

Index

A

ADB. *See* Asian Development Bank (ADB)

Aid policies

CCAD and USEPA, 299–300

China's legislative approach, 301

domestic site contamination, 301–302

Dutch Soil Policy, 300–301

PROARCA, 299

PROLEGIS, 299

USAID/PROARCA program, 299

Alternatives to international law

bilateral aid initiatives (*see* Aid policies)

codes of practice (*see* Codes of Practice)

comparative evaluation, 307–309

description, 291

global and regional lending institutions

(*see* Global and regional lending institutions)

ISO Standards

(*see* International Standards)

legal harmonisation, 291

MEA, 291–292

model law, site contamination

(*see* Model law)

Amparo, J.M.S., 34

Anderson, R.C., 319

Asian Development Bank (ADB), 293–294

B

Backer, L.C., 220, 234

Bardos, R.P., 21, 26, 30

Batjes, N.H., 90

Bedner, A., 223

Bergkamp, L., 205

Berveling, S., 199, 212, 217, 357

Bilateral aid agreements, 224–225

Binding international instrument

advantages, treaty, 277

CBD, 275–277

IUCN and IUSS, 275

POPs Convention, 274

'spillover effects', 274

stakeholders, 274

'stand alone' treaty, 274

state sovereignty, 275

'treaty fatigue', 276

UNCCD, 276

Blacksmith Institute's Toxic Sites

Identification Program, 322

Boer, B., 45, 47, 54, 55, 265, 266, 276, 306

Bottom-up harmonisation, 222–223

guidelines, 361–362

IUCN Commission on

Environmental Law, 363

prior assessment process, 362

Bouma, J., 90

Boyle, A.E., 79, 250, 277, 278

Breetz, W., 333

British Columbia (Canada), case studies

analysis

clearly-defined role, private

professionals, 190

institutional controls, 189

provisions for voluntary remediation,

188–189

regulatory deficiencies and lessons

learned, 190

site registry, 188

use of 'site profiles', 188

background, 176

Brownfield measures, 184–185

definition, 'site contamination', 177

future liability for contamination, 185

- British Columbia (Canada) (*cont.*)
 government responsibility
 for remediation, 177
 post-remediation measures, 185–186
 private responsibility for
 remediation, 177–178
 public participation
 consultation, 187
 site register, 186–187
 remediation options, 183
 remediation process, 182
 remediation standards, 182–183
 role, private professionals, 178–179
 site
 identification, 179–180
 investigation, 180
 risk assessment, 181
 technical standards, 180–181
 summary of legislation, 176
 voluntary remediation, 183–184
- Brownfield measures
 British Columbia
 (Canada), 184–185
 definition, Brownfield sites, 330
 description, 116
 development, 330
 Germany, 133
 land use controls, 331
 Massachusetts (United States), 172
 policy, 331
 redevelopment, 331–332
 redevelopment initiatives, 117
 residual issues, 330–331
 United States, 153–154
 universal definition, 330
- Brunnée, J., 249, 251
 Busch, P.O., 220, 222, 224
- C**
 Cairney, T., 235
 CARACAS. *See* Concerted Action on Risk
 Assessment for Contaminated Sites
 in the European Union (CARACAS)
 Carlon, C., 324
 Case studies
 British Columbia (Canada)
 (*see* British Columbia (Canada),
 case studies)
 Germany (*see* Germany, case studies)
 Massachusetts (United States) (*see*
 Massachusetts (United States), case
 studies)
- United States (*see* United States, case
 studies)
 CBD. *See* Convention on Biological Diversity
 (CBD)
 CCAD. *See* Commission on the Environment
 and Development (CCAD)
 Chemicals and contaminants
 heavy metals, 263–264
 Mercury (*see* Mercury)
 POPs convention (*see* Persistent Organic
 Pollutants (POPs Convention))
 REACH (*see* Regulation on Chemicals
 and Their Safe Use (REACH))
 SAICM (*see* Strategic Approach to
 International Chemicals
 Management (SAICM))
 UNECE, 255
- Civil liability
 environmental harm, 80
 international level
 compensation and reparation, damage,
 83
 Draft Principles, 84
 polluter pays principle, 81–82
 UNEP Draft Guidelines, 82–83
 regional level
 ELD, 86
 Lugano Convention, 86
- Codes of Practice, 303–304
 Command and control, 211
 Commission on the Environment and
 Development (CCAD), 299
- Common concern
 biodiversity, 251
 climate change and biodiversity, 253
 climate change and ozone depletion, 251
 collective environmental concerns, 249
 international environmental conventions
 and soft law, 250
 marine pollution, 251, 252
 soil protection, 251
 soil quality, 250
- Comprehensive Environmental Response,
 Compensation and Liability Act
 (CERCLA), 29, 108–109
 Concerted Action on Risk Assessment for
 Contaminated Sites in the European
 Union (CARACAS), 324–325
 Consistent approaches to site contamination,
 lack of, 357–358
 Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)
 financial assistance, 275–276
 negotiations, 275

- Parties, 70
- principles, 70
- site contamination, 276
- and UNFCCC, 252
- Cooperative Research Centre for
 - Contamination Assessment and Remediation of the Environment (CRC CARE), 307
- D**
- deLisle, J., 233
- Diffuse contamination, 7
- Diffusion
 - cross-level, 223
 - description, 221
 - horizontal process, 220
 - and imposition, 220
 - international policy, 220–221
- Draft European Soils Directive
 - administrative and cost burdens, 268
 - contaminated land provisions, 268
 - description, 267–268
 - draft protocol, 270–271
 - NICOLE, 269–270
 - public awareness, 269
 - UK government, 268–269
- Draft Soil Directive
 - contamination, 50
 - Czech Presidency, 52
 - nature and natural resources conservation
 - African Convention, 54
 - ASEAN Agreement, 52–53
 - environmental degradation, 53
 - requirement, 51
- Drumbl, M.A., 79
- E**
- EAPs. *See* Environmental Action Plans (EAPs)
- Eccleston, C.H., 230
- Economic factors, remediation
 - costs, 27
 - expensive process, 26
 - financial capability, 26
 - implementation, polluter pays principle, 28
 - intervention measures, 26
 - liability, scientific values and piecemeal approach, 27–28
 - multinational corporations and international organisations, 26
 - private funding, 27
 - public and private funded site, 26
 - regulation, 27
 - responsibility, 28
- ELD. *See* Environmental Liability Directive (ELD)
- Ellis, J., 60
- Emulation
 - market pressure, 231
 - ‘State of the Art’ practices (*see* ‘State of the Art’ practices)
- Environmental Action Plans (EAPs), 292
- Environmental and Social Action Plans (ESAPs), 292
- Environmental harm, 11
- Environmental impact assessment (EIA), 223
- Environmental law
 - chemical waste dumping site, US, 2
 - description, 1
 - development, late 1960s and early 1970s, 2
 - first and second generation law, 3
 - Fischer landfill site, Austria, 2
 - heavy soil contamination, Netherlands, 2
 - Housing and Town Planning Act, 1
 - man-made, 3
 - pollution, fourteenth century, 1
 - rural and urban areas, 3
 - terminology (*see* Terminology)
- Environmental Liability Directive (ELD), 86
- Environmental protection
 - bilateral level, 77–78
 - binding and non-binding instruments, 69
 - international level
 - Agenda 21, 72–73
 - CBD, 69–70
 - ISO 14000 Standards, 75
 - IUCN Draft International Covenant, 73
 - precautionary principle, 70–71
 - Rio Declaration, 72
 - Stockholm Declaration, 72
 - UNEP Montevideo Programme, 74–75
 - regional level
 - NAAEC, 77
 - SPREP Convention, 77
 - UNECE Convention, 76–77
- Environmental Protection Act
 - 1990, UK, 5–6, 16, 28
- ERDF. *See* European Regional Development Fund (ERDF)
- ESAPs. *See* Environmental and Social Action Plans (ESAPs)
- Espoo Convention on Environmental Impact Assessment, 225

Establishment of a European
Pollutant Release and Transfer
Register (EPRT) Regulation, 67

European Bank for Reconstruction and
Development (EBRD)
ESAPs, 292
loans, 293
'upgrading', hazardous waste, 293

European Framework Directive on Soil
Protection, 5

European Groundwater Directive, 5

European Regional Development Fund
(ERDF), 293

Extension, site contamination
geographical locations and trends, 17
site numbers and land mass affects
comprehensive and systematic
survey, 18–19
difficulties, identification, 19–20
estimation, 18, 20
inventories, 20
lack of information, 20
TSI Program, 18
UNEP-funded project, 18

F

Faure, M.G., 204

Fazio, S., 219, 220, 222,
224, 225, 235, 237, 251

Feasibility, 247

Federal Brownfields program, 136–137

Federal/Länder coordination, 135

Fitter, A., 250, 251

Flexibility
consultation and revision
process, 343
harmonised approach, 237
international response, 248
RCRA Brownfield site, 153
SBRM, 325
soft law instruments, 277
stakeholders, 189
voluntary remediation, 171

Food and Agriculture
Organization (FAO), 239

Fowler, R., 203

Futrell, J.W., 306

Future liability for contamination
British Columbia (Canada), 185
Germany, 133
Massachusetts (United States), 172
United States, 154–155

G

GAHP. *See* Global Alliance on Health
and Pollution (GAHP)

GEF. *See* Global Environment Facility (GEF)

Germany, case studies
background, 126
definition, 'site contamination', 127
federal/Länder coordination, 135
future liability for contamination, 133
government responsibility for remediation,
127–128
inclusion, technical standards
in legislation, 136
lack
federal Brownfields program, 136–137
national register, 137
public consultation provisions, 137
mechanisms, imposing responsibility, 136
post-remediation measures, 133–134
private responsibility for remediation,
128–129
public participation
consultation, 134
site register, 134
remediation
options, 132
orphan sites, 135–136
process, 131–132
standards, 132
role, private professionals, 129
site
identification, 129
investigation, 130
site-based risk assessment, 131
summary of legislation, 126–127
technical standards, 130–131
voluntary remediation/Brownfield
measures, 133

Gkoutzimis, A., 220, 234

Global Alliance on Health and Pollution
(GAHP), 25–26, 298

Global and regional lending institutions
Absheron project, 296
ADB, 293–294
description, 292
EAPs and ESAPs, 292
EBRD, 292
'Environment Strategy 2012–2022', 297
ERDF, 293
GAHP, 298
GEF, 293
groundwater contamination, 297
'international experience', 296

- MIGA, 297
 Pollution Management Sourcebook, 298
 PS1, PS3 and PS4, 297
 'Safeguard Policy Statement', 293–294
 World Bank, 293
 World Bank financing, site remediation in
 Azerbaijan, 294–296
 Global Environment Facility (GEF), 293
 Global Ministerial Environment Forum
 (GMEF), 261
 Global pollution remediation fund, 24
 GMEF. *See* Global Ministerial Environment
 Forum (GMEF)
 Government responsibility for remediation
 British Columbia (Canada), 177
 Germany, 127–128
 Massachusetts (United States), 166
 United States, 141–142
 Guzman, A.T., 220
- H**
 Hannam, I., 45, 47, 55, 265, 266, 276, 306
 Hanrahan, D., 26
 Harmonisation
 activities, industry groups and
 multinational companies, 241–242
 administrative infrastructures and
 authorities, 236
 advantages, 237, 358–359
 assumptions, 221
 binding protocol, 224
 bottom-up, 361–363
 definition, 219
 developing countries, 223–224
 diffusion (*see* Diffusion)
 distinction and effects, 219
 emerging actors, 240
 emulation
 market pressure, 231
 'State of the Art', 229–231
 environmental impact
 assessment (EIA), 223
 'Environment standard', 240
 FAO, 239
 framework, 218
 generic legislation, 217
 guidelines/recommendations
 domestic approaches, 225
 limitations, 227
 OECD and World Bank, 225
 POPs and Toolkit, UNIDO, 225–227
 Stockholm Convention, 225
 imposition, 220–221
 ISO Standards, 227–228
 IUCN, 238–239, 241
 justifications (*see* Justifications,
 harmonisation)
 lack of legitimacy, 235–236
 lack of public awareness, 237
 loan conditions and bilateral aid
 agreements, 224–225
 local regulation, 240
 model law/policy, 228
 NATO, 239–240
 policy convergence, 221–222
 qualifications and limitations, 220, 234–235
 scope and proponents, 219
 soil protection, 237
 sources and soft law, 222
 stakeholders, 243
 sterilising, 236
 top-down, 359–361
 "top-down" and "bottom-up", 222–223
 'trial and error', process, 218
 UNEP/ADEME Manual, 238
 universal application, 236–237
 voluntary and mandatory measures, 222
 voluntary remediation, 218
 World Bank, 239, 242
 Health and Pollution Fund (HPF), 25
 Hobson, D.M., 235
 Hourcle, L.R., 163
 HPF. *See* Health and Pollution Fund (HPF)
- I**
 ICCL. *See* International Committee on
 Contaminated Land (ICCL)
 Identification, site contamination
 'Black-smith Scale', 322
 'double inventory' system, 321
 financial and technical capacity, 321–322
 government authorities and
 stakeholders, 322
 proactive and reactive, 320–321
 site register, 321
 IFCS. *See* Intergovernmental Forum on
 Chemical Safety (IFCS)
 ILO. *See* International Labour
 Organization (ILO)
 INC. *See* Intergovernmental Negotiating
 Committee (INC)
 Inter-generational impacts, 354
 Intergovernmental Forum on Chemical
 Safety (IFCS), 256

- Intergovernmental Negotiating Committee (INC), 256–257
 - Intergovernmental Programme on Chemical Safety (IPCS), 255
 - International Committee on Contaminated Land (ICCL), 240, 338
 - International Cyanide Management Code, 222
 - International dialogue, 354
 - International instrument
 - agreement, 248
 - binding international instrument (*see* Binding international instrument)
 - ‘command and control’ approach, 282
 - common forum, 247
 - description, 247
 - developed and developing countries, 248
 - domestic laws, 247
 - financial and technical mechanisms, 283
 - financial assistance and liability relief, 282
 - framework treaty, 273
 - hard/soft law, 273
 - ‘orphan’ sites, 282
 - political awareness, 281
 - rapid urbanisation, 280
 - Regimes (*see* International Regimes)
 - regional approach, 280
 - site contamination (*see* Site contamination)
 - soft law options (*see* Soft law instruments)
 - soil types and uses, 281
 - technical and financial capacity, 248
 - ‘toolbox’ of measures, 282
 - International Labour Organization (ILO), 255
 - International law
 - bilateral law, 43
 - contamination, 41
 - customary law, 44
 - environmental protection (*see* Environmental protection)
 - liability (*see* Liability)
 - pollution and pollutants (*see* Pollution and pollutants)
 - regional law, 43
 - soft law, 44
 - soil protection (*see* Soil protection)
 - International law and policy, lack of, 354–356
 - International Organisation, model law
 - Basel Convention, 340
 - Common Forum, European Soils Directive, 339
 - hazardous waste management, 339–340
 - ICCL, 338
 - IUCN, 341–342
 - OSCE and UNEP, 341
 - UNCCD, 340–341
 - International Regimes
 - chemicals and contaminants (*see* Chemicals and contaminants)
 - description, 254
 - hard and soft laws, 273
 - soils/degradation (*see* Soils/degradation)
 - UNEP (*see* United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP))
 - International Soil Conservation Organization (ISCO), 240
 - International Standards, 302–303
 - International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN), 238–239, 241
 - Academy of Environmental Law, 342
 - developing and promoting, benefit, 342
 - soil legislation, 335
 - International Union of Soil Sciences (IUSS)
 - IUCN work, 265
 - soil degradation control, 257–258
 - Investigation process
 - administrative orders, 322
 - description, 315
 - ecological health, 323
 - emergency measures, 323
 - political and legal conditions, 322–323
 - screening values, 323–324
 - technical expertise and financial resources, 324
 - IPCS. *See* Intergovernmental Programme on Chemical Safety (IPCS)
 - ISO Standards, 227–228
 - IUCN. *See* International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN)
 - IUSS. *See* International Union of Soil Sciences (IUSS)
- J**
- Jörgens, H., 220, 222, 224
 - Judy, M.L., 206, 207, 210
 - Justifications, harmonisation
 - consultants, local communities and individuals, 233
 - developing countries, 233
 - environmental liability rules, 233
 - environmental protection, health and safety, 232
 - individuals/companies, 234
 - long-term site management, 232
 - water pollution/soil protection, 232–233

K

- Key features, model law, 313, 314
- Key issues. *See* Key trends and issues in development
- Key trends and issues in development
 - Brownfields legislation, 116–117
 - concentration of site contamination legislation, 115
 - costs of cleanup, 124–125
 - influence of ‘models’ with particular countries, 124
 - influencing factors, 124
 - policy *versus* law and technical/scientific standards, 117
 - regulatory ‘model’ or approach, 115–116
 - site contamination regimes, specific jurisdictions, 118–123
- Kiss, A., 250
- Knill, C., 220, 221
- Knowler, D.J., 251
- Koh, K.L., 53

L

- Lallas, P.L., 256
- Layard, A., 15, 18, 250
- Legitimacy
 - measures, 234
 - soft law, 225
 - and transparency, 236
- Liability
 - civil (*see* Civil liability)
 - consultation process, 317–318
 - fault-based, 204–205
 - features, 317
 - framework, 128–129, 166–167
 - joint and several
 - advantages, 205
 - United States, Federal Liability Regime, 206–210
 - mechanisms, 318–319
 - orphan sites, 319
 - proportionate, 210
 - retrospectivity, 202–203
 - state responsibility, 79–80
 - strict, 204
 - strict and retrospectivity, 317
 - UNEP Draft Guidelines, 318
- Lin Heng, L., 3
- Local contamination, 7
- Long-term stewardship (LTS).
 - See* Post-remediation measures
- Luo, Q., 319

M

- Manguiat, M.S.Z., 3
- Massachusetts (United States), case studies analysis
 - federal/state coordination, 175–176
 - privatised cleanup program, 175
- background, 164–165
- Brownfield measures, 172
- definition, ‘site contamination’, 165–166
- future liability for contamination, 172
- government responsibility for
 - remediation, 166
- post-remediation measures, 173–174
- private responsibility for
 - remediation, 166–167
- public participation
 - public consultation, 174–175
 - site register, 174
- remediation process, 170
- remediation standards, 170
- role, private professionals, 167
- site
 - assessment, 169
 - identification, 168–169
 - investigation, 169
 - summary of legislation, 165
 - voluntary remediation, 171–172
- McAusland, C., 235
- MEAs. *See* Multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs)
- Mercury
 - binding instrument structure, 262–263
 - GMEF, 261
 - human health and environment, 263
 - OEWG, 262
 - site contamination laws/policies, 263
 - UNEP GC, 261–262
- Model framework, harmonisation, 218
- Model law
 - Brownfield measures (*see* Brownfield measures)
 - codes of practice, 304
 - CRC CARE, 307
 - description, 304, 313
 - developing and promoting, 337–338
 - ‘emergency measures’, 314
 - establishment, administrative services, 315
 - external financial and technical support, 344–345
 - International Organisation (*see* International Organisation, model law)
 - key features, 313, 314

Model law (*cont.*)

- legitimacy, transparency and sensitivity
 - description, 334–335
 - drafting guidelines, 335
 - effective resolution, 336
 - environmental protection, land ownership and use, 336
 - harmonisation efforts, 335
 - legislative and policy regime, 335–336
- liability (*see* Liability)
 - new vs. amended legislation, 315–316
 - post-remediation measures, 332–334
 - preparing and promoting, process, 342–343
 - private professionals, 319–320
 - promoting, alternative means, 343–344
 - provisional measures, 315
 - public awareness, 306
 - public participation, 334
 - regulatory process (*see* Regulatory process)
 - Sustainable Soil Use–IUCN, 304–306
 - universal definition, 316–317
 - uptake of legislation, 336–337

Morissette, L., 163

Multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs), 291–292

N

NAAEC. *See* North American Agreement on Environmental Cooperation (NAAEC)

Nathanail, C.P., 21, 26, 30, 330

National Environmental Policy Act 1969 (NEPA), 2, 220

National site contamination law categories

- countries with extensive, specific provisions, 101, 104, 106
- countries with limited, specific provisions, 102–104, 107
- countries with no provisions, 105–108

concept, 99

definition, 100–101

key trends and issues in development, 114–125

observations, 125–126

overview (*see* Overview, national site contamination law)

Nature, site contamination, 11–12

Non-regulatory measures, 34

North American Agreement on Environmental Cooperation (NAAEC), 77

North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO), 239–240

O

Obsolete Pesticides Programme, 34

OEWG. *See* Open-ended working group (OEWG)

Open-ended working group (OEWG), 262

Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), 225

Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe (OSCE), 341

Orphan sites

- reclamation fund, 213

- remediation, 212–213

OSCE. *See* Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe (OSCE)

Overview, national site contamination law

- countries with extensive specific legislation growing urban pressures, 111

- public concern over time, 110–111

- from ‘trigger’ incident, 108–109

- countries with limited specific legislation increasing political and urban pressures over time, 112–113

- from ‘trigger’ event, 112

- countries with no specific legislation, 114

P

Page, G.W., 205, 318

Papanicolaou, C., 268

Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs Convention)

- developing and developed countries, 256

- ILO, 255

- INC, 256–257

- injury/loss of life, 255

- international agreement, 257

- IPCS, 255

- IUCN, 258

- IUSS, 257–258

- NGOs, 257

- public awareness, 258

- stakeholders, 255

- toxic and long-lasting chemicals, 255

- UNCED, 255–256

- UNEP and IFCS, 256

- Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs)
 Regulation, 67
- Pistor, K., 234, 235
- PMIA. *See* Prevention of Major Industrial Accidents Convention (PMIA Convention)
- Political motivation, 354
- Pollard, S.J.T., 21
- Polluter pays principle, 200, 201, 206
- Pollution
 air, 6
 definition, 6
- Pollution and pollutants
 bilateral level, 68
 contamination, 55–56
 international level
 Basel Convention, 58–59
 Basel Protocol, 59
 international jurisprudence, 59
 PMIA Convention, 58
 pulp mills, River Uruguay, 61–62
 Rotterdam Convention, 57
 Trail Smelter Arbitration, 60–61
 regional level
 Bamako Convention, 67
 Bern Convention, Rhine River, 63
 EPRTR Regulation, 67
 Groundwater Directive, 65
 IPPC Directive, 64
 Landfill Directive, 65
 POPs Regulation, 67
 UNECE Protocol, 62–63
 Waste Directive, 65–66
 WFD, 64–65
- POPs. *See* Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) Regulation
- Post-remediation measures
 British Columbia (Canada), 185–186
 duration and monitoring, 32
 engineering and institutional controls, 31–32
 environmental covenant, UECA, 32–33
 Germany, 133–134
 government agencies and private parties, 32
 institutional controls, 332
 ‘least costly and least technical’ approach, 333
 liability, 32
 local community and stakeholders, 333
 long-term stewardship, 332
 Massachusetts (United States), 173–174
 monitoring, sites, 333
 MOU, 33–34
 obstacles, 32
 provisions, 333–334
 risk, 31
 in situ and on-site cleanup methods, 31
 UECA, 332
 United States, 155–157
- Potentially responsible parties
 contaminated site, 199
 joint and several liability, 205
- Preston, B., 71, 81, 82
- Prevention of Major Industrial Accidents Convention (PMIA Convention), 58
- Private professionals
 British Columbia (Canada), 178–179
 Germany, 129
 Massachusetts (United States), 167
 United States, 143–144
- Private responsibility for remediation
 British Columbia (Canada), 177–178
 Germany, 128–129
 Massachusetts (United States), 166–167
 United States, 142–143
- Privatised cleanup program, 175
- Proactive identification, 322
- Probst, K.N., 206, 207, 210
- Prospects
 site contamination
 (*see* Site contamination)
 ‘umbrella’ treaty, 270
 UNCCD, 271
- Public awareness, 12, 24, 26
- Public participation
 British Columbia (Canada)
 consultation, 187
 site register, 186–187
 Germany
 consultation, 134
 site register, 134
 Massachusetts (United States)
 public consultation, 174–175
 site register, 174
 United States
 citizen enforcement, 159–160
 consultation, 158–159
 site register, 158
- R**
- Rajakaruna, N., 329
- Raustiala, K., 233
- REACH. *See* Regulation on Chemicals and Their Safe Use (REACH)
- Reactive identification, 321

- Regulation on Chemicals and Their Safe Use (REACH), 260–261
 - Regulatory ‘model’ or approach, 115–116
 - Regulatory process
 - identification (*see* Identification, site contamination)
 - investigation (*see* Investigation process)
 - prevention, 320
 - remediation options, 328–329
 - risk assessment, 324–326
 - risk evaluation process, 326–328
 - Regulatory trends, remediation
 - Brownfield’s redevelopment, 30–31
 - long-term stewardship (LTS), 31–34
 - site-based risk assessment, 29–30
 - Remediation
 - approaches, 21–22
 - economic factors (*see* Economic factors, remediation)
 - progress
 - Australia and Eastern Europe, 23
 - cleanup remedies, United States, 23
 - European countries, 22–23
 - GAHP, 25–26
 - global pollution remediation fund, 25
 - health and pollution fund (HPF), 25
 - high costs and legal complexities, 22–23
 - industries, 24
 - Latin American countries, 24
 - TSI Program, 24
 - regulatory trends (*see* Regulatory trends, remediation)
 - Remediation options
 - British Columbia (Canada), 183
 - Germany, 132
 - Remediation process
 - British Columbia (Canada), 182
 - Germany, 131–132
 - Massachusetts (United States), 170
 - United States, 148–150
 - Remediation standards
 - British Columbia (Canada), 182–183
 - Germany, 132
 - Massachusetts (United States), 170
 - United States, 150–151
 - Resource Conservation and Recovery Act 1976, 19, 29
 - Responsibility, site contamination
 - command and control (*see* Command and control)
 - complexities, 199
 - domestic site contamination law, 200
 - liability (*see* Liability)
 - non-traditional mechanisms, 211–212
 - orphan sites, 212–213
 - principles, 200–202
 - transfer of liability, 212
 - Risk assessment
 - CARACAS, 324–325
 - decision-makers, 325
 - numerical values, 325–326
 - ‘options appraisal’, 324
 - SBRM, 325
 - technical and financial resources, 326
 - Risk evaluation process
 - accreditation requirements and procedures, 327
 - appeal rights, 327–328
 - background levels, 327
 - community participation, 327
 - consultants, 326–327
 - decision-maker, 326
 - ‘final’ approval, 328
 - health and safety plan, 328
 - ‘remediation decision’, 326
 - Roberts, A.E., 44
 - Robinson, N.A., 2, 53
 - Role of international actors, 18, 81, 234, 359, 360
- S**
- SBRM. *See* Site-based risk management (SBRM)
 - Scale of problem, 25
 - Schilling, J., 333
 - Shelton, D.L., 250, 277
 - Site-based risk management (SBRM), 325
 - Site contamination
 - biological diversity, 252
 - categories, 249
 - ‘common areas’, 249
 - common concern (*see* Common concern)
 - ‘common heritage of mankind’, 249
 - cultural heritage/common heritage, 249–250
 - description, 248
 - domestic measures, 251
 - groundwater contamination, 253
 - ‘piecemeal’ approach, 253
 - soil and water, 248
 - Smith, G., 331
 - Snape, I., 14
 - Soft law instruments
 - comprehensive treaty, 280

- description, 277
 - domestic measures, 277
 - FAO and UNIDO, 278
 - 'laggard' States, 277
 - NGOs, 277
 - non-binding instruments, 277
 - public awareness, 278
 - SAICM, 278
 - soil protection and site contamination, 279
 - UNEP, 278–279
 - Soil Cleanup Act, 29
 - Soil guideline values' (SGVs), 229
 - Soil protection
 - bilateral level, 54–55
 - common concern (*see* Common concern)
 - domestic measures, 251
 - global treaty, 274
 - international level
 - Amman Resolution, 47–48
 - degradation, 45–46
 - role, 45
 - Tutzing Proposal, 48
 - UNCCD, 46
 - World Soil Charter, 46–47
 - and land degradation, 254
 - legislation, 44–45
 - national soil legislation, 258
 - regional level
 - Alpine Convention, 49
 - draft Soil Directive, 50–52
 - European Union, 48–49
 - site contamination, 253
 - Soil Protection Act 1987, 29
 - Soils/degradation
 - desertification, 270–271
 - international level
 - draft protocol, 266–267
 - ecosystem resilience, 267
 - IUCN's Commission on Environmental Law, 265
 - justifications, 267
 - land degradation, 266
 - ripple effect, 267
 - SGSS&D, 265–266
 - UNCCD, 266
 - regional level (*see* Draft European Soils Directive)
 - Sources and effects, site contamination
 - activities, common types
 - causes, developed countries, 12–13
 - commercial services, 13
 - developing countries, 14
 - groundwater, 13
 - industrial causes, 13
 - metal-working and chemical industries, 14
 - petroleum, diesel and crude spills, 14
 - remediation, 14
 - common contaminants, 14–15
 - effects, 15–16
 - Specialist Group on the Sustainable Use of Soil and Desertification (SGSS&D)
 - 'common statement', 267
 - draft protocol, 266
 - international environmental treaties, 266
 - IUCN Commission, 265–266
 - Specific national regulatory regimes, need for, 356–357
 - 'State of the Art' approaches
 - external actors, 229
 - intentional harmonisation, 229
 - NEPA, 230
 - SGVs, 229
 - UECA, 230–231
 - 'State of the Art' practices
 - external actors, 229
 - intentional harmonisation, 229
 - NEPA, 230
 - SGVs, 229
 - UECA, 230–231
 - State responsibility
 - liability, 78
 - principle, 79
 - Stevens, C., 219, 232
 - Stone, D., 234
 - Strasser, K.A., 333
 - Strategic Approach to International Chemicals Management (SAICM)
 - chemical management, 259–260
 - chemicals, global concern, 259
 - description, 258
 - draft documents, 259
 - Global Plan of Action, 259
 - ICCM conference, 259
 - soft law instrument, 260
 - Superfund Law, 138
- T**
- Tang, Y.T., 330
 - Terminology
 - contamination vs. pollution, 5–7
 - site vs. land, soil and/or water, 4–5
 - Top-down harmonisation, 222
 - global recognition, 359–360
 - issue of 'common concern', 360

- Top-down harmonisation (*cont.*)
 public awareness, 360
 soft law instrument, 361
- Toxic Sites Identification (TSI) Program, 15,
 18, 23, 26, 34
- TSI Program. *See* Toxic Sites Identification
 (TSI) Program
- Twining, W., 221–223
- U**
- UECA. *See* Uniform Environmental Covenants
 Act (UECA)
- UNCCD. *See* United Nations Convention to
 Combat Desertification (UNCCD)
- UNCED. *See* UN Conference on Environment
 and Development (UNCED)
- UN Conference on Environment and
 Development (UNCED), 255–256
- UNECE. *See* United Nations Economic
 Commission for Europe (UNECE)
- UNEP. *See* United Nations Environment
 Programme (UNEP)
- Uniform Environmental Covenants Act
 (UECA), 32, 230–231
- United Nations Convention to Combat
 Desertification (UNCCD), 45,
 340–341
- United Nations Economic Commission for
 Europe (UNECE) Convention, 62,
 76, 255, 263
- United Nations Environment Programme
 (UNEP)
 New International Agreements
 ‘cluster’ areas, 272
 GEF, 272
 MEAs, 271
 NGO and SAICM, 272
 SAICM and REACH, 254
 UNEP GC, 261–262
- United States, case studies
 analysis, federal/state coordination,
 162–164
 background, 138
 Brownfields measures, 153–154
 definition, ‘site contamination’, 138–139
 federal/state coordination
 RCRA corrective action, 161
 superfund cleanups, 160–161
 voluntary cleanups, 161–162
 future liability for contamination, 154–155
 government responsibility for
 remediation, 141–142
 post-remediation measures, 155–157
 private responsibility for
 remediation, 142–143
 public participation
 citizen enforcement, 159–160
 consultation, 158–159
 site register, 158
 remediation process, 148–150
 remediation standards, 150–151
 role, private professionals, 143–144
 site
 assessment, 146–148
 identification, 144–145
 investigation, 146
 summary of legislation, 139–141
 voluntary remediation, 152–153
- United States Environmental Protection
 Agency (USEPA)
 officials, 300
 and USAID, 299
 and World Bank, 299
- USEPA. *See* United States Environmental
 Protection Agency (USEPA)
- V**
- van Calster, G., 268
- Voluntary remediation
 British Columbia (Canada), 183–184
 Germany, 133
 Massachusetts (United States), 171–172
 United States, 152–153
- W**
- Waite, A., 66, 202
- Water Framework Directive (WFD), 64–65
- WFD. *See* Water Framework Directive (WFD)
- Wirth, D.A., 223, 229, 231
- World Bank, 225, 239, 242
- Wyatt, A.M., 45, 265, 267
- Y**
- Yoder, A.J., 255–257
- Z**
- Zovko, I., 279, 280