

References

- Abrahamson, E., & Fairchild, G. (1999). Management fashion: Lifecycles, triggers, and collective learning processes. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 44(4), 708-740.
- Alvesson, M. (1993). Organizations as rhetoric: Knowledge-intensive firms and the struggle with ambiguity. *Journal of Management Studies*, 30(6), 997-1015.
- Alvesson, M. (2000). Social identity and the problem of loyalty in knowledge-intensive companies. *Journal of Management Studies*, 37(8), 1101-1123.
- Andersen, N. A. (2003). The undecidability of decisions. In: T. Bakken, & T. Hernes (Eds.). *Autopoietic organization theory: Drawing on Niklas Luhmann's social systems perspective*, (pp. 235-258). Oslo: Copenhagen Business School Press.
- Argote, L., McEvily, B., & Reagans, R. (2003). Managing knowledge in organizations: An integrative framework and review of emerging themes. *Management Science*, 49(4), 571-582.
- Argyris, C. (1976). Single-loop and double-loop models in research on decision making. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 21(3), 363-377.
- Argyris, C. (1992). *On organizational learning*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Argyris, C., & Schön, D. A. (1978). *Organizational learning: A theory of action perspective*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Armbrüster, T. (2004). Rationality and its symbols: Signalling effects and subjectification in management consulting. *Journal of Management Studies*, 41(8), 1247-1269.
- Austin, J. L. (1962). *How to do things with words*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Ayas, K., & Zeniuk, N. (2001). Project-based learning: Building communities of reflective practitioners. *Management Learning*, 32(1), 61-76.
- Baecker, D. (1993). *Die Form des Unternehmens*. Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp.
- Baecker, D. (1999). *Organisation als System*. Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp.
- Baecker, D. (2003). *Organisation und Management*. Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp.
- Bakken, T., & Hernes, T. (Eds.). (2003). *Autopoietic organization theory: Drawing on Niklas Luhmann's social systems perspective*. Oslo: Copenhagen Business School Press.
- Baskerville, R. L., & Wood-Harper, A. T. (1996). A critical perspective on action research as a method for information systems research. *Journal of Information Technology*, 11(3), 235-246.
- Bauman, Z. (1991). *Modernity and ambivalence*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Baumard, P., & Starbuck, W. H. (2005). Learning from failures: Why it may not happen. *Long Range Planning*, 38(3), 281-298.

- Berends, H., Boersma, K., & Weggeman, M. (2003). The structuration of organizational learning. *Human Relations*, 56(9), 1035-1056.
- Berger, P. L., & Luckmann, T. (1966). *The social construction of reality*. New York: Doubleday.
- Beyes, T. (2003). *Kontingenz und Management*. Hamburg: Kovac.
- Blaschke, S., & Schoeneborn, D. (2006). The forgotten function of forgetting. Revisiting exploration and exploitation in organizational learning. *Soziale Systeme*, 12(1), 99-119.
- Bloomfield, B. P., & Vurdubakis, T. (1994). Re-presenting technology: IT consultancy reports as textual reality constructions. *Sociology*, 28(2), 455-477.
- Blühdorn, I. (2000). An offer one might prefer to refuse. The systems theoretical legacy of Niklas Luhmann. *European Journal of Social Theory*, 3(3), 339-354.
- Bogenrieder, I., & Nooteboom, B. (2004). Learning groups: What types are there? A theoretical analysis and an empirical study in a consultancy firm. *Organization Studies*, 25(2), 287-313.
- Bohnsack, R. (1989). *Rekonstruktive Sozialforschung. Einführung in Methodologie und Praxis qualitativer Forschung*. Opladen: Westdeutscher Verlag.
- Borgatti, S. P., & Foster, C. (2003). The network paradigm in organizational research. A review and typology. *Journal of Management*, 29(6), 991-1013.
- Brown, J. S., & Duguid, P. (1991). Organizational learning and communities of practice: Toward a unified view of working, learning, and innovation. *Organization Science*, 2(1), 40-57.
- Brunsson, N. (1989). *The organization of hypocrisy. Talk, descisions and action in organizations*. Chichester: Wiley.
- Burgelman, R. A. (2002). Strategy as vector and the inertia of coevolutionary lock-in. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 47(2), 325-357.
- Cannon, M. D., & Edmondson, A. C. (2005). Failing to learn and learning to fail (intelligently). How organizations put failure to work to innovate and improve. *Long Range Planning*, 38(3), 299-319.
- Carley, K. M. (1992). Organizational learning and personnel turnover. *Organization Science*, 3(1), 20-47.
- Castor, T. R. (2005). Constructing social reality in organizational decision making. Account vocabularies in a diversity discussion. *Management Communication Quarterly*, 18(4), 479-508.
- Cheney, G., & Christensen, L. T. (2004). Organizational identity: Linkages between internal and external communication. In: M. J. Hatch, & M. Schultz (Eds.). *Organizational identity: A reader*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Clair, R. P. (1999). Standing still in an ancient field. A contemporary look at the organizational communication discipline. *Management Communication Quarterly*, 13(2), 283-293.
- Clark, T. (1995). *Managing consultants. Consultancy as the management of impressions*. Buckingham: Open University Press.
- Clark, T., & Fincham, R. (Eds.). (2002). *Critical consulting: New perspectives on the management advice industry*. Oxford: Blackwell.

- Clark, T., & Salaman, G. (1996). Telling tales: Management consultancy as the art of storytelling. In: D. Grant, & C. Oswick (Eds.). *Metaphor and organizations*, (pp. 167-184). London: Sage.
- Clarke, L. (1999). *Mission improbable. Using fantasy documents to tame disaster*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Clarke, L. (2005). *Worst cases: Terror and catastrophe in the popular imagination*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Cohen, M. D., March, J. G., & Olsen, J. P. (1972). A garbage can model of organizational choice. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 17(1), 1-25.
- Collins, D. (2004). Who put the con in consultancy? Fads, recipes and 'vodka margarine'. *Human Relations*, 57(5), 553-572.
- Conrad, C. (1985). *Strategic organizational communication*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston.
- Cooren, F. (2000). *The organizing property of communication*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Cooren, F. (2004). Textual agency: How texts do things in organizational settings. *Organization*, 11(3), 373-394.
- Cooren, F., & Fairhurst, G. T. (forthcoming). Dislocation and stabilization: How to scale up from interactions to organization. In: L. L. Putnam, & A. M. Nicotera (Eds.). *The communicative constitution of organization: Centering organizational communication*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Cooren, F., & Taylor, J. R. (1997). Organization as an effect of mediation: Redefining the link between organization and communication. *Communication Theory*, 7(3), 219-259.
- Corman, S. R. (2000). The need for common ground. In: S. R. Corman, & M. S. Poole (Eds.). *Perspectives on organizational communication. Finding common ground*, (pp. 3-13). New York: Guilford Press.
- Cornelissen, J. P. (2005). Beyond compare: Metaphor in organization theory. *The Academy of Management Review*, 30(4), 751-764.
- Cunha, J. J. (2006). *Making the numbers: Agency in computer-generated formal representations of saleswork*. Doctoral dissertation at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. [Online]. Available: <http://dspace.mit.edu/bitstream/1721.1/34682/1/68906971.pdf>. [09/30/2007].
- Cunningham, W., & Leuf, B. (2001). *The Wiki Way. Quick collaboration on the Web*. Boston: Addison Wesley.
- Currie, G., & Kerrin, M. (2004). The limits of a technological fix to knowledge management. Epistemological, political and cultural issues in the case of Intranet implementation. *Management Learning*, 35(1), 9-29.
- Cyert, R. M., & March, J. G. (1963). *A behavioral theory of the firm*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Czarniawska, B. (2001). Having hope in paralogy. *Human Relations*, 54(1), 13-21.
- Czarniawska, B., & Mazza, C. (2003). Consulting as a liminal space. *Human Relations*, 56(3), 267-290.
- Daft R. L., & Weick, K. E. (1984). Towards a model of organizations as interpretation systems. *The Academy of Management Review*, 9(2), 284-295.

- Davenport, T. H., & Prusak, L. (1997). *Working knowledge*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Davenport, T. H., DeLong, D. W., & Beers, M. C. (1998). Successful knowledge management projects. *Sloan Management Review*, 39(2), 43-57.
- de Holan, P. M., & Phillips, N. (2004). Remembrance of things past? The dynamics of organizational forgetting. *Management Science*, 50(11), 1603-1613.
- Denzin, N. (1970). Strategies of multiple triangulation. In: N. Denzin (Ed.). *The research act*, (pp. 297-331). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Derrida, J. (1988). *Limited Inc*. Evanston, IL: Northwestern University Press.
- Derrida, J. (2002). *Negotiations: interventions and interviews, 1971-2001*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- DeSanctis, G., & Poole, M. S. (1994). Capturing the complexity in advanced technology use: Adaptive structuration theory. *Organization Science*, 5(2), 121-147.
- Donaldson, A., Lank, E., & Maher, J. (2005). Making the invisible visible: How a voluntary organization is learning from its work with groups and communities. *Journal of Change Management*, 5(2), 191-206.
- Donaldson, L. (2001). *The contingency theory of organizations*. New York: Sage.
- Edmondson, A. C. (1996). Learning from mistakes is easier said than done: group and organizational influences on the detection and correction of human error. *Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 32(1), 5-28.
- Eisenberg, E. M., & Witten, M. G. (1987). Reconsidering openness in organizational communication. *The Academy of Management Review*, 12(3), 418-426.
- Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Building theories from case study research. *The Academy of Management Review*, 14(4), 532-550.
- Eisenhardt, K. M. & Schoonhoven, C. B. (1990). Organizational growth: Linking founding team, strategy, environment, and growth among U.S. semiconductor ventures 1978-1988. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 35(3), 504-529.
- Elsbach, K. D., & Sutton, R. I. (1992). Acquiring organizational legitimacy through illegitimate actions: A marriage of institutional and impression management theories. *The Academy of Management Journal*, 35(4), 699-738.
- Esser, H. (2002). Wo steht die Soziologie? *Soziologie. Forum der Deutschen Gesellschaft für Soziologie*, 4(1), 20-32.
- Fairhurst, G. T., & Putnam, L. L. (1999). Reflections on the organization-communication equivalency question: The contributions of James Taylor and his colleagues. *Communication Review*, 3(1-2), 1-19.
- Feldman, M. S. (2000). Organizational routines as a source of continuous change. *Organization Science*, 11(6), 611-629.
- Feldman, M. S., & March, J. G. (1981). Information in organizations as signal and symbol. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 26(1), 171-186.
- Feldman, M. S., & Pentland, B. T. (2003). Reconceptualizing organizational routines as a source of flexibility and change. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 48(1), 94-118.
- Flick, U. (1992). Triangulation revisited: Strategy of validation or alternative? *Journal for the Theory of Social Behavior*, 22(2), 175-198.

- Flusser, V. (1996). *Kommunikologie*. Mannheim: Bollmann.
- Forster, N. (1994). The analysis of company documentation. In: C. Cassell, & G. Symon (Eds.). *Qualitative methods in organizational research*, (pp. 147-166). London: Sage.
- Fortune, J., & Peters, G. (1995). *Learning from mistakes – the systems approach*. Chichester: Wiley.
- Friedrichs, R. W. (1970). *A sociology of sociology*. New York: Free Press.
- Galliers, R., Mingers, J., & Jackson, M. (1997). Organization theory and systems thinking: the benefits of partnership. *Organization*, 4(2), 269-278.
- Gardner, W. L., & Martinko, M. J. (1988). Impression management in organizations. *Journal of Management*, 14(2), 321-338.
- Garrick, J., & Clegg, S. (2001). Stressed-out knowledge workers in performative times: A postmodern take on project-based learning. *Management Learning*, 32(1), 119-134.
- Garud, R., & Karnoe, P. (Eds.). (2001). *Path dependence and creation*. London: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Geser, H. (1982). Gesellschaftliche Folgeprobleme und Grenzen des Wachstums formaler Organisationen. *Zeitschrift für Soziologie*, 11(2), 113-132.
- Gherardi, S., & Turner, B. (2002). Real men don't collect soft data. In: A. M. Huberman, & M. B. Miles (Eds.). *The qualitative researcher's companion*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Gibson, B., Gregory, J., & Robinson, P. G. (2005). The intersection between systems theory and grounded theory: the emergence of the grounded systems observer. *Qualitative Sociology Review*, 1(2). [Online]. Available: http://www.qualitativesociologyreview.org/ENG/Volume2/QSR_1_2_Gibson_Gregory_Robinson.pdf. [09/30/2007].
- Giddens, A. (1979). *Central problems in social theory*. London: Macmillan.
- Giddens, A. (1984). *The constitution of society: Outline of the theory of structuration*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Glaser, B. G., & Strauss, A. L. (1967). *The discovery of grounded theory: Strategies for qualitative research*. Chicago: Aldine.
- Glückler, J., & Armbrüster, T. (2003). Bridging uncertainty in management consulting: The mechanisms of trust and networked reputation. *Organization Studies*, 24(2), 269-297.
- Goffman, E. (1959). *The presentation of self in everyday life*. New York: Doubleday.
- Habermas, J. (1987). *The theory of communicative action*, (2 volumes). Boston: Beacon Press.
- Habscheid, S., & Weik, E. (2003). Talking structure: The shaping of organizational reality in consulting conversations. In: A. Kieser, & A. P. Müller (Eds.). *Communication in organizations. Structures and practices*. Frankfurt am Main: Lang.
- Hannan, M. T., & Freeman, J. (1977). The population ecology of organizations. *American Journal of Sociology*, 82(5), 929-964.
- Hansen, M. T., Nohria, N., & Tierney, T. (1999). What's your strategy for managing knowledge. *Harvard Business Review*, 77(2), 106-116.
- Harris, J. (2005). The ordering of things. Organization in Bruno Latour. In: C. Jones, & R. Munro (Eds.). *Contemporary organization theory*, (pp. 178-191). Oxford: Blackwell.
- Harrison, J. R., & March, J. G. (1984). Decision making and postdecision surprises. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 29(1), 26-42.

- Hartley, J. F. (1994). Case studies in organizational research. In: C. G. Cassell, & G. Symon (Eds.). *Qualitative methods in organizational research. A practical guide*, (pp. 208-299). London: Sage.
- Hatch, M. J. (1997). *Organization theory: modern, symbolic and postmodern perspectives*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Heaton, L., & Taylor, J. R. (2002). Knowledge management and professional work: A communication perspective on the knowledge-based organization. *Management Communication Quarterly*, 16(2), 210-236.
- Hedberg, B. L., Nystrom, P. C., & Starbuck, W. H. (1976). Camping on seesaws: Prescriptions for a self-designing organization. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 21(1), 41-65.
- Hendry, J., & Seidl, D. (2003). The structure and significance of strategic episodes: Social systems theory and the routine practices of strategic change. *Journal of Management Studies*, 40(1), 175-196.
- Hernes, T., & Bakken, T. (2003). Implications of self-reference: Niklas Luhmann's autopoiesis and organization theory. *Organization Studies*, 24(9), 1511-1535.
- Heusinkveld, S., & Benders, J. (2005). Contested commodification: Consultancies and their struggle with new concept development. *Human Relations*, 58(3), 283-310.
- Hilse, H. (2000). *Kognitive Wende in Management und Beratung: Wissensmanagement aus sozialwissenschaftlicher Perspektive*. Wiesbaden: DUV.
- Hobday, M. (2000). The project-based organisation: an ideal form for managing complex products and systems? *Research Policy*, 29(7-8), 871-893.
- Hirschauer, S., & Bergmann, J. (2002). Willkommen im Club! Eine Anregung zu mehr Kontingenztrennungsfreudigkeit in der qualitativen Sozialforschung – Kommentar zu A. Nassehi und I. Saake in ZfS 1/2002. *Zeitschrift für Soziologie*, 31(4), 332-336.
- Hofstede, G. (1991). *Culture and organizations: Software of the mind*. London: McGraw-Hill.
- Huber, G. P. (2001). Transfer of knowledge in knowledge management systems: Unexplored issues and suggested studies. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 10(2), 72-79.
- Husted, K., & Michailova, S. (2002). Diagnosing and fighting knowledge sharing hostility. *Organizational Dynamics*, 31(1), 60-73.
- Jones, E. E., & Wortman, C. B. (1973). *Ingratiation: An attributional approach*. Morristown, NJ: General Learning Press.
- Kaube, J. (2006, July 9th). Der Philosoph Fichte über PowerPoint. Kein Vortrag mehr ohne eine begleitende Folien- und Bilderfolge. Verbesserte Informationsübertragung oder technischer Selbstlauf? *Frankfurter Allgemeine Sonntagszeitung*, No. 27, p. 70.
- Keegan, A., & Turner, J. R. (2001). Quantity versus quality in project-based learning practices. *Management Learning*, 32(1), 77-98.
- Keller, J. (2003, January 5th). Killing me Microsoftly with PowerPoint. *Chicago Tribune Magazine*, No. 1, pp. 28-29.
- Kieser, A. (1997). Rhetoric and myth in management fashion. *Organization*, 4(1), 49-74.
- Kieser, A. (1998). Über die allmähliche Verfertigung der Organisation beim Reden. *Industrielle Beziehungen*, 5(1), 45-75.

- Kieser, A. (1999). Human Relations-Bewegung und Organisationspsychologie. In: A. Kieser (Ed.). *Organisationstheorien*, (pp. 101-132). Stuttgart: Kohlhammer.
- Kieser, A. (2003). Managers as marionettes? Using fashion theories to explain the success of consultancies. In: A. Kipping, & L. Engwall (Eds.). *Management consulting: Emergence and dynamics of a knowledge industry*, (pp. 167-183). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Kieser, A., & Hegele, C. (1998). *Kommunikation im organisatorischen Wandel*. Stuttgart: Schäffer-Poeschel.
- Kieser, A., & Kubicek, H. (1977). *Organisation*. Berlin: De Gruyter.
- Kieser, A., & Müller, A. P. (Eds.). (2003). *Communication in organizations: Structures and practices*. Frankfurt am Main: Lang.
- Kieserling, A. (1999). *Kommunikation unter Anwesenden*. Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp.
- King, M., & Thornhill, C. (2003). 'Will the real Niklas Luhmann stand up, please'. A reply to John Mingers. *Sociological Review*, 51(2), 276-285.
- King, N. (1994). The qualitative research interview. In: C. G. Cassell, & G. Symon (Eds.). *Qualitative methods in organizational research. A practical guide*, (pp. 118-134). London: Sage.
- Kipping, A. (2002). Trapped in their wave. The evolution of management consultancies. In: T. Clark, & R. Fincham (Eds.). *Critical consulting: New perspectives on the management advice industry*, (pp. 28-49). Oxford: Blackwell.
- Kipping, A., & Engwall, L. (2003). Introduction: Management consulting as a knowledge industry. In: A. Kipping, & L. Engwall (Eds.). *Management consulting: Emergence and dynamics of a knowledge industry*, (pp. 1-18). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Kneer, G., & Nassehi, A. (1997). *Niklas Luhmanns Theorie sozialer Systeme: Eine Einführung*, (3rd ed.). München: Fink.
- Knodt, E. M. (1995). Foreword. In: N. Luhmann (Ed.). *Social Systems*, (pp. ix-xxxvi). Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Knudsen, M. (2006). Displacing the paradox of decision making. In: D. Seidl, & K. H. Becker (Eds.). *Niklas Luhmann and organization studies*, (pp. 107-126). Copenhagen: Copenhagen Business School Press.
- Koch, C., & Bendixen, M. (2005). Multiple perspectives on organizing: Projects between tyranny and perforation. *Building Research & Information*, 33(6), 536-546.
- Krippendorff, K. (1980). *Content analysis. An introduction of its methodology*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Kromrey, H. (1998). *Empirische Sozialforschung*, (8th ed.). Opladen: Leske & Budrich.
- Kron, T. (Ed.). (2002). *Luhmann modelliert: Sozionische Ansätze zur Simulation von Kommunikationsmodellen*. Wiesbaden: VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften.
- Kühl, S. (2002). Jenseits der Face-to-Face-Organisation. Wachstumsprozesse in kapitalmarktorientierten Unternehmen. *Zeitschrift für Soziologie*, 31(3), 186-210.
- Kuhn, T. S. (1962). *The structure of scientific revolutions*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Kunkel, B. (2006). *Indecision: a novel*. New York: Random House.
- Kvale, S. (1983). The qualitative research interview: A phenomenological and a hermeneutical mode of understanding. *Journal of Phenomenological Psychology*, 14(2), 171-196.
- Lamneck, S. (1995). *Qualitative Sozialforschung*, (3rd ed.). Weinheim: Beltz.

- Latour, B. (1986). The powers of association. In: J. Law (Ed.). *Power, action and belief*, (pp. 264-280). London: Routledge.
- Latour, B. (1996). On actor-network theory. A few clarifications. *Soziale Welt*, 47(4), 369-381.
- Latour, B. (1999). *Pandora's hope: An essay on the reality of science studies*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Latour, B. (2000). When things strike back: A possible contribution of 'science studies' to the social sciences. *British Journal of Sociology*, 51(1), 107-123.
- Lave, J., & Wenger, E. (1991). *Situated learning. Legitimate peripheral participation*. Cambridge: University of Cambridge Press.
- Law, J., & Hassard, J. (Eds.). (1999). *Actor-network theory and after*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Lawrence, P. R., & Lorsch, J. W. (1967). Differentiation and integration in complex organizations. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 12(1), 1-30.
- Lee, A. S., & Baskerville, R. (2003). Generalizing generalizability in information systems research. *Information Systems Research*, 14(3), 221-243.
- Lee, D. B. (2000). The society of society: The grand finale of Niklas Luhmann. *Sociological Theory*, 18(2), 320-330.
- Lee, R. A., & Lawrence, P. A. (1985). *Organizational behavior: Politics at work*. London: Hutchinsonson.
- Legge, K. (2002). On knowledge, consultants and the selling of TQM. In: T. Clark, & R. Fincham (Eds.). *Critical consulting: New perspectives on the management advice industry*, (pp. 74-90). Oxford: Blackwell.
- Leseure, M. J., & Brookes, N. J. (2004). Knowledge management benchmarks for project management. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 8(1), 103-116.
- Lesser, E. L., & Storck, J. (2001). Communities of practice and organizational performance. *IBM Systems Journal*, 40(4), 831-841.
- Liebowitz, S. J., & Margolis, S. E. (1995). Path dependence, lock-in, and history. *Journal of Law, Economics and Organization*, 11(1), 205-226.
- Linstead, S. (1999). An introduction to the textuality of organizations. *Studies in Cultures, Organizations and Society*, 5(1), 1-10.
- Lünendonk, T. (2006, August 30th). Mit Zuversicht in die nächsten fünf Jahre. Anmerkungen zur Entwicklung der Managementberatungen in Deutschland. *Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung*, No. 201, p. B1.
- Luhmann, N. (1964). *Funktionen und Folgen formaler Organisation*. Berlin: Duncker & Humblot.
- Luhmann, N. (1981a). The improbability of communication. *International Social Science Journal*, 23(1), 122-32.
- Luhmann, N. (1981b). Unverständliche Wissenschaft: Probleme einer theorieeigenen Sprache. In: N. Luhmann (Ed.). *Soziologische Aufklärung 3 – Soziales System, Gesellschaft, Organisation*, (pp. 170-177). Opladen: Westdeutscher Verlag.
- Luhmann, N. (1981c). Organisation und Entscheidung. In: N. Luhmann (Ed.). *Soziologische Aufklärung 3 – Soziales System, Gesellschaft, Organisation*, (pp. 335-389). Opladen: Westdeutscher Verlag.

- Luhmann, N. (1984). *Soziale Systeme. Grundriß einer allgemeinen Theorie*. Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp.
- Luhmann, N. (1986). The autopoiesis of social systems. In: F. Geyer, & J. van der Zouwen (Eds.). *Sociocybernetic paradoxes: Observation, control and evolution of self-steering systems*, (pp. 171-192). London: Sage.
- Luhmann, N. (1988). Organisation. In: W. Küpper, & G. Ortman (Eds.). *Mikropolitik. Rationalität, Macht und Spiele in Organisationen*, (pp. 165-185). Opladen: Westdeutscher Verlag.
- Luhmann, N. (1989). Law as a social system. *Northwestern University Law Review*, 83(1-2), 136-150.
- Luhmann, N. (1990). *Die Wissenschaft der Gesellschaft*. Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp.
- Luhmann, N. (1992). What is communication? *Communication Theory*, 2(3), 251-259.
- Luhmann, N. (1993). Die Paradoxie des Entscheidens. *Verwaltungs-Archiv*, 84(3), 287-299.
- Luhmann, N. (1995). *Social systems*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Luhmann, N. (1998). *Observations on modernity*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Luhmann, N. (2000). *Organisation und Entscheidung*. Opladen: Westdeutscher Verlag.
- Luhmann, N. (2002). How can the mind participate in communication? In: W. Rasch (Ed.). *Theories of distinction*, (pp. 169-184). Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Luhmann, N. (2003). Organization. In: T. Bakken, & T. Hernes (Eds.). *Autopoietic organization theory: Drawing on Niklas Luhmann's social systems perspective*, (pp. 31-52). Oslo: Copenhagen Business School Press.
- Lundin, R. A., & Söderholm, A. (1995). A theory of the temporary organization. *Scandinavian Journal of Management*, 11(4), 437-455.
- Lundin, R. A., & Söderholm, A. (1998). Conceptualizing a project society - discussion of an eco-institutional approach to a theory on temporary organizations. In: R. Lundin, & C. Midler (Eds.). *Projects as arenas for renewal and learning processes*, (pp. 13-24). Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- March, J. G. (1988). The technology of foolishness. In: J. G. March (Ed.). *Decisions and organizations*, (pp. 253-265). Oxford: Blackwell.
- March, J. G., & Olsen, J. P. (1976). *Ambiguity and choice in organizations*. Bergen: Universitetsforlaget.
- March, J. G., & Simon, H. A. (1958). *Organizations*. New York: Wiley.
- March, J. G., Sproull, L. S., & Tamuz, M. (1991). Learning from samples of one or fewer. *Organization Science*, 2(1), 1-13.
- Markus, M. L. (2001). Toward a theory of knowledge reuse: Types of knowledge reuse situations and factors in reuse success. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 18(1), 57-93.
- Maturana, H. R., & Varela, F. (1975). *Autopoietic systems*. Urbana, IL: University of Illinois.
- Mayntz, R., & Szyperski, R. (1984). *Dokumentation und Organisation. Eine vergleichende Studie zu Primär- und Sekundär-Dokumentationen in Wirtschaft, Wissenschaft und öffentlicher Verwaltung*. Bergisch Gladbach: Eul.
- McCloskey, D. N., & Klamer, A. (1995). One quarter of GDP is persuasion. *American Economic Review*, 85(2), 191-195.

- McDermott, R. (1999). Why information technology inspired but cannot deliver knowledge management. *California Management Review*, 41(4), 103-117.
- McDermott, R., & O'Dell, C. (2001). Overcoming cultural barriers to sharing knowledge. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 5(1), 76-85.
- McLuhan, M., & Fiore, Q. (1967). *The medium is the message. An inventory of effects*. New York: Bantam.
- McPhee, R. D. (2004). Text, agency, and organization in the light of structuration theory. *Organization*, 11(3), 355-371.
- McPhee, R. D., & Poole, M. S. (2001). Organizational structures and configurations. In: F. M. Jablin, & L. L. Putnam (Eds.). *The new handbook of organizational communication: Advances in theory, research and methods*, (pp. 503-543). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- McPhee, R. D., & Zaig, P. (2000). The communicative constitution of organizations: A framework for explanation. *Electronic Journal of Communication*, 10(1-2). [Online]. Available: [http://www.cios.org/EJCPUBLIC\\$\\$765732955489\\$\\$/010/1/01017.html](http://www.cios.org/EJCPUBLIC$$765732955489$$/010/1/01017.html). [09/30/2007].
- Menne-Haritz, A. (1999). Schließung und Öffnung der Verwaltungsentscheidung: Funktionen schriftlicher Aufzeichnungen im Vorgang. *Soziale Systeme*, 5(1), 137-158.
- Meyer, J. W., & Rowan, B. (1977). Institutionalized organizations: Formal structure as myth and ceremony. *American Journal of Sociology*, 83(2), 340-363.
- Michailova, S., & Husted, K. (2004). Decision making in organisations hostile to knowledge sharing. *Journal for Eastern European Management Studies*, 9(1), 9-19.
- Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. (1994). *Qualitative data analysis*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Miller, D. (1994). What happens after success: The perils of excellence. *Journal of Management Studies*, 31(3), 325-358.
- Mingers, J. (2002). Can social systems be autopoietic? Assessing Luhmann's social theory. *Sociological Review*, 50(2), 278-299.
- Mingers, J. (2003). Observing organizations: An evaluation of Luhmann's organization theory. In: T. Bakken, & T. Hernes (Eds.). *Autopoietic organization theory: Drawing on Niklas Luhmann's social systems perspective*, (pp. 103-122). Oslo: Copenhagen Business School Press.
- Mintzberg, H. (1973). *The nature of managerial work*. New York: Harper & Row.
- Mintzberg, H., & McHugh, A. (1985). Strategy formation in an adhocracy. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 30(2), 160-197.
- Mintzberg, H., Raisinghani, D., & Théorêt, A. (1976). The structure of 'unstructured' decision processes. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 21(2), 246-275.
- Moeller, H. G. (2006). *Luhmann explained*. Chicago: Open Court.
- Mol, A. (1999). Ontological politics. A word on some questions. In: J. Law, & J. Hassard (Eds.). *Actor-network theory and after*, (pp. 74-89). Oxford: Blackwell.
- Monod, E. (2004). Einstein, Heisenberg, Kant: Methodological distinction and conditions of possibilities. *Information and Organization*, 14(2), 105-121.
- Morgan, G. (1980). Paradigms, metaphors, and puzzle solving in organization theory. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 25(4), 605-622.
- Morgan, G. (1986). *Images of organization*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.

- Nassehi, A. (2005). Organizations as decision machines. Niklas Luhmann's theory of organized social systems. In: C. Jones, & R. Munro (Eds.). *Contemporary organization theory*, (pp. 178-191). Oxford: Blackwell.
- Nassehi, A., & Saake, I. (2002). Kontingenz: Methodisch verhindert oder beobachtet? Ein Beitrag zur Methodologie der qualitativen Sozialforschung. *Zeitschrift für Soziologie*, 31(1), 66-86.
- Neus, A. (2001). Managing information quality in virtual communities of practice. Lessons learned from a decade's experience with exploding Internet communication. *Free / Open Source Research Community*. [Online]. Available: <http://opensource.mit.edu/papers/neus.pdf>. [09/30/2007].
- Newell, S. (2004). Enhancing cross-project learning. *Engineering Management Journal*, 16(1), 12-20.
- Newell, S., Bresnen, M., Edelman, L., Scarbrough, H., & Swan, J. (2006). Sharing knowledge across projects: Limits to ICT-led project review practices. *Management Learning*, 37, 167-185.
- Nicolai, A. T. (2004). The bridge to the 'real world': Applied science or a 'schizophrenic Tour de Force'? *Journal of Management Studies*, 41(6), 951-976.
- Nicolai, A. T., & Kieser, A. (2002). Trotz eklatanter Erfolglosigkeit: Die Erfolgsfaktorenforschung weiter auf Erfolgskurs. *Die Betriebswirtschaft*, 62(6), 579-596.
- Noe, E., & Alrøe, H. F. (2006). Combining Luhmann and actor-network theory to see farm enterprises as self-organizing systems. *Cybernetics and Human Knowing*, 13(1), 34-48.
- Nonaka, I., & Takeuchi, H. (1995). *The knowledge-creating company: How Japanese companies foster creativity and innovation for competitive advantage*. London: Oxford University Press.
- Nunberg, G. (1999, December 20th). The trouble with PowerPoint. *Fortune*, pp. 330-334.
- Olivera, F. (2000). Memory systems in organizations: An empirical investigation of mechanisms for knowledge collection, storage and access. *Journal of Management Studies*, 37(6), 811-832.
- Orlikowski, W. J. (2002). Knowing in practice: Enacting a collective capability in distributed organizing. *Organization Science*, 13(3), 249-273.
- Ortmann, G. (2004). *Als ob. Fiktionen des Organisierens*. Wiesbaden: VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften.
- Ortmann, G., & Salzman, H. (2002). Stumbling giants – The emptiness, fullness, and recursiveness of strategic management. *Soziale Systeme*, 8(2), 205-230.
- Orton, D. J., & Weick, K. E. (1990). Loosely coupled systems: A reconceptualization. *The Academy of Management Review*, 15(2), 203-223.
- Parker, I. (2001, May 28th). Absolute PowerPoint: Can a software package edit our thoughts? *The New Yorker*, Annals of Business Section, p. 76.
- Pentland, B. T. (1995). Grammatical models of organizational processes. *Organization Science*, 6(5), 541-556.
- Pentland, B. T. (2003). Sequential variety in work processes. *Organization Science*, 14(5), 528-540.

- Pentland, B. T., & Rueter, H. R. (1994). Organizational routines as grammars of action. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 39(3), 484-510.
- Pfeffer, J. (1993). Barriers to the advance of organizational science: Paradigm development as a dependent variable. *The Academy of Management Review*, 18(4), 599-620.
- Pike, K. L. (1967). *Language in relation to a unified theory of structure of human behavior*, (2nd ed.). The Hague: Mouton.
- Ponzi, L., & Koenig, M. E. (2002). Knowledge management: Another management fad? *Information Research*, 8(1). [Online]. Available: <http://informationr.net/ir/8-1/paper145.html>. [09/30/2007].
- Popper, K. R. (1959). *The logic of scientific discovery*. London: Hutchinson.
- Popper, K. R. (1972). *Objective knowledge: An evolutionary approach*. Oxford: Clarendon.
- Prencipe, A., & Tell, F. (2001). Inter-project learning: Processes and outcomes of knowledge codification in project-based firms. *Research Policy*, 30(9), 1373-1394.
- Probst, G., Raub, S., & Romhardt K. (1999). *Managing knowledge*. London: Wiley.
- Putnam, L. L., & Cooren, F. (2004). Alternative perspectives on the role of text and agency in constituting organizations. *Organization*, 11(3), 323-333.
- Putnam, L. L., Phillips, N., & Chapman, P. (1996). Metaphors of communication and organizations. In: S. R. Cless, & W. R. Nord (Eds.). *Handbook of organization studies*, (pp. 375-408). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Quinn, R. E., & Cameron, K. (1983). Organizational life cycles and shifting criteria of effectiveness: Some preliminary evidence. *Management Science*, 29(1), 33-51.
- Raelin, J. A. (1997). A model of work-based learning. *Organization Science*, 8(6), 599-620.
- Rafaelli, S., & LaRose, R. J. (1993). Electronic bulletin boards and 'public goods' explanations of collaborative mass media. *Communication Research*, 20(2), 277-297.
- Ruggles, R. (1998). The state of notion: Knowledge management in practice. *California Management Review*, 40(3), 80-89.
- Reinhardt, R., & Eppler, M. J. (Eds.). (2004). *Wissenskommunikation in Organisationen. Methoden, Instrumente, Theorien*. Berlin: Springer.
- Robichaud, D., Giroux, H., & Taylor, J. R. (2004). The metaconversation: The recursive property of language as a key to organizing. *The Academy of Management Review*, 29(4), 617-634.
- Rorty, R. (1989). *Contingency, irony, and solidarity*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Ruesch, J., & Bateson, G. (1951). *Communication: The social matrix of psychiatry*. New York: Norton.
- Sacks, O. (1987). *The man who mistook his wife for a hat*. New York: Harper & Row.
- Salaman, G. (2002). Understanding advice: Towards a sociology of management consultancy. In: T. Clark, & R. Fincham (Eds.). *Critical consulting: New perspectives on the management advice industry*, (pp. 247-259). Oxford: Blackwell.
- Sartre, J. P. (1956). *Being and nothingness*. New York: Philosophical Library.
- Scarborough, H., & Swan, J. (2001). Explaining the diffusion of knowledge management: The role of fashion. *British Journal of Management*, 12(1), 3-12.

- Schimank, U. (1985). Der mangelnde Akteurbezug systemtheoretischer Erklärungen gesellschaftlicher Differenzierung – ein Diskussionsvorschlag. *Zeitschrift für Soziologie*, 14(6), 421-434.
- Schindler, M., & Eppler, M. J. (2003). Harvesting project knowledge: A review of project learning methods and success factors. *International Journal of Project Management*, 21(3), 219-228.
- Schmundt, H. (2004, March 15th). Die Macht der bunten Bilder. *Der Spiegel*, No. 12, p. 126.
- Schneider, W. L. (1995). Objektive Hermeneutik als Forschungsmethode der Systemtheorie. *Soziale Systeme*, 1(1), 129-152.
- Schoen, D. (1983). *The reflective practitioner: How professionals think in action*. New York: Basic Books.
- Schoeneborn, D. B. (2004). Interaktion statt Distribution. Wie kommunikative Barrieren des Wissensmanagements überwunden werden können. In: B. Wyssusek (Ed.). *Wissensmanagement komplex: Perspektiven und soziale Praxis*, (pp. 135-157). Berlin: Erich Schmidt Verlag.
- Schoeneborn, D. B. (2006). *Wissenskommunikations-Management. Eine Studie zur Neugestaltung des Wissensmanagements aus medien- und kommunikationswissenschaftlicher Perspektive*. Stuttgart: ibidem.
- Schoeneborn, D. B., & Blaschke, S. (2006). *The organization that never sleeps. A metaphorical pathology of organizational insomnia*. Paper presented at the International Communication Association 2006 Annual Conference, June 19-23, 2006, Dresden (Germany).
- Schofield, J. W. (1990). Increasing the generalizability of qualitative research. In: E. W. Eisner, & A. Peshkin (Eds.). *Qualitative inquiry in education*, (pp. 201-232). New York: Teachers College Press.
- Schreyögg, G., & Sydow, J. (Eds.). (2003). *Managementforschung 13: Strategische Prozesse und Pfade*. Wiesbaden: Gabler.
- Schumpeter, J. (1943). *Socialism, capitalism and democracy*. London: Allen & Unwin.
- Scott, W. R. (1998). *Organizations: Rational, natural, and open systems*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Searle, J. R. (1969). *Speech acts. An essay in the philosophy of language*. London: Cambridge University Press.
- Seidl, D. (2006a). The basic concepts of Luhmann's theory of social systems. In: D. Seidl, & K. H. Becker (Eds.). *Niklas Luhmann and organization studies*, (pp. 21-53). Copenhagen: Copenhagen Business School Press.
- Seidl, D. (2006b). Organization and interaction. In: D. Seidl, & K. H. Becker (Eds.). *Niklas Luhmann and organization studies*, (pp. 145-170). Copenhagen: Copenhagen Business School Press.
- Seidl, D., & Becker, K. H. (Eds.). (2006a). *Niklas Luhmann and organization studies*. Copenhagen: Copenhagen Business School Press.
- Seidl, D., & Becker, K. H. (2006b). Organizations as distinction generating and processing systems. Niklas Luhmann's contribution to organization studies. *Organization*, Special Issue on 'Niklas Luhmann and Organization Studies', 13(1), 9-35.

- Shannon, C. E., & Weaver, W. (1949). *The mathematical theory of communication*. Urbana, IL: University of Illinois Press.
- Shenhar, A. J. (2001). One size does not fit all projects: Exploring classical contingency domains. *Management Science*, 47(3), 394-414.
- Shwom, B. L. (2003). „The great man has spoken. Now what do I do?“ A response to Edward R. Tufte’s “The cognitive style of PowerPoint”. *Communication Insights*, 1(1), 1-16. [Online]. Available: http://www.communipartners.com/documents/ComInsV1_000.pdf. [09/30/2007].
- Sitkin, S. B. (1992). Learning from mistakes: The strategy of small losses. In: L. L. Cummings, & S. B. Cummings (Eds.). *Research in organizational behavior*, (vol. 14), (pp. 231-266). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.
- Smith, D. E. (1984). Textually mediated social organization. *International Social Science Journal*, 36(1), 59-75.
- Smith, R. C. (1993). *Images of organizational communication: Root-metaphors of the organization-communication relation*. Paper presented at the International Communication Association 1993 Annual Conference, Washington, DC.
- Soentgen, J. (1992). Der Bau. Betrachtungen zu einer Metapher der Luhmannschen Systemtheorie. *Zeitschrift für Soziologie*, 21(6), 456-466.
- Sørensen, C., Whitley, E. A., Madon, S., Klyachko, D., Hosein, I., & Johnstone, J. (2001). Cultivating recalcitrance in information systems research. In: N. Russo, B. Fitzgerald, & J. I. DeGross (Eds.). *Realigning research and practice in information systems development: The social and organizational perspective*, (pp. 297-31). Boston: Kluwer.
- Sosik, J. J., & Jung, D. I. (2003). Impression management strategies and performance in information technology consulting. The role of self-other rating agreement on charismatic leadership. *Management Communication Quarterly*, 17(2), 233-268.
- Spender, J. C. (1996). Organizational knowledge, learning, and memory: Three concepts in search of a theory. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 9(1), 63-78.
- Star, S. L., & Strauss, A. (1999). Layers of silence, arenas of voice: The ecology of visible and invisible work. *Computer Supported Cooperative Work*, 8(1), 9-30.
- Starbuck, W. H. (1982). Congealing oil: Inventing ideologies to justify acting ideologies out. *Journal of Management Studies*, 19(1), 3-27.
- Starbuck, W. H. (1992). Learning by knowledge-intensive firms. *Journal of Management Studies*, 29(6), 713-740.
- Starbuck, W. H., Barnett, M. L., & Baumard P. (forthcoming). Payoffs and pitfalls of strategic learning. *Journal Of Economic Behavior*.
- Steinmeyer, J. (2003). *Hiding the elephant - How magicians invented the impossible and learned to disappear*. New York: Carroll & Graf.
- Stewart, T. A. (2001, February 5th). Ban it now! Friends don't let friends use PowerPoint. *Fortune*, p. 213.
- Strauss, A. L. (1988). The articulation of project work: An organizational process. *The Sociological Quarterly*, 29(2), 163-178.
- Sturdy, A. (1997). The consultancy process – an insecure business? *Journal of Management Studies*, 34(3), 389-413.

- Suchman, L. (1995). Making work visible. *Communications of the ACM*, 38(9), 56-64.
- Swan, J. A., Newell, S. M., & Robertson, M. (1999). The illusion of 'best practices' in information systems for operations management. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 8(3), 284-293.
- Taylor, B. C., & Trujillo, N. (2001). Qualitative research methods. In: F. M. Jablin, & L. L. Putnam (Eds.). *The new handbook of organizational communication. Advances in theory, research, and methods*, (pp. 161-194). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Taylor, J. R. (1993). *Rethinking the theory of organizational communication: How to read an organization*. Norwood, NJ: Ablex.
- Taylor, J. R. (2000a), Thinking about organization in a new way: An inquiry into the ontological foundations of organization. *Electronic Journal of Communication*, 10(1-2). [Online]. Available: [http://www.cios.org/EJCPUBLICS\\$36573295501\\$/0101/01015.html](http://www.cios.org/EJCPUBLICS36573295501/0101/01015.html). [09/30/2007].
- Taylor, J. R. (2000b). A common ground, common grounds, or footbridges? In: S. R. Corman, & M. S. Poole (Eds.). *Perspectives on organizational communication. Finding common ground*, (pp. 190-199). New York: Guilford Press.
- Taylor, J. R. (2001). The "rational" organization reconsidered: An exploration of some of the organizational implications of self-organizing. *Communication Theory*, 11(2), 137-177.
- Taylor, J. R. (2003). Organizational communication: Is it a discipline? *Inaugural lecture as Kurt Baschwitz Professor at the University of Amsterdam, June 12, 2003*. [Online]. Available: <http://www.communicatie.com/documenten/achtergrondartikel%20james%20taylor.doc>. [09/30/2007].
- Taylor, J. R. (2005). In praise of ambiguity: Forum response. *Management Communication Quarterly*, 19(2), 299-306.
- Taylor, J. R., & van Every, E. J. (2000). *The emergent organization: Communication as its site and surface*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Taylor, J. R., & Robichaud, D. (2004). Finding the organization in the communication: Discourse as action and sensemaking. *Organization*, 11(3), 395-413.
- Taylor, J. R., Flanagan, A. J., Cheney, G., & Seibold, D. R. (2001). Organizational communication research: Key moments, central concerns, and future challenges. *Communication Yearbook*, 24, 99-137.
- Te'eni, D. (2001). A cognitive-affective model of organizational communication for designing IT. *MIS Quarterly*, 25(2), 251-312.
- Tedeschi, J. T., & Melburg, V. (1984). Impression management and influence in the organization. In: S. B. Bacharach, & E. J. Lawler (Eds.). *Research in the sociology of organizations*, (vol. 3), (pp. 31-58). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.
- Theis-Berglmair, A. M. (2003). *Organisationskommunikation. Theoretische Grundlagen und empirische Forschungen*, (2nd ed.). Opladen: Westdeutscher Verlag.
- Theis-Berglmair, A. M. (2005). Public Relations aus organisationssoziologischer Perspektive. In: G. Bentele, R. Fröhlich, & P. Szyszka (Ed.). *Handbuch Public Relations*, (pp. 37-49). Opladen: Westdeutscher Verlag.
- Thompson, C. (2003, December 14th). PowerPoint makes you dumb. *The New York Times Magazine*, pp. 88-89.

- Totzke, R. (2004). Schrift und Wissen: Was die Wissensmanagement-Theorie von Platons Schriftkritik lernen kann. In: B. Wyssusek (Ed.). *Wissensmanagement komplex: Perspektiven und soziale Praxis*, (pp. 85-100). Berlin: Erich Schmidt Verlag.
- Tsoukas, H. (1991). The missing link: A transformational view of metaphors in organizational science. *The Academy of Management Review*, 16(3), 566-585.
- Tsoukas, H. (1993). Analogical reasoning and knowledge generation in organizational theory. *Organization Studies*, 14(3), 323-346.
- Tufte, E. R. (2003). *The cognitive style of PowerPoint*. Cheshire, CT: Graphics Press.
- Türcke, C. (2005). *Vom Kainszeichen zum genetischen Code. Kritische Theorie der Schrift*. München: Beck.
- van Maanen, J. (1995). Style as theory. *Organization Science*, 6(1), 133-143.
- Vaughan, D. (1999). The dark side of organizations: Mistake, misconduct, and disaster. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 25(1), 271-305.
- Vicari, S., & Troilo, G. (1998). Errors and learning in organizations. In: G. von Krogh, J. Roos, & D. Kleine (Eds.). *Knowing in firms: Understanding, managing and measuring knowledge*, (pp. 204-222). London: Sage.
- Vogd, W. (2005). *Systemtheorie und rekonstruktive Sozialforschung. Eine empirische Versöhnung unterschiedlicher theoretischer Perspektiven*. Opladen: Budrich.
- von Foerster, H. (1992). Ethics and second-order cybernetics. *Cybernetics & Human Knowing*, 1(1), 9-19.
- von Krogh, G., & Cusumano, M. A. (2000). Three strategies for managing fast growth. *Sloan Management Review*, 42(2), 53-61.
- Walgenbach, P., & Hegele, C. (2001). What can an apple learn from an orange? Or: What do companies use benchmarking for? *Organization*, 8(1), 121-144.
- Walsh, J. P. (1995). Managerial and organizational cognition: Notes from a trip down memory lane. *Organization Science*, 6(3), 280-321.
- Walsh, J. P., & Ungson, G. R. (1991). Organizational memory. *The Academy of Management Review*, 16(1), 57-91.
- Weber, M. (1958). Bureaucracy. In: H. H. Gerth, & C. W. Mills (Eds.). *From Max Weber: Essays in sociology*, (pp. 196-244). New York: Galaxy.
- Weber, M. (1969). *The methodology of the social sciences*. New York: Free Press.
- Weick, K. E. (1979). *The social psychology of organizing*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Weick, K. E. (1983). Organizational communication: Toward a research agenda. In: L. L. Putnam, & M. E. Pacanowsky (Eds.). *Communication and organization. An interpretive approach*, (pp. 13-29). Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Weick, K. E. (1989). Theory construction as disciplined imagination. *The Academy of Management Review*, 14(4), 516-531.
- Weick, K. E. (1995). *Sensemaking in organizations*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Weick, K. E., & Roberts, K. H. (1993). Collective minds in organizations: Heedful interrelating on flight decks. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 38(3), 357-381.
- Weick, K. E., & Sutcliffe, K. M. (2001). *Managing the unexpected. Assuring high performance in an age of complexity*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

- Weick, K. E., Sutcliffe, K. M., & Obstfeld, D. (2005). Organizing and the process of sense-making. *Organization Science*, 16(4), 409-421.
- Werr, A., & Stjernberg, T. (2003). Exploring management consulting firms as knowledge systems. *Organization Studies*, 24(6), 881-908.
- Wiio, O. A., Goldhaber, G. M., & Yates, M. P. (1981). Organizational communication research: Time for reflection? Some conclusions from audit and network studies in different countries. *Communication Yearbook*, 4, 83-97.
- Willke, H. (1998). *Systemisches Wissensmanagement*. Stuttgart: Lucius & Lucius.
- Winter, S. G., & Szulanski, G. (2001). Replication of organizational routines: Conceptualizing the exploitation of knowledge assets. In: N. Bontis, & C. W. Choo (Eds.). *The strategic management of intellectual capital and organizational knowledge: A collection of readings*, (pp. 207-221). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Witzel, A. (1982). *Verfahren der qualitativen Sozialforschung. Überblick und Alternativen*. Frankfurt am Main: Campus.
- Witzel, A. (2000). Das problemzentrierte Interview. *Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung*, 1(1) [Online]. Available: <http://www.qualitative-research.net/fqs-texte/1-00/1-00witzel-d.htm>. [09/30/2007].
- Worley, R. B., & Dyrud, M. A. (2004). Presentations and the PowerPoint problem. *Business Communication Quarterly*, 67(1), 78-80.
- Wright, C., & Kitay, J. (2002). 'But does it work?' Perceptions of the impact of management consulting. *Strategic Change*, 11(5), 271-278.
- Wysusek, B. (Ed.). (2004). *Wissensmanagement komplex: Perspektiven und soziale Praxis*. Berlin: Erich Schmidt Verlag.
- Yates, J., & Orlikowski, W. J. (1992). Genres of organizational communication: A structural approach to studying communication and media. *The Academy of Management Review*, 17(2), 299-326.
- Yates, J., & Orlikowski, W. J. (forthcoming). The PowerPoint presentation and its corollaries: How genres shape communicative action in organizations. In: M. Zachry, & C. Thralls (Eds.). *Communicative practices in workplaces and the professions: Cultural perspectives on the regulation of discourse and organizations*, (pp. 67-92). Amityville, NY: Baywood.
- Yin, R. K. (1984). *Case study research: design and methods*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Zhao, N. B., & Olivera, F. (2006). Error reporting in organizations. *The Academy of Management Review*, 31(4), 1012-1030.
- Zillien, N. (2005). 'PowerPoint makes you dumb'. Ein Klärungsversuch mit Hilfe der Theorie der Strukturierung. In: M. Jäckel, & M. Mai (Eds.). *Online-Vergesellschaftung. Mediensoziologische Perspektiven auf neue Kommunikationstechnologien*, (pp. 155-174). Wiesbaden: VS.
- Zillien, N. (2006). 'Nächste Folie bitte!' Der Einsatz von Präsentationsprogrammen zur Wissensvermittlung und Wissensbewahrung. In: C. Swertz, P. Ohly, & J. Sieglerschmidt (Eds.). *Wissensorganisation und Verantwortung. Gesellschaftliche, ökonomische und technische Aspekte. Fortschritte der Wissensorganisation*, (pp. 159-168). Würzburg: Ergon.
- Zollo, M., & Winter, S. G. (2002). Deliberate learning and the evolution of dynamic capabilities. *Organization Science*, 13(3), 339-351.

- Zorn, T. E., & Taylor, J. R. (2003). Knowledge management and/as organizational communication. In: D. Tourish, & O. Hargie (Eds.). *Key issues in organizational communication*, (pp. 96-112). London: Routledge.
- Zuckerman, L. (1999). Words go right to the brain, but can they stir the heart? Some say popular software debases public speaking. *The New York Times*. [Online]. Available: <http://query.nytimes.com/gst/fullpage.html?res=9900EEDA133BF934A25757C0A96F958260&sec=&spon=&pagewanted=all>. [09/30/2007].