THE CRITICS DEBATE

General Editor: Michael Scott

The Critics Debate

General Editor Michael Scott

Published titles:

Sons and Lovers Geoffrey Harvey

Bleak House Jeremy Hawthorn

The Canterbury Tales Alcuin Blamires

Tess of the d'Urbervilles Terence Wright

Hamlet Michael Hathaway

The Waste Land and Ash Wednesday

Arnold P. Hinchliffe

THE WASTE LAND
and
ASH WEDNESDAY

Arnold P. Hinchliffe

M
MACMILLAN

© Arnold P. Hinchliffe 1987

Softcover reprint of the hardcover 1st edition 1987 978-0-333-37956-1

All rights reserved. No reproduction, copy or transmission of this publication may be made without written permission.

No paragraph of this publication may be reproduced, copied or transmitted save with written permission or in accordance with the provisions of the Copyright Act 1956 (as amended).

Any person who does any unauthorised act in relation to this publication may be liable to criminal prosecution and civil claims for damages.

First published 1987

Published by
Higher and Further Education Division
MACMILLAN PUBLISHERS LTD
Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire RG21 2XS
and London
Companies and representatives
throughout the world

Typeset by Wessex Typesetters
(Division of The Eastern Press Ltd)
Frome, Somerset

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data
Hinchliffe, Arnold P.

The Waste Land and Ash Wednesday.—
(The Critics debate)

1. Eliot, T.S. The Waste Land 2. Eliot, T.S.

Ash Wednesday

I. Title II. Series

821'.912 PS3509.L43W3

ISBN 978-0-333-37957-8 ISBN 978-1-349-18777-5 (eBook)

DOI 10.1007/978-1-349-18777-5

Contents

<i>General Editor's Preface</i>	7
Part One: Survey	9
<i>The Waste Land</i>	9
Introduction	9
Storm over <i>The Waste Land</i>	11
Milestones	14
The impersonal poet?	20
Cross-currents	31
Cross-roads	37
<i>Ash Wednesday</i>	42
Transitional	42
The religious poet	43
The religious poem	46
The impersonal–personal poet	51
Exegesis	52
Part Two: Appraisal	60
The problem	60
Plot and/or story	61
Allusions	67
Unity	70
Theme	77
<i>Bibliography</i>	81
<i>Index</i>	86

General Editor's Preface

OVER THE last few years the practice of literary criticism has become hotly debated. Methods developed earlier in the century and before have been attacked and the word 'crisis' has been drawn upon to describe the present condition of English Studies. That such a debate is taking place is a sign of the subject discipline's health. Some would hold that the situation necessitates a radical alternative approach which naturally implies a 'crisis situation'. Others would respond that to employ such terms is to precipitate or construct a false position. The debate continues but it is not the first. 'New Criticism' acquired its title because it attempted something fresh, calling into question certain practices of the past. Yet the practices it attacked were not entirely lost or negated by the new critics. One factor becomes clear: English Studies is a pluralistic discipline.

What are students coming to advanced work in English for the first time to make of all this debate and controversy? They are in danger of being overwhelmed by the cross-currents of critical approaches as they take up their study of literature. The purpose of this series is to help delineate various critical approaches to specific literary texts. Its authors are from a variety of critical schools and have approached their task in a flexible manner. Their aim is to help the reader come to terms with the variety of criticism and to introduce him or her to further reading on the subject and to a fuller evaluation of a particular text by illustrating the way it has been approached in a number of contexts. In the first part of the book a critical survey is given of some of the major ways the text has been appraised. This is done sometimes in a thematic manner, sometimes according to various 'schools' or 'approaches'. In the second part the

authors provide their own appraisals of the text from their stated critical standpoint, allowing the reader the knowledge of their own particular approaches from which their views may in turn be evaluated. The series therein hopes to introduce and to elucidate criticism of authors and texts being studied and to encourage participation as the critics debate.

Michael Scott