International Education Programs and Political Influence

This page intentionally left blank

International Education Programs and Political Influence

Manufacturing Sympathy?

Iain Wilson

palgrave
macmillan

INTERNATIONAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS AND POLITICAL INFLUENCE
Copyright © Iain Wilson, 2014.

Softcover reprint of the hardcover 1st edition 2014 978-1-137-36628-3

All rights reserved.

First published in 2014 by PALGRAVE MACMILLAN® in the United States—a division of St. Martin's Press LLC, 175 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10010.

Where this book is distributed in the UK, Europe, and the rest of the world, this is by Palgrave Macmillan, a division of Macmillan Publishers Limited, registered in England, company number 785998, of Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire RG21 6XS.

Palgrave Macmillan is the global academic imprint of the above companies and has companies and representatives throughout the world.

Palgrave® and Macmillan® are registered trademarks in the United States, the United Kingdom, Europe and other countries.

ISBN 978-1-349-47413-4

ISBN 978-1-137-34967-5 (eBook)

DOI 10.1057/9781137349675

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Wilson, Iain (Research fellow)

International education programs and political influence: manufacturing sympathy? / Iain Wilson.

pages cm

Includes bibliographical references.

1. Student exchange programs—Political aspects. 2. Student exchange programs—Finance—Government policy. 3. College student mobility—Political aspects. 4.—ERASMUS (Organization) I. Title.

LB2375.W55 2014

370.116'2—dc23

2013041966

A catalogue record of the book is available from the British Library.

Design by Amnet.

First edition: April 2014

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Contents

List of Tables	vii
List of Figures	ix
Acknowledgments	xi
Introduction	1
1 Political Expectations	9
2 Can We Infer That Mobility Has Political Impact? Some Historical Case Studies	19
3 How Strong Is the Evidence of Political Impact?	47
4 How Can We Detect Short-Term Impact (and What Does That Mean)?	59
5 Short-Term Impacts of Erasmus Mobility	87
6 Varieties of Experience	139
7 Individual Perspectives	151
8 Impact over Decades	175
Conclusion	193
Appendices	203
Notes	205
References	215
Index	227

This page intentionally left blank

List of Tables

4.1	Overall attrition, Erasmus panel	81
5.1	Attrition diagnostics on cognitive mobilization	89
5.2	Attrition diagnostics on Moreno question	91
5.3	Attrition diagnostics on World Values	95
5.4	Attrition diagnostics on party politics	101
5.5	Erasmus/Non-Erasmus status and change in voting intentions	103
5.6	Differential attrition on party placement	104
5.7	French students' support for right-wing parties	106
5.8	Attrition diagnostics on foreign affairs	109
5.9	Attrition diagnostics on demographics	111
5.10	Attrition diagnostics on policy transfer from host	117
5.11	Change in approval of copying host country policies	118
5.12	Change between yes/no responses, policy transfer from host	119
5.13	Changes from "don't know" to all other responses, policy transfer	119
5.14	Approval of transferring specific policies to home, after the Erasmus year, not significantly associated with Erasmus status	120
5.15	Changes on policy transfer, home to host	122
5.16	Yes/No movement on policy transfer from home	123
5.17	Know/Don't know movement on policy transfer from home	123

5.18	Attrition diagnostics for host country attitudes	124
5.19	Differential attrition in attitude to host	131
5.20	Summary of changes	134 and 135
6.1	Logistic regression model, probability of making host-national friends	149
6.2	Logistic Regression Standard Errors	150
7.1	Consent to interview and change in view of host country, no significant correlation	152

List of Figures

5.1	Group means on the Moreno question do not diverge	92
5.2	Group means for tolerance converge	97
5.3	Views on redistribution did not diverge significantly	98
5.4	A chart summarizing the differential attrition in party placement	105
5.5	Divergence in left-right placement appears to be insignificant	107
5.6	Erasmus students' knowledge of the host language increased	113
5.7	Divergence in understanding of the host's foreign policy	126
5.8	Perceived understanding of host nationals diverged	127
5.9	Interest in learning more converged	129
5.10	Apparent lack of divergence in attitudes to the host	130
5.11	Differential attrition on attitude to the host country	132

This page intentionally left blank

Acknowledgments

One of the best parts of writing this book was discovering how many people were willing to help out for no benefit to them. In particular, I would like to thank my academic supervisors at various stages in the project's gestation: James Vaughan and Roger Scully at Aberystwyth, Elizabeth Bomberg at Edinburgh, and Brian Hogwood at Strathclyde. Rob Johns, David Sanders, and Mick Cox also offered some very helpful academic guidance.

The largest group of contributors—the hundreds of students who took the time to reply to the various surveys—is too often neglected, but they really did make this work possible. I should also offer thanks to the “gatekeepers” who helped me to reach the survey participants. Lottie Bulman at the German Academic Exchange Service, Chris Armstrong at Leeds University, Mary Denyer and Natasha Bevan at the Marshall Fund, Chad Galts at Brown University, and Patricia Rea at the University of Pennsylvania helped with the pilot. Dozens of universities' international officers and departmental secretaries in Reading and Leeds, as well as Anders Ahlstrand of the Swedish Programkontoret, helped to distribute the main survey. While most of the interviewees must remain anonymous, they know who they are. Sir Christopher Mallaby offered particularly helpful advice, and kindly allowed me to name him in the text.

The nature of this project made language skills greatly desirable, and unfortunately mine are a little limited. Ute Barrett at Glasgow, Wolfgang Mühlbauer (then a PhD student at the Technical University of Munich), and Ludwig Gelot converted my translations into something native speakers might recognize. I would also like to thank Emmanuel Sigalas and Paul Marion for passing on copies of their unpublished theses.

The team at Palgrave and the anonymous reviewers have helped to convert my turgid writing into something which I hope is at least a little more palatable. I am especially grateful to Sarah Nathan, Mara Berkoff, and Scarlet Neath.

This research could not have been completed without the financial support of the UK Economic and Social Research Council.

Finally, thanks to Gemma and my family for everything else.

All interpretations and of course all errors are my own.