

References

1. Aarts, E., Lenstra, J. (eds.): *Local Search in Combinatorial Optimization*. Princeton University Press (2003)
2. Adams, D.: *The Hitchhiker's Guide to the Galaxy*. Pan Books (1979)
3. Ahuja, R., Magnanti, T., Orlin, J.: *Network Flows: Theory, Algorithms, and Applications*. Prentice-Hall (1993)
4. Airiau, S., Endriss, U.: Multiagent resource allocation with sharable items: Simple protocols and Nash equilibria. In: *Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems*, pp. 167–174. IFAAMAS (2010)
5. Akca, N.: *Auktionen zur nationalen Reallokation von Treibhausgas-Emissionsrechten und Treibhausgas-Emissionsgutschriften auf Unternehmensebene: Ein spieltheoretischer nicht-kooperativer Modellierungs- und Lösungsansatz für das Reallokationsproblem*. Gabler Edition Wissenschaft (2009). Dissertation an der Universität Duisburg-Essen, Germany.
6. Alkan, A.: Existence and computation of matching equilibria. *European Journal of Political Economy* **5**, 285–296 (1989)
7. Alkan, A., Demange, G., Gale, D.: Fair allocation of indivisible goods and criteria of justice. *Econometrica* **59**(4), 1023–1039 (1991)
8. Allis, L.: *Searching for solutions in games and artificial intelligence*. Ph.D. thesis, University of Limburg, The Netherlands (1994)
9. Alon, N., Azar, Y., Woeginger, G., Yadid, T.: Approximation schemes for scheduling on parallel machines. *Journal of Scheduling* **1**(1), 55–66 (1998)
10. Alon, N., Brederick, R., Chen, J., Kratsch, S., Niedermeier, R., Woeginger, G.: How to put through your agenda in collective binary decisions. In: *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Algorithmic Decision Theory*, pp. 30–44. Springer-Verlag *Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence #8176* (2013)
11. Alon, N., Falik, D., Meir, R., Tennenholtz, M.: Bundling attacks in judgment aggregation. In: *Proceedings of the 27th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 39–45. AAAI Press (2013)
12. Anderson, A., Shoham, Y., Altman, A.: Internal implementation. In: *Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems*, pp. 191–198. IFAAMAS (2010)
13. Arora, S., Lund, C.: Hardness of approximations. In: D. Hochbaum (ed.) *Approximation Algorithms for NP-Hard Problems*, chap. 10, pp. 399–446. PWS Publishing Company (1996)
14. Arrow, K.: *Social Choice and Individual Values*. John Wiley and Sons (1951 (revised edition 1963))
15. Arrow, K., Sen, A., Suzumura, K. (eds.): *Handbook of Social Choice and Welfare*, vol. 1. North-Holland (2002)

16. Arrow, K., Sen, A., Suzumura, K. (eds.): Handbook of Social Choice and Welfare, vol. 2. North-Holland (2011)
17. Arzi, O., Aumann, Y., Dombb, Y.: Throw one's cake – and eat it too. In: Proceedings of the 4th International Symposium on Algorithmic Game Theory, pp. 69–80. Springer-Verlag *Lecture Notes in Computer Science #6982* (2011)
18. Asadpour, A., Saberi, A.: An approximation algorithm for max-min fair allocation of indivisible goods. In: Proceedings of the 39th ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, pp. 114–121. ACM Press (2007)
19. Asadpour, A., Saberi, A.: An approximation algorithm for max-min fair allocation of indivisible goods. *SIAM Journal on Computing* **39**(7), 2970–2989 (2010)
20. Austin, A.: Sharing a cake. *Mathematical Gazette* **66**(437), 212–215 (1982)
21. Aziz, H., Bachrach, Y., Elkind, E., Paterson, M.: False-name manipulations in weighted voting games. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research* **40**, 57–93 (2011)
22. Aziz, H., Brandt, F., Brill, M.: The computational complexity of random serial dictatorship. *Economics Letters* **121**(3), 341–345 (2013)
23. Aziz, H., Brandt, F., Brill, M., Mestre, J.: Computational aspects of random serial dictatorship. *SIGecom Exchanges* **13**(2), 26–30 (2014)
24. Aziz, H., Brandt, F., Harrenstein, P.: Fractional hedonic games. In: Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, pp. 5–12. IFAAMAS (2014)
25. Aziz, H., Brandt, F., Seedig, H.: Computing desirable partitions in additively separable hedonic games. *Artificial Intelligence* **195**, 316–334 (2013)
26. Aziz, H., Gaspers, S., Mackenzie, S., Walsh, T.: Fair assignment of indivisible objects under ordinal preferences. In: Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, pp. 1305–1312. IFAAMAS (2014)
27. Aziz, H., Gaspers, S., Mattei, N., Narodytska, N., Walsh, T.: Strategic aspects of the probabilistic serial rule for the allocation of goods. Tech. Rep. arXiv:1401.6523v1 [cs.GT], ACM Computing Research Repository (CoRR) (2014)
28. Aziz, H., Mestre, J.: Parametrized algorithms for random serial dictatorship. *Mathematical Social Sciences* **72**, 1–6 (2014)
29. Aziz, H., Paterson, M.: False name manipulations in weighted voting games: Splitting, merging and annexation. In: Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, pp. 409–416. IFAAMAS (2009)
30. Aziz, H., Savani, R.: Hedonic games. In: F. Brandt, V. Conitzer, U. Endriss, J. Lang, A. Procaccia (eds.) *Handbook of Computational Social Choice*, chap. 15. Cambridge University Press (to appear)
31. Aziz, H., Stursberg, P.: A generalization of probabilistic serial to randomized social choice. In: Proceedings of the 28th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 559–565. AAAI Press (2014)
32. Bacchus, F., Grove, A.: Graphical models for preference and utility. In: Proceedings of the 11th Annual Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence, pp. 3–10. Morgan Kaufmann (1995)
33. Bachmann, P.: *Analytische Zahlentheorie*, vol. 2. Teubner (1894)
34. Bachrach, Y., Elkind, E.: Divide and conquer: False-name manipulations in weighted voting games. In: Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, pp. 975–982. IFAAMAS (2008)
35. Bachrach, Y., Elkind, E., Meir, R., Pasechnik, D., Zuckerman, M., Rothe, J., Rosenschein, J.: The cost of stability in coalitional games. In: Proceedings of the 2nd International Symposium on Algorithmic Game Theory, pp. 122–134. Springer-Verlag *Lecture Notes in Computer Science #5814* (2009)
36. Bachrach, Y., Meir, R., Zuckerman, M., Rothe, J., Rosenschein, J.: The cost of stability in weighted voting games (extended abstract). In: Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, pp. 1289–1290. IFAAMAS (2009)

37. Bachrach, Y., Porat, E.: Path disruption games. In: Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, pp. 1123–1130. IFAAMAS (2010)
38. Bachrach, Y., Rosenschein, J.: Power in threshold network flow games. *Journal of Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems* **18**(1), 106–132 (2009)
39. Baldwin, J.: The technique of the Nanson preferential majority system of election. *Transactions and Proceedings of the Royal Society of Victoria* **39**, 42–52 (1926)
40. Ballester, C.: NP-completeness in hedonic games. *Games and Economic Behavior* **49**(1), 1–30 (2004)
41. Banerjee, S., Konishi, H., Sönmez, T.: Core in a simple coalition formation game. *Social Choice and Welfare* **18**(1), 135–153 (2001)
42. Bansal, N., Sviridenko, M.: The Santa Claus problem. In: Proceedings of the 38th ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, pp. 31–40. ACM Press (2006)
43. Banzhaf III, J.: Weighted voting doesn't work: A mathematical analysis. *Rutgers Law Review* **19**, 317–343 (1965)
44. Barbanel, J.: Super envy-free cake division and independence of measures. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* **197**(1), 54–60 (1996)
45. Barrot, N., Gourvès, L., Lang, J., Monnot, J., Ries, B.: Possible winners in approval voting. In: Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Algorithmic Decision Theory, pp. 57–70. Springer-Verlag *Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence #8176* (2013)
46. Bartholdi III, J., Trick, M.: Stable matching with preferences derived from a psychological model. *Operations Research Letters* **5**(4), 165–169 (1986)
47. Bartholdi III, J., Orlin, J.: Single transferable vote resists strategic voting. *Social Choice and Welfare* **8**(4), 341–354 (1991)
48. Bartholdi III, J., Tovey, C., Trick, M.: The computational difficulty of manipulating an election. *Social Choice and Welfare* **6**(3), 227–241 (1989)
49. Bartholdi III, J., Tovey, C., Trick, M.: Voting schemes for which it can be difficult to tell who won the election. *Social Choice and Welfare* **6**(2), 157–165 (1989)
50. Bartholdi III, J., Tovey, C., Trick, M.: How hard is it to control an election? *Mathematical and Computer Modelling* **16**(8/9), 27–40 (1992)
51. Bateni, M., Charikar, M., Guruswami, V.: MaxMin allocation via degree lower-bounded arborescences. In: Proceedings of the 41st ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, pp. 543–552. ACM Press (2009)
52. Battigalli, P.: Dynamic consistency and imperfect recall. *Games and Economic Behavior* **20**(1), 31–50 (1997)
53. Baumeister, D., Bouveret, S., Lang, J., Nguyen, N., Nguyen, T., Rothe, J., Safidine, A.: Axiomatic and computational aspects of scoring allocation rules for indivisible goods. In: A. Procaccia, T. Walsh (eds.) Proceedings of the 5th International Workshop on Computational Social Choice. Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, USA (2014)
54. Baumeister, D., Bouveret, S., Lang, J., Nguyen, T., Nguyen, N., Rothe, J.: Scoring rules for the allocation of indivisible goods. In: Proceedings of the 21st European Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 75–80. IOS Press (2014)
55. Baumeister, D., Erdélyi, G., Erdélyi, O., Rothe, J.: Control in judgment aggregation. In: Proceedings of the 6th European Starting AI Researcher Symposium, pp. 23–34. IOS Press (2012)
56. Baumeister, D., Erdélyi, G., Erdélyi, O., Rothe, J.: Computational aspects of manipulation and control in judgment aggregation. In: Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Algorithmic Decision Theory, pp. 71–85. Springer-Verlag *Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence #8176* (2013). An extended version appears in the proceedings of the 5th International Workshop on Computational Social Choice, June 2014.

57. Baumeister, D., Erdélyi, G., Erdélyi, O., Rothe, J.: Complexity of manipulation and bribery in judgment aggregation for uniform premise-based quota rules. *Mathematical Social Sciences* **76**, 19–30 (2015)
58. Baumeister, D., Erdélyi, G., Hemaspaandra, E., Hemaspaandra, L., Rothe, J.: Computational aspects of approval voting. In: J. Laslier, R. Sanver (eds.) *Handbook on Approval Voting*, chap. 10, pp. 199–251. Springer (2010)
59. Baumeister, D., Erdélyi, G., Rothe, J.: How hard is it to bribe the judges? A study of the complexity of bribery in judgment aggregation. In: *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Algorithmic Decision Theory*, pp. 1–15. Springer-Verlag *Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence #6992* (2011)
60. Baumeister, D., Faliszewski, P., Lang, J., Rothe, J.: Campaigns for lazy voters: Truncated ballots. In: *Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems*, pp. 577–584. IFAAMAS (2012)
61. Baumeister, D., Roos, M., Rothe, J.: Computational complexity of two variants of the possible winner problem. In: *Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems*, pp. 853–860. IFAAMAS (2011)
62. Baumeister, D., Roos, M., Rothe, J., Schend, L., Xia, L.: The possible winner problem with uncertain weights. In: *Proceedings of the 20th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 133–138. IOS Press (2012)
63. Baumeister, D., Rothe, J.: Taking the final step to a full dichotomy of the possible winner problem in pure scoring rules. In: *Proceedings of the 19th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 1019–1020. IOS Press (2010)
64. Beigel, R., Hemachandra, L., Wechsung, G.: Probabilistic polynomial time is closed under parity reductions. *Information Processing Letters* **37**(2), 91–94 (1991)
65. Berman, P., Fujito, T.: On approximation properties of the independent set problem for low degree graphs. *Theory of Computing Systems* **32**(2), 115–132 (1999)
66. Betzler, N., Bredereck, R., Chen, J., Niedermeier, R.: Studies in computational aspects of voting — a parameterized complexity perspective. In: H. Bodlaender, R. Downey, F. Fomin, D. Marx (eds.) *The Multivariate Algorithmic Revolution and Beyond. Essays Dedicated to Michael R. Fellows on the Occasion of His 60th Birthday*, pp. 318–363. Springer-Verlag *Lecture Notes in Computer Science #7370* (2012)
67. Betzler, N., Bredereck, R., Niedermeier, R.: Partial kernelization for rank aggregation: Theory and experiments. In: V. Conitzer, J. Rothe (eds.) *Proceedings of the 3rd International Workshop on Computational Social Choice*, pp. 31–42. Universität Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany (2010)
68. Betzler, N., Dorn, B.: Towards a dichotomy for the possible winner problem in elections based on scoring rules. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences* **76**(8), 812–836 (2010)
69. Betzler, N., Fellows, M., Guo, J., Niedermeier, R., Rosamond, F.: Fixed-parameter algorithms for Kemeny rankings. *Theoretical Computer Science* **410**(45), 4554–4570 (2009)
70. Betzler, N., Guo, J., Niedermeier, R.: Parameterized computational complexity of Dodgson and Young elections. *Information and Computation* **208**(2), 165–177 (2010)
71. Betzler, N., Niedermeier, R., Woeginger, G.: Unweighted coalitional manipulation under the Borda rule is NP-hard. In: *Proceedings of the 22nd International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 55–60. AAAI Press/IJCAI (2011)
72. Betzler, N., Uhlmann, J.: Parameterized complexity of candidate control in elections and related digraph problems. *Theoretical Computer Science* **410**(52), 5425–5442 (2009)
73. Beviá, C.: Fair allocation in a general model with indivisible goods. *Review of Economic Design* **3**(3), 195–213 (1998)
74. Bezáková, I., Dani, V.: Allocating indivisible goods. *SIGecom Exchanges* **5**(3), 11–18 (2005)

75. Binkele-Raible, D., Erdélyi, G., Fernau, H., Goldsmith, J., Mattei, N., Rothe, J.: The complexity of probabilistic lobbying. *Discrete Optimization* **11**(1), 1–21 (2014)
76. Binmore, K.: *Playing for Real: A Text on Game Theory*. Oxford University Press (2007)
77. Black, D.: On the rationale of group decision-making. *Journal of Political Economy* **56**(1), 23–34 (1948)
78. Black, D.: *The Theory of Committees and Elections*. Cambridge University Press (1958)
79. Bodlaender, H., Thilikos, D., Yamazaki, K.: It is hard to know when greedy is good for finding independent sets. *Information Processing Letters* **61**, 101–106 (1997)
80. Bogomolnaia, A., Jackson, M.: The stability of hedonic coalition structures. *Games and Economic Behavior* **38**(2), 201–230 (2002)
81. Bogomolnaia, A., Moulin, H.: A new solution to the random assignment problem. *Journal of Economic Theory* **100**(2), 295–328 (2001)
82. Bogomolnaia, A., Moulin, H., Stong, R.: Collective choice under dichotomous preferences. *Journal of Economic Theory* **122**(2), 165–184 (2005)
83. Booth, K.: Isomorphism testing for graphs, semigroups, and finite automata are polynomially equivalent problems. *SIAM Journal on Computing* **7**(3), 273–279 (1978)
84. Booth, K., Lueker, G.: Testing for the consecutive ones property, interval graphs, and graph planarity using PQ-tree algorithms. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences* **13**(3), 335–379 (1976)
85. Borda, J.: *Mémoire sur les élections au scrutin*. *Histoire de L'Académie Royale des Sciences*, Paris (1781). English translation appears in [314].
86. Borel, É.: La théorie du jeu et les équations intégrales à noyau symétrique gauche. In: *Comptes rendus hebdomadaires des séances de l'Académie des sciences*, vol. 173. 1304–1308 (1921)
87. Borel, É.: *Traité du calcul des probabilités et ses applications*. In: *Applications aux jeux des Hazard*, vol. IV, fascicule 2. Gautier-Villars, Paris (1938)
88. Borodin, A., El-Yaniv, R.: *Online Computation and Competitive Analysis*. Cambridge University Press (1998)
89. Bossong, U., Schweigert, D.: Minimal paths on ordered graphs. *Mathematica Slovaca* **56**(1), 23–31 (2006)
90. Boutilier, C., Rosenschein, J.: Incomplete information and communication in voting. In: F. Brandt, V. Conitzer, U. Endriss, J. Lang, A. Procaccia (eds.) *Handbook of Computational Social Choice*, chap. 10. Cambridge University Press (to appear)
91. Bouton, C.: Nim, a game with a complete mathematical theory. *Annals of Mathematics* **3**(1–4), 35–39 (1901–1902)
92. Bouveret, S.: *Fair allocation of indivisible items: Modeling, computational complexity and algorithmics*. Ph.D. thesis, Institut Supérieur de l'Aéronautique et de l'Espace, Toulouse, France (2007)
93. Bouveret, S., Chevaleyre, Y., Maudet, N.: Fair allocation of indivisible goods. In: F. Brandt, V. Conitzer, U. Endriss, J. Lang, A. Procaccia (eds.) *Handbook of Computational Social Choice*, chap. 12. Cambridge University Press (to appear)
94. Bouveret, S., Endriss, U., Lang, J.: Conditional importance networks: A graphical language for representing ordinal, monotonic preferences over sets of goods. In: *Proceedings of the 21st International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 67–72. IJCAI (2009)
95. Bouveret, S., Endriss, U., Lang, J.: Fair division under ordinal preferences: Computing envy-free allocations of indivisible goods. In: *Proceedings of the 19th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 387–392. IOS Press (2010)
96. Bouveret, S., Lang, J.: Efficiency and envy-freeness in fair division of indivisible goods: Logical representation and complexity. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research* **32**, 525–564 (2008)

97. Bouveret, S., Lang, J.: A general elicitation-free protocol for allocating indivisible goods. In: Proceedings of the 22nd International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 73–78. AAAI Press/IJCAI (2011)
98. Bouveret, S., Lang, J.: Manipulating picking sequences. In: Proceedings of the 21st European Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 141–146. IOS Press (2014)
99. Bouveret, S., Lemaître, M.: Computing leximin-optimal solutions in constraint networks. *Artificial Intelligence* **173**(2), 343–364 (2009)
100. Bouveret, S., Lemaître, M.: Characterizing conflicts in fair division of indivisible goods using a scale of criteria. In: Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, pp. 1321–1328. IFAAMAS (2014)
101. Bouveret, S., Lemaître, M., Fargier, H., Lang, J.: Allocation of indivisible goods: A general model and some complexity results (extended abstract). In: Proceedings of the 4th International Joint Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, pp. 1309–1310. ACM Press (2005)
102. Bovet, D., Crescenzi, P.: *Introduction to the Theory of Complexity*. Prentice Hall (1993)
103. Brams, S., Edelman, P., Fishburn, P.: Paradoxes of fair division. *Journal of Philosophy* **98**(6), 300–314 (2001)
104. Brams, S., Edelman, P., Fishburn, P.: Fair division of indivisible items. *Theory and Decision* **55**(2), 147–180 (2003)
105. Brams, S., Fishburn, P.: Approval voting. *American Political Science Review* **72**(3), 831–847 (1978)
106. Brams, S., Fishburn, P.: Paradoxes of preferential voting. *Mathematics Magazine* **56**(4), 207–216 (1983)
107. Brams, S., Fishburn, P.: Fair division of indivisible items between two people with identical preferences: Envy-freeness, Pareto-optimality, and equity. *Social Choice and Welfare* **17**(2), 247–267 (2002)
108. Brams, S., Jones, M., Klamler, C.: Better ways to cut a cake. *Notices of the AMS* **53**(11), 1314–1321 (2006)
109. Brams, S., Jones, M., Klamler, C.: Divide-and-Conquer: A proportional, minimal-envy cake-cutting algorithm. *SIAM Review* **53**(2), 291–307 (2011)
110. Brams, S., Kilgour, M., Klamler, C.: The undercut procedure: An algorithm for the envy-free division of indivisible items. *Social Choice and Welfare* **39**(2–3), 615–631 (2012)
111. Brams, S., Kilgour, M., Klamler, C.: An algorithm for the proportional division of indivisible items. Tech. Rep. MPRA paper No. 56587, Munich Personal RePEc Archive (2014)
112. Brams, S., Kilgour, M., Klamler, C.: Two-person fair division of indivisible items: An efficient, envy-free algorithm. *Notices of the AMS* **61**(2), 130–141 (2014)
113. Brams, S., King, D.: Efficient fair division: Help the worst off or avoid envy? *Rationality and Society* **17**(4), 387–421 (2005)
114. Brams, S., Sanver, R.: Critical strategies under approval voting: Who gets ruled in and ruled out. *Electoral Studies* **25**(2), 287–305 (2006)
115. Brams, S., Sanver, R.: Voting systems that combine approval and preference. In: S. Brams, W. Gehrlein, F. Roberts (eds.) *The Mathematics of Preference, Choice, and Order: Essays in Honor of Peter C. Fishburn*, pp. 215–237. Springer (2009)
116. Brams, S., Taylor, A.: An envy-free cake division protocol. *The American Mathematical Monthly* **102**(1), 9–18 (1995)
117. Brams, S., Taylor, A.: *Fair Division: From Cake-Cutting to Dispute Resolution*. Cambridge University Press (1996)
118. Brams, S., Taylor, A.: *The Win-Win Solution. Guaranteeing Fair Shares to Everybody*. W. W. Norton & Company (2000)
119. Brams, S., Taylor, A., Zwicker, W.: A moving-knife solution to the four-person envy-free cake-division problem. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* **125**(2), 547–554 (1997)

120. Brandl, F., Brandt, F., Strobel, M.: Fractional hedonic games: Individual and group stability. In: Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, pp. 1219–1227. IFAAMAS (2015)
121. Brandt, F.: Some remarks on Dodgson’s voting rule. *Mathematical Logic Quarterly* **55**(4), 460–463 (2009)
122. Brandt, F., Brill, M., Harrenstein, P.: Tournament solutions. In: F. Brandt, V. Conitzer, U. Endriss, J. Lang, A. Procaccia (eds.) *Handbook of Computational Social Choice*, chap. 3. Cambridge University Press (to appear)
123. Brandt, F., Brill, M., Hemaspaandra, E., Hemaspaandra, L.: Bypassing combinatorial protections: Polynomial-time algorithms for single-peaked electorates. In: Proceedings of the 24th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 715–722. AAAI Press (2010)
124. Brandt, F., Conitzer, V., Endriss, U.: Computational social choice. In: G. Weiß (ed.) *Multiagent Systems*, second edn., pp. 213–283. MIT Press (2013)
125. Brandt, F., Conitzer, V., Endriss, U., Lang, J., Procaccia, A. (eds.): *Handbook of Computational Social Choice*. Cambridge University Press (to appear)
126. Brandt, F., Faliszewski, P. (eds.): *Proceedings of the 4th International Workshop on Computational Social Choice*. AGH University of Science and Technology, Kraków, Poland (2012)
127. Brandt, F., Fischer, F., Holzer, M.: Symmetries and the complexity of pure Nash equilibrium. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences* **75**(3), 163–177 (2009)
128. Brandt, F., Fischer, F., Holzer, M.: Equilibria of graphical games with symmetries. *Theoretical Computer Science* **412**(8–10), 675–685 (2011)
129. Brandt, F., Geist, C.: Finding strategyproof social choice functions via SAT solving. In: Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, pp. 1193–1200. IFAAMAS (2014)
130. Bredereck, R., Chen, J., Hartung, S., Kratsch, S., Niedermeier, R., Suchý, O.: A multivariate complexity analysis of lobbying in multiple referenda. In: Proceedings of the 26th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 1292–1298. AAAI Press (2012)
131. Bredereck, R., Chen, J., Woeginger, G.: Are there any nicely structured preference profiles nearby? In: Proceedings of the 23rd International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 62–68. AAAI Press/IJCAI (2013)
132. Bredereck, R., Faliszewski, P., Niedermeier, R., Talmon, N.: Large-scale election campaigns: Combinatorial shift bribery. In: Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, pp. 67–75. IFAAMAS (2015)
133. Brelsford, E., Faliszewski, P., Hemaspaandra, E., Schnoor, H., Schnoor, I.: Approximability of manipulating elections. In: Proceedings of the 23rd AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 44–49. AAAI Press (2008)
134. Brueggemann, T., Kern, W.: An improved deterministic local search algorithm for 3-SAT. *Theoretical Computer Science* **329**(1-3), 303–313 (2004)
135. Budish, E.: The combinatorial assignment problem: Approximate competitive equilibrium from equal incomes. *Journal of Political Economy* **119**(6), 1061–1103 (2011)
136. Cai, J., Gundermann, T., Hartmanis, J., Hemachandra, L., Sewelson, V., Wagner, K., Wechsung, G.: The boolean hierarchy I: Structural properties. *SIAM Journal on Computing* **17**(6), 1232–1252 (1988)
137. Cai, J., Gundermann, T., Hartmanis, J., Hemachandra, L., Sewelson, V., Wagner, K., Wechsung, G.: The boolean hierarchy II: Applications. *SIAM Journal on Computing* **18**(1), 95–111 (1989)
138. Caragiannis, I., Hemaspaandra, E., Hemaspaandra, L.: Dodgson’s rule and Young’s rule. In: F. Brandt, V. Conitzer, U. Endriss, J. Lang, A. Procaccia (eds.) *Handbook of Computational Social Choice*, chap. 5. Cambridge University Press (to appear)

139. Caragiannis, I., Kaklamanis, C., Kanellopoulos, P., Kyropoulou, M.: The efficiency of fair division. *Theory of Computing Systems* **50**(4), 589–610 (2012)
140. Cary, D.: Estimating the margin of victory for instant-runoff voting. In: *Website Proceedings of the Electronic Voting Technology Workshop/Workshop on Trustworthy Elections* (2011)
141. Cechlárová, K., Hajduková, J.: Computational complexity of stable partitions with B-preferences. *International Journal of Game Theory* **31**(3), 353–364 (2003)
142. Cechlárová, K., Hajduková, J.: Stable partitions with \mathcal{W} -preferences. *Discrete Applied Mathematics* **138**(3), 333–347 (2004)
143. Cechlárová, K., Romero-Medina, A.: Stability in coalition formation games. *International Journal of Game Theory* **29**(4), 487–494 (2001)
144. Chakrabarty, D., Chuzhoy, J., Khanna, S.: On allocating goods to maximize fairness. In: *Proceedings of the 50th IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pp. 107–116. IEEE Computer Society Press (2009)
145. Chalkiadakis, G., Elkind, E., Wooldridge, M.: *Computational Aspects of Cooperative Game Theory*. Synthesis Lectures on Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning. Morgan and Claypool Publishers (2011)
146. Chalkiadakis, G., Wooldridge, M.: Weighted voting games. In: F. Brandt, V. Conitzer, U. Endriss, J. Lang, A. Procaccia (eds.) *Handbook of Computational Social Choice*, chap. 16. Cambridge University Press (to appear)
147. Chamberlin, J., Courant, P.: Representative deliberations and representative decisions: Proportional representation and the Borda rule. *The American Political Science Review* **77**(3), 718–733 (1983)
148. Chandra, A., Kozen, D., Stockmeyer, L.: Alternation. *Journal of the ACM* **26**(1), 114–133 (1981)
149. Chekuri, C., Vondrák, J., Zenklus, R.: Dependent randomized rounding via exchange properties of combinatorial structures. In: *Proceedings of the 51st IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pp. 575–584. IEEE Computer Society (2010)
150. Chen, J., Faliszewski, P., Niedermeier, R., Talmon, N.: Elections with few voters: Candidate control can be easy. In: *Proceedings of the 29th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 2045–2051. AAAI Press (2015)
151. Chen, X., Deng, X.: Settling the complexity of two-player Nash equilibrium. In: *Proceedings of the 47th IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pp. 261–272. IEEE Computer Society Press (2006)
152. Chevaleyre, Y., Dunne, P., Endriss, U., Lang, J., Lemaître, M., Maudet, N., Padget, J., Phelps, S., Rodríguez-Aguilar, J., Sousa, P.: Issues in multiagent resource allocation. *Informatica* **30**(1), 3–31 (2006)
153. Chevaleyre, Y., Endriss, U., Estivie, S., Maudet, N.: Reaching envy-free states in distributed negotiation settings. In: *Proceedings of the 20th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 1239–1244. IJCAI (2007)
154. Chevaleyre, Y., Endriss, U., Estivie, S., Maudet, N.: Multiagent resource allocation in k -additive domains: Preference representation and complexity. *Annals of Operations Research* **163**(1), 49–62 (2008)
155. Chevaleyre, Y., Endriss, U., Lang, J.: Expressive power of weighted propositional formulas for cardinal preference modeling. In: *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Principles of Knowledge Representation and Reasoning*, pp. 145–152. AAAI Press (2006)
156. Chevaleyre, Y., Endriss, U., Lang, J., Maudet, N.: A short introduction to computational social choice. In: *Proceedings of the 33rd International Conference on Current Trends in Theory and Practice of Computer Science*, pp. 51–69. Springer-Verlag *Lecture Notes in Computer Science #4362* (2007)
157. Chevaleyre, Y., Endriss, U., Maudet, N.: Allocating goods on a graph to eliminate envy. In: *Proceedings of the 22nd AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 700–705. AAAI Press (2007)

158. Chevaleyre, Y., Endriss, U., Maudet, N.: Simple negotiation schemes for agents with simple preferences: Sufficiency, necessity and maximality. *Journal of Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems* **20**(2), 234–259 (2010)
159. Chevaleyre, Y., Lang, J., Maudet, N., Monnot, J.: Possible winners when new candidates are added: The case of scoring rules. In: *Proceedings of the 24th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 762–767. AAAI Press (2010)
160. Chevaleyre, Y., Lang, J., Maudet, N., Monnot, J., Xia, L.: New candidates welcome! Possible winners with respect to the addition of new candidates. *Mathematical Social Sciences* **64**(1), 74–88 (2012)
161. Christian, R., Fellows, M., Rosamond, F., Slinko, A.: On complexity of lobbying in multiple referenda. *Review of Economic Design* **11**(3), 217–224 (2007)
162. Church, A.: An unsolvable problem of elementary number theory. *American Journal of Mathematics* **58**, 345–363 (1936)
163. Condorcet, J.: *Essai sur l'application de l'analyse à la probabilité des décisions rendues à la pluralité des voix* (1785). Facsimile reprint of original published in Paris, 1972, by the Imprimerie Royale. English translation appears in [420]: I. McLean and A. Urken, *Classics of Social Choice*, University of Michigan Press, 1995, pages 91–112.
164. Conitzer, V.: Making decisions based on the preferences of multiple agents. *Communications of the ACM* **53**(3), 84–94 (2010)
165. Conitzer, V., Davenport, A., Kalagnanam, J.: Improved bounds for computing Kemeny rankings. In: *Proceedings of the 21st National Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 620–626. AAAI Press (2006)
166. Conitzer, V., Rognlie, M., Xia, L.: Preference functions that score rankings and maximum likelihood estimation. In: *Proceedings of the 21st International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 109–115. IJCAI (2009)
167. Conitzer, V., Rothe, J. (eds.): *Proceedings of the 3rd International Workshop on Computational Social Choice*. Universität Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany (2010)
168. Conitzer, V., Sandholm, T.: Complexity results about Nash equilibria. In: *Proceedings of the 18th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 765–771. Morgan Kaufmann (2003)
169. Conitzer, V., Sandholm, T.: Nonexistence of voting rules that are usually hard to manipulate. In: *Proceedings of the 21st National Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 627–634. AAAI Press (2006)
170. Conitzer, V., Sandholm, T.: A technique for reducing normal-form games to compute a Nash equilibrium. In: *Proceedings of the 5th International Joint Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems*, pp. 537–544. ACM Press (2006)
171. Conitzer, V., Sandholm, T., Lang, J.: When are elections with few candidates hard to manipulate? *Journal of the ACM* **54**(3), Article 14 (2007)
172. Conitzer, V., Sandholm, T., Santi, P.: Combinatorial auctions with k -wise dependent valuations. In: *Proceedings of the 20th National Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 248–254. AAAI Press (2005)
173. Conitzer, V., Walsh, T.: Barriers to manipulation in voting. In: F. Brandt, V. Conitzer, U. Endriss, J. Lang, A. Procaccia (eds.) *Handbook of Computational Social Choice*, chap. 6. Cambridge University Press (to appear)
174. Cook, S.: The complexity of theorem-proving procedures. In: *Proceedings of the 3rd ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pp. 151–158. ACM Press (1971)
175. Copeland, A.: A “reasonable” social welfare function. Mimeographed notes from a Seminar on Applications of Mathematics to the Social Sciences, University of Michigan (1951)
176. Cormen, T., Leiserson, C., Rivest, R., Stein, C.: *Introduction to Algorithms*, second edn. MIT Press and McGraw-Hill (2001)
177. Cramton, P., Shoham, Y., Steinberg, R. (eds.): *Combinatorial Auctions*. MIT Press (2006)

178. Csirik, J., Kellerer, H., Woeginger, G.: The exact LPT-bound for maximizing the minimum completion time. *Operations Research Letters* **11**(5), 281–287 (1992)
179. Culberson, J.: Sokoban is PSPACE-complete. Tech. Rep. TR 97-02, The University of Alberta (1997)
180. Custer, C.: Cake-cutting Hugo Steinhaus style: Beyond $N = 3$. Department of Mathematics, Union College, Schenectady, NY, USA (1994). Senior Thesis.
181. Dall’Aglia, M., Hill, T.: Maximin share and minimax envy in fair-division problems. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* **281**(1), 346–361 (2003)
182. Dall’Aglia, M., Mosca, R.: How to allocate hard candies fairly. *Mathematical Social Sciences* **54**(3), 218–237 (2007)
183. Dantsin, E., Goerdts, A., Hirsch, E., Kannan, R., Kleinberg, J., Papadimitriou, C., Raghavan, P., Schöning, U.: A deterministic $(2 - 2/(k + 1))^n$ algorithm for k -SAT based on local search. *Theoretical Computer Science* **289**(1), 69–83 (2002)
184. Dantsin, E., Wolpert, A.: Derandomization of Schuler’s algorithm for SAT. In: Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Theory and Applications of Satisfiability Testing, pp. 80–88. Springer-Verlag *Lecture Notes in Computer Science #3542* (2004)
185. Dantzig, G., Thapa, M.: *Linear Programming 1: Introduction*. Springer-Verlag (1997)
186. Dantzig, G., Thapa, M.: *Linear Programming 2: Theory and Extensions*. Springer-Verlag (2003)
187. Darmann, A., Elkind, E., Kurz, S., Lang, J., Schauer, J., Woeginger, G.: Group activity selection problem. In: Proceedings of the 8th International Workshop on Internet & Network Economics, pp. 156–169. Springer-Verlag *Lecture Notes in Computer Science #7695* (2012)
188. Darmann, A., Schauer, J.: Maximizing Nash product social welfare in allocating indivisible goods. In: A. Procaccia, T. Walsh (eds.) Proceedings of the 5th International Workshop on Computational Social Choice. Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, USA (2014)
189. Daskalakis, C., Goldberg, P., Papadimitriou, C.: The complexity of computing a Nash equilibrium. In: Proceedings of the 38th ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, pp. 71–78. ACM Press (2006)
190. Daskalakis, C., Goldberg, P., Papadimitriou, C.: The complexity of computing a Nash equilibrium. *Communications of the ACM* **52**(2), 89–97 (2009)
191. Daskalakis, C., Goldberg, P., Papadimitriou, C.: The complexity of computing a Nash equilibrium. *SIAM Journal on Computing* **39**(1), 195–259 (2009)
192. Daskalakis, C., Papadimitriou, C.: Continuous local search. In: Proceedings of the Twenty-Second Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, pp. 790–804. SIAM (2011)
193. Davies, J., Katsirelos, G., Narodytska, N., Walsh, T.: Complexity of and algorithms for Borda manipulation. In: Proceedings of the 25th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 657–662. AAAI Press (2011)
194. Dawson, C.: An algorithmic version of Kuhn’s lone-divider method of fair division. *Missouri Journal of Mathematical Sciences* **13**(3), 172–177 (2001)
195. Delort, C., Spanjaard, O., Weng, P.: Committee selection with a weight constraint based on a pairwise dominance relation. In: Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Algorithmic Decision Theory, pp. 28–41. Springer-Verlag *Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence #6992* (2011)
196. Demko, S., Hill, T.: Equitable distribution of indivisible objects. *Mathematical Social Sciences* **16**(2), 45–158 (1988)
197. Deng, X., Papadimitriou, C.: On the complexity of cooperative solution concepts. *Mathematics of Operations Research* **19**(2), 257–266 (1994)
198. Desmedt, Y., Elkind, E.: Equilibria of plurality voting with abstentions. In: Proceedings of the 11th ACM Conference on Electronic Commerce, pp. 347–356. ACM Press (2010)

199. Deurmeyer, B., Friesen, D., Langston, M.: Scheduling to maximize the minimum processor finish time in a multiprocessor system. *SIAM Journal on Algebraic and Discrete Methods* **3**(2), 452–454 (1982)
200. Dickerson, J., Goldman, J., Karp, J., Procaccia, A., Sandholm, T.: The computational rise and fall of fairness. In: *Proceedings of the 28th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 1405–1411. AAAI Press (2014)
201. Diestel, R.: *Graphentheorie*. Springer-Verlag (2006)
202. Dietrich, F.: A generalised model of judgment aggregation. *Social Choice and Welfare* **28**(4), 529–565 (2007)
203. Dietrich, F., List, C.: Arrow’s theorem in judgment aggregation. *Social Choice and Welfare* **29**(1), 19–33 (2007)
204. Dietrich, F., List, C.: Judgment aggregation by quota rules: Majority voting generalized. *Journal of Theoretical Politics* **19**(4), 391–424 (2007)
205. Dietrich, F., List, C.: Strategy-proof judgment aggregation. *Economics and Philosophy* **23**(3), 269–300 (2007)
206. Dimitrov, D., Borm, P., Hendrickx, R., Sung, S.: Simple priorities and core stability in hedonic games. *Social Choice and Welfare* **26**(2), 421–433 (2006)
207. Dobzinski, S., Procaccia, A.: Frequent manipulability of elections: The case of two voters. In: *Proceedings of the 4th International Workshop on Internet & Network Economics*, pp. 653–664. Springer-Verlag *Lecture Notes in Computer Science #5385* (2008)
208. Dodgson, C.: A method of taking votes on more than two issues (1876). Pamphlet printed by the Clarendon Press, Oxford, and headed “not yet published” (see the discussions in [420, 78], both of which reprint this paper).
209. Doignon, J., Falmagne, J.: A polynomial time algorithm for unidimensional unfolding representations. *Journal of Algorithms* **16**(2), 218–233 (1994)
210. Dokow, E., Holzman, R.: Aggregation of binary evaluations. *Journal of Economic Theory* **145**(2), 495–511 (2010)
211. Dor, D., Zwick, U.: SOKOBAN and other motion planning problems. *Computational Geometry* **13**(4), 215–228 (1999)
212. Dorn, B., Krüger, D.: Being caught between a rock and a hard place in an election – voter deterrence by deletion of candidates. In: *Proceedings of the 39th International Conference on Current Trends in Theory and Practice of Computer Science*, pp. 182–193. Springer-Verlag *Lecture Notes in Computer Science #7741* (2013)
213. Downey, R., Fellows, M.: *Parameterized Complexity*. Springer-Verlag (1999)
214. Driessen, T.: *Cooperative Games, Solutions and Applications*. Kluwer Academic Publishers (1988)
215. Dubey, P., Shapley, L.: Mathematical properties of the Banzhaf power index. *Mathematics of Operations Research* **4**(2), 99–131 (1979)
216. Dubins, L., Spanier, E.: How to cut a cake fairly. *The American Mathematical Monthly* **68**(1), 1–17 (1961)
217. Duggan, J., Schwartz, T.: Strategic manipulability without resoluteness or shared beliefs: Gibbard–Satterthwaite generalized. *Social Choice and Welfare* **17**(1), 85–93 (2000)
218. Dunne, P., Wooldridge, M., Laurence, M.: The complexity of contract negotiation. *Artificial Intelligence* **164**(1–2), 23–46 (2005)
219. Dutta, B., Jackson, M., Le Breton, M.: Strategic candidacy and voting procedures. *Econometrica* **69**(4), 1013–1037 (2001)
220. Dwork, C., Kumar, R., Naor, M., Sivakumar, D.: Rank aggregation methods for the web. In: *Proceedings of the 10th International World Wide Web Conference*, pp. 613–622. ACM Press (2001)
221. Edmonds, J., Pruhs, K.: Balanced allocations of cake. In: *Proceedings of the 47th IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pp. 623–634. IEEE Computer Society (2006)

222. Edmonds, J., Pruhs, K.: Cake cutting really is not a piece of cake. In: Proceedings of the 17th *ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, pp. 271–278. ACM Press (2006)
223. Ehlers, L., Klaus, B.: Coalitional strategy-proofness, resource-monotonicity, and separability for multiple assignment problems. *Social Choice and Welfare* **21**(2), 265–280 (2003)
224. Elkind, E., Faliszewski, P.: Approximation algorithms for campaign management. In: Proceedings of the 6th International Workshop on Internet & Network Economics, pp. 473–482. Springer-Verlag *Lecture Notes in Computer Science #6484* (2010)
225. Elkind, E., Faliszewski, P., Slinko, A.: Swap bribery. In: Proceedings of the 2nd International Symposium on Algorithmic Game Theory, pp. 299–310. Springer-Verlag *Lecture Notes in Computer Science #5814* (2009)
226. Elkind, E., Faliszewski, P., Slinko, A.: Cloning in elections: Finding the possible winners. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research* **42**, 529–573 (2011)
227. Elkind, E., Goldberg, L., Goldberg, P., Wooldridge, M.: Computing good Nash equilibria in graphical games. In: Proceedings of the 8th ACM Conference on Electronic Commerce, pp. 162–171. ACM Press (2007)
228. Elkind, E., Goldberg, L., Goldberg, P., Wooldridge, M.: On the computational complexity of weighted voting games. *Annals of Mathematics and Artificial Intelligence* **56**(2), 109–131 (2009)
229. Elkind, E., Slinko, A.: Rationalizations of voting rules. In: F. Brandt, V. Conitzer, U. Endriss, J. Lang, A. Procaccia (eds.) *Handbook of Computational Social Choice*, chap. 8. Cambridge University Press (to appear)
230. Elkind, E., Wooldridge, M.: Hedonic coalition nets. In: Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, pp. 417–424. IFAAMAS (2009)
231. Emerson, P.: The Original Borda Count and Partial Voting. *Social Choice and Welfare* **40**(2), 353–358 (2013)
232. Endriss, U.: Judgment aggregation. In: F. Brandt, V. Conitzer, U. Endriss, J. Lang, A. Procaccia (eds.) *Handbook of Computational Social Choice*, chap. 17. Cambridge University Press (to appear)
233. Endriss, U., Goldberg, P. (eds.): Proceedings of the 2nd International Workshop on Computational Social Choice. University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK (2008)
234. Endriss, U., Grandi, U., Porello, D.: Complexity of judgment aggregation: Safety of the agenda. In: Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, pp. 359–366. IFAAMAS (2010)
235. Endriss, U., Grandi, U., Porello, D.: Complexity of winner determination and strategic manipulation in judgment aggregation. In: V. Conitzer, J. Rothe (eds.) Proceedings of the 3rd International Workshop on Computational Social Choice, pp. 139–150. Universität Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany (2010)
236. Endriss, U., Grandi, U., Porello, D.: Complexity of judgment aggregation. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research* **45**, 481–514 (2012)
237. Endriss, U., de Haan, R.: Complexity of the winner determination problem in judgment aggregation: Kemeny, Slater, Tideman, Young. In: Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, pp. 117–125. IFAAMAS (2015)
238. Endriss, U., de Haan, R., Szeider, S.: Parameterized complexity results for agenda safety in judgment aggregation. In: Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, pp. 127–136. IFAAMAS (2015)
239. Endriss, U., Lang, J. (eds.): Proceedings of the 1st International Workshop on Computational Social Choice. Universiteit van Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands (2006)

240. Endriss, U., Maudet, N.: On the communication complexity of multilateral trading: Extended report. *Journal of Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems* **11**(1), 91–107 (2005)
241. Endriss, U., Maudet, N., Sadri, F., Toni, F.: Negotiating socially optimal allocations of resources. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research* **25**, 315–348 (2006)
242. Ephrati, E., Rosenschein, J.: The Clarke Tax as a consensus mechanism among automated agents. In: *Proceedings of the 9th National Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 173–178. AAAI Press (1991)
243. Ephrati, E., Rosenschein, J.: Multi-agent planning as a dynamic search for social consensus. In: *Proceedings of the 13th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 423–429. Morgan Kaufmann (1993)
244. Ephrati, E., Rosenschein, J.: A heuristic technique for multi-agent planning. *Annals of Mathematics and Artificial Intelligence* **20**(1–4), 13–67 (1997)
245. Erdélyi, G., Fellows, M.: Parameterized control complexity in Bucklin voting and in fallback voting. In: V. Conitzer, J. Rothe (eds.) *Proceedings of the 3rd International Workshop on Computational Social Choice*, pp. 163–174. Universität Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany (2010)
246. Erdélyi, G., Fellows, M., Piras, L., Rothe, J.: Control complexity in Bucklin and fallback voting. *Tech. Rep. arXiv:1103.2230 [cs.CC]*, ACM Computing Research Repository (CoRR) (2011). Revised August, 2012
247. Erdélyi, G., Fellows, M., Rothe, J., Schend, L.: Control complexity in Bucklin and fallback voting: A theoretical analysis. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences* **81**(4), 632–660 (2015)
248. Erdélyi, G., Fellows, M., Rothe, J., Schend, L.: Control complexity in Bucklin and fallback voting: An experimental analysis. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences* **81**(4), 661–670 (2015)
249. Erdélyi, G., Hemaspaandra, L., Rothe, J., Spakowski, H.: Frequency of correctness versus average polynomial time. *Information Processing Letters* **109**(16), 946–949 (2009)
250. Erdélyi, G., Hemaspaandra, L., Rothe, J., Spakowski, H.: Generalized juntas and NP-hard sets. *Theoretical Computer Science* **410**(38–40), 3995–4000 (2009)
251. Erdélyi, G., Lackner, M., Pfandler, A.: Computational aspects of nearly single-peaked electorates. In: *Proceedings of the 27th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 283–289. AAAI Press (2013)
252. Erdélyi, G., Nowak, M., Rothe, J.: Sincere-strategy preference-based approval voting fully resists constructive control and broadly resists destructive control. *Mathematical Logic Quarterly* **55**(4), 425–443 (2009)
253. Erdélyi, G., Piras, L., Rothe, J.: The complexity of voter partition in Bucklin and fallback voting: Solving three open problems. In: *Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems*, pp. 837–844. IFAAMAS (2011)
254. Erdélyi, G., Rothe, J.: Control complexity in fallback voting. In: *Proceedings of Computing: the 16th Australasian Theory Symposium*, pp. 39–48. Australian Computer Society *Conferences in Research and Practice in Information Technology Series*, vol. 32, no. 8 (2010)
255. Escoffier, B., Lang, J., Öztürk, M.: Single-peaked consistency and its complexity. In: *Proceedings of the 18th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 366–370. IOS Press (2008)
256. Even, S., Paz, A.: A note on cake cutting. *Discrete Applied Mathematics* **7**(3), 285–296 (1984)
257. Faliszewski, P.: Nonuniform bribery. In: *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems*, pp. 1569–1572. IFAAMAS (2008)

258. Faliszewski, P., Elkind, E., Wooldridge, M.: Boolean combinations of weighted voting games. In: Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, pp. 185–192. IFAAMAS (2009)
259. Faliszewski, P., Hemaspaandra, E., Hemaspaandra, L.: The complexity of bribery in elections. In: Proceedings of the 21st National Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 641–646. AAAI Press (2006)
260. Faliszewski, P., Hemaspaandra, E., Hemaspaandra, L.: How hard is bribery in elections? *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research* **35**, 485–532 (2009)
261. Faliszewski, P., Hemaspaandra, E., Hemaspaandra, L.: Using complexity to protect elections. *Communications of the ACM* **53**(11), 74–82 (2010)
262. Faliszewski, P., Hemaspaandra, E., Hemaspaandra, L.: Multimode control attacks on elections. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research* **40**, 305–351 (2011)
263. Faliszewski, P., Hemaspaandra, E., Hemaspaandra, L.: The complexity of manipulative attacks in nearly single-peaked electorates. *Artificial Intelligence* **207**, 69–99 (2014)
264. Faliszewski, P., Hemaspaandra, E., Hemaspaandra, L.: Weighted electoral control. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research* **52**, 507–542 (2015)
265. Faliszewski, P., Hemaspaandra, E., Hemaspaandra, L., Rothe, J.: Llull and Copeland voting broadly resist bribery and control. In: Proceedings of the 22nd AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 724–730. AAAI Press (2007)
266. Faliszewski, P., Hemaspaandra, E., Hemaspaandra, L., Rothe, J.: Copeland voting fully resists constructive control. In: Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Algorithmic Aspects in Information and Management, pp. 165–176. Springer-Verlag *Lecture Notes in Computer Science #5034* (2008)
267. Faliszewski, P., Hemaspaandra, E., Hemaspaandra, L., Rothe, J.: Llull and Copeland voting computationally resist bribery and constructive control. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research* **35**, 275–341 (2009)
268. Faliszewski, P., Hemaspaandra, E., Hemaspaandra, L., Rothe, J.: A richer understanding of the complexity of election systems. In: S. Ravi, S. Shukla (eds.) *Fundamental Problems in Computing: Essays in Honor of Professor Daniel J. Rosenkrantz*, chap. 14, pp. 375–406. Springer (2009)
269. Faliszewski, P., Hemaspaandra, E., Hemaspaandra, L., Rothe, J.: The shield that never was: Societies with single-peaked preferences are more open to manipulation and control. *Information and Computation* **209**(2), 89–107 (2011)
270. Faliszewski, P., Hemaspaandra, E., Schnoor, H.: Copeland voting: Ties matter. In: Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, pp. 983–990. IFAAMAS (2008)
271. Faliszewski, P., Hemaspaandra, E., Schnoor, H.: Manipulation of Copeland elections. In: Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, pp. 367–374. IFAAMAS (2010)
272. Faliszewski, P., Hemaspaandra, E., Schnoor, H.: Weighted manipulation for four-candidate Llull is easy. In: Proceedings of the 20th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 318–323. IOS Press (2012)
273. Faliszewski, P., Hemaspaandra, L.: The complexity of power-index comparison. *Theoretical Computer Science* **410**(1), 101–107 (2009)
274. Faliszewski, P., Procaccia, A.: AI’s war on manipulation: Are we winning? *AI Magazine* **31**(4), 53–64 (2010)
275. Faliszewski, P., Reisch, Y., Rothe, J., Schend, L.: Complexity of manipulation, bribery, and campaign management in Bucklin and fallback voting. *Journal of Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems* (to appear)
276. Faliszewski, P., Rothe, J.: Control and bribery in voting. In: F. Brandt, V. Conitzer, U. Endriss, J. Lang, A. Procaccia (eds.) *Handbook of Computational Social Choice*, chap. 7. Cambridge University Press (to appear)
277. Farrell, J., Scotchmer, S.: Partnerships. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* **103**(2), 279–297 (1988)

278. Feige, U., Tennenholtz, M.: On fair division of a homogeneous good. *Games and Economic Behavior* **87**, 305–321 (2014)
279. Feldman, A., Kirman, A.: Fairness and envy. *The American Economic Review* **64**(6), 995–1005 (1974)
280. Felsenthal, D., Machover, M.: Postulates and paradoxes of relative voting power – A critical re-appraisal. *Theory and Decision* **38**(2), 195–229 (1995)
281. Felsenthal, D., Machover, M.: Voting power measurement: A story of misreinvention. *Social Choice and Welfare* **25**(2), 485–506 (2005)
282. Ferraioli, D., Gourvès, L., Monnot, J.: On regular and approximately fair allocations of indivisible goods. In: *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems*, pp. 997–1004. IFAAMAS (2014)
283. Fink, A.: A note on the fair division problem. *Mathematics Magazine* **37**(5), 341–342 (1964)
284. Fischer, F., Hudry, O., Niedermeier, R.: Weighted tournament solutions. In: F. Brandt, V. Conitzer, U. Endriss, J. Lang, A. Procaccia (eds.) *Handbook of Computational Social Choice*, chap. 4. Cambridge University Press (to appear)
285. Fishburn, P.: Condorcet social choice functions. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics* **33**(3), 469–489 (1977)
286. Fitzsimmons, Z., Hemaspaandra, E., Hemaspaandra, L.: Control in the presence of manipulators: Cooperative and competitive cases. In: *Proceedings of the 23rd International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 113–119. AAAI Press/IJCAI (2013)
287. Flum, J., Grohe, M.: *Parameterized Complexity Theory*. EATCS Texts in Theoretical Computer Science. Springer-Verlag (2006)
288. Foley, D.: Resource allocation and the public sector. *Yale Economic Essays* **7**(1), 45–98 (1967)
289. Fortnow, L., Lipton, R., van Melkebeek, D., Viglas, A.: Time-space lower bounds for satisfiability. *Journal of the ACM* **52**(6), 835–865 (2005)
290. Fréchet, M.: Émile Borel, initiator of the theory of psychological games and its application. *Econometrica* **21**(1), 95–96 (1953)
291. Friedgut, E., Kalai, G., Nisan, N.: Elections can be manipulated often. In: *Proceedings of the 49th IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pp. 243–249. IEEE Computer Society (2008)
292. Friedgut, E., Keller, N., Kalai, G., Nisan, N.: A quantitative version of the Gibbard–Satterthwaite theorem for three alternatives. *SIAM Journal on Computing* **40**(3), 934–952 (2011)
293. Fujinaka, Y., Sakai, T.: Maskin monotonicity in economies with indivisible goods and money. *Economics Letters* **94**(2), 253–258 (2007)
294. Fulkerson, D., Gross, G.: Incidence matrices and interval graphs. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* **15**(5), 835–855 (1965)
295. Gailmard, S., Patty, J., Penn, E.: Arrow’s theorem on single-peaked domains. In: E. Aragonès, C. Bevià, H. Llavador, N. Schofield (eds.) *The Political Economy of Democracy*, pp. 335–342. Fundación BBVA (2009)
296. Gale, D., Shapley, L.: College admissions and the stability of marriage. *The American Mathematical Monthly* **69**(1), 9–14 (1962)
297. Galeotti, A., Goyal, S., Jackson, M., Vega-Redondo, F., Yariv, L.: Network games. *The Review of Economic Studies* **77**(1), 218–244 (2010)
298. Gardner, M.: *Aha! Insight*. W. H. Freeman and Company (1978)
299. Garey, M., Johnson, D.: *Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness*. W. H. Freeman and Company (1979)
300. Gasarch, W.: The $P = ?$ NP poll. *SIGACT News* **33**(2), 34–47 (2002)
301. Gaspers, S., Kalinowski, T., Narodytska, N., Walsh, T.: Coalitional manipulation for Schulze’s rule. In: *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems*, pp. 431–438. IFAAMAS (2013)

302. Geist, C., Endriss, U.: Automated search for impossibility theorems in social choice theory: Ranking sets of objects. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research* **40**, 143–174 (2011)
303. Ghosh, S., Mundhe, M., Hernandez, K., Sen, S.: Voting for movies: The anatomy of recommender systems. In: *Proceedings of the 3rd Annual Conference on Autonomous Agents*, pp. 434–435. ACM Press (1999)
304. Gibbard, A.: Manipulation of voting schemes: A general result. *Econometrica* **41**(4), 587–601 (1973)
305. Gill, J.: Computational complexity of probabilistic Turing machines. *SIAM Journal on Computing* **6**(4), 675–695 (1977)
306. Goemans, M., Harvey, N., Iwata, S., Mirrokni, V.: Approximating submodular functions everywhere. In: *Proceedings of the 20th ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, pp. 535–544. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (2009)
307. Golden, B., Perny, P.: Infinite order Lorenz dominance for fair multiagent optimization. In: *Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems*, pp. 383–390. IFAAMAS (2010)
308. Goldreich, O.: Notes on Levin’s theory of average-case complexity. Tech. Rep. TR97-058, Electronic Colloquium on Computational Complexity (1997)
309. Golovin, D.: Max-min fair allocation of indivisible goods. Tech. Rep. CMU-CS-05-144, School of Computer Science, Carnegie Mellon University (2005)
310. Gonzales, C., Perny, P.: GAI networks for utility elicitation. In: *Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Principles of Knowledge Representation and Reasoning*, pp. 224–234. AAAI Press (2004)
311. Gourvès, L., Monnot, J., Tlilane, L.: A matroid approach to the worst case allocation of indivisible goods. In: *Proceedings of the 23rd International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 136–142. AAAI Press/IJCAI (2013)
312. Gourvès, L., Monnot, J., Tlilane, L.: Near fairness in matroids. In: *Proceedings of the 21st European Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 393–398. IOS Press (2014)
313. Grabisch, M.: Alternative representations of discrete fuzzy measures for decision making. *International Journal of Uncertainty, Fuzziness and Knowledge-Based Systems* **5**(5), 587–608 (1997)
314. de Grazia, A.: Mathematical deviation of an election system. *Isis* **44**(1–2), 41–51 (1953)
315. Gurski, F., Rothe, I., Rothe, J., Wanke, E.: *Exakte Algorithmen für schwere Graphenprobleme*. eXamen.Press. Springer-Verlag (2010)
316. Haake, C., Raith, M., Su, F.: Bidding for envy-freeness: A procedural approach to n -player fair-division problems. *Social Choice and Welfare* **19**(4), 723–749 (2002)
317. Hačijan, L.: A polynomial algorithm in linear programming. *Soviet Mathematics Doklady* **20**(1), 191–194 (1979)
318. Hägele, G., Pukelsheim, F.: The electoral writings of Ramon Llull. *Studia Lulliana* **41**(97), 3–38 (2001)
319. Hatfield, J.: Strategy-proof, efficient, and nonbossy quota allocations. *Social Choice and Welfare* **33**(3), 505–515 (2009)
320. Hemachandra, L.: The strong exponential hierarchy collapses. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences* **39**(3), 299–322 (1989)
321. Hemaspaandra, E., Hemaspaandra, L.: Dichotomy for voting systems. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences* **73**(1), 73–83 (2007)
322. Hemaspaandra, E., Hemaspaandra, L., Menton, C.: Search versus decision for election manipulation problems. In: *Proceedings of the 30th Annual Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science, LIPIcs*, vol. 20, pp. 377–388. Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik (2013)

323. Hemaspaandra, E., Hemaspaandra, L., Rothe, J.: Exact analysis of Dodgson elections: Lewis Carroll's 1876 voting system is complete for parallel access to NP. *Journal of the ACM* **44**(6), 806–825 (1997)
324. Hemaspaandra, E., Hemaspaandra, L., Rothe, J.: Raising NP lower bounds to parallel NP lower bounds. *SIGACT News* **28**(2), 2–13 (1997)
325. Hemaspaandra, E., Hemaspaandra, L., Rothe, J.: Anyone but him: The complexity of precluding an alternative. In: *Proceedings of the 20th National Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 95–101. AAAI Press (2005)
326. Hemaspaandra, E., Hemaspaandra, L., Rothe, J.: Hybrid elections broaden complexity-theoretic resistance to control. Tech. Rep. arXiv:cs/0608057v2 [cs.GT], ACM Computing Research Repository (CoRR) (2006). Revised, September 2008. Conference version appeared in the *Proceedings of the 20th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI 2007)*.
327. Hemaspaandra, E., Hemaspaandra, L., Rothe, J.: Anyone but him: The complexity of precluding an alternative. *Artificial Intelligence* **171**(5–6), 255–285 (2007)
328. Hemaspaandra, E., Hemaspaandra, L., Rothe, J.: Hybrid elections broaden complexity-theoretic resistance to control. *Mathematical Logic Quarterly* **55**(4), 397–424 (2009)
329. Hemaspaandra, E., Hemaspaandra, L., Rothe, J.: Controlling candidate-sequential elections. In: *Proceedings of the 20th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 905–906. IOS Press (2012)
330. Hemaspaandra, E., Hemaspaandra, L., Rothe, J.: Online voter control in sequential elections. In: *Proceedings of the 20th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 396–401. IOS Press (2012)
331. Hemaspaandra, E., Hemaspaandra, L., Rothe, J.: The complexity of online manipulation of sequential elections. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences* **80**(4), 697–710 (2014)
332. Hemaspaandra, E., Rothe, J.: Recognizing when greed can approximate maximum independent sets is complete for parallel access to NP. *Information Processing Letters* **65**(3), 151–156 (1998)
333. Hemaspaandra, E., Rothe, J., Spakowski, H.: Recognizing when heuristics can approximate minimum vertex covers is complete for parallel access to NP. *R.A.I.R.O. Theoretical Informatics and Applications* **40**(1), 75–91 (2006)
334. Hemaspaandra, E., Spakowski, H., Vogel, J.: The complexity of Kemeny elections. *Theoretical Computer Science* **349**(3), 382–391 (2005)
335. Hemaspaandra, L., Ogihara, M.: *The Complexity Theory Companion*. EATCS Texts in Theoretical Computer Science. Springer-Verlag (2002)
336. Hemaspaandra, L., Williams, R.: An atypical survey of typical-case heuristic algorithms. *SIGACT News* **43**(4), 71–89 (2012)
337. Herreiner, D., Puppe, C.: A simple procedure for finding equitable allocations of indivisible goods. *Social Choice and Welfare* **19**(2), 415–430 (2002)
338. Holcombe, R.: Absence of envy does not imply fairness. *Southern Economic Journal* **63**(3), 797–802 (1997)
339. Homan, C., Hemaspaandra, L.: Guarantees for the success frequency of an algorithm for finding Dodgson-election winners. *Journal of Heuristics* **15**(4), 403–423 (2009)
340. Homer, S., Selman, A.: *Computability and Complexity Theory*. Texts in Computer Science. Springer-Verlag (2001)
341. Hopcroft, J., Motwani, R., Ullman, J.: *Introduction to Automata Theory, Languages, and Computation*, second edn. Addison-Wesley (2001)
342. Jeong, S., Shoham, Y.: Marginal contribution nets: A compact representation scheme for coalitional games. In: *Proceedings of the 6th ACM Conference on Electronic Commerce*, pp. 193–202. ACM Press (2005)

343. Isaksson, M., Kindler, G., Mossel, E.: The geometry of manipulation: A quantitative proof of the Gibbard–Satterthwaite theorem. In: Proceedings of the 51st IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, pp. 319–328. IEEE Computer Society (2010)
344. Isaksson, M., Kindler, G., Mossel, E.: The geometry of manipulation – A quantitative proof of the Gibbard–Satterthwaite theorem. *Combinatorica* **32**(2), 221–250 (2012)
345. Jackson, M.: *Social and Economic Networks*. Princeton University Press (2008)
346. Jackson, M., van den Nouweland, A.: Strongly stable networks. *Games and Economic Behavior* **51**(2), 420–444 (2005)
347. Jackson, M., Srivastava, S.: On the relation between Nash equilibria and undominated strategies for two person, finite games. *Economics Letters* **45**(3), 315–318 (1994)
348. Jackson, M., Watts, A.: Social games: Matching and the play of finitely repeated games. *Games and Economic Behavior* **70**(1), 170–191 (2010)
349. Jackson, M., Zenou, Y.: Games on networks. In: P. Young, S. Zamir (eds.) *Handbook on Game Theory*, vol. 4. Elsevier Science (2014)
350. Jain, K., Vohra, R.: On stability of the core. Technical Report, Northwestern University (2006)
351. Jain, M., Korzhyk, D., Vaněk, O., Conitzer, V., Pěchouček, M., Tambe, M.: A double oracle algorithm for zero-sum security games on graphs. In: Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, pp. 327–334. IFAAMAS (2011)
352. Johnson, D.: The NP-completeness column: An ongoing guide. *Journal of Algorithms* **2**(4), 393–405 (1981). First column in a series of columns on NP-completeness appearing in the same journal
353. Johnson, D., Papadimitriou, C., Yannakakis, M.: How easy is local search? *Journal of Computer and System Sciences* **37**(1), 79–100 (1988)
354. Jones, N., Lien, Y., Laaser, W.: New problems complete for nondeterministic log space. *Mathematical Systems Theory* **10**(1), 1–17 (1976)
355. Kakutani, S.: A generalization of Brouwer’s fixed point theorem. *Duke Mathematical Journal* **8**(3), 457–459 (1941)
356. Kalai, E., Zemel, E.: Generalized network problems yielding totally balanced games. *Operations Research* **30**(5), 998–1008 (1982)
357. Kalai, E., Zemel, E.: Totally balanced games and games of flow. *Mathematics of Operations Research* **7**(3), 476–478 (1982)
358. Kalinowski, T., Narodytska, N., Walsh, T.: A social welfare optimal sequential allocation procedure. In: Proceedings of the 23rd International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 227–233. AAAI Press/IJCAI (2013)
359. Kalinowski, T., Narodytska, N., Walsh, T., Xia, L.: Strategic behavior when allocating indivisible goods sequentially. In: Proceedings of the 27th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 452–458. AAAI Press (2013)
360. Kaneko, M., Nakamura, K.: The Nash social welfare function. *Econometrica* **47**(2), 423–436 (1979)
361. Kannai, Y., Peleg, B.: A note on the extension of an order on a set to the power set. *Journal of Economic Theory* **32**(1), 172–175 (1984)
362. Karp, J., Kazachkov, A., Procaccia, A.: Envy-free division of sellable goods. In: Proceedings of the 28th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 728–734. AAAI Press (2014)
363. Keeney, R., Raiffa, H.: *Decisions with Multiple Objectives: Preferences and Value Tradeoffs*. John Wiley and Sons (1976)
364. de Keijzer, B., Bouveret, S., Klos, T., Zhang, Y.: On the complexity of efficiency and envy-freeness in fair division of indivisible goods with additive preferences. In: Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Algorithmic Decision Theory, pp. 98–110. Springer-Verlag *Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence #5783* (2009)

365. Kellerer, H., Pferschy, U., Pisinger, D.: Knapsack Problems. Springer-Verlag (2004)
366. Kemeny, J.: Mathematics without numbers. *Dædalus* **88**(4), 571–591 (1959)
367. Khot, S., Ponnuswami, A.: Approximation algorithms for the max-min allocation problem. In: Proceedings of Approximation, Randomization and Combinatorial Optimization. Algorithms and Techniques. 10th International Workshop APPROX 2007 and 11th International Workshop RANDOM 2007, pp. 204–217. Springer-Verlag *Lecture Notes in Computer Science #4627* (2007)
368. Klaus, B., Manlove, D., Rossi, F.: Matching under preferences. In: F. Brandt, V. Conitzer, U. Endriss, J. Lang, A. Procaccia (eds.) Handbook of Computational Social Choice, chap. 14. Cambridge University Press (to appear)
369. Klijn, F.: An algorithm for envy-free allocations in an economy with indivisible objects and money. *Social Choice and Welfare* **17**(2), 201–215 (2000)
370. Köbler, J., Schöning, U., Wagner, K.: The difference and truth-table hierarchies for NP. *R.A.I.R.O. Informatique théorique et Applications* **21**(4), 419–435 (1987)
371. Kohler, D., Chandrasekaran, R.: A class of sequential games. *Operations Research* **19**(2), 270–277 (1971)
372. Kojima, F.: Random assignment of multiple indivisible objects. *Mathematical Social Sciences* **57**(1), 134–142 (2009)
373. Konczak, K., Lang, J.: Voting procedures with incomplete preferences. In: Proceedings of the Multidisciplinary IJCAI-05 Workshop on Advances in Preference Handling, pp. 124–129 (2005)
374. Könemann, J.: Gewinnstrategie für ein Streichholzspiel. In: B. Vöcking, H. Alt, M. Dietzfelbinger, R. Reischuk, C. Scheideler, H. Vollmer, D. Wagner (eds.) Taschenbuch der Algorithmen, chap. 26, pp. 267–273. Springer-Verlag (2008)
375. Kornhauser, L., Sager, L.: Unpacking the court. *Yale Law Journal* **96**(1), 82–117 (1986)
376. Kuhlisch, W., Roos, M., Rothe, J., Rudolph, J., Scheuermann, B., Stoyan, D.: A statistical approach to calibrating the scores of biased reviewers of scientific papers. *Metrika* (to appear)
377. Kuhn, H.: On games of fair division. In: M. Shubik (ed.) Essays in Mathematical Economics in Honor of Oskar Morgenstern, pp. 29–37. Princeton University Press (1967)
378. Kullmann, O.: New methods for 3-SAT decision and worst-case analysis. *Theoretical Computer Science* **223**(1–2), 1–72 (1999)
379. Labunski, R.: James Madison and the Struggle for the Bill of Rights. Oxford University Press (2006)
380. Ladner, R., Lynch, N., Selman, A.: A comparison of polynomial time reducibilities. *Theoretical Computer Science* **1**(2), 103–124 (1975)
381. Lafage, C., Lang, J.: Logical representation of preferences for group decision making. In: Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Principles of Knowledge Representation and Reasoning, pp. 457–468. AAAI Press (2000)
382. Landau, E.: Handbuch der Lehre von der Verteilung der Primzahlen. Teubner (1909)
383. Lang, J., Pigozzi, G., Slavkovik, M., van der Torre, L.: Judgment aggregation rules based on minimization. In: Proceedings of the 13th Conference on Theoretical Aspects of Rationality and Knowledge, pp. 238–246. ACM Press (2011)
384. Lang, J., Pini, M., Rossi, F., Salvagnin, D., Venable, B., Walsh, T.: Winner determination in voting trees with incomplete preferences and weighted votes. *Journal of Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems* **25**(1), 130–157 (2012)
385. Lang, J., Rey, A., Rothe, J., Schadrack, H., Schend, L.: Representing and solving hedonic games with ordinal preferences and thresholds. In: Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, pp. 1229–1237. IFAAMAS (2015)

386. Lang, J., Slavkovik, M.: Judgment aggregation rules and voting rules. In: Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Algorithmic Decision Theory, pp. 230–243. Springer-Verlag *Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence #8176* (2013)
387. Lang, J., Xia, L.: Voting in combinatorial domains. In: F. Brandt, V. Conitzer, U. Endriss, J. Lang, A. Procaccia (eds.) *Handbook of Computational Social Choice*, chap. 9. Cambridge University Press (to appear)
388. Laslier, J., Sanver, R. (eds.): *Handbook on Approval Voting*. Springer (2010)
389. Lemaître, M., Verfaillie, G., Bataille, N.: Exploiting a common property resource under a fairness constraint: A case study. In: Proceedings of the 16th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 206–211. Morgan Kaufmann (1999)
390. Lemke, C., Howson Jr., J.: Equilibrium points of bimatrix games. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics* **12**(2), 413–423 (1964)
391. Lenstra Jr., H.: Integer programming with a fixed number of variables. *Mathematics of Operations Research* **8**(4), 538–548 (1983)
392. Lesca, J., Perny, P.: LP solvable models for multiagent fair allocation problems. In: Proceedings of the 19th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 393–398. IOS Press (2010)
393. Levenshick, A.: Fair and reasonable election systems. *Behavioral Science* **20**(1), 34–46 (1975)
394. Levin, L.: Average case complete problems. *SIAM Journal on Computing* **15**(1), 285–286 (1986)
395. Lichtenstein, D., Sipser, M.: GO is polynomial-space hard. *Journal of the ACM* **27**(2), 393–401 (1980)
396. Lin, A.: Solving hard problems in election systems. Ph.D. thesis, Rochester Institute of Technology, Rochester, NY, USA (2012)
397. Lindner, C., Rothe, J.: Fixed-parameter tractability and parameterized complexity, applied to problems from computational social choice. In: A. Holder (ed.) *Mathematical Programming Glossary*. INFORMS Computing Society (2008)
398. Lindner, C., Rothe, J.: Degrees of guaranteed envy-freeness in finite bounded cake-cutting protocols. In: Proceedings of the 5th International Workshop on Internet & Network Economics, pp. 149–159. Springer-Verlag *Lecture Notes in Computer Science #5929* (2009)
399. Lipton, R., Markakis, E., Mossel, E., Saberi, A.: On approximately fair allocations of indivisible goods. In: Proceedings of the 5th ACM Conference on Electronic Commerce, pp. 125–131. ACM Press (2004)
400. List, C.: The discursive dilemma and public reason. *Ethics* **116**(2), 362–402 (2006)
401. List, C.: The theory of judgment aggregation: An introductory review. *Synthese* **187**(1), 179–207 (2012)
402. List, C., Pettit, P.: Aggregating sets of judgments: An impossibility result. *Economics and Philosophy* **18**(1), 89–110 (2002)
403. List, C., Puppe, C.: Judgment aggregation: A survey. In: P. Anand, P. Pattanaik, C. Puppe (eds.) *The Handbook of Rational and Social Choice*, chap. 19. Oxford University Press (2009)
404. Loreggia, A., Narodytska, N., Rossi, F., Venable, B., Walsh, T.: Controlling elections by replacing candidates: Theoretical and experimental results. In: Proceedings of the 8th Multidisciplinary Workshop on Advances in Preference Handling, pp. 61–66 (2014)
405. Loreggia, A., Narodytska, N., Rossi, F., Venable, B., Walsh, T.: Controlling elections by replacing candidates or votes (extended abstract). In: Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, pp. 1737–1738. IFAAMAS (2015)
406. Lu, T., Boutilier, C.: Budgeted social choice: A framework for multiple recommendations in consensus decision making. In: V. Conitzer, J. Rothe (eds.) Proceedings of the 3rd International Workshop on Computational Social Choice, pp. 55–66. Universität Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany (2010)

407. Lucas, W.: The proof that a game may not have a solution. *Transactions of the AMS* **136**, 219–229 (1969)
408. Lucas, W.: Von Neumann-Morgenstern stable sets. In: *Handbook of Game Theory*, vol. 1. Elsevier (1992)
409. Lumet, C., Bouveret, S., Lemaître, M.: Fair division of indivisible goods under risk. In: *Proceedings of the 20th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 564–569. IOS Press (2012)
410. Luss, H.: On equitable resource allocation problems: A lexicographic minimax approach. *Operations Research* **47**(3), 361–378 (1999)
411. Magrino, T., Rivest, R., Shen, E., Wagner, D.: Computing the margin of victory in IRV elections. In: *Website Proceedings of the Electronic Voting Technology Workshop/Workshop on Trustworthy Elections* (2011)
412. Manlove, D.: *Algorithmics of Matching Under Preferences*. World Scientific Publishing (2013)
413. Markakis, E., Psomas, C.: On worst-case allocations in the presence of indivisible goods. In: *Proceedings of the 7th International Workshop on Internet & Network Economics*, pp. 278–289. Springer-Verlag *Lecture Notes in Computer Science #7090* (2011)
414. Marple, A., Rey, A., Rothe, J.: Bribery in multiple-adversary path-disruption games is hard for the second level of the polynomial hierarchy (extended abstract). In: *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems*, pp. 1375–1376. IFAAMAS (2014)
415. Marple, A., Shoham, Y.: Equilibria in finite games with imperfect recall. Tech. Rep. SSRN-id2188646, Social Science Research Network (SSRN) (2012). Available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2188646>
416. Maschler, M., Peleg, B., Shapley, L.: Geometric properties of the kernel, nucleolus, and related solution concepts. *Mathematics of Operations Research* **4**(4), 303–338 (1979)
417. Maskin, E.: On the fair allocation of indivisible goods. In: G. Feiwel (ed.) *Arrow and the Foundations of the Theory of Economic Policy (essays in honor of Kenneth Arrow)*, pp. 341–349. MacMillan Publishing Company (1987)
418. Matsui, Y., Matsui, T.: NP-completeness for calculating power indices of weighted majority games. *Theoretical Computer Science* **263**(1–2), 305–310 (2001)
419. McCabe-Dansted, J., Pritchard, G., Slinko, A.: Approximability of Dodgson’s rule. *Social Choice and Welfare* **31**(2), 311–330 (2008)
420. McLean, I., Urken, A.: *Classics of Social Choice*. University of Michigan Press (1995)
421. Meir, R., Bachrach, Y., Rosenschein, J.: Minimal subsidies in expense sharing games. In: *Proceedings of the 3rd International Symposium on Algorithmic Game Theory*, pp. 347–358. Springer-Verlag *Lecture Notes in Computer Science #6386* (2010)
422. Menton, C.: Normalized range voting broadly resists control. *Theory of Computing Systems* **53**(4), 507–531 (2013)
423. Menton, C., Singh, P.: Control complexity of Schulze voting. In: *Proceedings of the 23rd International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 286–292. AAAI Press/IJCAI (2013)
424. Meyer, A., Stockmeyer, L.: The equivalence problem for regular expressions with squaring requires exponential space. In: *Proceedings of the 13th IEEE Symposium on Switching and Automata Theory*, pp. 125–129. IEEE Computer Society Press (1972)
425. Miller, M., Osherson, D.: Methods for distance-based judgment aggregation. *Social Choice and Welfare* **32**(4), 575–601 (2009)
426. Monderer, D., Tennenholtz, M.: K-implementation. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research* **21**, 37–62 (2004)

427. Mongin, P.: The doctrinal paradox, the discursive dilemma, and logical aggregation theory. *Theory and Decision* **73**(3), 315–355 (2012)
428. Monien, B., Speckenmeyer, E.: Solving satisfiability in less than 2^n steps. *Discrete Applied Mathematics* **10**(3), 287–295 (1985)
429. Monroe, B.: Fully proportional representation. *The American Political Science Review* **89**(4), 925–940 (1995)
430. Mossel, E., Rácz, M.: A quantitative Gibbard–Satterthwaite theorem without neutrality. In: *Proceedings of the 44th ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pp. 1041–1060. ACM (2012)
431. Moulin, H.: Condorcet’s principle implies the no show paradox. *Journal of Economic Theory* **45**(1), 53–64 (1988)
432. Moulin, H.: *Fair Division and Collective Welfare*. MIT Press (2004)
433. Muller, E., Satterthwaite, M.: The equivalence of strong positive association and strategy-proofness. *Journal of Economic Theory* **14**, 412–418 (1977)
434. Muroga, S.: *Threshold Logic and its Applications*. John Wiley and Sons (1971)
435. Nagel, R.: Unraveling in guessing games: An experimental study. *The American Economic Review* **85**(5), 1313–1326 (1995)
436. Nanson, E.: Methods of election. *Transactions and Proceedings of the Royal Society of Victoria* **19**, 197–240 (1882)
437. Narodytska, N., Walsh, T., Xia, L.: Manipulation of Nanson’s and Baldwin’s rules. In: *Proceedings of the 25th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 713–718. AAAI Press (2011)
438. Nasar, S.: *A Beautiful Mind: A Biography of John Forbes Nash, Jr., Winner of the Nobel Prize in Economics, 1994*. Simon & Schuster (1998)
439. Nash, J.: The bargaining problem. *Econometrica* **18**(2), 155–162 (1950)
440. Nash, J.: Equilibrium points in n -person games. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **36**(1), 48–49 (1950)
441. Nash, J.: Non-cooperative games. *Annals of Mathematics* **54**(2), 286–295 (1951)
442. Nehring, K., Puppe, C.: The structure of strategy-proof social choice. Part II: Non-dictatorship, anonymity, and neutrality (2005). Unpublished manuscript.
443. Nehring, K., Puppe, C.: The structure of strategy-proof social choice. Part I: General characterization and possibility results on median space. *Journal of Economic Theory* **135**(1), 269–305 (2007)
444. von Neumann, J.: Zur Theorie der Gesellschaftsspiele. *Mathematische Annalen* **100**(1), 295–320 (1928)
445. von Neumann, J., Morgenstern, O.: *Theory of Games and Economic Behavior*. Princeton University Press (1944)
446. Nguyen, N., Baumeister, D., Rothe, J.: Strategy-proofness of scoring allocation correspondences for indivisible goods. In: *Proceedings of the 24th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. AAAI Press/IJCAI (2015). To appear.
447. Nguyen, N., Nguyen, T., Roos, M., Rothe, J.: Complexity and approximability of egalitarian and Nash product social welfare optimization in multiagent resource allocation. In: *Proceedings of the 6th European Starting AI Researcher Symposium*, pp. 204–215. IOS Press (2012)
448. Nguyen, N., Nguyen, T., Roos, M., Rothe, J.: Complexity and approximability of social welfare optimization in multiagent resource allocation (extended abstract). In: *Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems*, pp. 1287–1288. IFAAMAS (2012)
449. Nguyen, N., Nguyen, T., Roos, M., Rothe, J.: Computational complexity and approximability of social welfare optimization in multiagent resource allocation. *Journal of Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems* **28**(2), 256–289 (2014)
450. Nguyen, T., Roos, M., Rothe, J.: A survey of approximability and inapproximability results for social welfare optimization in multiagent resource allocation. *Annals of Mathematics and Artificial Intelligence* **68**(1–3), 65–90 (2013)

451. Nguyen, T., Rothe, J.: Envy-ratio and average Nash social welfare optimization in multiagent resource allocation (extended abstract). In: Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, pp. 1139–1140. IFAAMAS (2013)
452. Nguyen, T., Rothe, J.: Minimizing envy and maximizing average Nash social welfare in the allocation of indivisible goods. *Discrete Applied Mathematics* **179**, 54–68 (2014)
453. Nicolo, A., Yu, Y.: Strategic divide and choose. *Games and Economic Behavior* **64**(1), 268–289 (2008)
454. Niedermeier, R.: Invitation to Fixed-Parameter Algorithms. Oxford University Press (2006)
455. Nisan, N.: Bidding languages for combinatorial auctions. In: P. Cramton, Y. Shoham, R. Steinberg (eds.) *Combinatorial Auctions*. MIT Press (2006)
456. Nisan, N., Roughgarden, T., Tardos, É., Vazirani, V. (eds.): *Algorithmic Game Theory*. Cambridge University Press (2007)
457. Norden, L., Burstein, A., Hall, J., Chen, M.: Post-Election Audits: Restoring Trust in Elections. Brennan Center for Justice at the New York University School of Law and the Samuelson Law, Technology & Public Policy Clinic at the University of California, Berkeley School of Law (Boalt Hall) (2007)
458. Obratzsova, S., Elkind, E., Hazon, N.: Ties matter: Complexity of voting manipulation revisited. In: Proceedings of the 22nd International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 2698–2703. AAAI Press/IJCAI (2011)
459. Ogiwara, M., Watanabe, O.: On polynomial-time bounded truth-table reducibility of NP sets to sparse sets. *SIAM Journal on Computing* **20**(3), 471–483 (1991)
460. Osborne, M., Rubinstein, A.: *A Course in Game Theory*. MIT Press (1994)
461. Papadimitriou, C.: On the complexity of the parity argument and other inefficient proofs of existence. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences* **48**(3), 498–532 (1994)
462. Papadimitriou, C.: *Computational Complexity*, second edn. Addison-Wesley (1995)
463. Papadimitriou, C.: Algorithms, games, and the internet. In: Proceedings of the 33rd ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, pp. 749–753. ACM Press (2001)
464. Papadimitriou, C., Yannakakis, M.: The complexity of facets (and some facets of complexity). *Journal of Computer and System Sciences* **28**(2), 244–259 (1984)
465. Papadimitriou, C., Zachos, S.: Two remarks on the power of counting. In: Proceedings of the 6th GI Conference on Theoretical Computer Science, pp. 269–276. Springer-Verlag *Lecture Notes in Computer Science #145* (1983)
466. Pápai, S.: Strategyproof single unit award rules. *Social Choice and Welfare* **18**(4), 785–798 (2001)
467. Pápai, S.: Exchange in a General Market with Indivisible Goods. *Journal of Economic Theory* **132**(1), 208–235 (2007)
468. Parkes, D., Procaccia, A.: Dynamic social choice with evolving preferences. In: Proceedings of the 27th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 767–773. AAAI Press (2013)
469. Parkes, D., Xia, L.: A complexity-of-strategic-behavior comparison between Schulze’s rule and ranked pairs. In: Proceedings of the 26th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 1429–1435. AAAI Press (2012)
470. Pauly, M., van Hees, M.: Logical constraints on judgment aggregation. *Journal of Philosophical Logic* **35**(1), 569–585 (2006)
471. Peleg, B., Sudhölter, P.: *Introduction to the Theory of Cooperative Games*. Kluwer Academic Publishers (2003)
472. Penrose, L.: The elementary statistics of majority voting. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society* **109**(1), 53–57 (1946)
473. Pettit, P.: Deliberative democracy and the discursive dilemma. *Philosophical Issues* **11**(1), 268–299 (2001)

474. Piccione, M., Rubinstein, A.: On the interpretation of decision problems with imperfect recall. *Games and Economic Behavior* **20**(1), 3–24 (1997)
475. Pigozzi, G.: Belief merging and the discursive dilemma: An argument-based account of paradoxes of judgment. *Synthese* **152**(2), 285–298 (2006)
476. Pini, M., Rossi, F., Venable, B., Walsh, T.: Incompleteness and incomparability in preference aggregation: Complexity results. *Artificial Intelligence* **175**(7), 1272–1289 (2011)
477. Porter, R., Nudelman, E., Shoham, Y.: Simple search methods for finding a Nash equilibrium. *Games and Economic Behavior* **63**(2), 642–662 (2008)
478. Poundstone, W.: *Gaming the Vote: Why Elections Aren't Fair (and What We Can Do about It)*. Hill and Wang, a division of Farrar, Straus and Giroux (2008)
479. Prasad, K., Kelly, J.: NP-completeness of some problems concerning voting games. *International Journal of Game Theory* **19**(1), 1–9 (1990)
480. Procaccia, A.: Thou shalt covet thy neighbor's cake. In: *Proceedings of the 21st International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 239–244. IJCAI (2009)
481. Procaccia, A.: Cake cutting algorithms. In: F. Brandt, V. Conitzer, U. Endriss, J. Lang, A. Procaccia (eds.) *Handbook of Computational Social Choice*, chap. 13. Cambridge University Press (to appear)
482. Procaccia, A., Rosenschein, J.: Junta distributions and the average-case complexity of manipulating elections. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research* **28**, 157–181 (2007)
483. Procaccia, A., Rosenschein, J., Kaminka, G.: On the robustness of preference aggregation in noisy environments. In: *Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems*, pp. 416–422. IFAAMAS (2007)
484. Procaccia, A., Walsh, T. (eds.): *Proceedings of the 5th International Workshop on Computational Social Choice*. Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, USA (2014)
485. Procaccia, A., Wang, J.: Fair enough: Guaranteeing approximate maximin shares. In: *Proceedings of the 15th ACM Conference on Economics and Computation*, pp. 675–692. ACM Press (2014)
486. Puppe, C., Tasnádi, A.: Optimal redistricting under geographical constraints: Why “pack and crack” does not work. *Economics Letters* **105**(1), 93–96 (2009)
487. Quinzii, M.: Core and competitive equilibria with indivisibilities. *International Journal of Game Theory* **13**(1), 41–60 (1984)
488. Ramaekers, E.: Fair allocation of indivisible goods: The two-agent case. *Social Choice and Welfare* **41**(2), 359–380 (2013)
489. Ramezani, S., Endriss, U.: Nash social welfare in multiagent resource allocation. In: *Agent-Mediated Electronic Commerce. Designing Trading Strategies and Mechanisms for Electronic Markets*, pp. 117–131. Springer-Verlag *Lecture Notes in Business Information Processing #79* (2010)
490. von Randow, G.: *Das Ziegenproblem: Denken in Wahrscheinlichkeiten*. Rowohlt (2004)
491. Reisch, S.: Gobang ist PSPACE-vollständig. *Acta Informatica* **13**(1), 59–66 (1980)
492. Reisch, Y., Rothe, J., Schend, L.: The margin of victory in Schulze, cup, and Copeland elections: Complexity of the regular and exact variants. In: *Proceedings of the 7th European Starting AI Researcher Symposium*, pp. 250–259. IOS Press (2014)
493. Rey, A., Rothe, J.: Complexity of merging and splitting for the probabilistic Banzhaf power index in weighted voting games. In: *Proceedings of the 19th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 1021–1022. IOS Press (2010)
494. Rey, A., Rothe, J.: Merging and splitting for power indices in weighted voting games and network flow games on hypergraphs. In: *Proceedings of the 5th European Starting AI Researcher Symposium*, pp. 277–289. IOS Press (2010)
495. Rey, A., Rothe, J.: Bribery in path-disruption games. In: *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Algorithmic Decision Theory*, pp. 247–261. Springer-Verlag *Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence #6992* (2011)

496. Rey, A., Rothe, J.: Probabilistic path-disruption games. In: Proceedings of the 20th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 923–924. IOS Press (2012). An extended version appears in the proceedings of the *6th European Starting AI Researcher Symposium*, IOS Press, pages 264–269, August 2012
497. Rey, A., Rothe, J.: False-name manipulation in weighted voting games is hard for probabilistic polynomial time. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research* **50**, 573–601 (2014)
498. Rey, A., Rothe, J., Schadrack, H., Schend, L.: Toward the complexity of the existence of wonderfully stable partitions and strictly core stable coalition structures in hedonic games. In: Proceedings of the 11th Conference on Logic and the Foundations of Game and Decision Theory (2014)
499. Rieck, C.: *Spieltheorie: Eine Einführung*, 10th edn. Christian Rieck Verlag (2010)
500. Riege, T., Rothe, J.: Completeness in the boolean hierarchy: Exact-Four-Colorability, minimal graph uncolorability, and exact domatic number problems – a survey. *Journal of Universal Computer Science* **12**(5), 551–578 (2006)
501. Riege, T., Rothe, J.: Improving deterministic and randomized exponential-time algorithms for the satisfiability, the colorability, and the domatic number problem. *Journal of Universal Computer Science* **12**(6), 725–745 (2006)
502. Robertson, J., Webb, W.: Near exact and envy free cake division. *Ars Combinatoria* **45**, 97–108 (1997)
503. Robertson, J., Webb, W.: *Cake-Cutting Algorithms: Be Fair If You Can*. A K Peters (1998)
504. Rogers Jr., H.: *The Theory of Recursive Functions and Effective Computability*. McGraw-Hill (1967)
505. Roos, M., Rothe, J.: Complexity of social welfare optimization in multiagent resource allocation. In: Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, pp. 641–648. IFAAMAS (2010)
506. Roos, M., Rothe, J., Scheuermann, B.: How to calibrate the scores of biased reviewers by quadratic programming. In: Proceedings of the 25th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 255–260. AAAI Press (2011)
507. Roth, A. (ed.): *The Shapley Value: Essays in Honor of Lloyd S. Shapley*. Cambridge University Press (1988)
508. Rothe, J.: *Complexity Theory and Cryptology. An Introduction to Cryptocomplexity*. EATCS Texts in Theoretical Computer Science. Springer-Verlag (2005)
509. Rothe, J.: *Komplexitätstheorie und Kryptologie. Eine Einführung in Kryptokomplexität*. eXamen.Press. Springer-Verlag (2008)
510. Rothe, J., Baumeister, D., Lindner, C., Rothe, I.: *Einführung in Computational Social Choice: Individuelle Strategien und kollektive Entscheidungen beim Spielen, Wählen und Teilen*. Spektrum Akademischer Verlag (2011)
511. Rothe, J., Schend, L.: Control complexity in Bucklin, fallback, and plurality voting: An experimental approach. In: Proceedings of the *11th International Symposium on Experimental Algorithms*, pp. 356–368. Springer-Verlag *Lecture Notes in Computer Science* #7276 (2012)
512. Rothe, J., Schend, L.: Challenges to complexity shields that are supposed to protect elections against manipulation and control: A survey. *Annals of Mathematics and Artificial Intelligence* **68**(1–3), 161–193 (2013)
513. Rothe, J., Spakowski, H., Vogel, J.: Exact complexity of the winner problem for Young elections. *Theory of Computing Systems* **36**(4), 375–386 (2003)
514. Russel, N.: Complexity of control of Borda count elections. Master’s thesis, Rochester Institute of Technology (2007)
515. Saari, D.: Which is better: the Condorcet or Borda winner? *Social Choice and Welfare* **27**(1), 107–129 (2006)
516. Sabán, D., Sethuraman, J.: The complexity of computing the random priority allocation matrix. In: Proceedings of the 9th International Workshop on Internet &

- Network Economics, p. 421. Springer-Verlag *Lecture Notes in Computer Science #8289* (2013)
517. Sandholm, T.: Contract types for satisficing task allocation: I Theoretical results. In: Proceedings of the AAAI Spring Symposium: Satisficing Models, pp. 68–75. AAAI Press (1998)
 518. Sandholm, T.: Distributed rational decision making. In: G. Weiß (ed.) Multiagent Systems, pp. 201–258. MIT Press (1999)
 519. Sandholm, T., Gilpin, A., Conitzer, V.: Mixed-integer programming methods for finding Nash equilibria. In: Proceedings of the 20th National Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 495–501. AAAI Press (2005)
 520. Sarwate, A., Checkoway, S., Shacham, H.: Risk-limiting audits and the margin of victory for nonplurality elections. *Statistics, Politics and Policy* pp. 29–64 (2012)
 521. Satterthwaite, M.: Strategy-proofness and Arrow’s conditions: Existence and correspondence theorems for voting procedures and social welfare functions. *Journal of Economic Theory* **10**(2), 187–217 (1975)
 522. Savitch, W.: Relationships between nondeterministic and deterministic tape complexities. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences* **4**(2), 177–192 (1970)
 523. Scarf, H.: The approximation of fixed points of a continuous mapping. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics* **15**(5), 1328–1343 (1967)
 524. Schiermeyer, I.: Pure literal look ahead: An $\mathcal{O}(1.497^n)$ 3-satisfiability algorithm. In: Proceedings of the Workshop on the Satisfiability Problem, pp. 127–136 (1996). Also available as Technical Report No. 96-230, Universität zu Köln, Germany
 525. Schlotter, I., Faliszewski, P., Elkind, E.: Campaign management under approval-driven voting rules. In: Proceedings of the 25th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 726–731. AAAI Press (2011)
 526. Schöning, U.: Complete sets and closeness to complexity classes. *Mathematical Systems Theory* **19**(1), 29–42 (1986)
 527. Schöning, U.: Algorithmics in exponential time. In: Proceedings of the 22nd Annual Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science, pp. 36–43. Springer-Verlag *Lecture Notes in Computer Science #3404* (2005)
 528. Schulze, M.: A new monotonic, clone-independent, reversal symmetric, and Condorcet-consistent single-winner election method. *Social Choice and Welfare* **36**(2), 267–303 (2011)
 529. Schwartz, T.: On the possibility of rational policy evaluation. *Theory and Decision* **1**(1), 89–106 (1970)
 530. Schwartz, T.: Rationality and the myth of the maximum. *Noûs* **6**(2), 97–117 (1972)
 531. Selker, A.: Manipulative Angriffe auf Judgment-Aggregation-Prozeduren. Master’s thesis, Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, Institut für Informatik, Düsseldorf, Germany (2014)
 532. Selman, A.: A taxonomy of complexity classes of functions. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences* **48**(2), 357–381 (1994)
 533. Selten, R.: Reexamination of the perfectness concept for equilibrium points in extensive games. *International Journal of Game Theory* **4**(1), 25–55 (1975)
 534. Selten, R., Nagel, R.: Das Zahlenwahlspiel – Ergebnisse und Hintergrund. *Spektrum der Wissenschaft* **1998**(2), 16–22 (1998)
 535. Shapley, L.: A value for n -person games. In: H. Kuhn, A. Tucker (eds.) Contributions to the Theory of Games, *Annals of Mathematics Studies* **40**, vol. II. Princeton University Press (1953)
 536. Shapley, L.: Cores of convex games. *International Journal of Game Theory* **1**(1), 11–26 (1971)
 537. Shapley, L.: Measurement of power in political systems. In: W. Lucas (ed.) Game Theory and its Applications. American Mathematical Society (1981). Proceedings of Symposia in Applied Mathematics, volume 24
 538. Shapley, L., Shubik, M.: A method of evaluating the distribution of power in a committee system. *The American Political Science Review* **48**(3), 787–792 (1954)

539. Shapley, L., Shubik, M.: Quasi-cores in a monetary economy with non-convex preferences. *Econometrica* **34**(4), 805–827 (1966)
540. Shiryaev, D., Yu, L., Elkind, E.: On elections with robust winners. In: *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems*, pp. 415–422. IFAAMAS (2013)
541. Shoham, Y.: Computer science and game theory. *Communications of the ACM* **51**(8), 74–79 (2008)
542. Shoham, Y., Leyton-Brown, K.: *Multiagent Systems: Algorithmic, Game-Theoretic, and Logical Foundations*. Cambridge University Press (2009)
543. Simpson, P.: On defining areas of voter choice: Professor Tullock on stable voting. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* **83**(3), 478–490 (1969)
544. Singh, S.: *The Simpsons and their Mathematical Secrets*. Bloomsbury, New York (2013)
545. Skowron, P., Faliszewski, P., Slinko, A.: Fully proportional representation as resource allocation: Approximability results. In: *Proceedings of the 23rd International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 353–359. AAAI Press/IJCAI (2013)
546. Slinko, A.: On asymptotic strategy-proofness of classical social choice rules. *Theory and Decision* **52**(4), 389–398 (2002)
547. Slinko, A.: On asymptotic strategy-proofness of the plurality and the run-off rules. *Social Choice and Welfare* **19**(2), 313–324 (2002)
548. Slinko, A.: How large should a coalition be to manipulate an election? *Mathematical Social Sciences* **47**(3), 289–293 (2004)
549. Sloth, B.: The theory of voting and equilibria in noncooperative games. *Games and Economic Behavior* **5**(1), 152–169 (1993)
550. Smith, J.: Aggregation of preferences with variable electorate. *Econometrica* **41**(6), 1027–1041 (1973)
551. Smith, J., Lim, C.: Algorithms for network interdiction and fortification games. In: A. Chinchuluun, P. Pardalos, A. Migdalas, L. Pitsoulis (eds.) *Pareto Optimality, Game Theory and Equilibria*, pp. 609–644. Springer (2008)
552. Sönmez, T.: Strategy-proofness and essentially single-valued cores. *Econometrica* **67**(3), 677–690 (1999)
553. Sonoda, A., Fujita, E., Todo, T., Yokoo, M.: Two case studies for trading multiple indivisible goods with indifferences. In: *Proceedings of the 28th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 791–797. AAAI Press (2014)
554. Sprumont, Y.: Axiomatizing ordinal welfare egalitarianism when preferences may vary. *Journal of Economic Theory* **68**(1), 77–110 (1996)
555. Stark, P.: Conservative statistical post-election audits. *Annals of Applied Statistics* **2**(2), 435–776 (2008)
556. Stark, P.: A sharper discrepancy measure for post-election audits. *Annals of Applied Statistics* **2**(3), 982–985 (2008)
557. Stark, P.: Risk-limiting postelection audits: Conservative-values from common probability inequalities. *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security* **4**(4), 1005–1014 (2009)
558. Stark, P.: Super-simple simultaneous single-ballot risk-limiting audits. In: *Website Proceedings of the Electronic Voting Technology Workshop/Workshop on Trustworthy Elections* (2010)
559. Steinhaus, H.: The problem of fair division. *Econometrica* **16**(1), 101–104 (1948)
560. Steinhaus, H.: Sur la division pragmatique. *Econometrica* **17**(Supplement: Report of the Washington Meeting), 315–319 (1949)
561. Steinhaus, H.: *Mathematical Snapshots*, third edn. Oxford University Press (1969)
562. Stockmeyer, L.: The polynomial-time hierarchy. *Theoretical Computer Science* **3**(1), 1–22 (1976)
563. Storer, J.: On the complexity of chess. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences* **27**(1), 77–100 (1983)

564. Stromquist, W.: How to cut a cake fairly. *The American Mathematical Monthly* **87**(8), 640–644 (1980)
565. Stromquist, W.: Envy-free cake divisions cannot be found by finite protocols. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics* **15**, R11 (2008)
566. Sung, S., Dimitrov, D.: On core membership testing for hedonic coalition formation games. *Operations Research Letters* **35**(2), 155–158 (2007)
567. Sung, S., Dimitrov, D.: Computational complexity in additive hedonic games. *European Journal of Operational Research* **203**(3), 635–639 (2010)
568. Svensson, L.: Large indivisibles: An analysis with respect to price equilibrium and fairness. *Econometrica* **51**(4), 939–954 (1983)
569. Svensson, L.: Strategy-proof allocation of indivisible goods. *Social Choice and Welfare* **16**, 557–567 (1999)
570. Tadenuma, K., Thomson, W.: No-envy and consistency in economies with indivisible goods. *Econometrica* **59**(6), 1755–1767 (1991)
571. Tadenuma, K., Thomson, W.: The fair allocation of an indivisible good when monetary compensations are possible. *Mathematical Social Sciences* **25**(2), 117–132 (1993)
572. Taylor, A.: *Mathematics and Politics*. Springer-Verlag (1995)
573. Taylor, A.: *Social Choice and the Mathematics of Manipulation*. Cambridge University Press (2005)
574. Tennenholtz, M.: Transitive voting. In: *Proceedings of the 13th ACM Conference on Electronic Commerce*, pp. 230–231. ACM Press (2004)
575. Thomson, W.: Problems of fair division and the egalitarian solution. *Journal of Economic Theory* **31**(2), 211–226 (1983)
576. Thomson, W.: Introduction to the theory of fair allocation. In: F. Brandt, V. Conitzer, U. Endriss, J. Lang, A. Procaccia (eds.) *Handbook of Computational Social Choice*, chap. 11. Cambridge University Press (to appear)
577. Tideman, N.: Independence of clones as a criterion for voting rules. *Social Choice and Welfare* **4**(3), 185–206 (1987)
578. Toda, S.: PP is as hard as the polynomial-time hierarchy. *SIAM Journal on Computing* **20**(5), 865–877 (1991)
579. Turing, A.: On computable numbers, with an application to the Entscheidungsproblem. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, ser. 2 **42**, 230–265 (1936). Correction, *ibid.*, vol. 43, pp. 544–546, 1937.
580. Uckelman, J., Chevaleyre, Y., Endriss, U., Lang, J.: Representing utility functions via weighted goals. *Mathematical Logic Quarterly* **55**(4), 341–361 (2009)
581. Uckelman, J., Endriss, U.: Compactly representing utility functions using weighted goals and the max aggregator. *Artificial Intelligence* **174**(15), 1222–1246 (2010)
582. Valiant, L.: The complexity of computing the permanent. *Theoretical Computer Science* **8**(2), 189–201 (1979)
583. Vazirani, V.: *Approximation Algorithms*, second edn. Springer-Verlag (2003)
584. Vetschera, R., Kilgour, M.: Strategic behavior in contested-pile methods for fair division of indivisible items. *Group Decision and Negotiation* **22**(2), 299–319 (2013)
585. Wagner, K.: More complicated questions about maxima and minima, and some closures of NP. *Theoretical Computer Science* **51**(1–2), 53–80 (1987)
586. Wagner, K.: Bounded query classes. *SIAM Journal on Computing* **19**(5), 833–846 (1990)
587. Wagner, K., Wechsung, G.: *Computational Complexity*. D. Reidel Publishing Company (1986). Distributors for the U.S.A. and Canada: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
588. Walsh, T.: Uncertainty in preference elicitation and aggregation. In: *Proceedings of the 22nd AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 3–8. AAAI Press (2007)
589. Walsh, T.: Where are the really hard manipulation problems? The phase transition in manipulating the veto rule. In: *Proceedings of the 21st International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 324–329. IJCAI (2009)

590. Walsh, T.: An empirical study of the manipulability of single transferable voting. In: Proceedings of the 19th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 257–262. IOS Press (2010)
591. Wang, J.: Average-case computational complexity theory. In: L. Hemaspaandra, A. Selman (eds.) Complexity Theory Retrospective II, pp. 295–328. Springer-Verlag (1997)
592. Wang, J.: Average-case intractable NP problems. In: D. Du, K. Ko (eds.) Advances in Languages, Algorithms, and Complexity, pp. 313–378. Kluwer Academic Publishers (1997)
593. Washburn, A., Wood, K.: Two-person zero-sum games for network interdiction. *Operations Research* **43**(2), 243–251 (1995)
594. Webb, W.: An algorithm for super envy-free cake division. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* **239**(1), 175–179 (1999)
595. Wechsung, G.: Vorlesungen zur Komplexitätstheorie, *Teubner-Texte zur Informatik*, vol. 32. Teubner (2000)
596. Wegener, I.: Komplexitätstheorie. Grenzen der Effizienz von Algorithmen. Springer-Verlag (2003)
597. Weller, D.: Fair division of a measurable space. *Journal of Mathematical Economics* **14**(1), 5–17 (1985)
598. Woeginger, G.: A polynomial-time approximation scheme for maximizing the minimum machine completion time. *Operations Research Letters* **20**(4), 149–154 (1997)
599. Woeginger, G.: Exact algorithms for NP-hard problems. In: M. Jünger, G. Reinelt, G. Rinaldi (eds.) Combinatorial Optimization: “Eureka, you shrink!”, pp. 185–207. Springer-Verlag *Lecture Notes in Computer Science #2570* (2003)
600. Woeginger, G.: Core stability in hedonic coalition formation. In: Proceedings of the 39th International Conference on Current Trends in Theory and Practice of Computer Science, pp. 33–50. Springer-Verlag *Lecture Notes in Computer Science #7741* (2013)
601. Woeginger, G.: A hardness result for core stability in additive hedonic games. *Mathematical Social Sciences* **65**(2), 101–104 (2013)
602. Woeginger, G., Sgall, J.: On the complexity of cake cutting. *Discrete Optimization* **4**(2), 213–220 (2007)
603. Woodall, D.: Dividing a cake fairly. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* **78**(1), 233–247 (1980)
604. Woodall, D.: A note on the cake-division problem. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A* **42**(2), 300–301 (1986)
605. Woodall, D.: Properties of preferential election rules. *Voting Matters* **3**, 8–15 (1994)
606. Woodall, D.: Monotonicity of single-seat preferential election rules. *Discrete Applied Mathematics* **77**(1), 81–88 (1997)
607. Wooldridge, M.: An Introduction to MultiAgent Systems, second edn. John Wiley and Sons (2009)
608. Xia, L.: Computing the margin of victory for various voting rules. In: Proceedings of the 13th ACM Conference on Electronic Commerce, pp. 982–999. ACM Press (2012)
609. Xia, L., Conitzer, V.: Generalized scoring rules and the frequency of coalitional manipulability. In: Proceedings of the 9th ACM Conference on Electronic Commerce, pp. 109–118. ACM Press (2008)
610. Xia, L., Conitzer, V.: A sufficient condition for voting rules to be frequently manipulable. In: Proceedings of the 9th ACM Conference on Electronic Commerce, pp. 99–108. ACM Press (2008)
611. Xia, L., Conitzer, V.: Stackelberg voting games: Computational aspects and paradoxes. In: Proceedings of the 24th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 697–702. AAAI Press (2010)

612. Xia, L., Conitzer, V.: Strategy-proof voting rules over multi-issue domains with restricted preferences. In: Proceedings of the 6th International Workshop on Internet & Network Economics, pp. 402–414. Springer-Verlag *Lecture Notes in Computer Science #6484* (2010)
613. Xia, L., Conitzer, V.: Determining possible and necessary winners given partial orders. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research* **41**, 25–67 (2011)
614. Xia, L., Conitzer, V., Lang, J.: Aggregating preferences in multi-issue domains by using maximum likelihood estimators. In: Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, pp. 399–408. IFAAMAS (2010)
615. Xia, L., Conitzer, V., Lang, J.: Strategic sequential voting in multi-issue domains and multiple-election paradoxes. In: Proceedings of the 12th ACM Conference on Electronic Commerce, pp. 179–188. ACM Press (2011)
616. Xia, L., Conitzer, V., Procaccia, A.: A scheduling approach to coalitional manipulation. In: Proceedings of the 11th ACM Conference on Electronic Commerce, pp. 275–284. ACM Press (2010)
617. Xia, L., Lang, J., Conitzer, V.: Hypercubewise preference aggregation in multi-issue domains. In: Proceedings of the 22nd International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 158–163. AAAI Press/IJCAI (2011)
618. Xia, L., Lang, J., Monnot, J.: Possible winners when new alternatives join: New results coming up! In: Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, pp. 829–836. IFAAMAS (2011)
619. Xia, L., Zuckerman, M., Procaccia, A., Conitzer, V., Rosenschein, J.: Complexity of unweighted coalitional manipulation under some common voting rules. In: Proceedings of the 21st International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 348–353. IJCAI (2009)
620. Young, P.: Extending Condorcet’s rule. *Journal of Economic Theory* **16**(2), 335–353 (1977)
621. Zankó, V.: #P-completeness via many-one reductions. *International Journal of Foundations of Computer Science* **2**(1), 76–82 (1991)
622. Zick, Y., Skopalik, A., Elkind, E.: The Shapley value as a function of the quota in weighted voting games. In: Proceedings of the 22nd International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 490–496. AAAI Press/IJCAI (2011)
623. Zuckerman, M., Faliszewski, P., Bachrach, Y., Elkind, E.: Manipulating the quota in weighted voting games. *Artificial Intelligence* **180–181**, 1–19 (2012)
624. Zuckerman, M., Lev, O., Rosenschein, J.: An algorithm for the coalitional manipulation problem under maximin. In: Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, pp. 845–852. IFAAMAS (2011)
625. Zuckerman, M., Procaccia, A., Rosenschein, J.: Algorithms for the coalitional manipulation problem. *Artificial Intelligence* **173**(2), 392–412 (2009)

List of Figures

1.1	The main characters in this book	2
1.2	Anna, Belle, and Chris are voting on which game to play	6
1.3	The Condorcet paradox	7
1.4	Division of a cake into three portions	9
1.5	A boolean circuit	26
1.6	Inclusions between some complexity classes	37
2.1	Relations between solution concepts for games in normal form	50
2.2	Inclusions among solution concepts for games in normal form	50
2.3	The chicken game	53
2.4	David and Edgar at the penalty shoot-out	55
2.5	Cycles of dominance relations in paper-rock-scissors	57
2.6	A convex and a nonconvex set	70
2.7	n -simplexes for $0 \leq n \leq 3$	72
2.8	Convex gain sets for pure and mixed strategy sets	73
2.9	A properly labeled simplicial subdivision of a 2-simplex	74
2.10	Walking through the 2-simplex $T_2 = \mathbf{x}_0\mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{x}_2$	76
2.11	All walks through the 2-simplex $T_2 = \mathbf{x}_0\mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{x}_2$	77
2.12	A course of the game in Tic-Tac-Toe	84
2.13	A part of the game tree for Tic-Tac-Toe	84
2.14	The game of geography based on English country names	89
2.15	A graph simulating a formula as a game of geography	92
2.16	Game tree for Edgar's campaign game in extensive form	97
2.17	Implementing backward induction through DFS	100
2.18	The Monty Hall problem	101
2.19	All cases of the Monty Hall problem	104
2.20	Getting von Neumann's simplified poker variant started	110
2.21	Von Neumann's simplified poker variant	112
2.22	Game tree for the simplified poker variant due to Binmore [76]	114
2.23	Belle bluffs with probability p so as to make David indifferent	115
2.24	David calls with probability q so as to make Belle indifferent	117

2.25	The structure of the subclasses of TFNP	129
2.26	Reducing NASH-EQUILIBRIUM to END-OF-THE-LINE	132
3.1	Four coalition structures	138
3.2	The cost of stability when sharing ice cream	145
3.3	A weighted voting game	154
3.4	A vector weighted voting game	158
3.5	An enemy-oriented hedonic game represented as a graph	185
3.6	Strictly core stable coalition structure for the hedonic game from Figure 3.5	187
4.1	Preferences of Anna, Belle, and Chris	197
4.2	Preferences of Anna, Belle, Chris, David, and Edgar	203
4.3	Majority graph for the election in Table 4.2	204
4.4	Majority graph for the election in Table 4.3	207
4.5	Kemeny election from Table 4.3 as a weighted, directed graph	212
4.6	A voting tree for the cup protocol	217
4.7	Cup protocol	218
4.8	Distinct schedules in the cup protocol	219
4.9	Determining the Bucklin winners for the election in Table 4.3	221
4.10	Determining the fallback winners for the election in Table 4.3	224
4.11	Determining the SP-AV winners for the election in Table 4.3	226
4.12	Borda, Copeland, and Young scores	234
4.13	Twin paradox in the cup protocol due to Moulin [431]	248
4.14	Trip preferences of Anna, Belle, and Chris	262
4.15	Relations between some possible winner and related problems	269
4.16	Reduction $\text{PARTITION} \leq_m^p \text{Copeland-CCWM}$	279
4.17	Links between susceptibility results for various control types	302
4.18	Bucklin voting is susceptible to CCPV-TE and CCPV-TP	311
5.1	The annual charity Pumpkin Pie Taste-Off	328
5.2	Preferences regarding sweetness of pumpkin pie	330
5.3	Proving Theorem 5.1: input to SP-CCAV	336
5.4	Proving Theorem 5.1: two incomparable votes	337
5.5	Proving Theorem 5.1: dropping all votes not approving of p	338
5.6	Proving Theorem 5.1: Anna suggests to not go step by step	339
5.7	Proving Theorem 5.1: What if we don't go step by step?	340
5.8	Proving Theorem 5.1: Which votes can help in "smart greedy"?	341
5.9	Proving Theorem 5.1: drawing level with first dangerous rival	342
5.10	A ruminating cow (left) and a maverick (right)	355
5.11	Beneficiaries of swooning	357
6.1	Three individual judgments	363
6.2	Doctrinal paradox	364
7.1	A cake as a metaphor of a heterogeneous resource	398

7.2	Belle and Edgar share a cake without envying each other	404
7.3	Anna, Belle, and Edgar share a cake: Envy raises its ugly head	406
7.4	Valuation criteria for divisions (implications)	409
7.5	Valuation criteria for divisions (inclusions)	410
7.6	Knife movement in a moving-knife protocol	416
7.7	A nonproportional cake-cutting protocol for three players	416
7.8	Cut & Choose protocol for two players	417
7.9	Box representations of two valuation functions	419
7.10	Cut & Choose protocol: Two examples	419
7.11	Cut & Choose is not Pareto-optimal	420
7.12	Austin’s moving-knife protocol for two players	421
7.13	Austin’s moving-knife protocol: p_2 calls “Stop!” at time t_0	422
7.14	Last Diminisher protocol for n players	423
7.15	Last Diminisher protocol for four players: 1st round	424
7.16	Last Diminisher protocol for four players: 2nd round	425
7.17	Last Diminisher protocol for four players: 3rd and final round .	425
7.18	The Dubins–Spanier moving-knife protocol for n players	430
7.19	Lone Chooser protocol for n players	431
7.20	Cut Your Own Piece protocol: individual markings	436
7.21	Cut Your Own Piece protocol: all markings	437
7.22	Cut Your Own Piece protocol: assignment of the shares	437
7.23	Cut Your Own Piece protocol for n players	438
7.24	Divide & Conquer protocol for n players	441
7.25	Divide & Conquer protocol for four players: 1st round	442
7.26	Divide & Conquer protocol for four players: 2nd round	443
7.27	BJK Divide & Conquer protocol for n players	444
7.28	BJK Divide & Conquer protocol for four players: marking	447
7.29	BJK Divide & Conquer protocol for four players: cutting	448
7.30	BJK Divide & Conquer protocol for four players: 2nd round . .	449
7.31	Unequal shares: the lion’s share	451
7.32	Selfridge–Conway protocol for three players	453
7.33	Selfridge–Conway protocol: Steps 1 and 2	455
7.34	Selfridge–Conway protocol: Step 3	456
7.35	Selfridge–Conway protocol: Step 4	457
7.36	Stromquist’s moving-knife protocol for three players	458
7.37	Illustration of Stromquist’s moving-knife protocol	459
7.38	The moving-knife protocol of Brams, Taylor, and Zwicker	460
7.39	Dirty-work Last Diminisher protocol: 1st round	463
7.40	Dirty-work Last Diminisher protocol: 2nd round	464
7.41	Dirty-work Last Diminisher protocol: 3rd round	464
7.42	Dirty-work Divide & Conquer protocol for n players	465
7.43	One cut suffices	468
7.44	The Quarter protocol for three players	478
7.45	The Quarter protocol of Even and Paz [256] for four players . .	481

8.1	George and Helena want to divide nine objects	495
8.2	Partial order over 2^R , where $R = \{c, \ell, s, p\}$, for Example 8.2 . .	503
8.3	Santa Claus wants to make the most unhappy child happy	514
8.4	Division of nine objects by the adjusted winner procedure	537
8.5	Descending Demand Protocol: Preferences over bundles	540

List of Tables

1.1	Truth table for some boolean operations.....	23
1.2	Some deterministic upper bounds for k -SAT and SAT.....	26
1.3	Improving the deterministic upper bounds for 3-SAT.....	27
2.1	The prisoners' dilemma.....	44
2.2	The prisoners' dilemma without negative entries.....	45
2.3	The battle of the sexes.....	51
2.4	The chicken game.....	53
2.5	The penalty game.....	55
2.6	The paper-rock-scissors game.....	57
2.7	The penalty game: goalkeeper acting awkwardly on the left...	64
2.8	Properties of some two-player games.....	68
2.9	Anna's gain (left) and Belle's gain (right).....	72
2.10	Courses of two Nim games.....	85
2.11	When does there exist a winning strategy for Nim?.....	86
2.12	Edgar's campaign game in normal form.....	97
2.13	All cases of the Monty Hall problem.....	103
3.1	Allocation of seats in the <i>17th Deutscher Bundestag</i>	155
3.2	Some possible coalitions in the <i>17th Deutscher Bundestag</i>	156
4.1	Example of an election with some scoring protocols.....	200
4.2	Example of head-to-head contests.....	203
4.3	Example of an election without a Condorcet winner.....	206
4.4	Simpson scores of the candidates in the election in Table 4.3..	209
4.5	Overview of some fundamental voting systems.....	227
4.6	Condorcet winner and loser in Borda and plurality.....	230
4.7	Counterexample for the consistency of some voting systems...	233
4.8	Dodgson voting is not monotonic.....	242
4.9	Dodgson voting is not homogeneous.....	244
4.10	Overview of some properties of several voting systems.....	250

4.11	Election (C, V) constructed in the proof of Theorem 4.5	258
4.12	Complexity of POSSIBLE-WINNER and NECESSARY-WINNER	265
4.13	Complexity of POSSIBLE-WINNER-NEW-ALTERNATIVES	267
4.14	Complexity of POSSIBLE-WINNER-UNCERTAIN-WEIGHTS	268
4.15	Complexity of manipulation in various voting systems	275
4.16	Overview of the common control problems for voting system \mathcal{E}	300
4.17	Overview of control complexity results for some voting systems	304
4.18	Reduction $X3C \leq_m^P$ Bucklin-CCPV	313
4.19	Complexity of bribery problems for some voting systems	321
5.1	Control complexity for general, single-peaked, and nearly single-peaked electorates	359
6.1	Doctrinal paradox	364
6.2	Avoiding the doctrinal paradox	369
6.3	Complexity of JA manipulation with $UPQR$	383
6.4	Construction for the proof of Theorem 6.6	385
6.5	Complexity of JA bribery with the premise-based procedure	387
6.6	Example for control by bundling judges	389
7.1	Case 3 of Custer's procedure [180]	435
7.2	Envy-freeness in the Selfridge–Conway protocol	457
7.3	Minimal number of cuts for the Divide & Conquer protocol	474
7.4	Minimal numbers of cuts for some proportional protocols	475
7.5	Comparison of $D(n)$ and the best known upper bound on $P(n)$	477
7.6	What do p_2, p_3 , and p_4 think about the pieces in Case 2.2?	482
7.7	What do p_2, p_3 , and p_4 think about the pieces in Case 2.2.1?	483
7.8	What do p_2, p_3 , and p_4 think about the pieces in Case 2.2.2?	483
7.9	How many cuts guarantee how many players which share?	485
7.10	DGEF of selected finite bounded proportional protocols	489
7.11	Some cake-cutting protocols and their properties	490
8.1	Complexity of problems for (exact) social welfare optimization	526
8.2	Summary of (in)approximability of social welfare optimization	528
8.3	Adjusted winner procedure: George's and Helena's valuations	536
8.4	Adjusted winner procedure: sorting the objects	536

Index

- \vee , 199
- γ , 183, 261, 380, 500
- Υ , 183, 380, 500
- ζ , 183, 380, 500
- \bigwedge_{m}^p , 28
- \bigwedge_{d-tt}^p , 273
- \lrcorner , 23
- \wedge , 23
- \vee , 23
- \implies , 23
- \iff , 23
- $[\cdot]$, 220
- $\lceil \cdot \rceil$, 368
- $\| \cdot \|$, 70
- \notin , 369

- A Beautiful Mind, 48
- Aarts, E., 127
- Adams, D., 20, 284
- adjusted winner procedure, 534, 535
- advertisement campaign game
 - in normal form, 97
- Aesop, 450
- agenda, 365
 - closure of an – under complement, 366
 - closure of an – under propositional variables, 369
 - median property of an, 374
 - set of conclusions of an, 369
 - closure under complement of the, 369
 - set of premises of an, 369
 - closure under complement of the, 369
- agent
 - independence of unconcerned –s, 517
 - set of –s, 495
 - utility function of an, *see* utility function

- Ahuja, R., 171
- Airiau, S., 496
- Akca, N., 15
- algorithm
 - approximation, 283
 - frequently self-knowingly correct, 282
- algorithmics, 18
- Alkan, A., 533
- Allis, L., 83
- allocation, 495
 - balanced, 540
 - complete, 496
 - envy-free, 510
 - necessarily, 520
 - possibly, 520
 - envy-free – up to one element, *see* allocation, nearly envy-free
 - individually rational, 549
 - max-min, 513
 - nearly envy-free, 532
 - nearly equitable, 532
 - nearly proportional, 532
 - of parts of a divisible good, *see* division
 - Pareto-efficient, 509
 - necessarily, 520
 - possibly, 520
 - weakly, 509
 - perfectly equitable, 531
- allocation mechanism, *see* allocation procedure
- allocation method, *see* allocation procedure
- allocation problem, 495
 - combinatorial, *see* allocation problem, multiple-item
 - multiple-item, 497
 - regular, 525

- single-item, 497
- allocation procedure, 518
 - centralized, 498, 518
 - decentralized, 498, 518
 - distributed, *see* allocation procedure, decentralized
 - neutral, 547
 - nonbossy, 547
 - strategy-proof, 547
- allocation rule, *see* allocation procedure
- Alon, N., 387, 391, 527
- alphabet, 28
- alternative, 198
 - set of –s, 198
- alternative vote, 216
- Altman, A., 146
- Anderson, A., 146
- anonymity, *see* voting system, anonymous, *see* JA procedure, anonymous
- antiplurality, *see* veto
- k -approval, *see* k -approval (*under* K)
- approval score, 214
- approval strategy, 225
 - admissible, 226
 - profile of –ies, 226
 - sincere, 225
- approval vector, 214
- approval voting, 213, 227, 304, 321
- approval winner, 214
- approximation algorithm, 31
 - performance guarantee of an, *see* approximation factor
- approximation factor, 31
- approximation ratio, *see* approximation factor
- Arora, S., 32
- Arrow, K., 2, 7, 17, 199, 232, 236, 237, 243, 331, 373
 - impossibility theorem of, 7, 232, 237, 243, 331, 373
- Arzi, O., 408
- Asadpour, A., 524
- $AScore(\cdot)$, 214
- auction, 518
 - all-pay
 - first-price, sealed-bid, 15
 - American, 14
 - clock, 13
 - combinatorial, 2, 10, 11, 497, 518
 - Dutch, 13
 - English, 12
 - expected revenue of an, 15
 - first-price, 11
 - multiple-item, *see* auction, combinatorial
 - open-cry, 11
 - ascending-price, 11, 14
 - descending-price, 11
 - sealed-bid, 11
 - first-price, 11, 12
 - second-price, *see* auction, Vickrey
 - second-price, 11
 - single-item, 11, 497
 - Vickrey, 13
 - winner determination in an, 11
- auctioneer, 518
 - risk-averse, 16
- Aumann, Y., 408
- Austin, A., 420, 433, 453, 490, 491
- Australian Electoral Commission, 298
- average-case polynomial time, 281
- AvgP, 281
- Azar, Y., 527
- Aziz, H., 167, 181, 182, 189, 191, 193, 520, 521, 550
- Bacchus, F., 507
- Bachmann, P., 21
- Bachrach, Y., 144, 145, 147, 167, 174, 177, 178, 181, 182
- backward induction, 98
- Baldwin winner, 221
- Baldwin, J., 221
 - voting system of, 221, 227
- Ballester, C., 188, 190, 191
- ballot, 198
 - set of –s, 198
- Banach, S., 423, 490
- Banerjee, S., 183
- Bansal, N., 513, 524
- Banzhaf (\cdot, \cdot) , 167
- Banzhaf $^*(\cdot, \cdot)$, 166
- $\overline{\text{Banzhaf}}(\cdot, \cdot)$, 166
- Banzhaf III, J., 166
- Banzhaf index, *see* game, cooperative, normalized Banzhaf index of a player in a simple
- Barbanel, J., 407
- Barrot, N., 266
- Bartholdi III, J., 252, 253, 255, 270, 271, 274, 292, 293, 298, 299, 301, 303, 304, 332, 355, 359, 374
- Bartholdi, J., 265
- Bataille, N., 494
- Batani, M., 524
- Battigalli, P., 100
- battle of the sexes, 3, 50, 66

- Baumeister, D., vi, viii, 17, 265, 267–269, 306, 324, 379–384, 386, 387, 390, 521, 522
- Bayes, T., 103, 105
 formula of, 103–105
 theorem of, 105
- Beigel, R., 36, 181
- Bellman, R., 87
- BENEFICIAL-MERGE, *see* Π I-BENEFICIAL-MERGE
- BENEFICIAL-SPLIT, *see* Π I-BENEFICIAL-SPLIT
- Berman, P., 32
- Betzler, N., 209, 252, 254, 265, 272, 324, 325
- Beviá, C., 533, 534
- Bezáková, I., 524
- Biathlon World Cup, 388
- bidder
 risk-loving, 16
 risk-neutral, 16
- bidding language, *see* utility function, represented by a bidding language
- Bierhoff, O., 497
- Big Bang Theory, The, 56
- binary tree, 217
 balanced, 217
- Binkele-Raible, D., 254, 325, 387
- Binnmore, K., 111, 114
- Black winner, 222
- Black, D., 8, 222, 331
 voting system of, 222, 227
- Bobo, E., 102
- Bodlaender, H., 32
- Bogomolnaia, A., 183, 531, 550
- boolean circuit, 25
- boolean constant, 23
- boolean hierarchy, *see* NP, boolean hierarchy over
- boolean operation, 23, 24
 conjunction, 23, 365
 disjunction, 23, 365
 equivalence, 23, 365
 implication, 23, 365
 negation, 23, 365
- boolean variable, *see* formula, boolean, variable of a
- Booth, K., 333, 355
- Borda paradox, 230
- Borda winner, 201
- Borda, J., 201
 voting system of, 201, 227, 250, 265, 267, 275, 321
 modified, 267
- Borel, É., 1, 3, 41, 45, 107, 110
- Borm, P., 183, 187, 192
- Bossong, U., 193, 502
- Boutilier, C., 252, 266, 374
- Bouton, C., 85
- Bouveret, S., 495, 503, 504, 508, 511, 520–523, 526–528, 531, 535, 542, 543, 550
- Bovet, D., 18
- Brams, S., 17, 214, 222, 225–227, 230, 246, 248, 306, 397, 401, 408, 416, 418, 434, 444–446, 449, 450, 453, 458, 460, 461, 476, 486, 490, 491, 495, 503, 518, 520–522, 534, 535, 538, 542, 543, 545
 adjusted winner procedure of –
 and Taylor, *see* adjusted winner procedure
- Brams–Taylor protocol, 461, 490
- Brandl, F., 189
- Brandt, 239
- Brandt, F., 16, 17, 133, 189, 191, 207, 208, 210, 240, 244, 256, 320, 347, 351, 352, 374, 550
- Bredereck, R., 252, 254, 324, 354, 387, 391
- Brelsford, E., 321
- BRIBERY, 318
 \$, 321
 \$ $\overline{1A}$, 321
 $\overline{1A}$, 321
- bribery, *see also* bribery problem; election, bribery in an; JA procedure, bribery for –s
 constructive, 320
 destructive, 321
 extension, 324
 negative, 320
 of single-peaked electorates, 351
 priced, 318
 shift, 324
 combinatorial, 324
 strongnegative, 320
 support, 324
 swap, 322
 constructive, 323
 destructive, 323
 unweighted, 323
 weighted, 323
 unpriced, 318
 unweighted, 318
 weighted, 318
- bribery problem, *see* BRIBERY, *see also* JA procedure, bribery for –s
 priced, *see* BRIBERY, \$

- weighted, *see* BRIBERY, § 474
- weighted, *see* BRIBERY, § 474
- priced, *see* BRIBERY, § 474
- with price function, *see* bribery
 - problem, priced
- Brill, M., 208, 210, 256, 320, 347, 351, 352, 374, 550
- British House of Commons, 155
- Brouwer, L., 77, 79, 81
- Brueggemann, T., 26, 27
- Bryla, K., 56
- $BScore^i(\cdot)$, 220
- $BScore(\cdot)$, 220
- Bucklin, 268
 - simplified, 266
- Bucklin score, *see* $BScore(\cdot)$
 - in stage i , *see* $BScore^i(\cdot)$
- Bucklin winner, 220
- Bucklin, J., 220
 - voting system of, 220, 227, 250, 304, 310, 321
- Budish, E., 511, 532
- Bundesliga, 205
 - German champion in the, 205
- Bundesnachrichtendienst, 496
- Bundestag, *see* Deutscher Bundestag
- bundle, 495
 - minimal, 522, 544
- bundle form, *see* utility function, in
 - bundle form
- Burstein, A., 322
- Cai, J., 35
- cake, 395
 - division of a, 395
 - heterogeneous, 397
 - homogeneous, 397
 - normalization of a, 398
 - piece of a, 396
 - acceptable, 433, 481
 - preferred, 481
 - strictly preferred, 481
- cake-cutting, vii, 9, 395
- cake-cutting procedure, 2
- cake-cutting protocol, 416
 - action in a, 413
 - continuous, 415
 - cut in a, 466
 - cut request in a, 399, 475
 - dirty-work, 462
 - efficiency of a, 410
 - envy-free, 406
 - equitable, 406
 - proportionally, 408, 418
 - evaluation request in a, 399, 475
 - exact, 402
 - fairness of a, 401
 - finite, 415
 - finite bounded, 415
 - finite unbounded, 415
 - immunity to manipulation of a, 413
 - for risk-averse players, 413
 - manipulability of a, 411
 - for risk-averse players, 414
 - marking in a, 466
 - minimal number of cuts required by a, 466, 467, 475
 - lower bound for the, 475
 - upper bound for the, 475
 - moving-knife, 415
 - properties of a, 490
 - proportional, 402
 - degree of guaranteed envy-freeness of a, 487
 - rule of a, 400
 - runtime of a, 415
 - strategy in a, 400
 - strategy-proof, 414
 - super-envy-free, 406
 - super-proportional, 402
- Calkins, G., 102
- campaign game
 - in extensive form
 - game tree for the, 97
- candidate, 198
 - set of -s, 198
- Caragiannis, I., 260, 374, 531, 532, 538
- Carroll, L., *see* Dodgson, C.
- Cary, D., 322
- CCAC, 293
- CCAC, 300, 302, 304
- CCAUC, 293, 300, 302, 304
- CCAV, 297
- CCAV, 300, 302, 304
- CCDC, 294
- CCDC, 300, 302, 304
- CCDV, 297
- CCDV, 300, 302, 304
- CCM, 274
- CCPC-TE, 296, 300, 302, 304
- CCPC-TP, 296, 300, 302, 304
- CCPV-TE, 299, 300, 302, 304, 310
- CCPV-TP, 299, 300, 302, 304, 310
- CCRPC-TE, 296, 300, 302, 304
- CCRPC-TP, 296, 300, 302, 304
- CCWM, 274, 275
- CDK-Kemeny ranking, 212
- CDK-Kemeny rule, 211

- Cechlárová, K., 188
 chair, *see* election chair, *see* JA chair
 Chakrabarty, D., 524
 Chalkiadakis, G., viii, 168, 182, 193
 Chamberlin, J., 496
 Chandra, A., 33
 Chandrasekaran, 543
 Charikar, M., 524
 checkers, 81
 Checkoway, S., 322
 Chekuri, C., 525
 Chen, J., 254, 308, 354, 387, 391
 Chen, M., 322
 Chen, X., 133
 chess, 81
 winning strategies in, 93
 Chevaleyre, Y., 17, 266, 267, 494, 495, 507, 508, 510, 523, 526, 530, 535, 546
 chicken game, 52, 67
 chore division, 462
 Christian, R., 325, 387
 Christie's, 12, 496
 Church, A., 19
 thesis of, 19
 Chuzhoy, J., 524
 CIA, 496
 citizens' sovereignty, 238, 250
 Clarke, E., 14
 clone, 239
 in approval voting, 240
 closure, *see* agenda, closure of an – under complement; agenda, closure of an – under propositional variables; agenda, set of conclusions of an, closure under complement of the; agenda, set of premises of an, closure under complement of the; complexity class, closure of a; \mathcal{L}_P , closure of – under negation
 CM, 274
 CNF, *see* formula, boolean, in conjunctive normal form
 k -CNF, 24
 co-winner model, *see* nonunique-winner model
 coalitional weighted manipulation
 constructive, 274, 347
 destructive, 274
 COALITIONAL-MANIPULATION, 271
 Colfer, E., 284
 combinatorial auction, *see* auction, combinatorial
 common knowledge, 108
 common scale of utilities
 independence of, 517
 completeness, *see* game, noncooperative, with complete information; JA procedure, complete; judgment set, complete; NP-completeness; problem, completeness of a
 complexity
 average-case, 281
 parameterized, 253
 worst-case, 27, 281
 complexity class, 20
 \leq_m^P -closure of a, *see* complexity class, closure of a
 \leq_m^P -completeness in a, *see* problem, completeness of a
 \leq_m^P -hardness for a, *see* problem, hardness of a
 closure of a, 28
 parameterized, 36
 complexity function
 asymptotic growth of a, 21
 complexity theory, vii
 foundations of, 17
 parameterized, 253
 computability theory, 17
 computational complexity, *see* complexity theory
 computational social choice, v, 2, 16, 251, 365
 international workshop on, 16
 conclave, 205
 conclusion-based procedure, *see* JA procedure, conclusion-based
 conditional importance form, 503
 conditional importance network, 504
 conditional preference statement, 503
 Condorcet criterion, 229, 250
 weak, 230
 Condorcet cycle, 7, 204
 Condorcet loser, 204, 229
 weak, 204
 Condorcet paradox, 7
 Condorcet top cycle, 204, 213
 Condorcet winner, 6, 7, 202, 229
 weak, 202
 Condorcet, J.-A.-N. de Caritat, Marquis de, 6, 7, 202
 voting system of, 6, 202, 227, 265, 304, 308
 Conitzer, V., 16, 17, 133, 134, 174, 211, 212, 254, 265, 266, 271–273, 275–277, 279, 280, 282, 283, 289, 324, 325, 374, 505

- conjunction, *see* boolean operation, conjunction
- coNP, 34
- consecutive ones property, 332, 333
- consistency, *see* JA procedure, consistent; judgment set, consistent; voting system, consistent
- CONSTRUCT-CORE
 - IS-, 170
 - WVG-, 175
- CONSTRUCT-LEAST-CORE, *see* WVG-CONSTRUCT-LEAST-CORE
- CONSTRUCTIVE-COALITIONAL-WEIGHTED-MANIPULATION, 274
- CONSTRUCTIVE-CONTROL-BY-ADDING-AN-UNLIMITED-NUMBER-OF-CANDIDATES, 293
- CONSTRUCTIVE-CONTROL-BY-ADDING-CANDIDATES, 293
- CONSTRUCTIVE-CONTROL-BY-ADDING-VOTERS, 297
- CONSTRUCTIVE-CONTROL-BY-DELETING-CANDIDATES, 294
- CONSTRUCTIVE-CONTROL-BY-DELETING-VOTERS, 297
- CONSTRUCTIVE-CONTROL-BY-PARTITION-OF-CANDIDATES, 295
- CONSTRUCTIVE-CONTROL-BY-PARTITION-OF-VOTERS, 299
- CONSTRUCTIVE-CONTROL-BY-RUN-OFF-PARTITION-OF-CANDIDATES, 296
- contested pile, 544
 - minimal bundle from a, 544
- contested pile-based protocol, 543
- contradiction, 366
- control, *see* election, control of an; electoral control; JA procedure, control for $-s$
- convex set, 70
- Conway, J., 452, 453, 455, 490
- Cook, S., 28, 29
- C^α Score(\cdot), 205
- Copeland score, 205
- Copeland voting, *see* Copeland, A., voting system of
 - second-order, 271
- Copeland winner, 205
- Copeland $^\alpha$ score, *see* C^α Score(\cdot)
- Copeland $^\alpha$ voting, 205, 227, 304, 321
- Copeland $^\alpha$ winner, 206
- Copeland 0 , 206, 250
- Copeland 1 , 205
- Copeland $^{1/2}$, 205
- Copeland $^{1/3}$, 206
- Copeland, A., 205
 - family of voting systems of Llull and $-$, 205, 227, 304, 321
 - voting system of, 205, 227, 265, 267, 268, 275, 304, 321
- Core(\cdot), 141
- CORE-STABLE-COALITION-STRUCTURE-EXISTENCE, 189
- CORE-STABLE-COALITION-STRUCTURE-VERIFICATION, 189
- Cormen, T., 187
- CoS(\cdot), 146
- cost of implementation, 145
- cost of stability, *see* game, cooperative, cost of stability of a
- COST-OF-STABILITY, *see* WVG-COST-OF-STABILITY
- Courant, P., 496
- cracking, 297
- Cramton, P., 10, 14, 495, 513, 518, 534
- Crescenzi, P., 18
- Cry Baby, 53
- CSCSE, 189
- CSCSV, 189
- Csirik, J., 525
- Culberson, J., 93
- cup protocol, 217, 227, 265, 267, 275
 - schedule of the, 218
 - tree of the, 217
 - winner of the, 218
- Custer, C., 434, 435
- Cut & Choose protocol, 417
- Cut Your Own Piece protocol, 436, 490
- Dall'Aglio, M., 510, 538
- Dani, V., 524
- Dantsin, E., 26, 27
- Dantzig, G., 120
- Darmann, A., 191, 192, 522
- Daskalakis, C., 17, 122–124, 127, 131, 133
- Davenport, A., 211, 212
- Davies, J., 272, 283, 315
- Dawson, C., 433
- DCAC, 300, 302, 304
- DCAUC, 300, 302, 304
- DCAV, 300, 302, 304
- DCDC, 300, 302, 304
- DCDV, 300, 302, 304
- DCM, 274
- DCPC-TE, 300, 302, 304
- DCPC-TP, 300, 302, 304
- DCPV, 308

- DCPV-TE, 300, 302, 304
 DCPV-TP, 300, 302, 304
 DCRPC-TE, 300, 302, 304
 DCRPC-TP, 300, 302, 304
 DCWM, 274, 275
 DDP, 539
 Dean, J., 53
 degree of guaranteed envy-freeness, *see*
 cake-cutting protocol, proportional,
 degree of guaranteed envy-freeness
 of a
 Delort, C., 503
 Δ_2^p , 35
 Δ_i^p , 35
 Demange, G., 533
 demaverickification, 358
 Demko, S., 527, 529
 Deng, X., 133, 169–173, 178
 Depp, J., 53
 descending demand protocol, 539
 modified, 541
 Desmedt, Y., 289
 DESTRUCTIVE-COALITIONAL-WEIGHTED-
 MANIPULATION, 274
 Deuermeyer, B., 525
 Deutscher Bundestag, 155, 298
 allocation of seats, 298
 in the 17th, 155
 DGEF, 487
 dichotomy result
 for CCWM, 274, 347
 in the single-peaked case, 347
 for ONLINE-CCWM, 290
 for POSSIBLE-WINNER, 265
 for priced, weighted bribery, 321
 Dickerson, J., 518
 dictator, 232
 dictatorship
 sequential, 548
 serial, 547
 random, 549
 Diestel, R., 82
 Dietrich, F., 365, 368, 374, 377, 381
 Dimitrov, D., 183, 187, 191, 192
 dirty-work problem, 462
 dirty-work protocol, *see* cake-cutting
 protocol, dirty-work
 discursive dilemma, 8, 364
 disjoint union, *see* \uplus
 disjunction, *see* boolean operation,
 disjunction
 distance
 Euclidean, 78
 Hamming, *see* Hamming distance
 distance-based procedure, *see* JA
 procedure, distance-based
 diversity of dislike, 275
 Divide & Conquer protocol, 439, 490
 BJK, 444–446
 dirty-work, 466
 minimal number of cuts required by
 the, 472
 divide et impera, 439
 divide-and-conquer principle, 472, 477
 division, 396
 envy relation in a, 486
 cycle of one-way –s in a, 488
 one-way, 488
 two-way, 488
 envy-free, 406
 envy-free relation in a, 486
 case-enforced, 487
 guaranteed, 487
 one-way, 488
 two-way, 488
 equitable, 406
 exact, 402
 fair, *see* fair division
 of a cake, 396
 of Germany, 395
 of indivisible goods, *see* allocation
 proportional, 402
 minimal number of cuts required for
 a, 476
 simply fair, *see* division, proportional
 strongly fair, *see* division, super-
 proportional
 super-envy-free, 406
 super-proportional, 402
 divorce formula, 535
 DM, 274
 DNF, *see* formula, boolean, in disjunctive
 normal form
 Dobzinski, S., 282
 doctrinal paradox, 8, 364
 Dodgson score, *see* $DScore(\cdot)$
 Dodgson winner, 207
 Dodgson, C., 207, 255
 voting system of, 207, 227, 250
 homogeneous variant of the, 245
 Doignon, J., 333, 355
 Dokow, E., 374
 Dombb, Y., 408
 DOMINATING-SET
 k -DOMINATING-SET, 384
 Dor, D., 93
 Dorn, B., 265, 324, 325
 dove strategy, 54

- Downey, R., 253
 Downeym R., 386
 DP, 35
 Driessen, T., 149
DScore(·), 207
 Dubey, P., 160, 166
 Dubins, L., 429, 430, 445, 446, 467, 477, 490
 Duggan, J., 239
 DUMMY, *see* WVG-DUMMY
 Dunne, P., 17, 494, 495, 508, 523, 526
 Dutta, B., 316
 Dwork, C., 251
 dynamic programming, 87
- e-commerce, 10
ε-Core(·), 142
 eBay, 14, 497
 EBU, 295
 Edelman, P., 518, 520
 Edmonds, J., 475, 477, 486
 Edwards, D., 102
 efficiency, *see* Pareto optimality
 EGALITARIAN-SOCIAL-WELFARE-OPTIMIZATION, 523
 Ehlers, L., 548
 election, 198
 - bribery in an, 317
 - control of an, 291
 - constructive, 292
 - destructive, 292
 - manipulation of an, 269, 272, 276, 278, 280
 - coalitional, *see* manipulation, coalitional weighted
 - constructive, 273
 - destructive, 273
 - for single-peaked preferences, 344
 - weighted, *see* manipulation, coalitional weighted
 - sequential
 - online candidate control of a, 317
 - online control of a, 316
 - online manipulation of a, 284
 - online voter control of a, 317
 - winner of an, 198, 254
 - necessary, 260, 265
 - possible, 260, 261, 280
 - unique, 198, 254
 - with irrational voters, 325
 - with weighted voters, 272
- election chair, 291
 electoral control, 291
 - by adding an unlimited number of candidates, 293
 - by adding candidates, 292
 - by adding voters, 296
 - by deleting candidates, 292
 - by deleting voters, 296
 - by partition of candidates, 294
 - by partition of voters, 297
 - by replacing candidates, 316
 - by replacing voters, 316
 - by run-off partition of candidates, 294
 - constructive, 292
 - destructive, 292
 - immunity to, 300
 - multimode, 316
 - of single-peaked electorates, 315, 334
 - overview of complexity results for, 303
 - overview of problems of, 299
 - resistance to, 300
 - susceptibility to, 300
 - unweighted, 316
 - vulnerability to, 300
 - certifiable, 310
 - weighted, 316
- electoral participation, 246
 electorate, *see* preference profile
 - single-peaked, *see* single-peaked electorate
- Elkind, E., vi, viii, 134, 145, 147, 159, 167, 168, 176–178, 181, 182, 188, 191–193, 250, 253, 289, 308, 317, 322–324, 359, 374
 ellipsoid method, 119
 Emerson, P., 267
 EMPTY-CORE
 IS-, 170
 WVG-, 175
 END-OF-THE-LINE, 128
 Endriss, U., 8, 16, 17, 374–377, 381, 383, 391, 494–496, 503, 504, 507, 508, 510, 520, 521, 523, 526, 530, 546
 envy, 529
 - degree of, 510, 530
- envy-freeness, *see* allocation, envy-free;
 - cake-cutting protocol, envy-free;
 - cake-cutting protocol, proportional,
 - degree of guaranteed envy-freeness of a; division, envy-free; price of envy-freeness; share, envy-free
- Ephrati, E., 252
 EQUAL-SUBSETS, 123
 equilibrium, 43
 - subgame-perfect, 98

- equitability, *see* allocation, nearly equitable; allocation, perfectly equitable; cake-cutting protocol, equitable; division, equitable; price of perfect equitability; share, equitable
- equivalence, *see* boolean operation, equivalence; formula, boolean, equivalence of –s
- Erdélyi, G., vi, 17, 227, 254, 281, 282, 294, 304, 306, 307, 311, 315, 325, 354, 379–384, 386, 387, 390
- Erdélyi, O., 379–382, 384, 386, 387, 390
- Erhard, L., 9
- Escoffier, B., 333, 355
- Estivie, S., 507, 510, 526, 530, 546
- ESWO, 523
- European Broadcasting Union, 295
- Eurovision Song Contest, 201, 295
- Even, S., 439, 474, 480–482, 484, 490
- event, 104
 - atomic, 104
 - independent –s, 105
- EXACT-COVER-BY-THREE-SETS, 312
- EXACT-EGALITARIAN-SOCIAL-WELFARE-OPTIMIZATION, 523
- EXACT-JA-BRIBERY, 386
- EXACT-JA-CONTROL-BY-ADDING-JUDGES, 390
- EXACT-JA-MICROBRIBERY, 386
- exactness, *see* cake-cutting protocol, exact; division, exact; JA procedure, bribery for –s, exact; JA procedure, control for –s, exact; JA procedure, manipulation for –s, exact; JA procedure, microbribery for –s, exact; margin of victory, exact variant of the – problem; share, exact
- excess mandate, 298
- fair division, v, vii, 2
 - centralized, 518
 - with cardinal preferences and money, 532
 - with cardinal preferences without money, 522
 - with ordinal preferences, 519
 - decentralized, 538
 - of a heterogeneous resource, 395
 - of a homogeneous resource, 397
 - problem of, 395
- fair share
 - max-min, 511
 - min-max, 511
 - proportional, 512
 - fair share criterion
 - max-min, 511
 - min-max, 511
 - proportional, 512
- fairness, 499
- Falik, D., 387
- Faliszewski, P., vi, viii, 16, 17, 159, 179, 181, 182, 205, 253, 254, 256, 267, 272, 275–277, 279, 280, 292, 293, 299, 304, 306, 308, 316, 317, 320–325, 333, 334, 344, 346, 348, 351, 352, 354, 355, 357–359, 374, 494, 496
- fallback score, *see* $FScore(\cdot)$
 - in stage i , *see* $FScore^i(\cdot)$
- fallback voting, 222, 227, 268, 304, 321
- fallback winner, 223, 224
- Falmagne, J., 333, 355
- false-name manipulation, *see* manipulation, false-name
- Fargier, H., 523, 526
- Farrell, J., 192
- Federal Constitutional Court of Germany, 298
- Feige, U., 397
- Feldman, A., 490
- Fellows, M., 253, 254, 294, 304, 307, 311, 315, 325, 386, 387
- Felsenthal, D., 167
- Fernau, H., 254, 325, 387
- Ferraioli, D., 525
- FIFA World Cup, 294
 - 1938 through 2002, 294
 - 1966, 401
 - 1990, 54
- Fink, A., 430, 490
- Fischer, F., 133, 374
- Fischer, J., 497
- Fishburn, P., 207, 212, 214, 221, 242, 244–246, 248, 352, 518, 520, 522
- Fitzsimmons, Z., 316
- five in a row, *see* Go-Moku
- fixed point theorem
 - Brouwer's, 77, 79
 - Kakutani's, 81
- fixed-parameter tractability, 253
- Flum, J., 254
- Foley, D., 509
- formula
 - boolean, 23
 - atomic proposition of a, 23
 - clause of a – in CNF, 24
 - complement of a, 365
 - equivalence of –s, 24

- implicant of a – in DNF, 24
- in conjunctive normal form, 24
- in disjunctive normal form, 24
- proposition of a , 23
- satisfiable, 24
- set of $\neg s$, *see* \mathcal{L}_P
- variables of a , 23
- propositional, *see* formula, boolean
- Fortnow, L., 27
- FP, 28
- FPTAS, 32
- Fréchet, M., 110
- free disposal, 502
- Friedgut, E., 282
- Friesen, D., 525
- Frobenius–König theorem, 433, 434
- $FS(\cdot)$, 512
- $FScore^i(\cdot)$, 224
- $FScore(\cdot)$, 224
- Fujinaka, Y., 534
- Fujita, E., 549
- Fujito, T., 32
- Fulkerson, D., 332, 333, 355
- fully polynomial-time approximation scheme, 32
- function
 - continuous, 77
 - factorial, 161
 - monotonically increasing, 241
 - strictly, 241
 - polynomial-time computable, 28
 - social choice, *see* social choice function
 - social welfare, *see* social welfare function
- GAI, *see* utility function, additively independent, generalized
- Gailmard, S., 8, 331
- Gale, D., 533, 549
- Galeotti, A., 174
- game
 - Bayesian, 108, 109
 - combinatorial, 83
 - cooperative, vii, 135
 - ϵ -core of a , 142, 175
 - adjusted, 146
 - Banzhaf index of a player in a simple, 166
 - characteristic function of a , 137
 - coalition structure of a , 138
 - coalitional function of a , *see* game, cooperative, characteristic function of a
 - convex, 149, 150
 - core of a , 140, 141, 150, 175
 - cost of stability of a , 143, 146, 177
 - dummy player in a simple, 160
 - efficient, 139
 - external stability of a , 147
 - grand coalition of a , 138
 - imputation for a , 141
 - individually rational, 141
 - internal stability in a , 147
 - least core of a , 142, 143, 175
 - monotonic characteristic function of a , 137
 - normalized Banzhaf index of a player in a simple, 166
 - normalized characteristic function of a , 137
 - outcome of a , 139
 - payoff vector for a , 139, 141
 - pivotal player in a simple, 160
 - power index of a player in a simple, 159, 160
 - probabilistic Banzhaf index of a player in a simple, 167
 - raw Banzhaf index of a player in a simple, 166
 - raw Shapley–Shubik index of a player in a simple, 161
 - Shapley value of a player in a , 148, 163, 164
 - Shapley–Shubik index of a player in a simple, 160, 162
 - simple, 151
 - stable set of a , 147
 - strong ϵ -core of a , 142, 175
 - subgame of a , 151
 - superadditive, 140
 - supermodular characteristic function of a , 149
 - veto player in a simple, 151
 - with nontransferable utility, 139
 - with transferable utility, 137
- hedonic, *see* hedonic game
- noncooperative, vii, 45
 - in extensive form, 82
 - normal form of a , 43, 45
 - with complete information, 108
 - with imperfect recall, 100
 - with incomplete information, 100
 - with perfect information, 82, 109
- rule of a , 42
- structure of a , 108
- game theory
 - algorithmic, 1
 - cooperative, vi, 41, 135

- noncooperative, vi, vii, 41, 135
- game tree, 82
 - decision vertex in a, 83
 - equilibrium in a, 94
 - leaf of a, 82
 - root of a, 82
- games against nature, 106, 109
- Gardner, M., 452, 462, 490
- Garey, M., 30, 31, 120, 176, 188, 374
- Gasarch, W., 21
- Gaspers, S., 276, 520, 521, 550
- Geist, C., 239, 503
- generalized additivity form, *see* utility function, in generalized additivity form
- GEOGRAPHY, 90
- German reunification, 233
- gerrymandering, 297
- get-out-the-votes drive, 296
- Ghosh, S., 251, 252
- Gibbard, A., 7, 239, 243, 252
- Gibbard-Satterthwaite theorem, 7, 239, 252, 269, 282
 - quantitative version of the, 282
- Gill, J., 36, 179
- Gilpin, A., 134
- go, 81
 - winning strategies in, 93
- Go-Moku, 83
 - winning strategies in, 93
- Goemans, M., 525
- Goerdts, A., 26, 27
- Goldberg, L., 134, 176–178
- Goldberg, P., 16, 17, 122–124, 131, 133, 134, 176–178
- golden goal, 497
- Golden, B., 529
- Goldman, J., 518
- Goldreich, O., 281
- Goldsmith, J., 254, 325, 387
- Golovin, D., 524, 525
- Gonzales, C., 507
- good, *see* resource
- Gourvès, L., 266, 525, 529, 532
- Goyal, S., 174
- Grabisch, M., 505
- Grand Junction system, *see* Bucklin, J., voting system of
- Grandi, U., 8, 374–377, 381, 383
- graph, 82
 - acyclic, 82
 - connected, 82
 - directed
 - indegree of a vertex in a, 125
 - outdegree of a vertex in a, 125
 - edge of a, 82
 - independent set of a, 31
 - strongly connected component of a, 187
 - undirected
 - degree of a vertex in an, 125
 - vertex cover of a, 35
 - vertex of a, 82
 - inner, 82
- graph theory, 82
- Grazer, B., 48
- Groening, M., 56
- Grohe, M., 254
- Gross, G., 333, 355
- group activity selection problem, 191
- Grove, A., 507
- Groves, T., 14
- guessing numbers game, 58
- Gundermann, T., 35
- Guo, J., 209, 254
- Gurski, F., 22, 82
- Guruswami, V., 524
- Haake, C., 534
- de Haan, R., 375, 376
- Hačijan, L., 119
 - algorithm of, 120
- Hägele, G., 205
- Hajduková, J., 188
- Hall's marriage theorem, 433, 434
- Hall, J., 322
- Hall, M., 101, 106
- Hall, P., 433
- halting problem, 18, 19
- Hamming distance, 370
 - between two complete judgment sets, 371
 - between two incomplete judgment sets, 377
- hardness, *see* problem, hardness of a
- Harrenstein, P., 189, 374
- Harsanyi, J., 3, 48, 108, 109, 118
 - transformation of, 109
- Hartmanis, J., 35
- Hartung, S., 387
- Harvey, N., 525
- Hatfield, J., 548
- hawk strategy, 64
- hawk-dove game, 54
- Hazon, N., 250
- HD-JA-MANIPULATION, 381
- hedonic coalition net, 188
- hedonic game, 183
 - additively separable, 189

- symmetric, 191
- anonymous, 188
 - black-and-white, 191
- coalition structure for a, 185
 - blocked by a coalition, 186
 - core stable, 186
 - strictly core stable, 186
 - weakly blocked by a coalition, 186
- common ranking property of a, 192
- core stability of a, 193
- enemy-oriented, 183
- enemy-oriented preferences in a, 183
- fractional, 189
- friend-oriented, 183
- friend-oriented preferences in a, 185
- individual contractual stability of a, 193
- individually rational, 188
- Nash stability of a, 193
- set of enemies in a, 183
- set of friends in a, 183
- singleton encoding of a, 188
 - optimistic, 188
 - pessimistic, 188
- van Hees, M., 373
 - impossibility theorem of Pauly and –, 373
- Hemachandra, L., *see* Hemaspaandra, L.
- Hemaspaandra, E., vi, viii, 17, 35, 205, 208, 210, 211, 253–257, 260, 270, 272–277, 279, 286, 288–290, 292, 293, 295, 299–308, 310, 316, 317, 320–322, 324, 325, 333, 334, 344, 346–348, 351, 352, 354, 355, 357–359, 374, 521
- Hemaspaandra, L., vi, viii, 17, 18, 35, 36, 179, 181, 182, 205, 208, 210, 253–257, 260, 270, 273, 274, 281, 282, 286, 288–290, 292, 293, 295, 299–308, 310, 316, 317, 320–322, 324, 325, 333, 334, 344, 346–348, 351, 352, 354, 355, 357–359, 374, 521
- Hendrickx, R., 183, 187, 192
- Hernandez, K., 251, 252
- Herreiner, D., 539, 541
- Hicks, J., 7, 237
- Hill, T., 510, 527, 529
- Hirsch, E., 26, 27
- Holcombe, R., 418
- Holzer, M., 133
- Holzman, R., 374
- Homan, C., 282
- Homer, S., 17
- homogeneity, *see* voting system, homogeneous
- Hopcroft, J., 17
- Howard, R., 48
- Howson jr., J., 133
 - algorithm of Lemke and –, 133
- Hudry, O., 374
- $\mathcal{I}(\cdot)$, 141
- Jeong, S., 168, 188, 508
- IIA, *see* independence, of irrelevant alternatives
- implication, *see* boolean operation, implication
- impossibility theorem
 - for electoral control, 305
 - for JA procedures, 373
- IN-CORE
 - IS-, 170
 - WVG-, 175
- IN-LEAST-CORE, *see* WVG-IN-LEAST-CORE
- independence, *see* JA procedure, independent
 - of clones, 239, 240, 250
 - of irrelevant alternatives, 235, 236, 250
- INDEPENDENT-SET, 31
 - k -DEGREE-INDEPENDENT-SET, 32
- individual scale of utilities
 - independence of, 517
- induced subgraph game, 169
- information set, 99, 100, 113
- instant-runoff voting, 216
- interior point method, 119, 120
- internal implementation, 146
- International Monetary Fund, 155
- Internationale Skatordnung, *see* skat, international laws of
- IS-CONSTRUCT-CORE, *see* CONSTRUCT-CORE, IS-
- IS-EMPTY-CORE, *see* EMPTY-CORE, IS-
- IS-IN-CORE, *see* IN-CORE, IS-
- Isaksson, M., 282
- item, *see* resource
- Iwata, S., 525
- JA chair, 387
- JA problem, 374
- JA procedure, 366
 - anonymous, 371
 - bribery for –s, 383
 - exact, 386
 - by a quota rule, 368

- by a uniform premise-based quota rule, 370
- by a uniform quota rule, 368
- by the majority rule, 368
- complement-free, 367
- complete, 367
- conclusion-based, 368, 369
- consistent, 367
- control for $-s$, 387
 - by adding judges, 387
 - by bundling judges, 389
 - by deleting judges, 387
 - by replacing judges, 387
- exact, 390
- distance-based, 370
- immunity of a
 - to a control type, 390
- independent, 372
- manipulation for $-s$, 376
 - exact, 382
- microbribery for $-s$, 386
 - exact, 386
- monotonic, 373
- neutral, 372
- nondictatorial, 372
- premise-based, 368, 369
- rational, 367
- safety of the agenda for $-s$, 376
- strategy-proof – for Hamming-distance-respecting induced preferences, 381
- strategy-proof – for induced preferences of some type
 - necessarily, 380
 - possibly, 380
- strategy-proof – in the sense of Dietrich and List, *see* JA procedure, strategy-proof – for induced preferences of some type, necessarily
- susceptibility of a
 - to a control type, 390
- systematic, 372
- unanimous, 371
- universal domain of a, 367
- vulnerability of a
 - to a control type, 390
- JA-BRIBERY, 383
- JA-CONTROL-BY-ADDING-JUDGES, 387
- JA-CONTROL-BY-BUNDLING-JUDGES, 390
- JA-CONTROL-BY-DELETING-JUDGES, 387
- JA-CONTROL-BY-REPLACING-JUDGES, 388
- JA-MICROBRIBERY, 386
- JA-NECESSARY-MANIPULATION, 381
- JA-POSSIBLE-MANIPULATION, 381
- JA-WINNER, 375
- Jackson, M., v, viii, 69, 96, 133, 173, 174, 183, 252, 316
- Jain, K., 148
- Jain, M., 174
- Johnson, D., 30, 31, 120, 127, 176, 188, 374
- Jones, M., 408, 418, 444–446, 486, 490
- Jones, N., 25, 26
- judge, 365
- judgment aggregation, v–vii, 8, 361
- judgment aggregation procedure, *see* JA procedure
- judgment set, 366
 - collective, 366
 - complement-free, 366
 - complete, 366
 - consistent, 366
 - desired, 377
 - individual, 366
 - rational, 366
- junta distribution, 281
- k -additive form, *see* utility function, in k -additive form
- k -approval, 201, 268
- k -maverick-single-peakedness, 355
- k -maverick-SP, 355
- Kaklamanis, C., 531, 532, 538
- Kakutani, S., 81
- Kalagnanam, J., 211, 212
- Kalai, E., 173
- Kalai, G., 282
- Kalinowski, T., 276, 543
- Kaminka, G., 322, 325
- Kaneko, M., 516
- Kanellopoulos, P., 531, 532, 538
- Kannai, Y., 503
- Kannan, R., 26, 27
- Karp, J., 518, 538
- Kass, S., 56
- Katsirelos, G., 272, 283, 315
- Kazachkov, A., 538
- Keeney, R., 501
- de Keijzer, B., 531
- Keller, N., 282
- Kellerer, H., 178, 525
- Kelly, J., 179
- Kemeny consensus, 211
- Kemeny score, *see* $KScore_{(\cdot)}(\cdot)$
- Kemeny winner, 211
- Kemeny, J., 210, 255, 370
 - voting system of, 210, 227, 255, 370

- Kern, W., 26, 27
 kernel, 148
 Khanna, S., 524
 Khot, S., 524, 525
 Kilgour, M., 521, 522, 543, 545
 Kindler, G., 282
 King, D., 503, 520, 521
 Kirman, A., 490
 Klamler, C., 408, 418, 444–446, 486, 490,
 521, 522, 543, 545
 Klaus, B., 548, 549
 Kleinberg, J., 26, 27
 Klijn, F., 534
 Klos, T., 531
 Knaster, B., 423, 445, 490
 Köbler, J., 35, 256
 Kohler, D., 543
 Kojima, F., 550
 Konczak, K., 252, 260, 263, 265, 289
 Könemann, J., 87
 Konishi, H., 183
 Kornhauser, L., 8, 364
 Korzhyk, M., 174
 Kozen, D., 33
 Kratsch, S., 387, 391
*KScore*_(·)(·), 211
 Kuhlisch, W., 325
 Kuhn, H., 433, 490
 Kullmann, O., 27
 Kumar, R., 251
 Kurz, S., 191, 192
 Kyropoulou, M., 531, 532, 538

 Laaser, W., 25, 26
 Labunski, R., 298
 Lackner, M., 354
 Ladner, R., 274
 Lafage, C., 508
 Landau, E., 21
 Lang, J., vii, viii, 16, 17, 191–193, 252,
 260, 263, 265–267, 271–273, 275–277,
 279, 289, 324, 325, 333, 355, 374,
 391, 494, 495, 503, 504, 508, 520–523,
 526, 531, 542, 543
 Langston, M., 525
 Laslier, J., 251
 Last Diminisher protocol, 423, 490
 dirty-work, 462
 minimal number of cuts required by
 the, 470
 modified, 470
 minimal number of cuts required by
 the, 470
 Laurence, M., 508, 526

 LCP, 133
 Le Breton, M., 316
 LEAST-CORE, *see* WVG-LEAST-CORE
 Leiserson, C., 187
 Lemaître, M., 17, 494, 495, 508, 511, 523,
 526–528, 550
 Lemke, C., 133
 algorithm of – and Howson, 133
 Lenstra jr., H., 120
 Lenstra, J., 127
 Lesca, J., 529
 Lev, O., 283
 Levenglick, A., 210
 Levin, L., 281
 leximin domination, 515
 leximin optimality, 515
 Leyton-Brown, K., 10, 14, 133, 251, 495
 Lichtenstein, D., 93
 Lien, Y., 25, 26
 Lim, C., 174
 Lin, A., 321, 359
 Lindner, C., vi, vii, 254, 436, 486, 489,
 490
 linear complementary problem, 133
 linear program, 119, 120
 integer, 120
 linear programming, 119, 245
 Lineker, G., 54
 lion’s share, 451
 Lipton, R., 27, 510, 523, 526, 529, 530
 List, C., 365, 368, 373, 374, 376, 377, 381,
 391
 impossibility theorem of – and Pettit,
 373
 literal, 24
 lizard, 57
 Llull, R., 205
 family of voting systems of – and
 Copeland, 205, 227, 304, 321
 voting system of, 205, 227, 304, 321
 local search, 127
 continuous, 127
 LOCAL-OPTIMUM, 127
 logic
 boolean, *see* logic, propositional
 propositional, 23
 satisfiability problem of, 23
 lone chooser, 430
 Lone Chooser protocol, 430, 490
 minimal number of cuts required by
 the, 471
 lone divider, 433
 Lone Divider protocol, 433, 490
 Loreggia, A., 308, 316

- Lower House of the German Parliament,
see Deutscher Bundestag
- \mathcal{L}_P , 365
 closure of – under negation, 365
- Lu, T., 252
- Lucas, W., 148
- Lueker, G., 333
- Lumet, C., 550
- Lund, C., 32
- Luss, H., 527
- Lynch, N., 274
- Machover, M., 167
- Mackenzie, S., 520, 521
- Magnanti, T., 171
- Magrino, T., 322
- $maj(\cdot)$, 220
- majority
 absolute, 231
 relative, 231
- majority criterion, 230, 231, 250
 simple, 231
- majority graph, 203
 weighted, 213
 strength of a path in the, 213
- majority rule, *see* JA procedure, by the
 majority rule
- majority voting, 250, 265, 267, 268, 275,
 304
- MAJORITY-SAT, 36
- MAJORITYWISE-ACCEPTED-BALLOT, 391
- MANIPULATION, 270
- manipulation, *see also* election, ma-
 nipulation of an; JA procedure,
 manipulation for –s; voting system,
 manipulable
- coalitional unweighted
 constructive, 271
 destructive, 271
- coalitional weighted
 constructive, 272, 273
 destructive, 272, 273
- false-name, 36, 180
- of single-peaked electorates, vi, vii, 344
- Manlove, D., 549
- many-one reducibility, *see* problem,
 reducibility between –s
 functional, 126
- margin of victory, 321, 322
 exact variant of the – problem, 322
- marginal contribution net, 168, 188
- Markakis, E., 510, 523, 526, 527, 529, 530
- Marple, A., 100, 174
- Maschler, M., 143
- Maskin, E., 533
- matching, 548
 bipartite
 one-sided, 548
 two-sided, 548
 nonbipartite, 548
- matching pennies game, 55
- matrix game, 120
- Matsui, T., 179
- Matsui, Y., 179
- Mattei, N., 254, 325, 387, 550
- Maudet, N., 17, 266, 267, 494, 495, 507,
 508, 510, 523, 526, 530, 535, 546
- maverick-single-peakedness, *see k-*
maverick-single-peakedness
(under K)
- maverick-SP, *see k-maverick-SP (under K)*
- MAX-ESW, 524
- max-flow/min-cut theorem, 171, 173
- MAX-INDEPENDENT-SET, 31
 minimum-degree greedy heuristic for,
 32
- MAX-*k*-DEGREE-INDEPENDENT-SET, 32
- max-min-FS*(\cdot), 511
- MAX-NPSW, 527
- MAX-SAT, 31
- MAX-SAT-ASG $_=$, 35, 256
- MAX-SATISFYING-ASSIGNMENT-
 EQUALITY, 35
- MAX-USW, 526
- maximin score, *see SScore*(\cdot)
- maximin voting, *see* Simpson, P., voting
 system of
- MAXIMUM-EGALITARIAN-SOCIAL-
 WELFARE, 524
- MAXIMUM-SET-PACKING-COMPARE, 257
- McCabe-Dansted, J., 208, 282
- MDDP, 541
- MEDIAN-PROPERTY, 376
- Meir, R., 144, 145, 147, 177, 178, 387
- van Melkebeek, D., 27
- Menton, C., 214, 270, 292, 299, 300, 304,
 307
- Merkel, A., 232, 298
- Mestre, J., 550
- Meyer, A., 34, 174
- MI5, 496
- MICROBRIBERY, 325
- millennium problem, 21
- Miller, M., 370
- min-cost flow theorem, 325
- min-max-FS*(\cdot), 511
- MIN-VERTEX-COVER, 35
 edge deletion heuristic for, 35

- maximum-degree greedy heuristic for, 35
- minimax theorem, 120, 121
- Mirrlees, J., 13
- Mirroknii, V., 525
- mixed-strategy profile
 - expected gain of a , 61
- Monderer, D., 145
- money, 495, 532
- Mongin, P., 364
- Monien, B., 27
- Monnot, J., 266, 267, 525, 529, 532
- monotonicity, *see* function, monotonically
 - increasing; game, monotonic characteristic function of a ; JA procedure, monotonic; preference, extension of a , monotonic; preference, monotonic; voting system, monotonic
 - strict, *see* preference, monotonic, strictly
 - strong, *see* voting system, monotonic, strongly
- Monroe, B., 496
- Monty Hall dilemma, *see* Monty Hall problem
- Monty Hall problem, 101
 - intuitive solutions to the, 102
 - solutions to the – using the formula of Bayes, 106
 - solutions to the – using the law of total probability, 103
- Morgenbesser, S., 236
- Morgenstern, C., 41
- Morgenstern, O., 2, 3, 41, 107, 110, 147
- Mosca, R., 538
- Mossad, 496
- Mossel, E., 282, 283, 510, 523, 526, 529, 530
- Motwani, R., 17
- Moulin, H., 17, 246–249, 495, 517, 531, 550
- moving-knife protocol, 415
 - Austin's, 420, 490
 - of Brams, Taylor, and Zwicker, 460, 490
 - of Dubins and Spanier, 429, 490
 - Stromquist's, 457, 490
- MSPC, 257
- Muller, E., 243
 - impossibility theorem of – and Satterthwaite, 243
- multiagent resource allocation, 2, 493, 497
- multimode control, *see* electoral control, multimode
- Mundhe, M., 251, 252
- \mathbb{N} , 21
- Nagel, R., 59
- Nakamura, K., 516
- Nanson winner, 221
- Nanson, E., 221
 - voting system of, 221, 227
 - Baldwin's variant of the, 221
 - Schwartz's variant of the, 221
- Naor, M., 251
- Narodytska, N., 272, 275, 276, 283, 308, 315, 316, 543, 550
- Nasar, S., 48, 81
- Nash equilibrium
 - Bayesian, 111
 - for risk-averse players, 113
 - for risk-loving players, 113
 - for risk-neutral players, 113
 - complexity of a
 - in normal form games, 122
 - in zero-sum games, 119
 - existence of a , 69, 79
 - implausible, 98
 - in mixed strategies, 61, 79
 - in pure strategies, 48
 - symmetric, 66
- Nash, J., 3, 48, 69, 70, 79, 81, 119, 121, 516
- NASH-EQUILIBRIUM, 131
- NASH-PRODUCT-SOCIAL-WELFARE-OPTIMIZATION, 526
- National Security Agency, 496
- negation, *see* boolean operation, negation
- NEGATIVE-BRIBERY, 320
- Nehring, K., 374
- network flow game, 173
- network interdiction, 174
- Neugebauer, D., viii
- von Neumann, J., 1, 3, 41, 45, 48, 81, 107, 110–112, 118–120, 147
 - simplified poker variante due to, 110
 - simplification of the, 111
- neutrality, *see* voting system, neutral, *see* JA procedure, neutral, *see* allocation procedure, neutral
- Nguyen, N., viii, 508, 514, 521–523, 525–528
- Nguyen, T., 17, 495, 508, 510, 514, 521–523, 525–528, 530
- Nicolo, A., 418
- Niedermeier, R., 209, 252, 254, 272, 308, 324, 374, 387
- Niedermeier, S., 391
- Nim, 85, 88
 - winning strategy for, 86

- nine men's morris, 81
- Nisan, N., 17, 282, 508
- no-show paradox, 246, 247
 - strong variant of the, 246
- nondeterministic logarithmic space, 25
- nondictatorship, *see* voting system,
 - nondictatorial, *see* JA procedure, nondictatorial
- nonlinear complementary problem, 133
- NONUNIFORM-BRIBERY, 325
- nonunique-winner model, 198, 254
- Norden, L., 322
- normalized range voting, *see* range voting,
 - normalized
- van den Nouweland, A., 173
- Nowak, M., 227, 304, 306, 307
- NP, 20
 - boolean hierarchy over, 35
 - NP = coNP? question, 34
 - NP function
 - class of total \neg s, 128
 - NP oracle, 255
 - NP-completeness, 28
 - NPSWO, 526
 - NRV, 214
 - nucleolus, 148
 - Nudelman, E., 134
 - number
 - set of integer \neg s, 120
 - set of nonnegative integer \neg s, 21
 - set of nonnegative real \neg s, 137
 - set of real \neg s, 45
- $\mathcal{O}(\cdot)$, 21
- $o(\cdot)$, 22
- object, *see* resource
- Obraztsova, S., 250
- OCS principle, *see* one-cut-suffices principle
- ODD-DEGREE-VERTEX, 127
- ODD-SAT, 35
- Ogihara, M., 18, 281
- Ogiwara, M., *see* Ogihara, M.
- $\Omega(\cdot)$, 22
- $\omega(\cdot)$, 22
- one-cut-suffices principle, 468, 469
- one-way envy relation, *see* division, envy
 - relation in a, one-way
- online manipulation setting, 286
- online voting, 297
- ONLINE-CCM, 287
- ONLINE-CCM[k], 287
- ONLINE-CCWM[k], 287
- ONLINE-CCWM, 287
- ONLINE-CONSTRUCTIVE-COALITIONAL-MANIPULATION, 287
- ONLINE-DCM[k], 287
- ONLINE-DCM, 287
- ONLINE-DCWM[k], 287
- ONLINE-DCWM, 287
- OPTIMAL-LOBBYING, 325
- order
 - linear, 199
 - partial, 261
 - preference, *see* preference relation
 - weak, 183, 380, 500
- Orlin, J., 171, 252, 265, 271, 274, 374
- Osborne, M., 141, 148
- Osherson, D., 370
- Öztürk, M., 333, 355
- #P, 178, 550
- P, 20
- P = NP? question, 21
- packing, 297
- packing and cracking, 297
- Padget, J., 17, 494, 495, 508, 523
- Papadimitriou, C., 17, 18, 26–28, 35, 119,
 - 122–124, 127, 131, 133, 169–173, 178, 256, 375
- Pápai, S., 548, 549
- paper, 56
- paper-rock-scissors game, 56, 57, 65
- paper-rock-scissors-lizard-spock game, 56
- parallel access to NP, 35, 256
- parallel-universes tie-breaking, 254
- Pareto consistency, 232, 250
- Pareto dominance, 47, 509
 - strong, 47, 509
 - weak, 47
- Pareto efficiency, *see* Pareto optimality
- Pareto optimality, 46, 47, 410, 499, 509
 - necessary, 520
 - possible, 520
 - weak, 47, 509
- Pareto optimum, 47
- Parkes, D., 289, 304, 307, 321, 322, 324
- parliamentary elections
 - for the German Bundestag
 - 1990, 233
 - 2009, 246
 - 2013, 246
 - for the German Reichstag
 - 1936, 246
 - 1939, 246
 - for the German Volkskammer
 - 1963, 246
 - 1967, 246

- 1981, 246
- 1986, 246
- participation criterion, 246, 247, 250
- PARTITION, 176, 278
- Pasechnik, D., 145, 147, 177, 178
- Paterson, M., 167, 181, 182
- Patty, J., 8, 331
- Pauly, M., 373
 - impossibility theorem of – and van Hees, 373
- Paz, A., 439, 474, 480–482, 484, 490
- $PBP(\cdot)$, 369
- Pěchouček, M., 174
- Peleg, B., 143, 166, 503
- penalty game, 54, 64
- Penn, E., 8, 331
- Penrose, L., 166
- Penrose–Banzhaf index, *see* game,
 - cooperative, normalized Banzhaf index of a player in a simple
- Perny, P., 507, 529
- Pettit, P., 8, 364, 365, 373, 376
 - impossibility theorem of List and –, 373
- Pfandler, A., 354
- Pferschy, U., 178
- Phelps, S., 17, 494, 495, 508, 523
- PI-BENEFICIAL-MERGE, 180
- PI-BENEFICIAL-SPLIT, 180
- Π_0^P , 34
- Π_1^P , 34
- Piccione, M., 100
- picking sequence, 541
 - optimal, 542
- picking sequences protocol, 541
 - balanced alternation, 542
 - strict alternation, 541
- PIGEONHOLE-FUNCTION, 125
- Pigozzi, G., 370, 391
- Π_i^P , 34
- Pini, M., 266, 325
- Piras, L., *see* Schend, L.
- Pisinger, D., 178
- player
 - action of a, 413
 - beneficial merging of –s, 180
 - beneficial splitting of a, 180
 - coalition of –s, 135, 137
 - gain of a, 45
 - irrevocable advantage of a, 454
 - marginal contribution of a, 150, 160
 - move of a, 81
 - payoff of a, 413
 - portion of a, 396
 - contiguous, 432
 - risk-averse, 61, 109, 413
 - risk-loving, 61, 109
 - risk-neutral, 61, 109
 - share of a, 396
 - strategy of a, 43, 400
 - symmetric –s, 164
 - type of a, 108, 109
 - valuation function of a, 396
 - additivity of a, 397
 - box representation of a, 419
 - continuous, 421
 - divisibility of a, 397
 - nonnegativity of a, 397
 - normalization of a, 397
 - positivity of a, 397
- PLS, 124, 127
- plurality, 200, 227, 250, 265, 267, 268, 275, 304
- plurality rule, *see* plurality
- plurality voting, *see* plurality
- plurality winner, 200
- plurality with run-off, 215, 227
 - winner in, 216
- P_{\parallel}^{NP} , 35, 255
- P^{NP} , 35, 290
- $P^{NP[1]}$, 35, 291
- $P^{NP[\log]}$, 35
- poker, 107, 110, 111
- poker face, 118
- political cynicism, 246
- polynomial hierarchy, 34
- polynomial local search, 124, 127
- polynomial parity argument
 - for directed graphs, 125, 128
 - for graphs, 125, 127
- polynomial pigeonhole principle, 124, 125
- polynomial space
 - deterministic, 33
 - nondeterministic, 33
- polynomial time
 - alternating, 33
 - deterministic, 20
 - nondeterministic, 20
 - probabilistic, 36
- polynomial-time approximation scheme, 32
- Ponnuswami, A., 524, 525
- Porat, E., 174
- Porello, D., 8, 374–377, 381, 383
- Porter, R., 134
- portion, *see* player, portion of a
- POSSIBLE-WINNER, 263, 265
- POSSIBLE-WINNER-NEW-ALTERNATIVES, 266, 267

- Possible-Winner-Top/Bottom/Doubly-Truncated-Ballots, 267
- POSSIBLE-WINNER-UNCERTAIN-WEIGHTS, 268
- post-election audits, 322
 risk-limiting, 322
- Poundstone, W., 236
- power set, *see* set, power set of a
- POWER-COMPARE, *see* WVG-PI-POWER-COMPARE
- PP, 36, 179
- PPA, 125, 127
- PPAD, 125, 128
- PPP, 124, 126
- PR, 522
- Prasad, K., 179
- preference, 198
 compact representation of $-s$, 500
 extension of a
 monotonic, 502
 separable, 502
 induced by a judgment set
 closeness-respecting, 380
 Hamming-distance-respecting, 380
 necessary, 380
 possible, 380
 top-respecting, 380
 unrestricted, 380
 weak necessary, 380
 weak possible, 380
 monotonic, 502
 strictly, 502
 single-peaked $-s$, 327, 331
 k-maverick-, 355
 swoon-, 356
- preference aggregation, vi, vii, 197
- preference elicitation, 500
- preference language
 cardinal, 504
 ordinal, 502
- preference list
 partial, 261
 total extension of a, 261
- preference profile, 183, 198
 single-peaked, 327
- preference relation, 183, 199, 380, 500
 dichotomous, 531
 linear, 199
 partial, 261
 separable, 501
 additively, 501
 weakly, 500
 weak, 183, 380, 500
- preferential dependency, 500
- premise-based procedure, *see* JA procedure, premise-based
- price function
 \S discrete, 320
 discrete, 320
 swap-bribery, 323
- price of envy-freeness, 532
- price of perfect equitability, 532
- price of proportionality, 532
- principle of excluded contradiction, 366
- principle of the excluded third, 366
- prisoners' dilemma, 3, 43, 67
 as a Bayesian game, 118
 Bayesian Nash equilibrium for the, 118
- Pritchard, G., 208, 282
- probabilistic polynomial time, 179
- probabilistic serial rule, 550
- PROBABILISTIC-LOBBYING, 325
- probability, 104
 conditional, 105
 total, 104
 law of, 103, 105
- probability distribution, 104
- probability space
 finite, 104
- problem
 completeness of a, 28
 complexity of a, 20
 lower bound on the, 18, 22, 28
 upper bound on the, 18, 21
 decidable, 18
 hardness of a, 28
 parameterized, 36
 reducibility between $-s$, 28
 undecidable, 18
- Procaccia, A., 16, 17, 272, 280–283, 289, 315, 322, 325, 476, 477, 491, 511, 518, 528, 529, 538
- proportional algorithm, 522
- proportionality, *see* cake-cutting protocol, proportional; division, proportional; fair share, proportional; fair share criterion, proportional; price of proportionality; proportional algorithm; share, proportional
- Pruhs, K., 476, 477, 486
- Psomas, C., 527, 529
- PSPACE, 33
- PTAS, 32
- Pukelsheim, F., 205
- Puppe, C., 316, 374, 391, 539, 541
- $\mathcal{PV}(\cdot)$, 142
- PW-Top/Bottom/Doubly-TB, 267

- QBF, 33
 QBF_{*i*}, 34
 QBF_{*i*}⁻, 34
 QUANTIFIED-BOOLEAN-FORMULA, 33
 Quarter protocol
 for four players, 481
 for three players, 478
 Quinzii, M., 534
 quota, 368
 quota rule, *see* JA procedure, by a quota rule

 \mathbb{R} , 45
 \mathbb{R}^+ , 137
 Rácz, M., 283
 Raghavan, P., 26, 27
 Raiffa, H., 501
 Raith, M., 534
 Ramaekers, E., 522
 Ramezani, S., 526
 random variable
 discrete, 112
 expectation of *a*, 112
 von Randow, G., 101, 102
 range voting, 214, 227, 321
 normalized, 214, 227
 ranked pairs, 212, 227, 265, 268, 321
 RANKING
 Dodgson-, 257
 Kemeny-, 257
 Young-, 256
 Rebel Without a Cause, 53
 recommender system, 251
 recursive function theory, 17
 reducibility, *see* problem, reducibility
 between –*s*
 polynomial-time many-one, 28
 referee, *see* judge
 Reisch, S., 91, 93
 Reisch, Y., 275, 321, 322, 324
 relation
 antisymmetric, 183
 asymmetric, 199
 connected, 199
 indifference, 183, 380, 500
 irreflexive, 199
 preference, *see* preference relation
 reflexive, 183, 199
 total, 183
 transitive, 183, 199
 representation language
 compact, 501
 resoluteness, *see* voting system, resolute
 resource
 divisible, 395
 allocation of –*s*, 395
 fair division of –*s*, vii, 395
 division of *a*, 395
 heterogeneous, 397
 homogeneous, 397
 indivisible, 495
 allocation of –*s*, v, vii, 493
 nonshareable, 496
 shareable, 496
 response strategy
 best, 48
 strictly best, 48
 Rey, A., viii, 167, 174, 181, 182, 192, 193
 Rieck, C., 106
 Riege, T., 26, 35
 Ries, B., 266
 Rivest, R., 187, 322
 Robertson, J., 397, 399, 401, 403, 416, 433,
 451, 453, 458, 466, 470, 474–477,
 485, 491
 rock, 56
 Roddenberry, G., 57
 Rodríguez-Aguilar, J., 17, 494, 495, 508,
 523
 Rogers jr., H., 17
 Rognlie, M., 254
 roll-call voting game, 289
 Romero-Medina, A., 188
 Roos, M., 17, 267–269, 325, 495, 508, 514,
 523, 525–528
 Rosamond, F., 254, 325, 387
 Rosenschein, J., 144, 145, 147, 167, 177,
 178, 252, 266, 272, 281, 283, 315,
 322, 325, 374
 Rösler, P., 232
 Rossi, F., 266, 308, 316, 325, 549
 Roth, A., 3, 142, 160, 549
 Rothe, I., vi–viii, 22, 82
 Rothe, J., vi–viii, 16–18, 22, 25, 26, 28,
 30, 33–35, 82, 145, 147, 167, 174,
 177, 178, 181, 182, 192, 193, 205,
 208–210, 227, 245, 254–257, 260,
 265, 267–271, 273–275, 281–283, 286,
 288–290, 292–295, 299, 301–308, 310,
 311, 315–317, 320–322, 324, 325,
 333, 334, 344, 346, 348, 352, 355,
 357, 359, 374, 375, 379–384, 386,
 387, 390, 436, 486, 489, 490, 495,
 508, 510, 514, 521–523, 525–528, 530
 Roughgarden, T., 17
 Rowling, J., 284
 RSD, 549
 Rubinstein, A., 100, 141, 148

- Rudolph, J., 325
 Russel, N., 308
- Saari, D., 222
 Sabán, D., 550
 Saberi, A., 510, 523, 524, 526, 529, 530
 Sachs, R., 102
 Sadri, T., 546
 Sager, L., 8, 364
 Sakai, T., 534
 Salvagnin, D., 266
 Sandholm, T., 15, 133, 134, 271–273, 275–277, 279, 282, 495, 505, 518, 546
 Santa Claus problem, 513, 523
 Santi, P., 505
 Sanver, R., 222, 225–227, 230, 251, 306
 Sarwate, A., 322
 SAT, 23
 k -SAT, 25
 SAT solver, 26
 SAT-UNSAT, 35
 SATISFIABILITY, 23
 Satterthwaite, M., 7, 239, 243, 252
 impossibility theorem of Muller and –, 243
 Savani, R., 193
 vos Savant, M., 102, 103
 Savitch, W., 33
 theorem of, 33
 Scarf, H., 133
 algorithm of, 133
 Schadrack, H., 192, 193
 Schauer, J., 191, 192, 522
 Scheidungsformel, *see* adjusted winner procedure
 Schend, L., viii, 17, 192, 193, 254, 268, 271, 275, 284, 294, 304, 307, 311, 315, 321, 322, 324
 Scheuermann, B., 325
 Schiermeyer, I., 27
 Schiller, F., 41
 Schlotter, I., 324
 Schnoor, H., 272, 275–277, 279, 321
 Schnoor, I., 321
 Schöning, U., 26, 27, 35, 256, 281
 Schulze winner, 213
 Schulze, M., 212, 213
 voting system of, 212, 213, 227, 321
 Schwartz sequential dropping, 213
 Schwartz winner, 213
 Schwartz, T., 213, 221, 239
 voting system of, 213, 227
 Schweigert, D., 193, 502
 scissors, 56
- SCORE
 Dodgson-, 257
 Kemeny-, 257
 Young-, 257
 scoring allocation correspondence
 strategy-proof, 522
 scoring protocol, 199, 200, 227, 321
 generalized, 283, 322
 pure, 265
 winner in a, 200
 scoring rule, *see* scoring protocol
 scoring vector, 200
 Scotchmer, S., 192
 SCSCSE, 190
 SCSCSV, 190
 search problem, 122
 Seedig, H., 189, 191
 Selfridge, J., 452, 453, 455, 490
 Selfridge–Conway protocol, 452, 490
 Selman, A., 17, 123, 274
 Selten, R., 3, 48, 59, 98
 Sen, A., 7, 17
 Sen, S., 251, 252
 set
 bounded, 77
 cardinality of a, 70
 closed, 77
 compact, 77
 complement of a, 104
 convex, 70
 power set of a, 137, 198, 367
 Sethuraman, J., 550
 Sewelson, V., 35
 Sgall, J., 475, 477
 Shacham, H., 322
 Shapley, L., 3, 142, 143, 149, 150, 160, 163, 164, 166, 549
 Shapley–Shubik index, *see* game, cooperative, Shapley–Shubik index of a player in a simple
 Shapley–Shubik (\cdot, \cdot) , 161
 Shapley–Shubik $^*(\cdot, \cdot)$, 161
 share, *see also* player, share of a
 envy-free, 406
 equitable, 406
 exact, 402
 guaranteed, 402, 405, 406
 proportional, 402
 simply fair, *see* share, proportional
 strongly fair, *see* share, super-proportional
 super-envy-free, 406
 super-proportional, 402
 Shen, E., 322

- SHIFT-BRIBERY, 324
 Shiryayev, , 322, 324
 Shoham, Y., v, viii, 10, 14, 100, 119, 133, 134, 146, 168, 188, 251, 495, 508, 513, 518, 534
 Shubik, M., 142, 160
 side payment, 533, 546
 Σ^* , 28
 Σ_0^p , 34
 Σ_1^p , 34
 Σ_i^p , 34
 simplex, 71
 face of a, 71
 standard, 71
 vertex of a, 71
 simplex method, 120
 simploptope, 79
 Simpson score, *see* *SScore*(·)
 Simpson winner, 208
 Simpson's paradox, 233
 Simpson, B., 58
 Simpson, L., 58
 Simpson, P., 208, 233
 voting system of, 208, 227, 265, 267, 268, 275, 321
 Simpsons, The, 56, 58
 sincere-strategy preference-based approval voting, *see* SP-AV
 winner of, *see* SP-AV winner
 Singh, P., 307
 Singh, S., 56, 58
 single transferable vote, *see* STV
 winner of, *see* STV winner
 single-peaked electorate, vi, vii, 8, 327, 331
 k-maverick-, 355
 swoon-, 356
 single-peaked society, *see* single-peaked electorate
 single-peakedness, *see* single-peaked electorate
 singleton ranking form, 502
 Sipsper, M., 93
 Sivakumar, D., 251
 skat, 42
 international laws of, 42
 Skopalik, A., 182
 Skowron, P., 494, 496
 Slavkovik, M., 391
 Slinko, A., 208, 253, 282, 283, 308, 317, 323–325, 359, 374, 387, 494, 496
 Sloth, B., 289
 Smith, J., 174, 233
 social choice correspondence, 198
 social choice function, 198
 surjectivity of a, 238
 social choice theory, 7
 social game, 69
 finitely repeated, 69
 social welfare
 egalitarian, 513
 Nash product, 516, 527
 utilitarian, 513
 social welfare function, 199
 societal axis, 331
 Sokoban puzzles
 winning strategies in, 93
 Sönmez, T., 183, 549
 Sonoda, A., 549
 Sotheby's, 12, 496
 Sousa, P., 17, 494, 495, 508, 523
 SP-AV, 225, 227, 304
 SP-AV winner, 226
 Spakowski, H., 35, 209–211, 245, 255–257, 260, 281, 282, 305
 Spanier, E., 429, 430, 445, 446, 467, 477, 490
 Spanjaard, O., 503
 Speckenmeyer, E., 27
 Sperner, E., 74, 131
 lemma of, 74, 131
 spock, 57
 Spock, Mr., 57
 Sprumont, Y., 515
 Srivastava, S., 133
 Srividenko, M., 524
SScore(·), 208
 stability, *see* game, cooperative, cost of
 stability of a; game, cooperative,
 external stability of a; game,
 cooperative, internal stability in
 a; hedonic game, core stability
 of a; hedonic game, individual
 contractual stability of a; hedonic
 game, Nash stability of a; stability
 of a solution,
 stability of a solution, 48
 stable marriage problem, 548
 Stackelberg voting game, 289
 Star Trek, 57
 Stark, P., 322
 Stein, C., 187
 Steinberg, R., 10, 14, 495, 513, 518, 534
 Steinhilber, H., 10, 396, 423, 433, 436, 445, 452, 466, 490, 512
 Still, M., 102
 Stockmeyer, L., 33, 34, 174
 Stong, R., 531

- Storer, J., 93
- Stoyan, D., 325
- straight line program, *see* utility function, represented by a straight line program
- strategy
- dominant, 43, 46
 - mixed, 45, 61
 - fully, 62
 - support of a, 62
 - pure, 45
 - set of profiles of $-ies$, 45
 - strictly dominant, 46
 - weakly dominant, 46
- strategy-proofness, *see* cake-cutting protocol, strategy-proof; JA procedure, strategy-proof – for Hamming-distance-respecting induced preferences; JA procedure, strategy-proof – for induced preferences of some type; scoring allocation correspondence, strategy-proof; voting system, strategy-proof;
- STRICTLY-CORE-STABLE-COALITION-STRUCTURE-EXISTENCE, 190
- STRICTLY-CORE-STABLE-COALITION-STRUCTURE-VERIFICATION, 190
- Strobel, M., 189
- Stromquist, W., 433, 452, 457–460, 466, 490
- STRONGNEGATIVE-BRIBERY, 320
- StrongYoung score, *see* $SYScore(\cdot)$
- StrongYoung voting, 209
- Stursberg, P., 550
- STV, 216, 227, 250, 265, 275, 321
- STV winner, 216
- Su, F., 534
- SUBSET-SUM, 123
- successive elimination, *see* voting by successive elimination
- Suchý, O., 387
- Sudhölter, P., 166
- Sung, S., 183, 187, 191, 192
- super-envy-freeness, *see* cake-cutting protocol, super-envy-free; division, super-envy-free; share, super-envy-free
- SUPER-IMPUTATION-STABILITY, *see* WVG-SUPER-IMPUTATION-STABILITY
- super-proportionality, *see* cake-cutting protocol, super-proportional; division, super-proportional; share, super-proportional
- Suzumura, K., 17
- Svensson, L., 534, 548
- Sviridenko, M., 513
- SWAP-BRIBERY, 323
- swoon-single-peakedness, 356
- $swoon$ -SP, 356
- $SYScore(\cdot)$, 209
- $SYScore(\cdot)$, 209
- systematicity, *see* JA procedure, systematic
- Szeider, S., 376
- Tadenuma, K., 534
- Talmon, N., 308, 324
- Tambe, M., 174
- Tardos, É, 17
- Tasnádi, A., 316
- TAUTOLOGY, 374
- tautology, 366
- Taylor, A., 17, 236, 237, 397, 401, 416, 434, 449, 450, 453, 458, 460, 461, 476, 490, 491, 495, 534, 535, 538, 542
 - adjusted winner procedure of Brams and –, *see* adjusted winner procedure
- TE, 295
- Tennenholtz, M., 145, 289, 387, 397
- TFNP, 128
- Thapa, M., 120
- theory of formal languages and automata, 17
- $\Theta(\cdot)$, 22
- Thilikos, D., 32
- Thomson, W., 515, 534
- Tic-Tac-Toe, 83
 - game tree for, 84
- Tideman, N., 207, 212, 239, 240, 292
 - voting system of, *see* ranked pairs
- tie-breaking rule
 - randomized, 250
- tie-handling rule, 295
- Tierney, J., 102
- ties eliminate, 295
- ties promote, 295
- Tlilane, L., 529, 532
- Toda, S., 181
- Todo, T., 549
- Tolkien, J., 284
- Toni, F., 546
- top cycle, *see* Schwartz, T., voting system of, 227
- top cycle winner, *see* Schwartz winner

- van der Torre, L., 391
- Tovey, C., 252, 253, 255, 270, 271, 292, 293, 298, 299, 301, 303, 304, 359, 374
- TP, 295
- tree, 82
 - game, *see* game tree
 - leaf of a, 82
 - root of a, 82
 - voting, *see* voting tree
- Trick, M., 252, 253, 255, 270, 271, 292, 293, 298, 299, 301, 303, 304, 332, 355, 359, 374
- trimming algorithm, 470
- truth value, 23
- truth-table reducibility, 273
 - disjunctive, 273
- Turing machine, 19
 - alternating, 33
 - configuration of a, 19
 - deterministic, 19
 - end configuration of a, 19
 - accepting, 19
 - rejecting, 19
 - halting configuration of a, *see* Turing machine, end configuration of a
 - instantaneous description of a, 19
 - memory of a, *see* Turing machine, space of a
 - nondeterministic, 19
 - computation tree of a, 19
 - probabilistic, 36
 - space of a, 20
 - time of a, 18
- Turing, A., 19
- twin, 248
- twin paradox, 249
- twins-welcome criterion, 248, 249
- two-player game, 44, 50
 - multiple-move, 81
 - one-move, 81
 - properties of a, 68
 - sequential, 81
- two-way envy relation, *see* division, envy relation in a, two-way

- Überhangmandat, *see* excess mandate
- Uckelman, J., 508
- UCO, 283
- UEFA Champions League, 217
- UEFA European Championship
 - 1996, 497
- Uhlmann, J., 254
- Ullman, J., 17

- unanimity, *see* JA procedure, unanimous; voting system, unanimous; weighted voting game, unanimous
- UNANIMOUSLY-ACCEPTED-BALLOT, 391
- undercut protocol, 543
- uniform distribution, 104
- uniform premise-based quota rule, *see* JA procedure, by a uniform premise-based quota rule
- uniform quota rule, *see* JA procedure, by a uniform quota rule
- Unique-WARP, 302
- unique-winner model, 198, 254
- United Nations Security Council, 155
- UNWEIGHTED-COALITIONAL-OPTIMIZATION, 283
- $UPQR_q(\cdot)$, 370
- US Congress
 - 1789 election of the First, 297
- US House of Representatives, 155, 298
- US presidential election of 2000, 293, 294
- USWO, 525
- UTILITARIAN-SOCIAL-WELFARE-OPTIMIZATION, 525
- utility function
 - additively independent, 501
 - generalized, 507
 - in bundle form, 504
 - in generalized additivity form, 507
 - in k -additive form, 505
 - represented by a bidding language, 508
 - represented by a straight line program, 508
 - represented by a weighted logic language, 508
 - subadditive, 526
 - fractionally, 526
 - submodular, 526
 - supermodular, 546
- utility without externalities, 501

- Valiant, L., 179, 550
- valuation criteria, 401
- valuation function
 - box representation of a, 418
- Vaněk, O., 174
- Vazirani, V., 17, 32
- vector
 - affinely independent –s, 70
 - convex combination of –s, 70
 - linearly independent –s, 71
 - unit, 71
- vector weighted voting game, 158
 - dimension of a, 158

- Vega-Redondo, F., 174
 Venable, B., 266, 308, 316, 325
 Verfaillie, G., 494
 veto, 200, 227, 265, 267, 268, 275
 veto rule, *see* veto
 veto voting, *see* veto
 veto winner, 200
 Vetschera, R., 545
 Vickrey, W., 13, 14
 Vickrey–Clarke–Groves mechanism, 14
 Viglas, A., 27
 Virginia House of Delegates, 297
 Vogel, J., 209–211, 245, 255–257, 260, 305
 Vohra, R., 148
 Vondrák, J., 525
 vote, 198
 list of –s, 198
 vote by mail, 297
 voter
 electoral behavior of a, 298
 irrational, 325
 voting by successive elimination, 316
 voting rule, *see* voting system
 voting system, v, 198
 anonymous, 240, 250
 based on pairwise comparisons, 202, 227
 Condorcet-consistent, 204
 consistent, 233, 250
 convexity of a, 233
 dictatorial, 232
 homogeneous, 244, 250
 hybrid, 221, 222, 227
 immunity of a – to a control type, 301
 manipulable, 238
 monotonic, 241, 250
 strongly, 242
 weakly, 282
 neutral, 241, 250
 nondictatorial, 232
 proceeding in stages, 215, 227
 properties of –s, 249
 pure, 221
 resistance of a – to a control type, 301
 resolute, 237
 separability of a, 233
 strategy-proof, 238, 250
 susceptibility of a – to a control type, 301
 unanimous, 316
 voiced, 273, 302
 vulnerability of a – to a control type, 301
 weakCondorcet-consistent, 204
 winner determination in a, 253
 voting tree, 217
 Wagner, D., 322
 Wagner, K., 18, 35, 256, 257
 Walsh, T., 16, 266, 272, 275, 276, 280, 283, 308, 315, 316, 325, 344, 374, 520, 521, 543, 550
 Wang, J., 281, 511, 528, 529
 Wanke, E., 22, 82
 WARP, 302
 Washburn, A., 174
 wasted votes effect, 297
 Watanabe, O., 281
 Waters, J., 53
 Watts, A., 69
 Weak Axiom of Revealed Preference, 302
 Unique, 302
 Webb, W., 397, 399, 401, 403, 407, 416, 433, 451, 453, 458, 466, 470, 474–477, 485, 491
 Wechsung, G., 18, 35, 36, 181
 Wegener, I., 18
 weighted logic language, *see* utility
 function, represented by a weighted logic language
 weighted majority game, 153
 weighted threshold game, 153
 weighted voting game, 153, 155, 175, 272
 boolean, 159
 complexity of power indices in a, 178
 manipulating the quota in a, 182
 unanimous, 181
 Weller, D., 411
 Weng, P., 503
 Williams, R., 282
 WINNER, 253
 Dodgson-, 257
 Kemeny-, 257
 Young-, 260
 winner determination
 in Copeland elections, 254
 in Dodgson elections, 255
 in Kemeny elections, 255
 in scoring protocols, 254
 in voting systems proceeding in stages, 254
 in Young elections, 255
 winner model, *see* nonunique-winner model
 winner's curse, 13
 winner-turns-loser paradox, 242
 Woeginger, G., 26, 183, 185, 187, 191–193, 272, 354, 391, 475, 477, 525, 527

- Wolpert, A., 26
 wonderfully stable partition, 188
 WONDERFULLY-STABLE-PARTITION-EXISTENCE, 190
 WONDERFULLY-STABLE-PARTITION-VERIFICATION, 190
 Wood, K., 174
 Woodall, D., 233, 246, 448, 449, 452
 Wooldridge, M., viii, 10, 12, 14, 15, 134, 159, 168, 176–178, 182, 188, 193, 251, 495, 508, 526
 World Rock Paper Scissors Society, 58
 WSPE, 190
 WSPV, 190
 WVG-CONSTRUCT-CORE, *see* CONSTRUCT-CORE, WVG-
 WVG-CONSTRUCT-LEAST-CORE, 176
 WVG-COST-OF-STABILITY, 178
 WVG-DUMMY, 179
 WVG-EMPTY-CORE, *see* EMPTY-CORE, WVG-
 WVG-
 WVG-IN-CORE, *see* IN-CORE, WVG-
 WVG-IN-LEAST-CORE, 176
 WVG-LEAST-CORE, 176
 WVG-PI, 178
 WVG-PI-POWER-COMPARE, 179
 WVG-SUPER-IMPUTATION-STABILITY, 177

 X3C, 312
 XESWO, 523
 Xia, L., 254, 265–268, 272, 275, 282, 283, 289, 304, 307, 321, 322, 324, 325, 374, 543
 XNPSWO, 526
 XUSWO, 525

 Yadid, T., 527
 Yahtzee, 5
 Yamazaki, K., 32
 Yannakakis, M., 35, 127
 Yariv, L., 174
 yin and yang, 18
 Yokoo, M., 549
 Young score, *see* YScore(\cdot)
 Young winner, 209
 Young, P., 209, 245, 255, 260
 see also StrongYoung voting, 209
 voting system of, 209, 227, 250, 255
 homogeneous variant of the, 245, 260
 \bar{Y} Score(\cdot), 209
 YScore(\cdot), 209
 Yu, L., 322, 324
 Yu, Y., 418

 \mathbb{Z} , 120
 Zachos, S., 256
 Zankó, V., 126
 Zemel, E., 173
 Zenklusen, R., 525
 Zenou, Y., 174
 zero-sum game, 113, 119, 120
 Nash equilibrium in a, 119
 Nash equilibrium in a two-player, 119
 zero-sum security game on graphs, 174
 Zhang, Y., 531
 Zick, Y., 182
 von Ziegenaar, C., 284
 Zuckerman, M., 145, 147, 177, 178, 182, 272, 283, 315
 Zwick, U., 93
 Zwicker, W., 460, 490