

References

- Baddeley, A. D. (1992). Working Memory. *Science*, 255(5044), 556–559. doi:10.1126/science.1736359
- Carney, R. N., & Levin, J. R. (2002). Pictorial Illustrations Still Improve Students' Learning from Text. *Educational Psychology Review*, 14(1), 5–26. doi:10.1023/A:1013176309260
- Carswell, L. (1997). Teaching via the Internet: the impact of the Internet as a communication medium on distance learning introductory computing students. In *Proceedings of the 2nd Conference on Integrating Technology into Computer Science Education* (pp. 1–5). Uppsala, Sweden. doi:10.1145/268809.268821
- Chajut, E., & Algom, D. (2003). Selective Attention Improves Under Stress: Implications for Theories of Social Cognition. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 85(2), 231–248. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.85.2.231
- Chandler, P., & Sweller, J. (1991). Cognitive Load Theory and the Format of Instruction. *Cognition and Instruction*, 8(4), 293–332. doi:10.1207/s1532690xci0804_2
- Chandler, P., & Sweller, J. (1992). The Split-Attention Effect as a Factor in the Design of Instruction. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 62(2), 233–246. doi:10.1111/j.2044-8279.1992.tb01017.x
- Dahl, G. (1971). Zur Berechnung des Schwierigkeitsindex bei quantitativ abgestufter Aufgabenbewertung. *Diagnostica*, 17(3), 139–142.
- Deci, E. L., Ryan, R. M., & Williams, G. C. (1996). Need Satisfaction and the Self-Regulation of Learning. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 8(3), 165–183. doi:10.1016/S1041-6080(96)90013-8
- Docebo. (2014). *E-Learning Market Trends & Forecast 2014 - 2016 Report*. Retrieved from <https://www.docebo.com/landing/contactform/elearning-market-trends-and-forecast-2014-2016-docebo-report.pdf>
- Evans, C., & Gibbons, N. J. (2007). The interactivity effect in multimedia learning. *Computers & Education*, 49(4), 1147–1160. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2006.01.008
- Garrison, D. R. (2011). *E-Learning in the 21st Century: A Framework for Research and Practice* (2nd ed.). London, UK: Taylor & Francis.
- Ginns, P. (2005). Meta-Analysis of the Modality Effect. *Learning and Instruction*, 15(4), 313–331. doi:10.1016/j.learninstruc.2005.07.001
- Green, R. (1981). Remembering ideas from text: The effect of modality of presentation. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 51(1), 83–89. doi:10.1111/j.2044-8279.1981.tb02458.x
- Harp, S., & Mayer, R. E. (1997). The role of interest in learning from scientific text and illustrations: On the distinction between emotional and cognitive interest. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 89(1), 92–102. doi:10.1037/0022-0663.89.1.92

- Harp, S., & Mayer, R. E. (1998). How seductive details do their damage: A theory of cognitive interest in science learning. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 90*(3), 414–434. doi:10.1037/0022-0663.90.3.414
- Hegarty, M., & Just, M. A. (1993). Constructing mental models of machines from text and diagrams. *Journal of Memory and Language, 32*(6), 717–742. doi:10.1006/jmla.1993.1036
- Hollender, N., Hofmann, C., Deneke, M., & Schmitz, B. (2010). Integrating Cognitive Load Theory and Concepts of Human-Computer Interaction. *Computers in Human Behavior, 26*(6), 1278–1288. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2010.05.031
- Kalyuga, S., Chandler, P., & Sweller, J. (1998). Levels of expertise and instructional design. *Human Factors: The Journal of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society, 40*(1), 1–17. doi:10.1518/001872098779480587
- Kalyuga, S., Chandler, P., & Sweller, J. (1999). Managing split-attention and redundancy in multimedia instruction. *Applied Cognitive Psychology, 13*(4), 351–371. doi:10.1002/(sici)1099-0720(199908)13:4<351::aid-acp589>3.0.co;2-6
- Kalyuga, S., Chandler, P., & Sweller, J. (2000). Incorporating learner experience into the design of multimedia instruction. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 92*(1), 126–136. doi:10.1037/0022-0663.92.1.126
- Kürschner, C., Schnotz, W., Eid, M., & Hauck, G. (2005). Individuelle Modalitätspräferenzen beim Textverstehen: Präferenzen für auditive oder visuelle Sprachverarbeitung in unterschiedlichen Bevölkerungsgruppen. *Zeitschrift Fur Entwicklungspsychologie Und Padagogische Psychologie, 37*(1), 2–16. doi:10.1026/0049-8637.37.1.2
- Leahy, W., & Sweller, J. (2011). Cognitive Load Theory, Modality of Presentation and the Transient Information Effect. *Applied Cognitive Psychology, 25*(5), 943–951. doi:10.1002/acp.1787
- Levin, J. R., Anglin, G. J., & Carney, R. N. (1987). On empirically validating functions of pictures in prose. In D. M. Willows & H. A. Houghton (Eds.), *The Psychology of Illustration: I. Basic Research* (pp. 51–85). New York, USA: Springer.
- Low, R., & Sweller, J. (2005). The modality principle in multimedia learning. In R. E. Mayer (Ed.), *The Cambridge Handbook of Multimedia Learning* (pp. 147–158). New York, USA: Cambridge University Press.
- Marold, K. A., Larsen, G., & Moreno, A. (2000). Web-based learning: Is it working? A comparison of student performance and achievement in Web-based courses and their in-classroom counterparts. In *Proceedings of the 2000 information resources management association international conference on Challenges of information technology management in the 21st century* (pp. 350–353). doi:10.4018/978-1-931777-04-9.ch015
- Mayer, R. E. (2001). *Multimedia Learning*. New York, USA: Cambridge University Press.
- Mayer, R. E. (2005). Cognitive Theory of Multimedia Learning. In R. E. Mayer (Ed.), *The Cambridge Handbook of Multimedia Learning* (pp. 31–48). New York, USA: Cambridge University Press.

- Mayer, R. E., Heiser, J., & Lonn, S. (2001). Cognitive constraints on multimedia learning: When presenting more material results in less understanding. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 93*(1), 187–198. doi:10.1037/0022-0663.93.1.187
- Mayer, R. E., & Moreno, R. (1998). A split-attention effect in multimedia learning: Evidence for dual processing systems in working memory. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 90*(2), 312–320. doi:10.1037/0022-0663.90.2.312
- Mayer, R. E., & Moreno, R. (2010). Techniques That Reduce Extraneous Cognitive Load. In J. L. Plass, R. Moreno, & R. Brünken (Eds.), *Cognitive Load Theory* (pp. 131–152). New York, USA: Cambridge University Press.
- McAllister, N. C., & McAllister, D. F. (1996). Providing education electronically to nontraditional sites: new delivery to a new audience. In *Proceedings of the 14th annual international conference on Systems documentation: Marshaling new technological forces: building a corporate, academic, and user-oriented triangle* (pp. 187–193). North Carolina, USA. doi:10.1145/238215.238273
- Moreno, R., & Mayer, R. E. (1999). Cognitive Principles of Multimedia Learning: The Role of Modality and Contiguity. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 91*(2), 358–368. doi:10.1037/0022-0663.91.2.358
- Nelson, J. R., Balass, M., & Perfetti, C. A. (2005). Differences between written and spoken input in learning new words. *Written Language and Literacy, 8*(2), 25–44. doi:10.1075/wll.8.2.04nel
- Paivio, A. (1986). *Mental Representations: A Dual Coding Approach*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Rayner, K., Rotello, C. M., Stewart, A. J., Keir, J., & Duffy, S. A. (2001). Integrating text and pictorial information: Eye movements when looking at print advertisements. *Journal of Experimental Psychology, 7*(3), 219–226. doi:10.1037/1076-898X.7.3.219
- Renninger, A., Hidi, S., & Krapp, A. (1992). *The Role of interest in Learning and Development*. Hillsdale, UK: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Rey, G. D. (2012). A review of research and a meta-analysis of the seductive detail effect. *Educational Research Review, 7*(3), 216–237. doi:10.1016/j.edurev.2012.05.003
- Rickheit, G., Strohner, H., Miisseler, J., & Nattkemper, D. (1987). Recalling Oral and Written Discourse. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 79*(4), 438–444. doi:10.1037/0022-0663.79.4.438
- Salomon, G. (1984). Television is “easy” and print is “tough”: The differential investment of mental effort in learning as a function of perceptions and attributions. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 76*(4), 647–658. doi:10.1037/0022-0663.76.4.647
- Schmidt-Weigand, F., Kohnert, A., & Glowalla, U. (2010). A closer look at split visual attention in system- and self-paced instruction in multimedia learning. *Learning and Instruction, 20*(2), 100–110. doi:10.1016/j.learninstruc.2009.02.011
- Schnotz, W., & Kürschner, C. (2007). A Reconsideration of Cognitive Load Theory. *Educational Psychology Review, 19*(4), 469–508. doi:10.1007/s10648-007-9053-4
- Schweppe, J., & Rummer, R. (2012). Long-term Multimedia Learning. In E. de Vries & K. Scheiter (Eds.), *EARLI Sig2 meeting 2012: Staging knowledge and experience: How to take advantage of representational technologies in education and training?* (pp. 196–198). Grenoble, France: Université Pierre-Mendès-France.

- Segers, E., Verhoeven, L., & Hulstijn-Hendrikse, N. (2008). Cognitive Processes in Children's Multimedia Text Learning. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 22(3), 375–387. doi:10.1002/acp.1413
- Seufert, T. (2003). Supporting coherence formation in learning from multiple representations. *Learning and Instruction*, 13(2), 227–237. doi:10.1016/S0959-4752(02)00022-1
- Sweller, J. (2005). Implications of Cognitive Load Theory for Multimedia Learning. In R. E. Mayer (Ed.), *The Cambridge Handbook of Multimedia Learning* (pp. 19–30). New York, USA: Cambridge University Press.
- Sweller, J., & Chandler, P. (1994). Why Some Material is Difficult to Learn. *Cognition and Instruction*, 12(3), 185–233. doi:10.1207/s1532690xci1203_1
- Sweller, J., Chandler, P., Tierney, P., & Cooper, M. (1990). Cognitive Load as a Factor in the Structuring of Technical Material. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 119(2), 176–192. doi:10.1037/0096-3445.119.2.176
- Sweller, J., van Merriënboer, J. J. G., & Paas, F. G. W. C. (1998). Cognitive architecture and instructional design. *Educational Psychology Review*, 10(3), 251–296. doi:10.1023/A:1022193728205
- Tabbers, H. K., Martens, R. L., & van Merriënboer, J. J. G. (2001). The Modality Effect in Multimedia Instructions. In J. D. Moore & K. Stenning (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 23rd annual conference of the Cognitive Science Society* (pp. 1024–1029). Edinburgh, Scotland: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Tabbers, H. K., Martens, R. L., & van Merriënboer, J. J. G. (2004). Multimedia Instructions and Cognitive Load Theory: Effects of Modality and Cueing. *The British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 74(1), 71–81. doi:10.1348/000709904322848824
- Tindall-Ford, S., Chandler, P., & Sweller, J. (1997). When two sensory modes are better than one. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 3(4), 257–287. doi:10.1037/1076-898X.3.4.257
- Unipark EFS Survey (Version 10.5) [Online-survey tool]. Cologne-Huerth, Germany. Retrieved from <http://www.unipark.com>
- Vansteenkiste, M., Lens, W., & Deci, E. L. (2006). Intrinsic Versus Extrinsic Goal Contents in Self-Determination Theory: Another Look at the Quality of Academic Motivation. *Educational Psychologist*, 41(1), 19–31. doi:10.1207/s15326985ep4101_4
- Webb, N. M., Shavelson, R. J., & Haertel, E. H. (2007). Reliability Coefficients and Generalizability Theory. *Handbook of Statistics*, 26, 81–124. doi:10.1016/S0169-7161(06)26004-8
- Wilde, M., Bätz, K., Kovaleva, A., & Urhahne, D. (2009). Überprüfung einer Kurzskala intrinsischer Motivation (KIM). *Zeitschrift Für Didaktik Der Naturwissenschaften*, 15, 31–45. Retrieved from http://www.archiv.ipn.uni-kiel.de/zfdn/pdf/15_Wilde.pdf
- Wittman, M. J., & Segers, E. (2010). The modality effect tested in children in a user-paced multimedia environment. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 26(2), 132–142. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2729.2009.00335.x