THE CRITICS DEBATE

General Editor: Michael Scott

The Critics Debate

General Editor Michael Scott

Published titles

Sons and Lovers Geoffrey Harvey
Bleak House Jeremy Hawthorn
The Canterbury Tales Alcuin Blamires
Tess of the d'Urbervilles Terence Wright
The Waste Land and Ash Wednesday Arnold P. Hinchliffe
Paradise Lost Margarita Stocker
King Lear Ann Thompson
Othello Peter Davison
The Winter's Tale Bill Overton
Gulliver's Travels Brian Tippet
Blake: Songs of Innocence and Experience David Lindsay
Measure for Measure T. F. Wharton
Hamlet Michael Hattaway
The Tempest David Daniell
Coriolanus Bruce King
Wuthering Heights Peter Miles
The Metaphysical Poets Donald Mackenzie
The Great Gatsby Stephen Matterson
Heart of Darkness Robert Burden
To the Lighthouse Su Reid
Portrait of a Lady/Turn of the Screw David Kirby
Hard Times Allen Samuels
Philip Larkin Stephen Regan
Henry IV Parts I and II Ronald Knowles

Further titles are in preparation

HOWARDS END

Malcolm Page

M
MACMILLAN

© Malcolm Page 1993

All rights reserved. No reproduction, copy or transmission of this publication may be made without written permission.

No paragraph of this publication may be reproduced, copied or transmitted save with written permission or in accordance with the provisions of the Copyright Designs and Patents Act 1988, or under the terms of any licence permitting limited copying issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency, 90 Tottenham Court Road, London W1P 9HE.

Any person who does any unauthorised act in relation to this publication may be liable to criminal prosecution and civil claims for damages.

First published 1993 by
THE MACMILLAN PRESS LTD
Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire RG21 2XS
and London
Companies and representatives
throughout the world

ISBN 978-0-333-48849-2 ISBN 978-1-349-22551-4 (eBook)
DOI 10.1007/978-1-349-22551-4

A catalogue record for this book is available
from the British Library

Copy-edited and typeset by Cairns Craig Editorial, Edinburgh

To Antony

Contents

<i>General Editor's Preface</i>	9
<i>Introduction</i>	11

Part One: Survey

1 The Reputation of Forster and of <i>Howards End</i>	15
2 Are There Flaws of Plot and Characterisation?	17
3 Can a Feminist Like Forster?	32
4 Can a Marxist Like Margaret?	37
5 Who is Telling us the Story?	44
6 Are the Modes Mixed?	50
7 The End: Conclusion or Confusion?	52

Part Two: Appraisal

1 Aspects of the Style	61
2 The Good	64
3 The Ideas	79

<i>References</i>	93
<i>Index</i>	101

General Editor's Preface

OVER THE last few years the practice of literary criticism has become hotly debated. Methods developed earlier in the century and before have been attacked and the word 'crisis' has been drawn upon to describe the present condition of English Studies. That such a debate is taking place is a sign of the subject discipline's health. Some would hold that the situation necessitates a radical alternative approach which naturally implies a 'crisis situation'. Others would respond that to employ such terms is to precipitate or construct a false position. The debate continues but it is not the first. 'New Criticism' acquired its title because it attempted something fresh, calling into question certain practices of the past. Yet the practices it attacked were not entirely lost or negated by the new critics. One factor became clear: English Studies is a pluralistic discipline.

What are students coming to advanced work in English for the first time to make of all this debate and controversy? They are in danger of being overwhelmed by the cross-currents of critical approaches as they take up their study of literature. The purpose of this series is to help delineate various critical approaches to specific literary texts. Its authors are from a variety of critical schools and have approached their task in a flexible manner. Their aim is to help the reader come to terms with the variety of criticism and to introduce him or her to further reading on the subject and to a fuller evaluation of a particular text by illustrating the way it has been approached in a number of contexts. In the first part of the book a critical survey is given of some of the major ways the text has been appraised. This is done sometimes in a thematic manner, sometimes according to various 'schools' or 'approaches'. In the second part the authors provide their own appraisals of the text from their stated critical standpoint, allowing the reader the knowledge of their own particular approaches from which their views

may in turn be evaluated. The series therein hopes to introduce and to elucidate criticism of authors and texts being studied and to encourage participation as the critics debate.

Michael Scott