

Policy Debates on Hydraulic Fracturing

Christopher M. Weible • Tanya Heikkila • Karin Ingold • Manuel Fischer
Editors

Policy Debates on Hydraulic Fracturing

Comparing Coalition Politics in
North America and Europe

palgrave
macmillan

Editors

Christopher M. Weible
University of Colorado - Denver
Denver, Colorado, USA

Tanya Heikkila
University of Colorado - Denver
Denver, Colorado, USA

Karin Ingold
University of Bern and Eawag
Bern / Dübendorf, Switzerland

Manuel Fischer
Eawag and University of Bern
Dübendorf / Bern, Switzerland

ISBN 978-1-137-60376-0 ISBN 978-1-137-59574-4 (eBook)
DOI 10.1057/978-1-137-59574-4

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016953724

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s) 2016

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use. The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Cover design by Samantha Johnson

Printed on acid-free paper

This Palgrave Macmillan imprint is published by Springer Nature
The registered company is Nature America Inc. New York

To
Paul Sabatier
for his unwavering belief that
clarity begets clarity and mush begets mush.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The editors thank the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation for funding, Eawag (Swiss Federal Institute for Water Science and Technology) for hosting the authors' workshop, and the International Conference of Public Policy (ICPP) and the American Political Science Association (APSA) for hosting follow-up workshops. We are also grateful to Juniper Katz and Kathleen Bailey for their assistance in editing the final manuscript, as well as to all country chapter authors for making this exciting comparative endeavor happen.

CONTENTS

1	Introduction	1
	Christopher M. Weible, Tanya Heikkila, Karin Ingold, and Manuel Fischer	
2	Contours of Coalition Politics on Hydraulic Fracturing Within the United States of America	29
	Tanya Heikkila and Christopher M. Weible	
3	Advocacy Coalitions, the Media, and Hydraulic Fracturing in the Canadian Provinces of British Columbia and Quebec	53
	Éric Montpetit, Erick Lachapelle, and Alexandre Harvey	
4	Hydraulic Fracturing Policy in the United Kingdom: Coalition, Cooperation, and Opposition in the Face of Uncertainty	81
	Paul Cairney, Manuel Fischer, and Karin Ingold	
5	The French Ban on Hydraulic Fracturing and the Attempts to Reverse It: Social Mobilization, Professional Forums, and Coalition Strategies	115
	Sébastien Chailleux and Stéphane Moyson	

6	Advocacy Coalition Politics and Strategies on Hydraulic Fracturing in Sweden	147
	Daniel Nohrstedt and Kristin Olofsson	
7	The Politics of Hydraulic Fracturing in Germany: Party Competition at Different Levels of Government	177
	Jale Tosun and Achim Lang	
8	Belief Conflicts and Coalition Structures Driving Subnational Policy Responses: The Case of Swiss Regulation of Unconventional Gas Development	201
	Karin Ingold and Manuel Fischer	
9	Assessments and Aspirations	239
	Karin Ingold, Manuel Fischer, Tanya Heikkila, and Christopher M. Weible	
	Index	265

ABOUT THE CONTRIBUTORS

Paul Cairney is Professor of Politics and Public Policy at the University of Stirling, UK. His research interests are in comparative public policy, including comparisons of policy theories, methods, the use of evidence, policy outcomes in different countries, and comparisons of UK and devolved policymaking. His twitter handle is @Cairneypaul and his blog is at <https://paulcairney.wordpress.com/>

Sébastien Chailieux is a research fellow at Bordeaux University (Centre Emile Durkheim). He did his Ph.D. on political framings of the shale gas controversy in France and Québec (Canada) (2011–2015), in a co-direction between Université Laval (Québec) and Sciences Po Bordeaux. He also works in a research program on social acceptability of coalbed methane exploration in France with the Lorraine University. He is currently looking for an academic position to use his fracking expertise.

Manuel Fischer is a tenure track researcher at the Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology (Eawag) and lecturer at the Institute of Political Science at the University of Bern. His studies concern complex political decision-making processes and new institutional arrangements, with a focus on environmental policy. His methodological expertise includes qualitative comparative analysis and network analysis. He thinks Swiss fondue containing undisclosed mixes of cheese and alcohols is the perfect fracking liquid, and he would be delighted to figure as an academic expert for finding the perfect mix.

Alexandre Harvey is completing a master's degree in political science at the Université de Montréal. He is interested in the politics of knowledge and examines the changes in expert networks between the beginning and the end of a comprehensive environmental assessment of shale gas development in Quebec

conducted in 2011–2013. He plans to begin a Ph.D. in 2016, hopefully with money from one of this book's contributors!

Tanya Heikkila is Professor at the University of Colorado Denver's School of Public Affairs. She also co-directs the Workshop on Policy Process Research (WOPPR), enjoys biking to work, and is a Leo. Her research and teaching focus on environmental policy and governance, specifically on institutions for coordinating and collaborating across boundaries and for resolving environmental conflicts. Her research has explored environmental collaboration and conflicts related to water resources, large-scale ecosystem restoration, and oil and gas development. She thinks this is one of the best fracking books you will find.

Karin Ingold is Associate Professor at the Institute of Political Science and the Oeschger Centre for Climate Change Research at the University of Bern. She is also the head of the Policy Analysis and Environmental Governance Cluster at the Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology (Eawag). In her research, she focuses on questions related to environmental policy design, mostly applying tools borrowed from social network analysis. She is on the scientific board of the Policy Studies and Policy & Politics Journals, and the European Social Network Analysis and the Environmental Policy and Governance Conferences. She hopes that the book sells well to compensate for the paperwork in getting a US tax ID.

Erick Lachapelle is a die-hard Montreal Canadiens fan and Assistant Professor of Political Science at l'Université de Montréal. He is also the principal investigator for the National Surveys of Canadian Public Opinion on Climate Change. His research interests are in comparative environmental policy, public opinion, and research methods. Specifically, his research explores the role of institutional, social, and cognitive factors in shaping policy actors' beliefs and public policy. More of his research can be found on his website at <http://www.ericklachapelle.com>.

Achim Lang is the head of the working group on Public Governance at the School of Management and Law at the Zurich University of Applied Sciences. His research interest includes health technology as well as energy politics, with a particular emphasis on policy instrument choice, regulatory impact assessment, and complex interest group interactions.

Éric Montpetit is a passionate scuba diver who also teaches political science at the Université de Montréal. His research is on the politics of scientific expertise, exploring how science and politics influence each other. His work sheds light on a diversity of topic areas, including biotechnology, water protection, soil contamination, and now hydraulic fracturing. He believes that the beauty of the shale formations at the bottom of the St. Lawrence River makes every dive worthwhile, but he has difficulty finding coalition partners to dive in cold water!

Stéphane Moyson is a postdoctoral fellow at the Department of Public Administration of the Erasmus University Rotterdam. Stéphane is a researcher in behavioral public policy and administration. His first research area concerns policy actors, in particular knowledge use, policy learning, and policy change in economic and technical sectors. His second research area concerns public employees, in particular their organizational socialization, their public service motivation, and their interactions with citizens. More info at <http://www.stephanemoyson.com>. Stéphane participated in this book project because he is conducting a secret, ethnological study on the best way to become a distinguished advocacy coalition framework (ACF) researcher. The other contributors of this book are excellent empirical examples.

Daniel Nohrstedt is Associate Professor of Political Science at the Department of Government at Uppsala University, where he is also the Director of Studies in the Center for Natural Disaster Science (CNDS). His research interests include public policy, crisis and disaster management, and collaborative governance. He has recently honed his skills in dealing with picky book editors and spends his spare time reading tweets by @Cairnepaul.

Kristin Olofsson is a research assistant and Ph.D. student at the School of Public Affairs at the University of Colorado Denver. She enjoys exploring the ways in which organizations participate in the policy process and enhancing our understanding of governance through comparative studies. Her research interests include comparative studies, political economy, the international policy process, and energy policy. After contributing to one of the best fracking books using the ACF, her only recourse is through a warm cup of glögg while pondering comparative studies of Swedish public policy.

Jale Tosun is Professor of Political Science at the Institute of Political Science at Heidelberg University. Her research interests comprise comparative public policy, comparative and international political economy, risk governance, public administration, and European integration. She is an associate editor of the journal *Policy Sciences*.

Christopher M. Weible is Professor with the School of Public Affairs at the University of Colorado Denver. He co-directs the WOPPR and serves as the co-editor for the *Policy Studies Journal*. His research focuses on conflict and cooperation in environmental issues as well as on advancing theories of the policy process. He is currently coding the behavior of his cats toward a better theory of mindful living.

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 1.1	Flow diagram of the Advocacy Coalition Framework	6
Fig. 2.1	Percentage of respondents per position on hydraulic fracturing per state	38
Fig. 2.2	Perceived problems from least disagreement to most disagreement	39
Fig. 2.3	Percentage of coalition members reporting regular interaction with other types of actor groups	41
Fig. 3.1	Percentage of actors holding supportive or opposing beliefs	64
Fig. 3.2	Collaboration that industry and environmental groups claim to have with other actors	66
Fig. 3.3	Percentage of times actors are cited in support of shale gas development	67
Fig. 3.4	Average number of articles published per newspaper in Quebec and BC between 2010 and 2014	69
Fig. 3.5	The importance of three activities in achieving policy goals by actor	72
Fig. 5.1	Hydrocarbon resources in France	116
Fig. 6.1	Individual-level network	159
Fig. 6.2	Organizational-level network	162
Fig. 6.3	Strategy choice 2010–2014	165
Fig. 7.1	Number of FAZ statements per month	187
Fig. 7.2	Actor congruence networks	188
Fig. 8.1	Positions, preferences, and threat perception in Neuchâtel	215
Fig. 8.2	Policy positions, preferences, and threat perception in Bern	218
Fig. 8.3	Policy positions, preferences, and threat perception in Vaud	221

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	Political system structure and subsystem level across the seven countries	15
Table 2.1	Mean reported levels of resource capacity by coalition per state, with totals	42
Table 2.2	Comparing mean reported levels of importance or frequency of activities between coalitions	43
Table 4.1	Average agreement within and disagreement between coalitions	91
Table 4.2	Beliefs of coalitions	92
Table 4.3	Average political information exchange	95
Table 4.4	Average technical information exchange	96
Table 5.1	Hydraulic fracturing in France: Milestones of the policy process	120
Table 5.2	ACF analysis of the French policy process of hydraulic fracturing (2008–2015)	121
Table 6.1	Organization type and political strategies	163
Table 7.1	Statements by the two actor clusters and degree of agreement (in %; absolute numbers in parenthesis)	191
Table 7.2	Public support for hydraulic fracturing (in %)	194
Table 8.1	Cases, policy output, and degree of change	211
Table 8.2	Coalitions in Neuchâtel	214
Table 8.3	Within—and across—coalition densities, Neuchâtel	216
Table 8.4	Coalitions in Bern	217
Table 8.5	Within—and across—coalition densities, Bern	219
Table 8.6	Coalitions in Vaud	220
Table 8.7	Within—and across—coalition densities, Vaud	222
Table 9.1	Policy and hydraulic fracturing status as of 2015	255
Table 9.2	Methods of data collection and analysis	259