Council, Swedish Foundation of Strategic Research, Gothenburg Mathematical Modeling Center and by Visby Program of Swedish Institute. We express our special gratitude to Dr. Joseph D. Myers, the Program Manager of the Numerical Analysis program of ARO.

Computations of Chaps. 3–5 and Sect. 6.8.5 were performed (1) on 16 parallel processors in NOTUR 2 production system of NTNU, Trondheim, Norway (67 IBM p575+16 way nodes, 1.9 GHz dual-core CPU, 2,464 GB memory) and (2) in a center for scientific and technical computing C3SE at Chalmers University and Gothenburg University, Gothenburg, Sweden. Computations of Chap. 6 with the only exception of Sect. 6.8.5 were performed computational facilities of the Department of Mathematics and Statistics of University of North Carolina at Charlotte, Charlotte, USA.

A number of our colleagues, who are listed below in the alphabetical order, have helped us in our work on this book. Dr. Mohammad Asadzadeh has collaborated with the first author on the development of the idea of using the adaptivity inside the approximately globally convergent method. This has resulted in the adaptive one-stage numerical procedure; see [9] and Sect. 4.17. Dr. Anatoly B. Bakushinsky has provided a significant input in our formulation of the definition of the approximate global convergence property and has also advised us many times on a number of issues of the theory of ill-posed problems; see [111] and Sect. 1.8. Dr. Christian Clason has collaborated with the first author on the subject of the application of the adaptivity technique to scanning acoustic microscopy; see [21] and Sect. 4.14.2.2. Drs. Michael A. Fiddy and John Schenk have collected experimental data in the

Microwave Laboratory of University of North Carolina at Charlotte; see Chap. 5 as well as [28, 109]. Dr. Irina Gainova has helped us with many technical issues related to the text of this book. Dr. Claes Johnson was Ph.D. advisor of the first author. He has presented to the first author the idea of the adaptivity for the CIPs, for the first time; see [16, 20] and Sects. 4.5 and 4.14. Dr. Mikhail Yu. Kokurin has collaborated with us on the topic of the accuracy improvement with mesh refinements in the adaptivity technique; see [29] as well as Sects. 1.9, 4.1.2, and 4.9. Drs. Andrey V. Kuzhuget and Natee Pantong have performed computations of the major part of results of Chap. 6; also see [114–117]. Drs. Lam Nguyen and Anders Sullivan from ARL have supplied us with experimental data collected by the forward-looking radar of ARL in the field, along with the permission to use these data in the current book; see Sect. 6.9 of Chap. 6. The corresponding joint work is [117]. Dr. Roman G. Novikov has given us a number of quite useful advises on some analytical and numerical issues. We sincerely appreciate a great help of all these individuals.

Gothenburg, Sweden  
Charlotte, North Carolina, USA

Larisa Beilina  
Michael Victor Klibanov

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Two Central Questions of This Book and an Introduction to the Theories of Ill-posed and Coefficient Inverse Problems</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1	Two Central Questions of This Book .....	2
1.1.1	Why the Above Two Questions Are the Central Ones for Computations of CIPs .....	4
1.1.2	Approximate Global Convergence .....	6
1.1.3	Some Notations and Definitions.....	11
1.2	Some Examples of Ill-posed Problems .....	14
1.3	The Foundational Theorem of A.N. Tikhonov .....	21
1.4	Classical Correctness and Conditional Correctness .....	23
1.5	Quasi-solution .....	25
1.6	Regularization .....	27
1.7	The Tikhonov Regularization Functional.....	31
1.7.1	The Tikhonov Functional .....	32
1.7.2	Regularized Solution.....	34
1.8	The Accuracy of the Regularized Solution for a Single Value of $\alpha$ .....	35
1.9	Global Convergence in Terms of Definition 1.1.2.4 .....	39
1.9.1	The Local Strong Convexity.....	40
1.9.2	The Global Convergence .....	45
1.10	Uniqueness Theorems for Some Coefficient Inverse Problems .....	46
1.10.1	Introduction .....	46
1.10.2	Carleman Estimate for a Hyperbolic Operator .....	48
1.10.3	Estimating an Integral .....	56
1.10.4	Cauchy Problem with the Lateral Data for a Hyperbolic Inequality with Volterra-Like Integrals .....	57
1.10.5	Coefficient Inverse Problem for a Hyperbolic Equation ...	62
1.10.6	The First Coefficient Inverse Problem for a Parabolic Equation .....	68
1.10.7	The Second Coefficient Inverse Problem for a Parabolic Equation .....	70

1.10.8	The Third Coefficient Inverse Problem for a Parabolic Equation .....	76
1.10.9	A Coefficient Inverse Problem for an Elliptic Equation ....	78
1.11	Uniqueness for the Case of an Incident Plane Wave in Partial Finite Differences .....	79
1.11.1	Results .....	81
1.11.2	Proof of Theorem 1.11.1.1.....	83
1.11.3	The Carleman Estimate .....	85
1.11.4	Proof of Theorem 1.11.1.2.....	90
<b>2</b>	<b>Approximately Globally Convergent Numerical Method</b> .....	<b>95</b>
2.1	Statements of Forward and Inverse Problems .....	97
2.2	Parabolic Equation with Application in Medical Optics.....	98
2.3	The Transformation Procedure for the Hyperbolic Case .....	100
2.4	The Transformation Procedure for the Parabolic Case .....	103
2.5	The Layer Stripping with Respect to the Pseudo Frequency $s$ .....	106
2.6	The Approximately Globally Convergent Algorithm .....	109
2.6.1	The First Version of the Algorithm.....	111
2.6.2	A Simplified Version of the Algorithm .....	112
2.7	Some Properties of the Laplace Transform of the Solution of the Cauchy Problem (2.1) and (2.2) .....	115
2.7.1	The Study of the Limit (2.12) .....	115
2.7.2	Some Additional Properties of the Solution of the Problem (2.11) and (2.12) .....	118
2.8	The First Approximate Global Convergence Theorem .....	122
2.8.1	Exact Solution.....	123
2.8.2	The First Approximate Global Convergence Theorem....	125
2.8.3	Informal Discussion of Theorem 2.8.2.....	137
2.8.4	The First Approximate Mathematical Model.....	138
2.9	The Second Approximate Global Convergence Theorem .....	140
2.9.1	Estimates of the Tail Function .....	142
2.9.2	The Second Approximate Mathematical Model.....	151
2.9.3	Preliminaries .....	155
2.9.4	The Second Approximate Global Convergence Theorem..	157
2.10	Summary .....	166
<b>3</b>	<b>Numerical Implementation of the Approximately Globally Convergent Method</b> .....	<b>169</b>
3.1	Numerical Study in 2D .....	170
3.1.1	The Forward Problem.....	171
3.1.2	Main Discrepancies Between the Theory and the Numerical Implementation .....	173
3.1.3	Results of the Reconstruction .....	174
3.2	Numerical Study in 3D .....	186
3.2.1	Computations of the Forward Problem .....	186

3.2.2	Result of the Reconstruction .....	188
3.3	Summary of Numerical Studies .....	191
<b>4</b>	<b>The Adaptive Finite Element Technique and Its Synthesis with the Approximately Globally Convergent Numerical Method .....</b>	<b>193</b>
4.1	Introduction .....	193
4.1.1	The Idea of the Two-Stage Numerical Procedure .....	193
4.1.2	The Concept of the Adaptivity for CIPs .....	194
4.2	Some Assumptions .....	196
4.3	State and Adjoint Problems .....	198
4.4	The Lagrangian .....	199
4.5	A Posteriori Error Estimate for the Lagrangian .....	202
4.6	Some Estimates of the Solution an Initial Boundary Value Problem for Hyperbolic Equation (4.9).....	210
4.7	Fréchet Derivatives of Solutions of State and Adjoint Problems ....	216
4.8	The Fréchet Derivative of the Tikhonov Functional .....	222
4.9	Relaxation with Mesh Refinements .....	225
4.9.1	The Space of Finite Elements .....	226
4.9.2	Minimizers on Subspaces.....	229
4.9.3	Relaxation .....	233
4.10	From the Abstract Scheme to the Coefficient Inverse Problem 2.1 .....	235
4.11	A Posteriori Error Estimates for the Regularized Coefficient and the Relaxation Property of Mesh Refinements .....	237
4.12	Mesh Refinement Recommendations .....	241
4.13	The Adaptive Algorithm .....	244
4.13.1	The Algorithm In Brief .....	244
4.13.2	The Algorithm.....	244
4.14	Numerical Studies of the Adaptivity Technique .....	245
4.14.1	Reconstruction of a Single Cube .....	246
4.14.2	Scanning Acoustic Microscope .....	248
4.15	Performance of the Two-Stage Numerical Procedure in 2D.....	258
4.15.1	Computations of the Forward Problem .....	258
4.15.2	The First Stage .....	261
4.15.3	The Second Stage .....	264
4.16	Performance of the Two-Stage Numerical Procedure in 3D.....	266
4.16.1	The First Stage .....	275
4.16.2	The Second Stage .....	277
4.17	Numerical Study of the Adaptive Approximately Globally Convergent Algorithm .....	279
4.17.1	Computations of the Forward Problem .....	285
4.17.2	Reconstruction by the Approximately Globally Convergent Algorithm .....	288
4.17.3	The Adaptive Part .....	289
4.18	Summary of Numerical Studies of Chapter 4 .....	291

<b>5</b>	<b>Blind Experimental Data</b> .....	295
5.1	Introduction .....	295
5.2	The Mathematical Model .....	297
5.3	The Experimental Setup .....	298
5.4	Data Simulations .....	301
5.5	State and Adjoint Problems for Experimental Data .....	302
5.6	Data Pre-Processing .....	304
5.6.1	The First Stage of Data Immersing .....	304
5.6.2	The Second Stage of Data Immersing .....	307
5.7	Some Details of the Numerical Implementation of the Approximately... ..	309
5.7.1	Stopping Rule for .....	311
5.8	Reconstruction by the Approximately Globally Convergent Numerical Method .....	311
5.8.1	Dielectric Inclusions and Their Positions .....	311
5.8.2	Tables and Images .....	312
5.8.3	Accuracy of the Blind Imaging .....	314
5.8.4	Performance of a Modified Gradient Method .....	316
5.9	Performance of the Two-Stage Numerical Procedure .....	319
5.9.1	The First Stage .....	319
5.9.2	The Third Stage of Data Immersing .....	320
5.9.3	Some Details of the Numerical Implementation of the Adaptivity .....	323
5.9.4	Reconstruction Results for Cube Number 1 .....	323
5.9.5	Reconstruction Results for the Cube Number 2 .....	325
5.9.6	Sensitivity to the Parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ .....	327
5.9.7	Additional Effort for Cube Number 1 .....	327
5.10	Summary .....	332
<b>6</b>	<b>Backscattering Data</b> .....	335
6.1	Introduction .....	335
6.2	Forward and Inverse Problems .....	337
6.3	Laplace Transform .....	339
6.4	The Algorithm .....	340
6.4.1	Preliminaries .....	340
6.4.2	The Sequence of Elliptic Equations .....	342
6.4.3	The Iterative Process .....	344
6.4.4	The Quasi-Reversibility Method .....	345
6.5	Estimates for the QRM .....	346
6.6	The Third Approximate Mathematical Model .....	354
6.6.1	Exact Solution .....	354
6.6.2	The Third Approximate Mathematical Model .....	356
6.7	The Third Approximate Global Convergence Theorem .....	358

6.8	Numerical Studies .....	367
6.8.1	Main Discrepancies Between Convergence Analysis and Numerical Implementation .....	367
6.8.2	A Simplified Mathematical Model of Imaging of Plastic Land Mines .....	368
6.8.3	Some Details of the Numerical Implementation.....	369
6.8.4	Numerical Results .....	372
6.8.5	Backscattering Without the QRM .....	374
6.9	Blind Experimental Data Collected in the Field .....	376
6.9.1	Introduction .....	378
6.9.2	Data Collection and Imaging Goal .....	379
6.9.3	The Mathematical Model and the Approximately Globally Convergent Algorithm .....	381
6.9.4	Uncertainties .....	385
6.9.5	Data Pre-processing .....	388
6.9.6	Results of Blind Imaging .....	391
6.9.7	Summary of Blind Imaging .....	392
<b>References</b> .....		<b>393</b>
<b>Index</b> .....		<b>401</b>

