The Family: Change or Continuity?

The Family: Change or Continuity?

Faith Robertson Elliot

M
MACMILLAN
EDUCATION

© Faith Robertson Elliot 1986

All rights reserved. No reproduction, copy or transmission of this publication may be made without written permission.

No paragraph of this publication may be reproduced, copied or transmitted save with written permission or in accordance with the provisions of the Copyright Act 1956 (as amended).

Any person who does any unauthorised act in relation to this publication may be liable to criminal prosecution and civil claims for damages.

First published 1986

Published by
MACMILLAN EDUCATION LTD
Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire RG21 2XS
and London
Companies and representatives
throughout the world

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data
Elliot, Faith Robertson

The Family: change or continuity?

1. Family—Social aspects

I. Title

306.8'5'091821 HQ7B4

ISBN 978-0-333-32970-2

ISBN 978-1-349-18442-2 (eBook)

DOI 10.1007/978-1-349-18442-2

ISBN 978-0-333-32970-2 Pbk

For my family

Contents

<i>List of Tables and Figures</i>	x
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xi
1 Introduction	1
1.1 What is the family?	4
1.2 Sociology and the family	8
2 Family Structures: Biological or Social?	15
2.1 The nuclear family	16
2.2 The needs of the child	20
2.3 The sexual division of labour	25
2.4 Summary	32
3 The Development of the Modern Family	34
3.1 A functionalist approach: the work of Talcott Parsons	35
Parsons' thesis	35
Parsons challenged	38
3.2 Empirical accounts	42
Kinship in decline?	43
The changing role of the family	52
Summary	59
3.3 Marxist approaches	61
Engels	62
Contemporary accounts	63
The critics	65
3.4 Ideation and the conjugal family	68

4	Marriage, Parenthood and Gender Divisions	73
4.1	The nature and direction of change	73
	The pre-industrial period: the economic partnership of husband and wife	74
	The industrial period: the amplification of the housewife–mother role	77
	The post-Second World War period: a more symmetrical division of labour?	82
4.2	Theoretical perspectives	98
	Functional for the family and society?	99
	Supportive of capitalism?	101
	In the interests of men?	106
	The marriage of Marxism and feminism	109
5	The Conjugal Family: Haven or Prison?	115
5.1	A haven?	115
5.2	A prison?	119
	An instrument of capitalist oppression?	120
	Oppressive of individuality?	122
	An agency for the oppression of women?	126
5.3	A haven and a prison?	131
6	Remodelling the Conjugal Family	134
6.1	The institutionalisation of divorce	134
	The introduction and liberalisation of divorce law	135
	The incidence of divorce	138
	Explaining the resort to divorce	142
	The divorce experience	147
6.2	One-parent families	151
	Structural characteristics	156
	Tensions and contradictions	167
6.3	Remarriage families	169
	Structural characteristics	170
	The vulnerability of remarriage families	173
6.4	How much change?	175

7	The Search For Alternatives to the Family	177
7.1	The development of alternative life-style ideologies	178
7.2	Cohabitation	180
	Structural characteristics	181
	Sources of tension	187
7.3	Same-sex pairings	188
	Structural characteristics	189
	Sources of tension	195
7.4	Group living	196
	Structural characteristics	197
	Sources of tension	200
7.5	Family alternatives: a success story?	202
	The failure of the alternative-life style endeavour	203
	The pro-family movement	205
8	Epilogue	207
	<i>Bibliography</i>	211
	<i>Author Index</i>	224
	<i>Subject Index</i>	229

List of Tables and Figures

Tables

4.1 Post-Second World War fertility trends: England and Wales	88
4.2 Mean age of women at birth of first child in marriage, England and Wales, 1973 and 1983	88
4.3 Representation of women in trade unions	94
4.4 Female membership of selected professional institutes or associations, 1983	95
4.5 Appointments to public bodies, 1983	96
6.1 The increase in divorce in England and Wales, 1911-83	139
6.2 Grounds on which divorce was granted, England and Wales, 1983	140
6.3 Social class variations in divorce, England and Wales, 1961	146
6.4 Social class variations in divorce in England and Wales, 1979	146
6.5 Families with dependent children by type and, for lone mothers, by marital status: Great Britain, 1971-83	153
6.6 One- and two-parent families by main source of income: Great Britain, 1979	163
7.1 Percentage of women who were married or cohabiting at the time of the survey, by age group: selected countries of Western Europe	182

Figures

6.1 Divorce rates: international comparisons	141
6.2 Legitimate live births: by conception inside or outside marriage, social class of father and age of mother, 1973 and 1981, England and Wales	148
6.3 Extra-marital conceptions by outcome, 1971 and 1981, Great Britain	154

Acknowledgements

Robert Chester, Paul Close, Janet Finch, Chris Harris and David Morgan read first or early drafts of particular chapters of this book, while Stephen Edgell, John Selby and Nick Tilley read the penultimate draft of the whole. I am grateful to them all for their constructive, albeit sometimes critical, but always useful comments, for their time and encouragement. There are others who in different ways have also contributed to the production of this book and whom I wish to thank: Robert C. Elliot for stimulating my interest in current developments in family law; my colleagues in the Department of Applied Social Studies, Coventry (Lanchester) Polytechnic – in particular Ken Blakemore, Juliet Edmonds and Brian Ranson, and Robert Gingell in the Department of Legal Studies – who share my interest in the family and in gender for the discussions I have had with them in general or on particular chapters; my students for their interest in this project, in particular Derek Smith and Dave Richardson who commented on one chapter from a student viewpoint; the Polytechnic Library staff for their exceptional helpfulness, courtesy and efficiency; and Sue Page for the equability and skill with which she deciphered my nearly illegible handwriting, typed and retyped the early drafts and produced the final typescript.

FAITH ROBERTSON ELLIOT

The author and publishers wish to thank those who have kindly given permission for the use of copyright material in charts and tables, namely: *The British Journal of Sociology* and Routledge & Kegan Paul for Table 6.3; The Equal Opportunities Commission for Tables 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5; The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office for Tables 4.1, 6.6 and 7.1 and for Figures 6.2 and 6.3; *Population Studies* for Table 6.4; The Registrar General for Tables 6.2 and 6.5; The Statistical Office of the European Communities for Figure 6.1.