

Multisensory Object Perception in the Primate Brain

Marcus J. Naumer · Jochen Kaiser
Editors

Multisensory Object Perception in the Primate Brain

Foreword by Barry E. Stein

 Springer

Editors

Marcus J. Naumer
Goethe University
Institute of Medical Psychology
Heinrich-Hoffmann-Str. 10
Frankfurt 60528
Germany
m.j.naumer@med.uni-frankfurt.de

Jochen Kaiser
Goethe University
Institute of Medical Psychology
Heinrich-Hoffmann-Str. 10
Frankfurt 60528
Germany
j.kaiser@med.uni-frankfurt.de

ISBN 978-1-4419-5614-9 e-ISBN 978-1-4419-5615-6
DOI 10.1007/978-1-4419-5615-6
Springer New York Dordrecht Heidelberg London

Library of Congress Control Number: 2010929698

© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2010

All rights reserved. This work may not be translated or copied in whole or in part without the written permission of the publisher (Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, 233 Spring Street, New York, NY 10013, USA), except for brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis. Use in connection with any form of information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed is forbidden.

The use in this publication of trade names, trademarks, service marks, and similar terms, even if they are not identified as such, is not to be taken as an expression of opinion as to whether or not they are subject to proprietary rights.

While the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of going to press, neither the authors nor the editors nor the publisher can accept any legal responsibility for any errors or omissions that may be made. The publisher makes no warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein.

Cover illustration: By courtesy of Dr. James Lewis

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

Foreword

It should come as no surprise to those interested in sensory processes that its research history is among the longest and richest of the many systematic efforts to understand how our bodies function. The continuing obsession with sensory systems is as much a reflection of the fundamental need to understand how we experience the physical world as it is to understand how we become who we are based on those very experiences. The senses function as both portal and teacher, and their individual and collective properties have fascinated scientists and philosophers for millennia.

In this context, the attention directed toward specifying their properties on a sense-by-sense basis that dominated sensory research in the 20th century seems a prelude to our current preoccupation with how they function in concert. Nevertheless, it was the concentrated effort on the operational principles of individual senses that provided the depth of understanding necessary to inform current efforts to reveal how they act cooperatively.

We know that the information provided by any individual sensory modality is not always veridical, but is subject to a myriad of modality-specific distortions. Thus, the brain's ability to compare across the senses and to integrate the information they provide is not only a way to examine the accuracy of any individual sensory channel but also a way to enhance the collective information they make available to the brain. For each sense provides different information about the same event and can inform its counterparts. As a result, their aggregate neural product is more salient and more accurate than that provided by any individual sense. It is this interaction among multiple senses and the fusion of their information content that is captured by the term "multisensory integration."

Viewed from this perspective, multisensory integration is a process by which the brain makes maximal use of whatever sensory information is available at the moment and is able to compare that information to the body of knowledge that it has already acquired. Thus, the ubiquitous presence of multiple senses in extant species seems as likely a reflection of the survival value of multisensory integration itself as it is of having sensors that can substitute for one another in different circumstances, as when hearing and touch substitute for vision in the dark. Coupling these two survival factors appears to be an ancient strategy that is believed to date from our earliest single-cell progenitor; an organism whose different sensory receptors, being tuned to different environmental stimuli, could function independently. However,

because they were all embedded in the same cell membrane, they used ion fluxes that accessed the same intracellular milieu. As a result, when they were active collectively the organism was rendered an obligate multisensory integrator. The same basic theme of coupling these two survival strategies has been elaborated repeatedly during sensory evolution, so that while species benefited from selective pressures that helped them craft each of their individual senses to meet specific ecological challenges (sometimes doing so in seemingly extravagant ways), they retained the ability to use them synergistically.

That the senses function together was recognized by our scientific forbears. They understood the importance of this cooperative arrangement but didn't know how it worked and could never have anticipated the technological revolution that underlies modern efforts to reveal its mysteries. Although the concept of cooperation among the senses had never been forgotten, the emphasis on the processes underlying their synergy has never been as great as it is today. Fortunately, we now have a wide variety of physiological and psychophysical approaches with which we can examine these processes. Studies at the level of the individual neuron and among complexes of neurons, as well as a host of psychophysical approaches in adult and developing animals, make accessible information that could not have been imagined in earlier eras.

Based on these new technologies we now understand that multisensory integration at the neural level not only speeds responses, and increases their salience and reliability, but also provides the basis for unique experiences that arise from the binding of different sensory components. We also now appreciate that these multisensory experiences are exceedingly common and add a depth to perception that might not otherwise be possible.

The current volume includes the results derived from many of the most active and productive laboratories using the latest anatomical, physiological, and psychophysical techniques to explore some of these issues as they relate to object perception. Of necessity the scope is limited to interactions among several senses (visual, auditory, somatosensory), but deals with general principles that are likely to be applicable to interactions among all the senses. Given that the study of multisensory processes is among the most active in the neurosciences, it is rapidly changing. Thus, the present volume should be viewed as an introduction to the many compelling issues in this field, as a foundation for appreciating the importance of the research currently being conducted, and as an encouragement for even greater research efforts in the future.

Winston-Salem, NC

Barry E. Stein

Contents

1	General Introduction	1
	Marcus J. Naumer and Jochen Kaiser	
Part I Mechanisms		
2	Corticocortical Connectivity Subserving Different Forms of Multisensory Convergence	7
	M. Alex Meredith and H. Ruth Clemo	
3	Computational Modeling of Multisensory Object Perception . . .	21
	Constantin Rothkopf, Thomas Weisswange, and Jochen Triesch	
4	Methodological Considerations: Electrophysiology of Multisensory Interactions in Humans	55
	Marie-Hélène Giard and Julien Besle	
5	Cortical Oscillations and Multisensory Interactions in Humans .	71
	Jochen Kaiser and Marcus J. Naumer	
6	Multisensory Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging	83
	Marcus J. Naumer, Jasper J. F. van den Bosch, Andrea Polony, and Jochen Kaiser	
Part II Audio-Visual Integration		
7	Audiovisual Temporal Integration for Complex Speech, Object-Action, Animal Call, and Musical Stimuli	95
	Argiro Vatakis and Charles Spence	
8	Imaging Cross-Modal Influences in Auditory Cortex	123
	Christoph Kayser, Christopher I. Petkov, and Nikos K. Logothetis	
9	The Default Mode of Primate Vocal Communication and Its Neural Correlates	139
	Asif A. Ghazanfar	

10 Audio-Visual Perception of Everyday Natural Objects – Hemodynamic Studies in Humans 155
James W. Lewis

11 Single-Trial Multisensory Learning and Memory Retrieval 191
Micah M. Murray and Holger F. Sperdin

Part III Visuo-Tactile Integration

12 Multisensory Texture Perception 211
Roberta L. Klatzky and Susan J. Lederman

13 Dorsal and Ventral Cortical Pathways for Visuo-haptic Shape Integration Revealed Using fMRI 231
Thomas W. James and Sunah Kim

14 Visuo-haptic Perception of Objects and Scenes 251
Fiona N. Newell

15 Haptic Face Processing and Its Relation to Vision 273
Susan J. Lederman, Roberta L. Klatzky, and Ryo Kitada

Part IV Plasticity

16 The Ontogeny of Human Multisensory Object Perception: A Constructivist Account 303
David J. Lewkowicz

17 Neural Development and Plasticity of Multisensory Representations 329
Mark T. Wallace, Juliane Krueger, and David W. Royal

18 Large-Scale Brain Plasticity Following Blindness and the Use of Sensory Substitution Devices 351
Andreja Bubic, Ella Striem-Amit, and Amir Amedi

Index 381

Contributors

Amir Amedi Department of Medical Neurobiology, Institute for Medical Research Israel-Canada (IMRIC), Hebrew University-Hadassah Medical School, Jerusalem 91220, Israel, amir.amedi@ekmd.huji.ac.il

Julien Besle INSERM – UNITE 821 “Brain Dynamics and Cognition”, Centre Hospitalier le Vinatier, University Lyon, 95, Bd Pinel, 69500 Bron, France, julien.besle@inserm.fr

Andreja Bubic Department of Medical Neurobiology, Institute for Medical Research Israel-Canada (IMRIC), Hebrew University-Hadassah Medical School, Jerusalem 91220, Israel, bubic@cbs.mpg.de

H. Ruth Clemo Department of Anatomy and Neurobiology, Virginia Commonwealth University School of Medicine, Richmond, VA 23298, USA, rclemo@vcu.edu

Asif A. Ghazanfar Departments of Psychology and Ecology & Evolutionary Biology, Neuroscience Institute, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA, asifg@princeton.edu

Marie-Hélène Giard INSERM – UNITE 821 “Brain Dynamics and Cognition”, Centre Hospitalier le Vinatier, University Lyon, 95, Bd Pinel, 69500 Bron, France, mh.giard@inserm.fr

Thomas W. James Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences, Cognitive Science Program, Indiana University, 1101 E Tenth St., Bloomington, IN 47405, USA, thwjames@indiana.edu

Jochen Kaiser Institute of Medical Psychology, Faculty of Medicine, Goethe University, Heinrich-Hoffmann-Str. 10, 60528 Frankfurt am Main, Germany, j.kaiser@med.uni-frankfurt.de

Christoph Kayser Max Planck Institute for Biological Cybernetics, Spemannstrasse 38, 72076 Tübingen, Germany, christoph.kayser@tuebingen.mpg.de

Sunah Kim Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences, Cognitive Science Program, Indiana University, 1101 E Tenth St., Bloomington, IN 47405, USA, suakim@indiana.edu

Ryo Kitada Division of Cerebral Integration, National Institute for Physiological Sciences (NIPS), Myodaiji, Okazaki 444-8585, Japan, kitada@nips.ac.jp

Roberta L. Klatzky Department of Psychology, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213-3890, USA, klatzky@cmu.edu

Juliane Krueger Neuroscience Graduate Program, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37232, USA; Vanderbilt Kennedy Center for Research on Human Development, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37232, USA, juliane.krueger@vanderbilt.edu

Susan J. Lederman Department of Psychology, Queen's University, Kingston, ON K7L 3N6, Canada, susan.lederman@queensu.ca

James W. Lewis Department of Physiology and Pharmacology, Sensory Neuroscience Research Center, and Center for Advanced Imaging, West Virginia University, PO Box 9229, Morgantown, WV 26506, USA, jlewis@hsc.wvu.edu

David J. Lewkowicz Department of Psychology, Florida Atlantic University, 777 Glades Rd., Boca Raton, FL, USA, lewkowic@fau.edu

Nikos K. Logothetis Max Planck Institute for Biological Cybernetics, Spemannstrasse 38, 72076 Tübingen, Germany; Division of Imaging Science and Biomedical Engineering, University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PT, UK, nikos.logothetis@tuebingen.mpg.de

M. Alex Meredith Department of Anatomy and Neurobiology, Virginia Commonwealth University School of Medicine, Richmond, VA 23298, USA, mameredi@vcu.edu

Micah M. Murray Centre for Biomedical Imaging, Department of Clinical Neurosciences, Department of Radiology, Vaudois University Hospital Centre and University of Lausanne, BH08.078, Rue du Bugnon 46, 1011, Lausanne, Switzerland; Department of Hearing and Speech Sciences, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee, USA, micah.murray@chuv.ch

Marcus J. Naumer Institute of Medical Psychology, Faculty of Medicine, Goethe University, Heinrich-Hoffmann-Str. 10, 60528 Frankfurt am Main, Germany, m.j.naumer@med.uni-frankfurt.de

Fiona N. Newell School of Psychology and Institute of Neuroscience, Lloyd Building, Trinity College, Dublin 2, Ireland, fiona.newell@tcd.ie

Christopher I. Petkov Max Planck Institute for Biological Cybernetics, Spemannstrasse 38, 72076 Tübingen, Germany, chris.petkov@ncl.ac.uk

Andrea Polony Institute of Medical Psychology, Faculty of Medicine, Goethe University, Heinrich-Hoffmann-Str. 10, 60528 Frankfurt am Main, Germany, apolony@hotmail.com

Constantin Rothkopf Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies (FIAS), Goethe University Frankfurt, Ruth-Moufang-Str. 1, 60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany, rothkopf@fias.uni-frankfurt.de

David W. Royal Vanderbilt Kennedy Center for Research on Human Development, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37232, USA, david.royal@vanderbilt.edu

Charles Spence Crossmodal Research Laboratory, Department of Experimental Psychology, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3UD, UK, charles.spence@psy.ox.ac.uk

Holger F. Sperdin Neuropsychology and Neurorehabilitation Service, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Vaudois and University of Lausanne, Hôpital Nestlé, 5 av. Pierre Decker, 1011 Lausanne, Switzerland, holger-franz.sperdin@chuv.ch

Ella Striem-Amit Department of Medical Neurobiology, Institute for Medical Research Israel-Canada (IMRIC), Hebrew University-Hadassah Medical School, Jerusalem 91220, Israel, ella.striem@mail.huji.ac.il

Jochen Triesch Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies (FIAS), Goethe University Frankfurt, Ruth-Moufang-Str. 1, 60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany, triesch@fias.uni-frankfurt.de

Jasper J. F. van den Bosch Institute of Medical Psychology, Faculty of Medicine, Goethe University, Heinrich-Hoffmann-Str. 10, 60528 Frankfurt am Main, Germany, vandenbosch@med.uni-frankfurt.de

Argiro Vatakis Institute for Language and Speech Processing, Research Centers “Athena”, Artemidos 6 & Epidavrou, Athens 151 25, Greece, argiro.vatakis@gmail.com

Mark T. Wallace Vanderbilt Brain Institute, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37232, USA, Vanderbilt Kennedy Center for Research on Human Development, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37232, USA; Department of Hearing & Speech Sciences, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37232, USA; Department of Psychology, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37232, USA, mark.wallace@vanderbilt.edu

Thomas Weisswange Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies (FIAS), Goethe University Frankfurt, Ruth-Moufang-Str. 1, 60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany, weisswange@fias.uni-frankfurt.de