

Integrated Series in Information Systems

Volume 23

Series Editors

Ramesh Sharda

Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK, USA

Stefan Voß

University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

For other titles published in this series go to,
<http://www.springer.com/series/6157>

Hans Lehmann

The Dynamics of International Information Systems

Anatomy of a Grounded Theory Investigation

 Springer

Hans Lehmann
School of Information
Management
Victoria University of Wellington
Wellington 6140
New Zealand
hans.lehmann@vuw.ac.nz

ISSN 1571-0270
ISBN 978-1-4419-5749-8 e-ISBN 978-1-4419-5750-4
DOI 10.1007/978-1-4419-5750-4
Springer New York Dordrecht Heidelberg London

Library of Congress Control Number: 2010921283

© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2010

All rights reserved. This work may not be translated or copied in whole or in part without the written permission of the publisher (Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, 233 Spring Street, New York, NY 10013, USA), except for brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis. Use in connection with any form of information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed is forbidden.

The use in this publication of trade names, trademarks, service marks, and similar terms, even if they are not identified as such, is not to be taken as an expression of opinion as to whether or not they are subject to proprietary rights.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

Preface

The serious difficulties facing the developer of international information systems (i.e. supporting business functions in different countries) are widely known and their propensity to catastrophic failure has been acknowledged among practitioners for quite some time. Despite the often pivotal importance that such systems generally have scholarly research in this field has been surprisingly sparse. Information technology applications with a global range and reach are still largely unstudied and under-explored. Subsequently there is a distinct dearth of theoretical frameworks for dealing with them.

After a career in information technology line management I have been involved with multinational enterprises and their information systems for over a decade as a consultant, working in Africa, the UK, continental Europe, North America and Australasia. It was on joining a university in the early nineties that I discovered the near-vacuum in this field of research. When I decided to make international information systems my field of research it became clear that fairly fundamental work needed to be done.

I started the project described further on more than 10 years ago. It turned out a fairly difficult, necessarily broad based and, eventually, longitudinal research. The final research results presented here are now about half a decade old – and on the cusp of being revisited, extended and updated. This follow-on research needs to investigate if (and how) the sea changes in technology experienced over that time have influenced the content of the theories developed – although most of them deal with organization and management more than with technology per se.

On the other hand, the research method I had to assemble to deal with the specifics of large, very different and dispersed organizational cases has proved to be a lasting benefit from this demanding project. And not just for me: ever since I first published about it at the turn of the millennium I had requests for tutorial-type seminars about the methodology for academic researchers at a considerable number of universities internationally. And recently a number of people

suggested that a detailed report would be useful that set out the way this research project had progressed and that puts a strong emphasis on the adaptations to the Grounded Theory methodology and the derived practical method. This volume attempts to do this. Interested readers could be among

- scholars embarking on the study of large and complex technology cases;
- researchers who are tackling Grounded Theory on a larger scale for the first time; and
- anybody who is interested in the description of a fundamental research undertaking in the Grounded Theory tradition; from its foundations in the philosophy of science paradigms to the adaptation of the classic method for organizational cases and the practical details of the method; together with a diary of the conceptual journey, including the many blind alleys; and in witnessing the evolution of theory as it slowly emerges from the data.

To keep the volume readable the case story, analysis and theory chapters are of necessity abridged to focus on the essential elements of both data and analysis. A more detailed record of the case and the analysis is, however, provided on a companion website. Please contact¹ the author for access.

This research project uses a case analysis method in the grounded theory tradition in order to establish the theoretical foundations for a better understanding of the factors that influence the design, creation and implementation of international information systems.

Establishing theoretical foundations requires theory building research methods. The paucity of research into the nature and dynamics of international information systems means that there is an insufficient base from which to derive hypotheses for use with verification research approaches. Grounded theory was chosen because it does not require pre-formulated postulates.

However, traditional grounded theory was developed for homocentric applications in the social sciences. In this form it was not applicable to the study of technology use in organizational cases. These cases are a hybrid, shaped by the interplay of individual, social, organizational and technological entities. For this reason, the grounded theory approach was adapted to work with cases. The resultant two-step method preserves the grounded theory principles of joint coding and collection, constant comparison and theoretical sampling for additional data.

This adaptation of a method, originally developed for sociology, turned out to be a useful tool for generating theory in information systems research. This, together with the acknowledged paucity of theory in this field,² were the reasons for setting out this book in a way that can provide a demonstration of the method.

¹ Best via email under Hans.Lehmann@vuw.ac.nz

² Already two decades ago (in 1989) Bob Zmud, then Editor-in-Chief of MISQ, issued a call for more 'theory building' contributions to the journal to counterbalance the predominantly empirical, theory-testing character of the submissions received. In 2003 Ron Weber had to re-iterate this plea because there still had been 'much more... written about theory testing than theory building.'

Three cases were used in the study. The data included some 120 hours of intensive interviews, transcribed onto 1,200 pages and a further 2,500 pages in the more than 150 notes, memos and documents of supporting internal and external information.

The first case, a large land based production co-operative with a widespread global subsidiary network, yielded 13 core ‘categories’.³ They were richly interrelated and fell into two interacting domains. The contrasting next case, a Danish shipping line, added another 4 core categories. In addition to these ‘primary’ categories, the comparison of the cases led to the formulation of two derivative categories. The third case, again in contrast to the first two in a number of attributes, is a large, Switzerland based corporation in the freight forwarding industry. More ‘primary’ categories were added and a number of cause-and-effect relationships were refined.

After every case, the relationships between categories were formally subsumed into theoretical frameworks. After three cases the 3rd framework contained 25 major theorems, supported by some 102 theses and explanatory postulates. In a process of ‘densification’,⁴ a final, substantive theory of the factors influencing IIS was formulated, consisting of 10 theorems and 23 supporting theses.

The theory that the research eventually yielded about some of the dynamics of international information systems explains their specific nature and architecture; what affects the way in which they are built; and why their users will accept or reject them.

The theory defines a *generic architecture, specific* for international information systems, consisting of two parts, namely a ‘Central’ part, containing shared systems, and ‘Local’ systems that are unique for each site. The systems configuration at each individual site is a mixture of ‘Central’ and ‘Local’ technology elements. *Synchronicity*, the degree to which systems require online, same-time use of identical data and information, determines what should be the ‘Central’ applications – and what can be left ‘Local’. It further governs the configuration and technical architecture of the IIS.

What is under ‘Central’ control and what remains within ‘Local’ discretion can become an adversarial, often acrimonious and predominantly political issue. These antagonistic politics are acted out in an ever-present ‘Force Field’. The two related variables that influence the intensity of the Force Field interactions are:

Utility of the system’s functionality lowers the conflict potential all around;
 using the IIS to increase central *Control* intensifies local management’s resistance against invasion of their ‘turf’.

The probability of *Acceptance* or *Rejection* of an international information system then depends on the net-balance of these opposing forces.

At a more sophisticated level, *User/IT Consensus Processes* are shown as effective instruments for neutering damaging faction politics. Furthermore, by

³ Grounded Theory terminology for the abstracted concepts that interpret and explain the data.

⁴ Grounded Theory terminology for the delimitation process to reduce the ‘conceptual redundancy’ of the theory; also known as ‘Occam’s razor’.

assembling all stakeholders they marshal and maximize the knowledge about the global operation that the IIS supports. This deep knowledge maximizes the *Utility* of the resulting system, whilst the consensus processes substitute any *Control* conflict with rational dialogue or amicable persuasion.

Most of the theory can be directly translated into a practical methodology for designing, building and implementing international information systems. Many parts of the theory, however, are still in an ‘approximate’ state and point to several future research projects, concerned with refining concepts, constructs and their relationships as well as further adapting and improving the extended grounded theory methodology. Funding is being obtained and planning has progressed to an advanced stage to launch a project that will use the same methodology, but aims to update and extend the reach of the conceptual constructs into a more comprehensive theory of the dynamics of international information systems.

Many people, in many ways, have helped me to carry out this research and write this book.

Brent Gallupe, of Queen’s University in Kingston, Ontario, deserves the first vote of thanks. He encouraged me to begin this project – and has helped me with positive, focused and wise advice to continue and not give in to easy compromise when the going became difficult and momentum and enthusiasm waned. And not least, a sincere ‘thank you’ for inviting me to Kingston where I could start writing in earnest.

This by no means detracts from all the pastoral care and practical help I enjoyed from Justo Diaz, my local boss at Auckland University, New Zealand at the time. He allowed me to structure my varsity work such that I could set aside good chunks of time for the research – I could never have done it without that kindness. Thank you very much, Justo.

Neither of these professional acknowledgements, however, compares with the gratitude that I have for my children, for whom this project had become an object of wry resignation, as they often subsisted, for the last few years, on the border of serious paternal neglect. I will make it up to you, Peter and Anna, I promise.

The whole research, of course, would not be there save for the close on half a hundred men and women all over the globe who invited me into their business and technology, explained it to me and were instrumental for my understanding of ‘what’s really going on’ with the information technology support for their complex enterprises. I have thanked them personally and individually over the course of the project.

Finally, very many thanks indeed for the generous support I received from Neil Levine and Matt Amboy from the Springer office in New York – I really needed that!

All that remains to be said now is that I hope you enjoy the book.

Contents

Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 A Brief History of IIS	2
1.2 Frameworks in the Literature.....	4
1.3 Choice of the Research Method.....	6
1.4 Structure of the Book.....	7
Chapter 2 International Information Systems in the Literature	9
2.1 Scope and Depth of the Research into International Information Systems.....	9
2.2 Research into International Information System Until 1994	10
2.3 Relevant Research Since 1994.....	16
Chapter 3 Research Problem and Research Questions	19
Chapter 4 Research Methodology	23
4.1 Assembling the Research Approach	23
4.1.1 Position Within the Research Tradition	24
4.1.2 Theoretical Paradigms and Perspectives	25
4.1.3 Research Strategies	31
4.1.4 Collecting and Analyzing Empirical Materials	35
4.1.5 Interpretation and Presentation of Findings	41
4.2 Theory Building Issues	42
4.2.1 Theory Definition.....	43
4.2.2 Quality and Goodness of a Theory.....	45
4.2.3 Origin of the Theory Building Process.....	46
4.3 Conclusion.....	49
Chapter 5 Research Method: Grounded Theory for Descriptive and Exploratory Case Studies	53
5.1 Principles of Grounded Theory Procedure	54
5.2 Case Studies and Grounded Theory.....	57

- 5.3 The Application of Grounded Theory to Case Research 58
- 5.4 Research Activities Summary..... 61
- 5.5 Conclusion 64

- Chapter 6 Foundation Case: The Australasian Food Co-op 67**
 - 6.1 Case History: Australasian Food Producers’ Co-op 67
 - 6.1.1 Business Background 68
 - 6.1.2 The IS Landscape in the 1990s..... 70
 - 6.1.3 The Global Information Systems Project 71
 - 6.1.4 Technology Selection and Pilot Projects 71
 - 6.1.5 The North American Pilot 72
 - 6.1.6 Europe and Business Process Reengineering 73
 - 6.1.7 From North America to the Middle East 74
 - 6.1.8 Final Resistance to FIST 74
 - 6.2 Findings and Interpretation of the Case 75
 - 6.2.1 Categories and Properties..... 76
 - 6.2.2 ‘Factor’ Relationships: Dynamics Between the Business and IT Categories..... 80
 - 6.2.3 The Nature of Factor Interactions: The Force Field 83
 - 6.3 The First Theoretical Framework 88
 - 6.4 Theoretical Sampling for the Next Case 91
 - 6.4.1 Assessment of ‘Saturation’ Levels 91
 - 6.4.2 Sampling Strategy and Requirements for the Next Case..... 94

- Chapter 7 Case Two: J Lauritzen Ship Owners 99**
 - 7.1 Case History: J Lauritzen Ship Owners 99
 - 7.1.1 J Lauritzen’s Business..... 100
 - 7.1.2 J Lauritzen’s Global Business Strategy 102
 - 7.1.3 The History of Information Systems in JL 103
 - 7.1.4 Role of Information Systems in J Lauritzen’s Operations..... 105
 - 7.1.5 Central Versus Distributed Data and Applications..... 106
 - 7.1.6 Structure and Strategy of Information Systems Management 107
 - 7.2 Analysis of the J Lauritzen Case..... 108
 - 7.2.1 Categories and Relations 109
 - 7.2.2 Comparison of J Lauritzen and Co-op Cases 113
 - 7.3 Enhancing the Theory: The 2nd Theoretical Framework 120
 - 7.3.1 Architecture of International Information Systems 121
 - 7.3.2 Alignment, Response and Force Fields 122
 - 7.3.3 Response Cycles..... 123
 - 7.3.4 Utility and Control 124
 - 7.3.5 The Role of Design 124
 - 7.3.6 IIS Development Skills 124
 - 7.4 Theoretical Sampling..... 128
 - 7.4.1 Contribution of the J Lauritzen Case..... 128

7.4.2 Categories' Saturation Levels	128
7.4.3 Assessment of Theorems.....	128
Chapter 8 Case Three: PANALPINA International Forwarders	133
8.1 Case History: PANALPINA	133
8.1.1 History of PANALPINA	135
8.1.2 Business Strategy	135
8.1.3 Nature of the Business.....	136
8.1.4 Information Systems in PANALPINA.....	138
8.1.5 Management of Information Systems	143
8.1.6 The Global Versus Local Philosophy.....	143
8.1.7 The Management of Projects.....	144
8.2 Analysis of the PANALPINA Case.....	147
8.2.1 New Categories	147
8.2.2 Main Interaction Between Categories	150
8.2.3 Comparison of the Co-op, J Lauritzen and PANALPINA Cases	153
8.3 Enhancing the Theory Further: The 3rd Theoretical Framework	160
8.3.1 Primary Theoretical Contributions.....	160
8.3.2 Secondary Theoretical Contributions	164
Chapter 9 A Substantive Theory of International Information Systems	169
9.1 Delimiting the 3rd Theoretical Framework	169
9.2 Shaping the Final Theory.....	171
9.2.1 Area I – The Generic Architecture of an International Information System.....	171
9.2.2 Area II – The Functional Quality of the IIS	173
9.2.3 Area III – The Dynamics among the Stakeholders of the IIS.....	174
9.3 Formulating the Substantive Theory of International Information Systems	185
9.3.1 Scope and Overview of the Theory	185
9.3.2 Propositional Notation of the Substantive Theory	187
Chapter 10 Discussion of the Theory and its Implications for Research and Practice	191
10.1 Salient Themes of the Theory: Previous and Future Research	191
10.2 Methodology Notes for Future Research	198
10.3 Strengths and Weaknesses of the Theory: Implications for Future Research.....	199
10.4 Implications for the Practitioner	204
Chapter 11 Conclusion	207
References	211
Index	221

List of Figures

Figure 1.1. Focus of this research (shown in bold) within the King and Sethi (1992) framework..... 4

Figure 1.2. Classification of firms by business focus and diversity of their operating environment..... 6

Figure 2.1. Global business strategies of multinational firms: the *Bartlett and Ghoshal* and Prahalad and Doz’s integration-responsiveness models..... 12

Figure 2.2. Migration through global business strategies..... 14

Figure 2.3. The three generic architectures of international information systems 15

Figure 4.1. The progression of theory development in the grounded theory methodology..... 44

Figure 4.2. Theory development sequences proposed by Galliers et al. and Jarvenpaa..... 47

Figure 4.3. The grounded theory method, applied to cases, compared to the use of case study research methods in the – traditional – theory development paths suggested by Galliers and Land and Jarvenpaa 48

Figure 5.1. Theory development path in the grounded theory method..... 56

Figure 5.2. Theory building: analysis stages and levels of abstraction 60

Figure 6.1. Business structure of the Co-op 68

Figure 6.2. Business diversity across the Co-op’s regions (deviation from the mean in % of the mean) 69

Figure 6.3. The Co-op’s strategic migration..... 70

Figure 6.4. Business dynamics: major categories and relations 81

Figure 6.5. Categories and relations in the information technology domain..... 82

Figure 6.6. Force field of business and IT dynamics: interplay of the major categories..... 83

Figure 6.7. The causal sequence of category inter-relationships in the build-up of the inter-domain force field..... 84

Figure 6.8. Interaction cycle: *Rejection* of the international information system by the business people and *Reaction* from the IT people 87

Figure 7.1. J Lauritzen’s ‘nature of the business’ 101

Figure 7.2. J Lauritzen global business strategy in the context of the respective strategic positions of head office and local subsidiaries..... 102

Figure 7.3. The extent of J Lauritzen’s information and communications network in 1996..... 103

Figure 7.4. Interaction between information systems and the business cycle in J Lauritzen 105

Figure 7.5. The cycle of integration and acceptance in the J Lauritzen case..... 111

Figure 7.6. Interaction of all the main categories within the J Lauritzen case..... 112

Figure 7.7. Conceptualized strategy vectors of J Lauritzen and the Co-op 116

Figure 7.8. Correlation between alignment and response..... 117

Figure 7.9. Relationship of response and alignment..... 118

Figure 7.10. Anatomy of the response cycles..... 119

Figure 7.11. Generic architecture of an international information system 122

Figure 7.12. Summary of alignment dependencies 123

Figure 8.1. Comparing PANALPINA with two other large European freight forwarders – and one integrated logistics firm (Schenker-Rhenus)..... 134

Figure 8.2. Comparison of PANALPINA with the largest US freight forwarders..... 134

Figure 8.3. PANALPINA organizational structure at executive board level..... 136

Figure 8.4. Revenue derivation – ‘Physical’ business..... 137

Figure 8.5. PANALPINA’s client linkage for inventory management and distribution services..... 137

Figure 8.6. Interplay of business operations and information systemsi..... 139

Figure 8.7. Secondary services built around information systems: the example of the project management service 141

Figure 8.8. PANALPINA’s international information technology and systems architecturei..... 142

Figure 8.9. The four layers of the typical information systems project in PANALPINA. 146

Figure 8.10. Information intensity of PANALPINA’s products and services..... 149

Figure 8.11. Cyclical influence of the ‘effector’ categories in the PANALPINA case..... 150

Figure 8.12. Interaction of the major core categories in the PANALPINA case..... 151

Figure 8.13. Interaction between nature of the business and IS=Ops within the ‘Role of IT’ component of the acceptance cycle 152

Figure 8.14. Dialectic interchange of business and information technology core competencies 153

Figure 8.15. The PANALPINA Response Model incorporates the Dialectic of their core competence interchange..... 155

Figure 8.16. Methodization of the force field IT between business and information technology domains in the PANALPINA case..... 156

Figure 8.17. Response as a function of utility and control..... 157

Figure 8.18. International IS drivers and response..... 157

Figure 8.19. Comparative information intensity across all three cases 159

Figure 8.20. Generic structure of an international information system 161

Figure 8.21. Information intensity determines the degree of the closeness of the Interaction between business and information technology functions in the enterprise 162

Figure 8.22. Rejection and acceptance cycles in the context of the full *response* cycle 163

Figure 9.1. The generic architecture model of an international information system..... 172

Figure 9.2. The force fields within and between business and information technology people as stakeholders in an international information system.....	175
Figure 9.3. Response as a function of utility and undue control	176
Figure 9.4. The response function in the Co-op's case.....	177
Figure 9.5 The response function in the J Lauritzen case.....	178
Figure 9.6. The response function in the PANALPINA case.....	178
Figure 9.7. Integration of business & IT as a correlate of B→IT and information intensity	180
Figure 9.8. The model of stakeholder dynamics surrounding the response to an international information system. (Component numbers correspond to their position in the list below).....	180
Figure 9.9. Stakeholder dynamics in the Co-op.	182
Figure 9.10. The cycle of acceptance and design optimization in the J Lauritzen case	183
Figure 9.11. The core competence interchange cycle and the conflict avoidance cycle employed by PANALPINA	184
Figure 9.12. Classification of the three cases according to business focus and environmental diversity	186
Figure 9.13. The substantive theory of international information system – overview of the interaction between areas I, II and III, including subsidiary theories in area III.	187

List of Tables

Table 2.1. Comparison of architecture styles/configurations identified in the literature 14

Table 4.1. Comparison of the ‘new’ paradigms against the ‘received view’, i.e. the research paradigm of traditional positivism (after Guba et al., 1994).....27

Table 4.2. Profile of paradigmatic positions (after Guba et al., 1994)30

Table 4.3. Data collection and analysis methods (after Denzin et al., 1994) and their appropriateness for the research objects of the study36

Table 4.4. Comparison of data analysis steps and phases. *Italics* denote a method’s proprietary nomenclature38

Table 4.5. The interpretation and presentation process for qualitative research findings (after Denzin, 1994)42

Table 5.1. The constant comparative method of joint coding and analysis (after Glaser et al., 1967).....54

Table 5.2. Guidelines to scoping and formulating the emerging theory (after Glaser et al., 1967).....55

Table 5.3. Consequences of minimizing or maximizing differences in comparison groups for generating theory. (After Glaser et al., 1967, p. 58).....57

Table 5.4. Comparison of case study approaches: Yin’s traditional case study method and one based on Glaser et al.’s (1967) guidelines for grounded theory research58

Table 5.5. Grounded theory method for case studies: detailed steps.....59

Table 5.6. Summary of interview hours by type of interviewee and the extent of secondary materials used.....61

Table 5.7. Distribution of interview contribution (hours) between head-office and regional offices62

Table 5.8. Interviews (number) by case and global location63

Table 5.9. Growth in the number of categories across the three cases63

Table 6.1. Domains and core categoriescore category d from the Co-op case76

Table 6.2. Stages in the original cycle of rejection and reaction between business and FIST86

Table 6.3. Theorems of the 1st theoretical framework ordered by theory areas.....90

Table 6.4. Assessment of the saturation level of major categories.....91

Table 6.5. Theoretical sampling requirements of the next case study95

Table 7.1. ‘Real-time’ transactions in the J Lauritzen business cycle.....107

Table 7.2. Active categories in the J Lauritzen case.....110

Table 7.3. Business and IT differences between J Lauritzen and the Co-op114

Table 7.4. Relationships between *IS=Ops* related categories in the two cases115

Table 7.5. Comparison of the force fields across the cases118

Table 7.6. Development of the theoretical frameworks between the Co-op and the J Lauritzen cases	126
Table 7.7. Assessment of theorems: requirements for further evidence.....	130
Table 8.1. Core categories with significantly new characteristics in the PANALPINA case	148
Table 8.2. Comparing IS professional factors between Co-op and PANALPINA	158
Table 8.3. Comparison of the management of IT Projects across the Co-op and PANALPINA cases	160
Table 8.4. Theoretical contributions from the three cases (shaded areas show the contributions added by cases 2 and 3 respectively).....	165
Table 9.1. The influence of varying degrees of <i>Synchronicity</i> on the dominant architecture elements and the resulting homogeneity of the technology structure of an IIS	173
Table 10.1. Criteria for assessing the quality of a theory – over view of selected literature	200
Table 10.2. Growth in the number of categories and theory statements across the three cases.....	201