

Radar Interferometry

Remote Sensing and Digital Image Processing

VOLUME 12

Series Editor:

Freek D. van der Meer, *Department of Earth Systems Analysis, International Institute for Geo-Information Science and Earth Observation (ITC), Enschede, The Netherlands*
& *Department of Physical Geography, Faculty of Geosciences, Utrecht University, The Netherlands*

Editorial Advisory Board:

Michael Abrams, *NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, CA, U.S.A.*

Paul Curran, *University of Bournemouth, U.K.*

Arnold Dekker, *CSIRO, Land and Water Division, Canberra, Australia*

Steven M. de Jong, *Department of Physical Geography, Faculty of Geosciences, Utrecht University, The Netherlands*

Michael Schaepman, *Centre for Geo-Information, Wageningen UR, The Netherlands*

The titles published in this series are listed at the end of this volume

RADAR INTERFEROMETRY

Persistent Scatterer Technique

by

BERT M. KAMPES

German Aerospace Center (DLR), Germany



 Springer

The Springer logo, which consists of a stylized chess knight (horse) facing left, positioned to the left of the word 'Springer'.

A C.I.P. Catalogue record for this book is available from the Library of Congress.

ISBN-10 1-4020-4576-X (HB)
ISBN-13 978-1-4020-4576-9 (HB)
ISBN-10 1-4020-4723-1 (e-book)
ISBN-13 978-1-4020-4723-7 (e-book)

Published by Springer,
P.O. Box 17, 3300 AA Dordrecht, The Netherlands.

www.springer.com

Cover image: Estimated linear displacement rates for the Berlin test site for different thresholds on the a posteriori variance factor. Figure 6.6(c) (also see p. 96)

Printed on acid-free paper

All Rights Reserved
© 2006 Springer

No part of this work may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without written permission from the Publisher, with the exception of any material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work.

To Jill and Madeline

Contents

Preface	xi
Audience	xii
Acknowledgments	xiii
Summary	xv
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Objectives	2
1.2 Outline	3
2 The Permanent Scatterer Technique	5
2.1 The reference PS technique	5
2.1.1 Interferogram formation	6
2.1.2 Differential interferogram formation	7
2.1.3 Preliminary estimation	9
2.1.4 Final estimation	14
2.2 Potential improvements	15
2.2.1 Functional model	17
2.2.2 Stochastic model	25
3 The Integer Least-Squares Estimator	31
3.1 The LAMBDA method	31
3.2 Application of the LAMBDA method	33
3.3 Computational aspects	36
3.3.1 The bootstrap estimator	36
3.3.2 Reduction of the numerical complexity	37
3.3.3 Choice of the base functions	38
3.4 Validation	39
4 The STUN Algorithm	43
4.1 Three dimensional phase unwrapping	45
4.2 Pixel selection	47

4.3	Variance component estimation	54
4.4	Reference network computation	55
4.4.1	Construction of the reference network	56
4.4.2	Spatial integration of estimated difference parameters	57
4.4.3	Identification of incorrect estimates and incoherent points	58
4.5	Estimation of points relative to the reference network	64
4.6	Final estimation	66
5	Synthetic Data Experiments	71
5.1	Simulation scenarios	71
5.2	Random noise	72
5.3	Atmospheric phase	75
5.4	DEM error and displacement signal	80
5.4.1	Estimation using the correct base functions	81
5.4.2	Estimation using a linear displacement model	84
5.5	Conclusions	85
6	Real Data Processing	87
6.1	Berlin	88
6.1.1	Data availability	89
6.1.2	Reference processing	90
6.1.3	Sensitivity to algorithm settings	98
6.1.4	Cross-comparison between adjacent tracks	110
6.2	Las Vegas	119
6.2.1	Data availability	120
6.2.2	Estimation of linear displacement	122
6.2.3	Comparison with the reference PS technique	130
6.2.4	Estimation of linear and seasonal displacement	135
6.2.5	ERS-ENVISAT cross interferometry	144
6.3	Conclusions	146
7	Conclusions and Recommendations	149
7.1	Conclusions	149
7.2	Recommendations	151
	Addenda	155
A	Variance Component Estimation	157
A.1	The quadratic form of normally distributed observables	157
A.2	Proof of the variance component estimation formula	159
B	Alternative Hypothesis Testing	163
B.1	The Delft method of testing	163
B.2	Selecting the testing parameters	166

C	Used SAR Data	171
D	Developed Software	177
	D.1 Computer environment at the DLR	177
	D.1.1 Passing information to programs	178
	D.1.2 Logging	178
	D.1.3 Generic file format	179
	D.1.4 Archiving	179
	D.1.5 Implementation	180
	D.2 Specifics of the software	180
	D.2.1 File formats	181
	D.2.2 Parallelization	181
	D.3 Synopsis of the modules	182
E	Software on the CDROM	185
	E.1 Introduction	185
	E.2 Installation	187
	E.3 How to begin	188
	References	189
	About the Author	199
	Nomenclature	201
	Index	207

Preface

Soon after the first attempts at Delft University of Technology to apply the radar interferometric technique for the monitoring of subsidence due to gas extraction in the province of Groningen, the Netherlands, it was recognized by Usai and Hanssen (1997) that man-made features remained coherent in radar interferograms over long time spans, while their surrounding was completely decorrelated. This particular area in the northern part of the Netherlands is well-known for its subsidence. Due to the slow subsidence rate—the maximum is approximately 1 cm/y—long temporal baselines needed to be used. Even though only interferograms with short perpendicular baselines were generated, temporal decorrelation severely limited the analysis, see (Usai, 1997, 2000; Usai and Klees, 1999). The Groningen data set was also used by Hanssen (1998), who analyzed artifacts of atmospheric origin in coherent interferograms with short temporal baselines. Aside from temporal and geometrical decorrelation, atmospheric signal is the main problem for the interpretation of interferometric signal of current day spaceborne sensors on board, e.g., ERS, ENVISAT and RADARSAT (Hanssen, 2001).

The Permanent Scatterers (PS) Technique was developed shortly after, see (Ferretti et al., 2000a, 2001). It aims to bypass the problem of geometrical and temporal decorrelation by considering time-coherent pixels. Furthermore, by using a large amount of data, atmospheric signal is estimated and corrected for. The PS technique offers a convenient processing framework that enables the use of all acquired images, irrespective of baseline, and a parameter estimation strategy for interferograms with low spatial coherence. The advantages of this method can be measured from the increasing attention it has received at major conferences. For example, in the proceedings of the IGARSS conferences of 1999 to 2003 there are respectively 1, 5, 4, 17 and 26 direct references to the term Permanent Scatterer. The “TerraFirma” initiative further underlines the high potential of this technique. This project aims to provide a Pan-European ground motion hazard information service, to be distributed throughout Europe via the national geological surveys. All large towns in Europe are to be studied with the PS technique. In total, 189 towns

in total are identified, equalling 27% of the total population. In the longer term, areas will be included that suffer risks from ground motions caused by, for example, landslides or mining, see (TerraFirma, 2005).

Additionally, once the PS technique demonstrated that using a large number of images is a way to reduce atmospheric artifacts and to obtain highly precise estimates despite decorrelation, this sparked the development of a number of related techniques, e.g., Coherent Target Monitoring (Van der Kooij, 2003; Van der Kooij and Lambert, 2002), Interferometric Point Target Analysis (Wegmuller, 2003; Werner et al., 2003), Stable Point Network analysis (Arnaud et al., 2003), Small Baseline Subset Approach (Berardino et al., 2003, 2002; Lanari et al., 2003; Mora et al., 2002), and Corner Reflector Interferometry and Compact Active Transponders Interferometry (Nigel Press Associates, 2004). These techniques partly seek to improve the PS technique using a modified approach (some even assume distributed scattering of multi-looked pixels, although still use concepts similar to the PS technique), but also partly try to avoid disputes over the patent of the PS technique. The term *Persistent Scatterer Interferometry* (PSI) is now used to group techniques that analyze the phase time series of individual scatterers.

This book revisits the original PS technique and presents a new PSI algorithm, the STUN algorithm, which is developed to provide a robust and reliable estimation of displacement parameters and their precision.

Audience

This book is intended for scientists and students who want to understand and work with Persistent Scatterer Interferometry. Particularly of interest for this group of readers are the derivation of the functional and stochastic model, the description of the estimation using integer least-squares and variance components, and the alternative hypothesis testing procedure, see Chapter 2, 3, and 4, respectively. The software toolbox on the CDROM explain these key concepts using practical demonstrations, see also Appendix E. The modular programs can be easily adapted and be further developed by the interested reader for specific problems.

Secondly, this book is intended to provide insight in the problems and pitfalls of Persistent Scatterer Interferometry for users of PSI products and of commercially available PSI processing software, and to enhance their understanding of this technique. This group of readers includes geo-information professionals and high level decision makers who do not perform PSI processing themselves. The description of the reference PS technique and potential improvements upon it, see Chapter 2, and Chapter 6 on real data processing may prove to be most useful for this group.

The reader is assumed to be familiar with general radar concepts and conventional radar interferometric processing, as for example described in (Bamler and Hartl, 1998; Hanssen, 2001; Klees and Massonnet, 1999; Rosen

et al., 2000). The PS technique is regarded as an extension of the conventional differential interferometric technique. Background knowledge of the PS technique is not required to understand this work. A geodetic background is helpful, but not necessary. However, geodetic concepts are used, particularly concerning the integer least-squares estimator, variance component estimation, and alternative hypothesis testing. These issues are explained in detail in the chapters and appendices to make this work self-contained.

The technique described in this work can be applied to data obtained by current-day radar sensors and data of future systems. However, most emphasis is on ERS-1 and ERS-2, because data of these sensors are available for the test areas over extended time intervals.

Acknowledgments

This study was performed at the German Aerospace Center (DLR), Oberpfaffenhofen, Germany, and at Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands. Many people helped me in various ways during this time, whom I would like to acknowledge here. I would like to thank Roland Klees, professor of Physical and Space Geodesy at the faculty of Aerospace Engineering at Delft University of Technology, for supervising my doctoral research. I am also most indebted to Ramon Hanssen, associate professor at Delft University, for his guidance through the early years of chaos and confusion, and for the many fun times we had discussing radar interferometry and the philosophy of life. For the same reasons I am thankful to Richard Bamler, director of the Remote Sensing Technology Institute at the DLR, and Michael Eineder, leader of the Image Science and SAR processing group.

The many people I met during these years have been a great motivation to me. I would like to mention Richard Lord, Andy Hooper, and Adele Fusco, visiting scientists at the DLR from the universities of Cape Town, Stanford, and Sannio, respectively. From Delft University of Technology I would like to thank Peter Teunissen and Peter Joosten for providing source code and useful discussions, mainly concerning the integer least-squares estimation. Also the people of the Delft radar group helped me a lot. Many thanks go to Gini Ketelaar, Petar Marinkovic, Yue Huanyin (Paul), and especially Freek van Leijen, who carefully checked parts of this work. This work would not have been possible without the inventors of the PS technique. I would like to thank Alessandro Ferretti, Fabio Rocca, and Marco Bianchi, for their encouragement and for providing me with reference processing results for the Las Vegas test site. I am also grateful to my colleagues at the DLR who are always prepared to listen to me. Particularly I learned a lot from Nico Adam, my office mate, whom I thank for patiently sharing his great understanding and skills in the fields of radar interferometry and software engineering. The people who used and evaluated the software during the development are also very much acknowledged for their feedback, specifically Michaela Kircher, Jirathana

Worawattanamateekul, Diana Walter, Franz Meyer, and Jörn Hoffmann. The latter I also thank for useful discussions on the Las Vegas test site and for providing me with the Lorenzi test site extensometer data. Finally, I thank Marie Lachaise for porting parts of the code from IDL to C.

This work benefited from the careful reading by Richard Bamler, Barry Parsons, Fabio Rocca, Peter Hogeboom, Peter Teunissen, Ramon Hanssen, and Roland Klees, members of my defense committee. Thank you for your valuable comments.

Freek van der Meer, series editor, and Petra van Steenbergen at Springer are gratefully acknowledged for making publication of this work possible in the series on Remote Sensing and Digital Image Processing.

ESA is acknowledged for providing data of the ERS and ENVISAT sensors, and for the opportunity to work in the framework of the studies “Development of algorithms for the exploitation of ERS ENVISAT using the stable points network”, “Retrieval of new Bio- and Geophysical variables”, “Persistent Scatterer interferometry codes cross-comparison and certification for long term differential interferometry” (PSIC4), the “TerraFirma” project, and the category-one proposal “Atmospheric correction of interferometric data” (ACID).

But above all, I am grateful for the persisting support of my wife Jill.

Bert Kampes
Munich, March 2006

Summary

Persistent Scatterer Interferometry is the latest development in radar interferometric processing, which offers a practical way to reduce the main errors in conventional processing methods; temporal and geometrical decorrelation, and atmospheric artifacts. This is achieved by the analysis of the interferometric phase of individual long time-coherent scatterers in a stack of tens of differential interferograms with one master image. In this study the original PS technique is revisited and geodetic techniques are applied to improve the quality of estimated parameters that describe displacement. For this reason the STUN (Spatio-Temporal Unwrapping Network) algorithm is developed. The first step in this algorithm is to establish a reference network of coherent points. The points are initially selected based on their amplitude time series, which is expected to be related to the phase dispersion. A large number of estimations between points of the network are performed, followed by a least-squares adjustment to obtain the displacement and topography at the points. The estimations are performed between nearby points (distances less than ~ 2 km) in order to limit atmospheric signal, which could prevent successful estimation using the wrapped data. An alternative hypothesis testing strategy is carried out to identify incorrectly estimated parameters and incoherent points. The parameters are estimated with the integer least-squares estimator using the wrapped data. This estimator has the highest probability of finding the correct integer ambiguities for data with a multivariate normal distribution. A variance component model is developed to describe the dispersion of the double-differenced phase observations used in the estimation. This new model accounts for random noise and atmospheric signal at the acquisition times. The variance factors of the variance component model are estimated using the least-squares residuals of an initial estimation. The displacement is modeled using a linear combination of base functions. This generic approach allows for the estimation of non-linear displacements using wrapped data. Second—once the parameters at the points of the reference network are computed—more selected points are estimated with respect to the reference network. Based on the estimated a posteriori variance factor, a set of reliable points is selected

and a Minimal Cost Flow sparse grid phase unwrapping algorithm is used to obtain the unwrapped phase at these points. The final estimation is performed using the unwrapped data. The precision of the estimated parameters is described by the propagated variance-covariance matrix with respect to a chosen reference point.

The STUN algorithm is successfully applied to two urban test areas. Several tests are performed to assess the sensitivity of the algorithm to various parameters such as the number of available interferograms, the distance between points in the reference network, etc. The first test site, Berlin, was not expected to undergo significant displacements. It was selected to validate the developed algorithm and software. However, an uplift area is identified to the west of Berlin, with a maximum displacement rate of ~ 4 mm/y. Most likely, this uplift is related to underground gas storage at that location. Data of two adjacent tracks are used in a cross-comparison of the estimated displacement. Contrary, the second test site, Las Vegas, undergoes significant displacements. A combined linear and sinusoid displacement model is used to model the displacements. The maximum estimated subsidence rate is ~ 20 mm/y and the maximum amplitude of the seasonal component is ~ 20 mm. The results compare well with estimates by the reference PS technique. Finally, combined use of ERS and ENVISAT data is demonstrated.