

---

## Was Sie aus diesem *essential* mitnehmen können

- **Einblicke** in neueste Entwicklungslinien der Leadership-Forschung
- **Einsichten**, u. a. basierend auf umfangreichen empirischen Studien des Auto-renduos, dazu,
  - inwieweit Plural Leadership, insbesondere in Form von Shared Leadership eine zukunftsweisende Alternative zur One-Man-Show darstellt
  - inwiefern Plural Leadership die paradoxen Anforderungen von Führung in post-hierarchischen Organisationen sowie selbstorganisierenden Arbeitssettings adäquat zu bewältigen verspricht
  - inwiefern zur Entwicklung von Plural Leadership ein gewandeltes Leadership-Mindset erforderlich ist
- **Ermutigung**, sich in ungewohnten Formen der Führung zu versuchen.

---

# Literatur

- Aubé, C., Rousseau, V., & Brunelle, E. (2018). Flow experience in teams: The role of shared leadership. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 23*, 198–206.
- Bolden, R. (2011). Distributed leadership in organizations: A review of theory and research. *International Journal of Management Reviews, 13*, 251–269.
- Carson, J., Tesluk, P., & Marrone, J. (2007). Shared leadership in teams: An investigation of antecedent conditions and performance. *Academy of Management Journal, 50*, 1217–1234.
- Carton, A. (2018). “I’m not mopping the floors, I’m putting a man on the moon”: How Nasa leaders enhanced the meaningfulness of work by changing the meaning of work. *Administrative Science Quarterly, 63*, 323–369.
- Chiu, C.-Y., Owens, B., & Tesluk, P. (2016). Initiating and utilizing shared leadership in teams: The role of leader humility, team proactive personality, and team performance capability. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 101*, 1705–1720.
- Crevani, L., Lindgren, M., & Packendorff, J. (2007). Shared leadership: A postheroic perspective on leadership as a collective construction. *International Journal of Leadership Studies, 3*, 40–67.
- D’Innocenzo, L., Mathieu, J., & Kukenberger, M. (2016). A meta-analysis of different forms of shared leadership–team performance relations. *Journal of Management, 42*, 1964–1991.
- Denis, J.-L., Langley, A., & Sergi, V. (2012). Leadership in the plural. *Academy of Management Annals, 6*, 211–283.
- Drescher, M., Korsgaard, M., Welpe, I., Picot, A., & Wigand, R. (2014). The dynamics of shared leadership: Building trust and enhancing performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 99*, 771–783.
- Dust, S., & Ziegert, J. (2016). Multi-leader teams in review: A contingent-configuration perspective of effectiveness. *International Journal of Management Reviews, 18*, 518–541.
- Endres, S., & Weibler, J. (2014). Führung in interorganisationalen Netzwerken – Shared Network Leadership. In L. v. Rosenstiel, E. Regnet, & M. Domsch (Hrsg.), *Führung von Mitarbeitern. Handbuch für erfolgreiches Personalmanagement* (7. Aufl., S. 403–428). Stuttgart: Schäffer-Poeschel.
- Endres, S., & Weibler, J. (2017). Towards a three-component model of relational social constructionist leadership: A systematic review and critical interpretive synthesis. *International Journal of Management Reviews, 19*, 214–236.

- Endres, S., & Weibler, J. (2018). Führung in Unternehmensnetzwerken: Wenn kollektive Lernprozesse nicht verstanden werden, dann..“. In M. E. Domsch, E. Regnet, & L. v. Rosenstiel (Hrsg.), *Führung von Mitarbeitern, Fallstudien zum Personalmanagement* (4. Aufl., S. 481–484). Stuttgart: Schäffer-Poeschel.
- Endres, S., & Weibler, J. (2019a). Understanding (non)leadership phenomena in collaborative interorganizational networks and advancing shared leadership theory: An interpretive grounded theory study. *Business Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40685-019-0086-6> (open access).
- Endres, S., & Weibler, J. (2019b). School Leadership – Eine Neuausrichtung. *Journal für Schulentwicklung*, 23, 49–54.
- Endres, S., & Weibler, J. (2019c). Ästhetische Führung: Sinneswahrnehmung und Sinnesstimulation im Führungskontext. *Wirtschaftswissenschaftliches Studium (WiSt)*, 48, 50–53.
- Ensley, M., Hmieleski, K., & Pearce, C. (2006). The importance of vertical and shared leadership within new venture top management teams: Implications for the performance of startups. *Leadership Quarterly*, 17, 217–231.
- Fletcher, J., & Käufer, K. (2003). Shared leadership: Paradox and possibility. In C. L. Pearce & J. A. Conger (Hrsg.), *Shared leadership: Reframing the hows and whys of leadership* (S. 21–47). Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Galperin, B., Bennett, R., & Aquino, K. (2011). Status differentiation and the protean self: A social-cognitive model of unethical behavior in organizations. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 98, 407–424.
- Hooker, C., & Csikszentmihalyi, M. (2003). Flow, creativity, and shared leadership: Rethinking the motivation and structuring of knowledge work. In C. L. Pearce & J. A. Conger (Hrsg.), *Shared leadership: Reframing the hows and whys of leadership* (S. 217–234). Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Ingvaldsen, J., & Rolfsen, M. (2012). Autonomous work groups and the challenge of intergroup coordination. *Human Relations*, 65, 861–881.
- Kuhn, T., & Weibler, J. (2003). Führungsethik: Notwendigkeit, Ansätze und Vorbedingungen ethikbewusster Mitarbeiterführung. *Die Unternehmung*, 57, 375–392.
- Lee, M., & Edmondson, A. (2017). Self-managing organizations: Exploring the limits of less-hierarchical organizing. *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 37, 35–58.
- Lübbermann, U., Moll, A., & Schumacher, T. (2019). Einfach mal starten: Konsens bei Premium Cola. *Organisationsentwicklung*, 2019(2), 62–66.
- Müller-Camen, M., Weibler, J., Matthews, B., & Riess, C. (2018). Transformationen im Personalmanagement: Die Beispiele Sonnentor und Tele Haase. In F. Luks (Hrsg.), *Chancen und Grenzen der Nachhaltigkeitstransformation* (S. 79–93). Wiesbaden: Springer.
- Nicolaidis, V., LaPort, K., Chen, T., Tomassetti, A., Weis, E., Zaccaro, S., & Cortina, J. (2014). The shared leadership of teams: A meta-analysis of proximal, distal, and moderating relationships. *Leadership Quarterly*, 25, 923–942.
- Pearce, C., & Sims, H. P. (2002). Vertical versus shared leadership as predictors of the effectiveness of change management teams: An examination of aversive, directive, transactional, transformational, and empowering leader behaviors. *Group Dynamics*, 6, 172–197.
- Raelin, J. (2014). The ethical essence of leaderful practice. *Journal of Leadership, Accountability and Ethics*, 11, 64–72.

- Ramthun, A., & Matkin, G. (2014). Leading dangerously: A case study of military teams and shared leadership in dangerous environments. *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies*, 21, 244–256.
- Reid, W., & Karambayya, R. (2009). Impact of dual executive leadership dynamics in creative organizations. *Human Relations*, 62, 1073–1112.
- Salovaara, P., & Bathurst, R. (2018). Power-with leadership practices: An unfinished business. *Leadership*, 14, 179–202.
- Sergi, V., Denis, J.-L., & Langley, A. (2012). Opening up perspectives on plural leadership. *Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, 5, 403–407.
- Solansky, S. (2008). Leadership style and team processes in self-managed teams. *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies*, 14, 332–341.
- Stewart, G., Astrove, S., Reeves, C., Crawford, E., & Solimeo, S. (2017). Those with the most find it hardest to share: Exploring leader resistance to the implementation of team-based empowerment. *Academy of Management Journal*, 60, 2266–2293.
- Sun, X., Jie, Y., Wang, Y., Xue, G., & Liu, Y. (2016). Shared leadership improves team novelty: The mechanism and its boundary condition. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7, 1–12.
- Sweeney, A., Clarke, N., & Higgs, M. (2018). Shared leadership in commercial organizations: A systematic review of definitions, theoretical frameworks and organizational outcomes. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 21, 115–136.
- Tourish, D. (2014). Leadership, more or less?: A processual, communication perspective on the role of agency in leadership theory. *Leadership*, 10, 79–98.
- Uhl-Bien, M., Maslyn, J., & Ospina, S. (2012). The nature of relational leadership: a multitheoretical lens on leadership relations and processes. In D. Day & J. Antonakis (Hrsg.), *The nature of leadership* (2. Aufl., S. 289–330). Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Vine, B., Holmes, J., Marra, M., Pfeifer, D., & Jackson, B. (2008). Exploring co-leadership talk through interactional sociolinguistics. *Leadership*, 4, 339–360.
- Wang, D., Waldman, D., & Zhang, Z. (2014). A meta-analysis of shared leadership and team effectiveness. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 99, 181–198.
- Weibler, J. (2013). *Entzauberung der Führungsmythen*. München: Roman Herzog Institut e. V.
- Weibler, J. (2016). *Personalführung* (3. Aufl.). München: Vahlen.
- Weibler, J. (2017). Über New Work und gesunden Menschenverstand – Experimentierfreude in einem „Unternehmen der Zukunft“. <https://www.leadership-insiders.de/ueber-new-work-und-gesunden-menschenverstand-experimentierfreude-in-einem-unternehmen-der-zukunft/>.
- Weibler, J. (2018a). Sind Sie ein Geber oder ein Nehmer? Beides? – Wer handelt am erfolgreichsten? <https://www.leadership-insiders.de/sind-sie-ein-geber-oder-ein-nehmer-beides-wer-handelt-am-erfolgreichsten/>.
- Weibler, J. (2018b). Bescheidenheit ist machtvoll – Führung durch Haltung. <https://www.leadership-insiders.de/bescheidenheit-ist-machtvoll-fuehrung-durch-haltung/>
- Weibler, J., & Endres, S. (2016). Kann die Leadership-Ökonomik zum Verständnis von Führungsphänomenen beitragen?: Eine kritische Bestandsaufnahme. *Managementforschung*, 26, 7–39.
- Weibler, J., & Rohn-Endres, S. (2010). Learning conversation and shared network leadership: Development, Gestalt, and consequences. *Journal of Personnel Psychology*, 9, 181–194.